

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Starch-Coated Maghemite Nanoparticles Functionalized by a Novel Cobalt Schiff base Complex Catalyzes Selective Aerobic Benzylic C-H Oxidation

Maasoumeh Jafarpour^{*}, Abdolreza Rezaeifard^{*}, Vahid Yasinzadeh and Hossein Kargar

Catalysis Research Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Birjand, Birjand, 97179-414 Iran

Abstract:

In this work, a novel organosilicon aldehyde was prepared for the first time. It could be used as an appropriate linker by condensation with ethanol amine producing a new bidentate Schiff base ligand. Its cobalt complex was prepared under ultrasonic agitation and characterized by FT-IR and NMR spectra. Attachment to magnetic nanoparticles (γ -Fe₂O₃, MNP) coated with starch (SMNP) under ultrasonic irradiation produced a magnetically separable Schiff base catalyst. TEM images revealed a uniform spherical shape with average size of 12-15 nm for as-prepared catalyst.

The selective benzylic C-H oxidation of a variety of benzylic alcohols as well as alkyl benzenes to the related carbonyl compounds using molecular oxygen in combination with n-hydroxyphthalimide (NHPI) were efficiently enhanced under the catalytic influence of title magnetically separable catalyst. The reactions proceeded smoothly under heterogeneous conditions and catalyst could be recovered and reused efficiently by an external magnetic field. The strong attachment of catalyst to magnetic support was confirmed by FT-IR spectra.

Keywords: Magnetically recoverable nanocatalyst, Aerobic Oxidation, Benzylic C-H oxidation, Cobalt schiff base complex.

^{*} Corresponding Authors: Tel: +98 561 2502516; Fax: +98 561 2502515. E-mail: <u>mjafarpour@birjand.ac.ir</u> (M. Jafarpour) <u>rrezaeifard@birjand.ac.ir</u> (A. Rezaeifard); <u>rrezaeifard@gmail.com</u>

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

Introduction

The C-H bonds oxyfunctionalization strategies for the facile generation of compounds with useful molecular architecture remains of high interest to academic and industrial chemists. One major goal is the replacement of stoichiometric procedures, using classical toxic waste-producing oxidants, with catalytic procedures using environmentally benign oxidant. As an alternative, oxygen (or even better air) is among the cheaper and less polluting stoichiometric oxidants, since it produces no waste or water as the sole by-product. Several catalysts and co-catalysts have been applied for the catalytic oxidation of organic substrates [1-4]. Indeed, transition metals such as $Co(OAc)_2$ [5], CuCl [6], $Co(OAc)_3$ [7], and $Mn(OAc)_3$ [8], are added in the presence of nonmetallic compounds such as n-hydroxyphthalimide (NHPI) [10–13], alkyl hydroperoxide [9,10], -azobisisobutyronitril [11], aldehydes [12,13] and NO_2 [14,15], because they are known to catalyze the initiation reaction [4,5,16–23].

As always in research involving catalysis, attention must also be paid to the vital issue of catalyst integrity, recovery and recycling. Accordingly, heterogeneous catalytic systems are preferred over homogeneous ones due to easier recyclability. However, they usually suffer from low catalytic activity relative to their homogeneous counterparts.

Recently, the use of nanomaterials whose activity is very high under mild conditions extended because of their very large surface area [24-28]. The control of chemical reactions by changing the size, dimensionality, chemical composition and morphology of the reaction center and by changing the kinetics using nanopatterning of the reaction centers is the central aim of nanocatalysis [29]. However, the small size of nanoparticles make their separation from the reaction solution and recycling difficult, which impedes their use in industrial processes [30]. In order to circumvent such recycling problems, magnetic nanoparticles, whose flocculation and dispersion can be controlled reversibly by applying a magnetic field, were extensively employed as a recyclable support matrix in past decade.

As a part of our ongoing research for developing more efficient processes for oxidation of organic compounds [31-43], herein we wish to report the synthesis and catalytic performance of a new cobalt Schiff base complex anchored on magnetic nanoparticles in the aerobic oxidation of benzylic C-H bonds of saturated hydrocarbons and alcohols to the related carbonyl compounds.

The first step of this investigation is the preparation of a bidentate Schiff base ligand by condensation of a new synthesized organosilicon aldehyde with ethanol amine. Its cobalt complex was attached to starch coated magnetic nanoparticles under ultrasonic irradiation producing a magnetically separable nanocatalyst (CoL₂@SMNP) (Scheme 1).

The efficiency, selectivity and oxidative stability of title nanocatalyst in the heterogeneous aerobic oxidation of alkyl benzenes and benzyl alcohols in the presence of n-hydroxyphthalimide (NHPI) as radical generator were evaluated which provide its effective reusability and removing by-products (Scheme 2).



Scheme 1. Preparation of CoL₂@SMNP



Scheme 2. Aerobic benzylic C-H oxidation catalyzed by CoL₂@SMNP

2. Experimental

2.1. General remarks

All chemicals were purchased from Merck and Fluka Chemical Companies. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed on a Bruker D8-advance X-ray diffractometer with Cu K α (λ = 1.54178 Å) radiation. The FT-IR spectra were recorded on a NICOLET system. TEM images were obtained by TEM instrumentation 906E (Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Sample for TEM experiment was prepared by dispersing the samples in ethanol, sonicating for 30 min to ensure adequate dispersion of the nanostructures, and evaporating one drop of the solution onto a 200 mesh formbar-coated copper grids.

Progresses of the reactions were monitored by TLC using silica-gel SIL G/UV 254 plates and also by GC on a Shimadzu GC-16A instrument using a 25 m CBP1-S25 (0.32 mm ID, 0.5 µm coating) capillary column. NMR spectra were recorded on a Brucker Avance DPX 250 and 400 MHz instruments.

2.2. The Preparation of organosilicon aldehyde

To a solution of (3-Chloropropyl) trimethoxy silan (0.74 ml, 4 mmol) in DMSO (0.35 mL, 5 mmol) was added NaHCO₃ (0.67 g, 8 mmol) and refluxed at 155 °C for 2 h. Then, water (50 ml) was added to the reaction mixture and the product extracted with Et_2O (2 × 50 ml). The organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous CaCl₂ and filtered. Evaporation of the solvent afforded the desired product (yellow oil, 70 % Isolated yield). Structural assignments of the product is based on their FT-IR, ¹H NMR (section 3.1).

2.3. The Preparation of Schiff base ligand

To a solution of (3-oxopropyl) trimethoxy silan (0.8 ml, 5 mmol) in ethanol (10 mL) was gradually added a solution of ethanol amine (0.3 ml, 5 mmol) in ethanol (10 ml) at 60 °C under ultrasonic agitation and remained under the same conditions for 60 min. The product precipitated after 24 hours at room temperature, which was filtered and washed with ethanol and dried in desiccator (85 % isolated yield). Structural assignments of the product is based on their FTIR, ¹H NMR (section 3.1).

2.4. The Preparation of Cobalt Schiff base complex

A solution of cobalt acetate (0.44 g, 2.5 mmol) in ethanol (10 ml) was added to a solution of Schiff base ligand (5 mmol, 0.25 g) in 10 ml ethanol at 60 °C under ultrasonic agitation and the remained under the same conditions for 3 hours. The

Cobalt Schiff base complex precipitated after cooling the reaction mixture, which was filtered and washed with cold ethanol followed by drying at 100 °C under vacuum (92 %). Structural assignments of the product is based on their FTIR, ¹H NMR. (section 3.1)

2.5. The Preparation of γ-Fe₂O₃

 γ -Fe₂O₃ MNPs were synthesized by a reported chemical co-precipitation technique of ferric and ferrous ions in alkali solution with minor modifications [44].

FeCl₂. 4H₂O (1.99 g) and FeCl₃. 6 H₂O (3.25 g) were dissolved in deionized water (30 mL) under Ar atmosphere at room temperature. A NH₄OH solution (0.6 M, 200 mL) was then added drop wise (drop rate = 1 mL min⁻¹) to the stirring mixture at room temperature to reach the reaction pH to 11. The resulting black dispersion was continuously stirred for 1 h at room temperature and then heated to reflux for 1 h to yield a brown dispersion. The magnetic nanoparticles were then separate by an external magnet and washed with deionized water until it was neutralized. The assynthesized sample was heated at 2 °C min⁻¹ up to 250 °C and then kept in the furnace for 3 h to give a reddish-brown powder.

2.6. The preparation of starch coated γ-Fe₂O₃@ (SMNP)

To 1.0 g dispersed γ -Fe₂O₃ particles in 15 ml bidistilled water was gradually added a hot aqueous solution of starch under ultrasonic agitation and was kept for an additional 15 min. After stirring at room temperature for 8 h, the starch coated maghemite nanoparticles (SMNP) was separated by an external magnetic field, washed with water and dried.

2.7. The immobilization of CoL₂ on the SMNP (CoL₂@SMNP).

To 1.0 g of SMNP in 30 ml anhydrous toluene was gradually added $[CoL_2]$ (2 mmol) (a period of 10 min) under ultrasonic agitation at room temperature and the asobtained mixture was refluxed for 14 h. The final sample (CoL₂@SMNP) was separated by an external magnetic field, washed three times with ethanol and dried under vacuum (Scheme 1).

2.8. General procedure for aerobic oxidation of benzyl alcohols

To a mixture of benzyl alcohols (1 mmol) and nanocatalyst (0. 5 mol%, 0.03g) in CH₃CN (3 mL) was added NHPI (10 mol %, 0.016g) and the reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C under a continuous stream of O₂ (5-7mL/min) for the required time.

The reaction progress was monitored by GC, and the yields of the products were determined by GC and NMR analysis.

2.9. General procedure for aerobic oxidation of alkyl benzenes

To a mixture of alkyl benzene (1 mmol) and nanocatalyst (0. 5 mol%, 0.03g) in CH₃CN (3 mL) was added NHPI (15 mol%, 0.025g) and the reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C under a continuous stream of O_2 (5-7mL/min) for the required time. The reaction progress was monitored by GC, and the yields of the products were determined by GC and NMR analysis.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis and characterization of catalyst

At the first step of this work, a new organosilicon aldehyde was prepared by oxidation of (3-Chloropropyl) trimethoxysilan in the presence of DMSO and NaHCO₃ [45]. The presence of characteristic carbonyl band at 1742 cm⁻¹ in FT-IR demonstrated well the formation of desired aldehyde that is (3-oxopropyl) trimethoxy silan (Fig. 1a). The bands at 2820 cm⁻¹ can be rationalized to C-H bonds stretching of aldehyde, while the broad peak at 1073 cm⁻¹ assigned to Si–O stretching vibrations (Fig. 1a). The observation of peaks at 3.5 and 9.66 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the (3-oxopropyl) trimethoxy silan (Fig. 2a) assigned to the methoxy and aldehyde proton, respectively.

Condensation of the synthesized aldehyde with ethanol amine in ethanol produced the Shiff base ligand. The FT-IR spectra (Fig.1b) represents the characteristic imine band at 1656 cm⁻¹ indicating the successful preparation of the Schiff base ligand.

Then reaction of HL with Co(OAc)₂ in ethanol gave the desired complex, CoL₂, as a deep purple powder. A lower frequency was observed for imine band in FT-IR spectra of complex indicating of coordination to Co center (1640 cm⁻¹) through azomethine nitrogen. This was further supported by ¹H NMR spectra. A sharp singlet at 7.6 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the free Schiff base, (Fig. 2b) assigned to the azomethine proton shifted downfield (7.9 ppm) after complexation (Fig. 2c). Moreover, the absence of a broad singlet at 4.8 ppm in the spectrum of the cobalt complex (Fig. 2c) compared with the uncoordinated ligand indicates the deprotonation and coordination of the hydroxyl group.

6



Fig. 1. FT-IR spectra of (a) (3-oxopropyl) trimethoxy silan, (b) Schiff base ligand and (c) Cobalt Schiff base complex.



Fig. 2. ¹HNMR spectra of (a) (3-oxopropyl) trimethoxy silan, (b) Schiff base ligand and (c) Cobalt Schiff base complex.

At the second step of this work, prepared CoL_2 complex was immobilized on the starch coated magnetic nanoparticles (SMNP) using ultrasonic agitation (CoL₂@SMNP). The use of a co-precipitation method for preparation of the γ -Fe₂O₃ (MNP) gave nanoparticles with a diameter of approximately 10 nm [44]. It was coated with a dense starch layer (SMNP) followed by loading with CoL₂ (CoL₂@SMNP).

The XRD pattern of CoL₂@SMNP (Fig. 3, right) exhibit characteristic peaks at the same 2 θ values as bare γ -Fe₂O₃ demonstrating that the crystalline structure of iron oxide remains intact after being attached to Co Schiff base complex.



Fig. 3. The XRD patterns of bare γ -Fe₂O₃(left) and CoL₂@SMNP (right)

The FT-IR spectra of bare γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles, SMNP and CoL₂@SMNP nanocomplex, have been depicted in Fig. 4. The spectrum of the bare γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles, (Fig. 4a), exhibits two peaks at 634 and 582 cm⁻¹ and a weak band around 441 cm⁻¹, which are assigned to typical Fe–O vibrations of the maghemite structure [46-50]. The spectrum also represents a broad band around 3390 cm⁻¹ corresponding to O–H stretching vibrations of surface hydroxyl groups and adsorbed water. Bending vibration of adsorbed water can be observed at 1600 cm⁻¹ [47].

In the FT-IR spectra of the SMNP (Fig. 4b), new bands in 1020, 1080 and 1156 cm⁻¹ associated with acetal groups of amylose and amylopectin in starch were appeared. The peaks at 1156 and 1080 cm⁻¹ represent the C-O stretching vibrations in the C-O-H groups, whereas the 1020 cm⁻¹ peak corresponds to the C-O stretching vibration in the C-O-C groups. The peaks at 2922 and 1422 cm⁻¹ related to the C–H stretching vibration of methylene groups. The peaks at 1650 and 3376 cm⁻¹ were assigned to δ (O–H) bending of water and hydrogen bonded hydroxyl groups of amylose and amylopectin of starch, respectively [51-54].

8

The absorption bands at 578 and 630 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the Fe-O stretching vibration for the γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles.

Comparison of the FT-IR spectra of $CoL_2@SMNP$ nanocomplex Fig. 4c with those of MNP and SMNP confirms the formations of the respective composite according to significant spectral changes. It revealed the presence of major bands at 1643 cm⁻¹ which are attributed to imine band and a strong peak at 1120 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the Si-O band. It can be observed that there is a weak peak at 472 cm⁻¹, which correspond to the Co-N band.



Fig. 4. FT-IR spectra of (a) γ -Fe₂O₃, (b) γ -Fe₂O₃@starch and (c) CoL₂@SMNP

The loading of cobalt complex on the support was determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy, which showed a value of 0.17 mmol/g based on Co. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations clearly revealed spherical $CoL_2@SMNP$ nanocomplex with size ranging between 12-15 nm (Fig. 5).



Fig. 5. TEM image of CoL₂@SMNP nanocomplex

3.2. Catalytic activity of CoL₂@SMNP nanocomplex

3.2.1. Aerobic oxidation of benzyl alcohols and alkyl benzenes

Catalytic experiments were initiated with the oxidation of benzyl alcohol (1 mmol) with O_2 (1 atm) in acetonitrile (3 ml), as this did not proceed in the absence of the catalyst under any conditions. To find the optimum reaction conditions, the influence of different factors that may affect the conversion and selectivity of the benzyl alcohol oxidation was investigated.

A systematic examination of the solvent nature was performed in various solvents, such as acetonitrile, acetic acid, dichloroethane, ethyl acetate, toluene, ethanol and water, using 0.5 mol% of CoL₂@SMNP in the presence of NHPI (0.1 mmol) at different temperatures (Fig. 6). The best yield and conversion rate were obtained in CH₃CN at 70 °C.

The reaction was further optimized with respect to amount of solvent, NHPI and catalyst (Fig. 6).



Inspection of the results in Fig. 6 (iv) revealed that the efficiency of oxidation was dependent on the NHPI amount, so that the reaction did not proceed in the absence of NHPI under any conditions. NHPI has been demonstrated to be a free radical oxidation catalyst [55, 56]. Therefore, a radical mechanism may be suggested for title oxidation system using O_2 , similar to previous reports in the presence of cobalt complexes [5, 57]. This was further supported by retarded oxidation of benzyl alcohol in the presence of radical scavengers such as 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol under the same conditions.

It should be noted that no oxidation product was observed when the title catalyst was replaced by γ -Fe₂O₃ as well as SMNP. However, the reaction proceeded well in the presence of unsupported CoL₂, and the same result was obtained under the same conditions demonstrated well the efficiency of CoL₂ preserved after being immobilized on magnetic nanoparticles.

Under the optimized conditions using a continuous stream of O_2 at 70 °C, benzyl alcohol converted completely in the presence of 0.5 mol% of $CoL_2@SMNP$ within 16 h and 90% of the pertinent aldehyde was secured as the sole product.

To establish the general applicability of the method, various benzylic alcohols were subjected to the oxidation protocol under the catalytic influence of the title heterogeneous catalyst (Table 1). Different benzylic alcohols oxidized smoothly under oxidation procedure with second benzylic alcohols faster than primary ones. For example, 1-phenyl ethanol and benzyl alcohol were converted to the corresponding carbonyl compounds after 14 and 16 h, respectively (Table 1, entries 1, 11). Our results revealed that, the oxidation performance affected by electronic properties of substrates. Electron-releasing groups on the phenyl rings of alcohols accelerated the reaction (Table 1, entries 2-5), while electron-acceptor ones retarded it (Table 1, entries 8,9). For example, in the oxidation of 4-nitro- and 4-methoxy benzyl alcohol, 35 and 72% yields of the pertinent aldehydes were obtained respectively at the same time (16 h).

The chemoselectivity of the procedure was prominent. While, the primary benzylic alcohol containing sulfide group, oxidized to the corresponding aldehyde (52% yield) under the influence of title catalytic system, sulfide group remained completely intact

(Table 1, entry 10). It should be noted that no trace of ester and benzoic acid was observed resulting from overoxidation of secondary and primary alcohols, respectively. The catalytic system didn't show any reactivity toward aliphatic alcohols.

Table 1. Oxidation of benzylic alcohols using NHPI /O₂ oxidative system catalyzed by CoL₂@SMNP ^a

Entry	Alcohol	Product ^b	Yield% ^c (Isolated yield%)
1	ОН	0	97 (90)
2	OH	OMe	72 (65)
3	OH OMe	OMe	72 (65)
4	CH ₃	CH ₃	57 (49)
5			77 (70)
6	HO	O CI	44



^aReaction conditions: 1000 : 100 : 5 molar ratio for alcohol:NHPI:catalyst in CH₃CN (3 mL) under continues stream of O₂ (5-7 mL/min) at 70 °C.

^bThe products were identified by ¹H NMR spectra in comparison with authentic samples [58]. The selectivity of products were >99% based on GC analysis.

^c GC Yield after 16 h except for entry 11 which has been run for 14 h.

The desired yields and selectivities of the carbonyl compounds obtained in the oxidation of benzyl alcohols prompted us to apply this catalytic system for oxidation of alkyl benzenes. Control experiments revealed that the same reaction conditions used for alcohol oxidation can be applicable successfully for oxidation of alkyl benzenes albeit with more amount of NHPI (15 mol%). The complete oxidation of ethyl benzene took 9 h in acetonitril at 70 °C and acetophenone was obtained quantitatively contaminated with a small percentage of the pertinent alcohol (<3%).

The good/high yields (65–97%) and high selectivity (80-97%) of ketones were achieved in the oxidation of other alkyl benzenes (Table 2, entries 1, 2, 4-6). The conversion for electron-deficient 4-nitroethylbenzene (Table 2, entry 3), was 61% and the pertinent acetophenone was achieved in 72% yield. The method possesses novelty regarding the chemoselectivity. 2-Phenyl ethanol oxidized to 2-hydroxy acetophenone while, hydroxyl group was tolerated in the reaction (Table 2, entry 7).

The oxidation of adamantane was efficiently promoted by title catalytic aerobic system. The major product was a tertiary CH bond oxygenated product of 1-adamantanol, and secondary CH bond oxygenated products were formed sparsely (Table 2, entry 8).

 Table 2. Oxidation of alkyl benzenes using NHPI /O2 oxidative system catalyzed by

 CoL2@SMNP^a

Entry	Alkyl benzene	Product ^b	Yield % ^c	Time (h)	Ketone Selectivity % ^d
1		o	97	9	97
2 Me		MeO	85	8	90
3	O ₂ N	O2N O	44	11	72



^aReaction conditions: 1000 : 150 : 5 molar ratio for alcohol:NHPI:catalyst in CH₃CN (3 mL) under O₂ (7–10 mL/min) at 70 °C ^bThe products were identified by 1H NMR spectroscopy [58] or by comparison with the retention times of authentic samples in GC analysis

° GC Yield^b

^d The remainders are the related alcohols.

^e 2-adamantanol (7%) and 2-adamantanon (13%) were also produced alongside 1-adamantanol as main product.

3.2.3. The catalyst reuse and stability

The good/excellent yields of carbonyl compounds obtained using title catalytic methods demonstrated the high stability and catalytic activity of the prepared CoL₂@SMNP.

This was further supported by evaluation of the recovery potential of the nanocatalysts in the oxidation of both benzyl alcohols and alkyl benzenes under the conditions mentioned in Tables 1, 2.

Recovery of CoL₂@SMNP catalyst was easy and efficient.

When the magnetic stirring was stopped, the catalyst absorbed on to the magnetic stirring bar. The catalyst was recovered by decantation of the reaction mixture in the presence of an external magnet. It was then washed with ethylacetate or ethanol as safe solvents, dried under vacuum, and used directly for the next round of reaction without further purification. The ease of recovery, combined with the intrinsic stability of the starch-protected γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticle component, allows the catalyst to be recovered efficiently over at least fifth times in the oxidation of benzyl alcohol under mentioned conditions in this study (Fig. 7).

The FT-IR spectra (Fig. 7) of the used catalyst demonstrated that the structure of the catalyst preserved after recovery.



Fig. 7. (left) Recycling of the catalytic system and (right) FT-IR spectra of the fresh CoL₂@SMNP nanocomplex (a) and after 5 times reuse (b) for oxidation of benzyl alcohol under continues stream of O₂ (5-7 mL/min) using NHPI in CH₃CN at 70 °C.

4. Conclusions

In this research, a new organosilicon aldehyde was prepared by oxidation of (3chloropropyl) trimethoxy silan that it can be used as a new linker for designing of new heterogeneous supported catalysts. It was condensed with ethanol amine to produce a new Schiff base ligand followed by complexation with $Co(OAc)_2$. The $CoL_2@SMNP$ nanocatalyst (12-15 nm) was prepared by immobilizing of cobalt Schiff base complex on the starch coated γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles.

The title nanocatalyst catalyzed heterogeneous aerobic oxidation of alkyl benzenes and benzyl alcohols using NHPI as radical generator. The efficiency, selectivity and oxidative stability of catalyst well documented. Selected processes successfully provided target products in good/excellent yields by using a low catalyst loading of 0.5 mol%, while, its initial activity was preserved after several recoveries regardless of the type of selected reaction. Thus, our method is cost effective which enables the industrially important reactions to be carried out efficiently under aerobic and practically attainable conditions.

Acknowledgements

Support for this work by Research Council of University of Birjand is highly appreciated.

References:

- V.D. Makawanna, L. J. Garces, J. Liu, J. Cai, Y.C. Son, S.L. Suib. Catal Today, 2003, 85, 225-233.
- [2] Y.S. Ding, X.F. Chen, S. Sithambaram, S. Gomez, R. Kumar, V.M.B. Crisostomo, S.L. Suib, M. Aindow, Chem. Mater, 2005,17, 5382-5389.
- [3] A.K. Vannucci , Z. Chen , J.J. Concepcion , T.J. Meyer, ACS. Catal. 2012, 2, 716-719.
- [4] Y. Ishii, K. Nakayama, M. Sakaguachi, S. Iwahama, T. Nishiyama, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3934-3935.
- [5] Y. Yoshino, Y. Hayashi, T. Iwahama, S. Sakaguchi, Y. Ishii, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6810-6813.
- [6] M. Nechab, C. Einhorn, J. Einhorn, Chem. Commun. 2004, 1500-1501.
- [7] N. Sawatari, S. Sakaguchi, Y. Ishii. Tetrahedron.Lett. 2003, 44, 2053.
- [8] R. Amorati, M. Lucarini, V. Mugnaini, G.F. Pedulli, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 1747-1754.
- [9] I.W.C.E. Arends. M. Sasidharan, A. Kuhnle, M. Duda, C. Jost, R.A. Sheldon, Tetrahedron, 2002, 58, 9055-9061.
- [10] Y. Aoki, S. Sakaguchi, Y. Ishii, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 199-202.
- [11] C. Einhorn, J. Einhorn, C. Marcadel, J.-L. Pierre. Chem. Commun. 1994, 447-448.
- [12] S. Tsujimoto, S. Sakaguchi, Y. Ishii, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 5601-5604.
- [13] M. Eikawa, S. Sakaguchi, Y. Ishii, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4674-4685.
- [14] Y. Nisshawaki, S. Sakaguchi, Y. Ishii, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5663-5668.
- [15] T. Iwahama, S. Sakaguchi, Y. Nishiyama, Y. Nishayama. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 4520-4526.
- [16] Y. Ishii, T. Iwahama, S. Sakaguchi, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 4993-4996.
- [17] Y. Ishii, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 1997, 117, 123-137.
- [18] S. Kato, T. Iwashama, S. Sakaguchi, Y. Ishii. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 22.
- [19] S. Sakaguchi, T. Takase, T. Iwahama, Y. Ishii, Chem. Commun. 1998, 18, 2037-2038.
- [20] T. Iwahama, S. Sakaguchi, Y. Ishii, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 9059-9062.
- [21] T. Iwahama, S. Sakaguchi, Y. Ishii, Chem. Commun. 2000, 7, 613-614.
- [22] Y. Ishii, Catal. Today. 2000, 117, 105-113.
- [23] Y. Ishii, S. Sakaguchi, T. Iwahama, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2001, 343, 939–941.

[24] M. B. Gawande, P. S. Branco and R. S. Varma, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 3371–3393.

[25] R. G. Chaudhuri and S. Paria, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 2373–2433.

[26] L. Guerrini and D. Graham, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 7085–7107.

[27] L. H. Reddy, J. L. Arias, J. Nicolas and P. Couvreur, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 5818–5878.

[28] V. Polshettiwar, R. Luque, A. Fihri, H. Zhu, M. Bouhrara, J.-M. Basset, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 3036–3075.

[29] D. Astruc, Nanoparticles and Catalysis, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2008, 1–48.

[30] F. Raimondi, G. G. Scherer, R. Kotz and A. Wokaun, Angew.Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 117, 2228–2248.

[31] A. Rezaeifard, R. Haddad, M. Jafarpour, M. Hakimi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10036–10039.

[32] A. Rezaeifard, P. Farshid, M. Jafarpour, G. Kardan Moghaddam,

RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 9189–9196.

[33] A. Rezaeifard, M. Jafarpour, Catal. Sci. Technol. 2014, 4, 1960-1969.

[34] M. Jafarpour, M. Ghahramaninezhad, A. Rezaeifard, RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 1601–1608.

[35] M. Jafarpour, A. Rezaeifard, M. Ghahramaninezhad, F. Feizpour, Green Chem. 2015, 17,442.

[36] M. Jafarpour, M. Ghahramaninezhad, A. Rezaeifard, New J. Chem. 2014, 38, 2917-2926.

[37] A. Rezaeifard, V. Soltani, M. Jafarpour, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 2657–2664.

[38] A. Rezaeifard, M. Jafarpour, A. Naeimi, M. Salimi, Inorg.Chem. Commun. 2012, 15, 230–234.

[39] A. Rezaeifard, M. Jafarpour, A. Naeimi, K. Mohammadi, J. Mol. Catal. A 2012, 357, 141–147.

[40] A. Rezaeifard, M. Jafarpour, P. Farshid, A. Naeimi, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 5515–5524.

[41] A. Rezaeifard, M. Jafarpour, A. Naeimi, R. Haddad, Green Chem. 2012, 14, 3386–3394.

[42] A. Rezaeifard, M. Jafarpour, A. Naeimi, S. Kaafi, Catal. Commun. 2011, 12, 761–765.

[43] A. Rezaeifard, M. Jafarpour, A. Naeimi, Catal.Commun. 2011, 16, 240-244.

[44] (a) R. Massart, E. Dubois, V. Cabuil, E. Hasmonay, J. Magn. Magn. Mater.

1995,149, 1-5. (b) B.Z. Tang, Y. Geng, J.W.Y. Lam, B. Li, X. Jing, X. Wang, F.

Wang, A.B. Pakhomov, X.X. Zhang, Chem. Mater. 1999, 11, 1581–1589.

[45] Gh. Grivani, Sh. Tangestaninejad, A. Halili, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2007, 10, 914–917

[46] R. M. Cornell, U. Schwertmann, The Iron Oxides: Structure, Properties, Reactions, Occurrence and Uses, VCH, Weinheim, 1996.

[47] T. Belin, N. Guigue-Millot, T. Caillot, D. Aymes, J. C. Niepce, J. Solid State Chem., 2002, 163, 459.

[48] R. de Palma, J. Trekker, S. Peeters, M. J. Van Bael, K. Bonroy, R. Wirix-Speetjens, G. Reekmans, W. Laureyn, G. Borghs, G. Maes, J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 2007, 7, 4626.

[49] M. P. Morales, S. Veintemillas-Verdaguer, M. I. Montero, C. J. Serna, A. Roig, Ll. Casas, B. Mart'inez, F. Sandiumenge, Chem. Mater. 1999, 11, 3058.

[50] P. Tartaj, M. P. Morales, S. Veintemillas-Verdaguer, T. Gonz'alez-Carre no, C.J. Serna, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., 2003, 36, R182.

[51] R. Kizil, J. Irudayaraj, K. Seetharaman, J. Agricultural and Food Chem. 2002, 50, 3912–3918.

[52] M. Huang, H. Wang, J. Yu, , Polym. Composite, 2006, 309-314.

[53] S.M. Goheen and R.P. Wool, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 1991, 42, 2691.

[54] J.F. Mano, D. Koniarova, R.L. Reis, J. Mater. Sci. Mater. M., 2003, 14, 127.

[55] Y. Ishii, K. Nakayama, M. Takeno, S. Sakaguchi, T. Iwahama, Y. Nishiyama, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3934-3935.

[56] Y. Ishii, S. Sakaguchi, T. Iwahama, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2001, 343, 393-427.

[57] (a) C. Parmeggiani, F. Cardona, Green Chem. 2012, 14, 547–564; (b) Y. Ishii, S. Sakaguchi, Catal. Today, 2006, 117, 105-113; (c) H. Ma, J. Xu, Q. Zhang, H. Miao, W. Wu, Catal. Commun. 2007, 8, 27–30; (d) D. Habibi, A.R. Faraji, M. Arshadib, S. Heydari, A. Gil, Applied Catalysis A: General 2013, 466, 282–292; (e) T. Iwahama, S. Sakaguchi, Y. Nishiyama and Y. Ishii, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 6923; (f) T. Iwahama, Y. Yoshino, T. Keitoku, S. Sakaguchi and Y. Ishii, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 6502; (g) S. J. S. Kalra, T. Punniyamurthy and J. Iqbal, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 4847; (h) A. K. Mandal and J. Iqbal, Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 7641; (i) V. B. Sharma, S. L. Jain and B. Sain, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2004, 212, 55.

[58] (a) S. K. Hanson, R. Wu , L. A. "Pete" Silks, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 1908–1911;
(b) B. Landers , Ch. Berini , Ch. Wang , O. Navarro, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 1390–1397;
(c) M. B. Gawande, A. Rathi, I. D. Nogueira, C. A. A. Ghumman, N. Bundaleski, O. M. N. D. Teodoro, P. S. Branco, ChemPlusChem, 2012, 77, 865–871.

OH R 0 Fe₂O₃ ŌН o