

RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



Journal Name

ARTICLE

Monodisperse Platinum Nanoparticles Supported on Highly Ordered Mesoporous Silicon Nitride Nanoblocks: Superior Catalytic Activity for Hydrogen Generation from Sodium Borohydride

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Chrystelle Salameh,^a Alina Bruma,^b Sylvie Malo,^b Umit B. Demirci,^a Philippe Miele^a, Samuel Bernard^{a*}

Late transition metal nanoparticles have attracted considerable interest for catalytic applications. Their immobilization over supports with tailored porosity is advantageous for nanosizing metal nanoparticles and avoiding their agglomeration which is known to bring a serious issue to the catalytic performance. Herein, ordered mesoporous silicon nitride (Si_3N_4) nanoblocks with hexagonal symmetry of the pores, high specific surface areas ($772.4 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$) and pore volume ($1.19 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$) are synthesized by nanocasting using perhydropolysilazane as precursor. Then, Si_3N_4 nanoblocks are used as supports to synthesize platinum nanoparticles (Pt NPs) by precursor wet impregnation. Detailed characterizations by TEM show that monodispersed spherical Pt NPs with a 6.77 nm diameter are successfully loaded over nanoblocks to generate nanocatalysts. The latter are subsequently used for the catalytic hydrolysis of sodium borohydride (NaBH_4). A hydrogen generation rate of $13.54 \text{ L} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}_{\text{Pt}}^{-1}$ is measured. It is notably higher than the catalytic hydrolysis using Pt/CMK-3 nanocatalysts ($2.58 \text{ L} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}_{\text{Pt}}^{-1}$) most probably due to the textural properties of the Si_3N_4 supports associated with the intrinsic properties of Si_3N_4 . This leads to an attractive nanocatalyst in pursuit of practical implementation of B-/N-based chemical hydrides as a hydrogen source for fuel cell application.

A Introduction

Proton exchange membrane fuel cell-based (PEMFC) systems are attractive alternatives to current energy conversion technologies due to their potential to directly convert chemical energy (*i.e.*, hydrogen) into electrical energy. They consist of three subsystems - fuel cell stack, hydrogen generator, and hybrid power management system. They display high efficiency, fast responses to loads and they have potentially zero emissions (except water).^{1,2} However, despite recent advances, there are still several issues which limit the widespread use of the fuel cell technologies. One of the most key challenges is the controlled release of hydrogen to meet the overall energy requirements for civil vehicle applications

such as for small Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) commonly known as drones. For this purpose, liquid-phase hydrogen carriers are attractive, owing to some very advantageous features³: they are in liquid state (especially in aqueous solution), and thus can be easily handled, they are relatively stable in ambient conditions, non-flammable, non-toxic, they are hydrogen-rich compounds and side-products are recyclable. A typical and widely-investigated example is the alkaline solution of sodium borohydride NaBH_4 .⁴ NaBH_4 carries 10.8 wt% of hydridic ($\text{H}^{\delta-}$) hydrogen. Hydrogen can be easily released by reaction with the protic ($\text{H}^{\delta+}$) hydrogen of water, which thus provides half of the hydrogen H_2 generated. The hydrolysis has to be accelerated by a metal-based catalyst.⁵⁻⁹ As an illustration, effective gravimetric hydrogen storage capacities up to 9 wt% can be achieved in the presence of a supported catalyst.⁸ However, such attractive performances imply harsh operating conditions such as high temperatures (*e.g.* 80–100°C) or high pressures (*e.g.* > 6 bars H_2). These studies demonstrate that both the catalyst and the support play a key role in the production of H_2 from NaBH_4 to withstand these harsh conditions.

Late transition metals like Ruthenium (Ru) or Platinum (Pt) are generally more active and stable than Cobalt (Co)¹⁰⁻¹² but the

^a IEM (Institut Europeen des Membranes), UMR 5635 (CNRS-ENSCM-UM), Universite Montpellier, Place E. Bataillon, F- 34095, Montpellier, France-
*Corresponding authors. Dr. Samuel Bernard, Tel: +33 467 149 159; Fax: +33 467 149 119; E-mail address: Samuel.Bernard@univ-montp2.fr

^b CRISMAT Laboratory, UMR 6508 (CNRS-ENSICAEN-UNICAEN), 6 Bld Marechal Juin, 14050, Caen, France

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

cost issue is a limiting factor. Therefore, catalytic application requires significant reduction in the amount of expensive metal while catalytic performance is expected to be enhanced. It is therefore crucial to use very low amounts of metals (≤ 1 wt%) in the form of nanoparticles (NPs) in order to optimize catalytic activities. However, the nanoparticles agglomeration is problematic during the long-term catalytic process, bringing a serious issue to the catalyst stability. Consequently, the active surface areas of Pt NPs are continuously destroyed, resulting in continuous catalyst degradation with aging. An efficient solution is the immobilization of the catalyst over a support.¹³ The resulting dispersed particles exhibit a much higher total surface area per unit weight than the bulk metal, therefore allowing better utilization of the metal as a result of dispersion.

In general, the specific surface area, the pore volume, the arrangement and type of the porosity as well as the Lewis and/or Brønsted acidity of materials are primarily considered when selecting a support for catalysts to be used in H_2 generation. The thermal conductivity, mechanical strength and especially the chemical resistance towards the reaction medium are not always viewed as the most important properties. Alumina, silica, zeolites and MOFs are generally used as catalyst supports for various catalytic reactions.^{14–19} As an illustration, silica has been used as a support for the catalytic hydrolysis of ammonia borane.²⁰ However, the application of silica, zeolites, metal oxides or MOFs in catalytic hydrolysis is somehow limited because their hydrothermal stability may turn to be poor in the severe conditions we have imposed in our study, leading in general to the collapse of the porous structure. To address the issue of highly stable supports to produce H_2 from the alkaline solution of $NaBH_4$, alternative support materials should be explored.

Carbonaceous supports may represent an alternative solution. However, silicon carbide (SiC) and silicon nitride (Si_3N_4) attract much more attention, primarily due to their good mechanical and particularly chemical properties, their high thermal stability and their relative high thermal conductivity. Up to now, one group has investigated the use of Si_3N_4 as a non-porous powdered support (specific surface area $< 60 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$) of metals (Ag, Pd, Pt, etc.), in particular for the catalytic oxidation of methane and alcohols.^{21–25} In order to better control the size of the particle and avoid the sintering of the metals which inherently occurs on non-porous supports, development of porosity is required.

The Polymer-Derived Ceramics (PDCs) route has been widely investigated to prepare non-oxide ceramics with a tailored porosity.^{26–38} In contrast, reports focused on their use as supports are extremely limited. There are two strategies to prepare metal-supported porous PDCs. The basis of the first strategy is to mix in a controlled molar ratio a metal-containing molecule with a polymeric precursor to generate a metal-containing polymer. This synthesis strategy, usually investigated to produce ceramic-ceramic nanocomposites³⁹, is directed to produce metal-ceramic nanocomposites after pyrolysis during which *in-situ* controlled growth of metal occurs in the matrix.^{26–29} From a chemistry point of view, the

strategy, developed particularly in Ref. [28], is very promising and allows producing various compositions of nanocomposites. This molecular approach is advantageous owing to the dispersion of the metal at an atomic level, and the broad applicability (coordination compounds of many metals can be used). As an illustration, using Nickel (Ni) as metal, particles seem to be located near the external surface of the nanocomposites and within the internal voids and therefore the nanocomposites demonstrate catalytic activity for hydrogenation reactions.^{28b,d} Despite these very recent advances and the possibility to prepare the targeted nanocatalysts within one step^{28b,d}, we focused on the second strategy that consists into the replication of the porous structure of templates such as SBA-15 (or CMK-3 in our case) by polymer nanocasting.^{35–36} As-obtained materials with tailored porosity are impregnated with the metal precursor in order to be chemically reduced (Fig. 1). This allowed to i) tune the porosity much more effectively, ii) fully convert the preceramic polymers into ceramics (which is relatively difficult when metals and ceramics are prepared together in the same composite), iii) optimize chemical and thermal stability and iv) avoid possible reactions between the metal and the matrix (formation of metal silicides^{28c}).




Figure 1. Procedure to prepare metal-supported PDCs using SBA-15 as template.

In this general context, we propose herein the synthesis and characterization of a new class of ordered mesoporous Si_3N_4 labelled *omp-Si₃N₄* in the form of nanoblocks with hexagonal symmetry, very high specific surface area and large pore volume by perhydropolysilazane nanocasting, followed by platinum nanoparticle growth leading second to the generation of new nanocatalysts labelled Pt/*omp-Si₃N₄* (Fig. 2).




Figure 2. Overall process for preparing Pt/*omp-Si₃N₄*.

Finally, these nanocatalysts were tested for H₂ generation by hydrolysis of NaBH₄. The performance is compared to the H₂ evolution over other nanocatalysts to extrapolate and highlight the performance in the catalytic activity of the new Si₃N₄-based nanocatalysts.

B Experimental Part

A Materials. The polymeric precursor is unstable in air. Therefore, all manipulations are carried out under inert conditions. All ceramic products are handled in an argon-filled glove box (MBraun MB200B; O₂ and H₂O concentrations kept at < 0.1 ppm) due to their high surface area and affinity for moisture before characterization. Argon (> 99.995 %) is purified by passing through successive columns of phosphorus pentoxide, siccant™, and BTS catalysts. Schlenk tubes are dried at 120 °C overnight before pumping under vacuum and filling them with argon for synthesis. The chemical products are handled inside the argon-filled glove box. Toluene (99.85%, Extra Dry over Molecular Sieve, AcroSeal(R)) is obtained from Acros Organics. CMK-3 was purchased from ACS Materials®. Textural and structural parameters are reported in Table 1. Further information concerning CMK-3 are provided in the website of ACS Material®.

Table 1. Textural properties of CMK-3.

Type	SSA [m ² .g ⁻¹]	Pore Diameter [nm]	Total Pore Volume [cm ³ .g ⁻¹]	Micropore Volume [cm ³ .g ⁻¹]	Size [μm]
BET1000	983	5.57	1.35	0.01	0.5-5

Perhydropolysilazane in xylene (20 wt%) (PHPS, AQUAMICA NN-310) is provided by Mitsuya Boeki Ltd., Japan. Anal. Found (wt%): Si, 65.1; N, 26.2; H, 8.3; O, 0.4. FTIR (KBr/cm⁻¹): ν(N-H) = 3374 (m), ν(Si-H) = 2125 (s), ν(N-H) = 1180 (s), ν(Si-N) = 840-1020 (s). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, δ/ppm): 1.6-0.3 (NH), 5.8-4.3 (SiH). ²⁹Si NMR (79.43 MHz, C₆D₆, δ/ppm): -39.0 ppm (HSiN₃/H₂SiN₂).

For the preparation of the Pt supported samples and the hydrogen generation experiments, chloroplatinic acid hexahydrate (H₂PtCl₆.6H₂O, Sigma-Aldrich), sodium borohydride (NaBH₄, Acros Organics), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, Carlo Erba) and deionized ultra-pure water (Milli-Q grade; resistivity > 18 MΩ cm) are used.

B Preparation of ordered mesoporous silicon nitride nanoblocks. Nanocasting of PHPS is carried out through an impregnation process in a Schlenk-type flask. 4.5 mL of the PHPS dissolved in xylene under stirring are added to 0.63 g of the CMK-3 template dehydrated at 150 °C overnight at reduced pressure prior to use. The mixture is then stirred at 10°C for 48 h under static vacuum. After absorption of the polymer into the pores of the template, a filtration step is performed and then the solvent is evaporated at low pressure at 30 °C to generate a black powder labeled

PHPS/CMK-3. The dried composite is transferred into a silica tube inserted in a horizontal tube furnace (Nabertherm type RS 80/500/11, Germany) connected to a nitrogen-filled glove-box. Subsequently, the PHPS/CMK-3 composite is subjected to a N₂ cycle of ramping of 1 °C min⁻¹ to 1000 °C, dwelling there for 2 h, and then cooling to RT at 2 °C.min⁻¹. After the polymer-to-ceramic conversion process, the composite undergoes a final thermal treatment under NH₃ through a cycle of ramping of 2 °C min⁻¹ to 1000 °C, dwelling there for 5 h, and then cooling to RT at 5 °C.min⁻¹ to generate samples omp-Si₃N₄.

C Preparation of Platinum-supported silicon nitride. Sodium hydroxide and sodium borohydride are used as received, the latter being handled in the argon-filled glove-box. The platinum nanoparticles synthesized from the precursor H₂PtCl₆.6H₂O are supported over omp-Si₃N₄ by wet impregnation followed by sodium hydroxide-assisted chemical reduction using aqueous NaBH₄. Typically, 43 mg of omp-Si₃N₄ are milled, transferred in 2.5 mL of water, and ultrasonicated for 1 h in ambient conditions. Then, 1 mL of an aqueous solution of H₂PtCl₆.6H₂O (2.44×10⁻³ M) are added to the dispersion of omp-Si₃N₄. The mixture is further ultrasonicated for 1 h. Meanwhile, the reducing solution (i.e. alkaline aqueous solution of NaBH₄, with the concentrations of NaOH and NaBH₄ being of 3 M and 0.14 M, respectively) is prepared. It is added dropwise to the mixture Pt⁴⁺(aq)+omp-Si₃N₄ while the solution was vigorously shaken; the total time of addition is fixed to 30 min. As a final step, the solution is filtrated, and the solid washed twice with deionized water, washed with ethanol, and dried at 60°C to generate Pt/omp-Si₃N₄. For comparison, Pt/omp-Si/Al/C/N⁴⁰ and Pt/CMK-3 has been prepared following the same experimental procedure. 103 mg of CMK-3 are milled, transferred in 3.5 mL of distilled water, and ultrasonicated for 1 h in ambient conditions. Then, 1 mL of an aqueous solution of H₂PtCl₆.6H₂O (2.44×10⁻³ M) are added to the dispersion of CMK-3.

D Characterization. PHPS was analyzed by FTIR spectroscopy using a Nicolet Magna 550 spectrometer. ¹H and ²⁹Si NMR data of PHPS were obtained using a Bruker AM 300 spectrometer in C₆D₆ operating at 300 MHz and 79.43 MHz, respectively. Tetramethylsilane (TMS) was used as a reference. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) of the polymer-to-ceramic conversion are recorded on a Setaram TGA 92 16.18. Experiments are performed at 2°C min⁻¹ up to 1000 °C (dwelling time of 5 h) under ammonia using silica crucibles (sample weight of ~40 mg) at ambient atmospheric pressure. The small-angle powder X-ray diffraction pattern (SA-XRD) is recorded using a Philipps PW 3040/60 X'Pert PRO X-ray diffraction system operating at 30 mA and 40 kV, and between 0.7 ° and 5.0 ° with a step size of 0.0167 °. In addition, powder X-ray diffraction is applied from 20 ° to 80 ° to identify the global structure of the Si₃N₄ phase. SAXS (small angle X ray scattering) and WAXS (wide angle X ray scattering) experiments are performed with an in-house setup of the *Laboratoire Charles Coulomb, "Réseau X et gamma", Université Montpellier 2, France*. A high brightness low power X-ray tube, coupled with aspheric multilayer optic (GeniX^{3D} from Xenocs) was employed. It delivers an ultralow divergent beam (0.5mrad). Scatterless slits were used to give a clean 0.8mm beam diameter (35 Mphotons/s) at the sample.

We worked in a transmission configuration and scattered intensity was measured by a Schneider 2D image plate detector prototype, at a distance of 1.9m from the sample for SAXS configuration, 0.2m from the sample for WAXS configuration. All intensities are corrected by transmission and the empty cell contribution is subtracted. The mesoporous materials are observed by SEM (Hitachi S800) equipped with energy-dispersive spectroscopy analysis (EDXS). The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method was used to calculate the specific surface area of samples. The pore-size distribution is derived from the desorption branches of the isotherms using the *Barrett–Joyner–Halenda* (BJH) method. The total pore volume (V_p) is estimated from the amount of N_2 adsorbed at a relative pressure of (P/P_0) 0.97. Samples for TEM are ground in ethanol and the resulted dispersion was transferred to a lacey or holey carbon film fixed on a 3 mm nickel grid. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) and electron diffraction (ED) studies are performed using a FEI Tecnai G² 30 UT (LaB₆) microscope operating at 300 kV with a 0.17 nm point resolution. The catalytic ability of Pt/*omp*-Si₃N₄ is assessed in hydrogen production by hydrolysis of sodium borohydride in our ‘harsh’ conditions, and the hydrogen generation measurement is performed according to the general procedure we reported in Ref. [41]. Typically, the catalyst (16 mg) is milled and sieved, and then transferred into a reactor (glass tube) that is sealed with a silicon septum. The reactor is connected to a water-filled inverted burette (water colored in blue), *via* a cold trap for steam, maintained at 0°C. Then, it is immersed in an oil bath kept at 80°C. To start the hydrogen generation, 1 mL of a stabilized (2 wt% NaOH) aqueous solution of NaBH₄ (120 mg) is injected over the catalyst. Hydrogen starts to evolve rapidly and the evolution is video-recorded for 2 h, to be then computationally analyzed post-hydrolysis.

C Results and Discussion

A Preparation of ordered mesoporous Si₃N₄ nanoblocks. We report the synthesis of highly ordered mesoporous Si₃N₄ with a hexagonal lattice from perhydropolysilazane (PHPS, [SiH₂-NH]_n). PHPS is a highly reactive preceramic polymer containing two Si-H and N-H functions in its structure.^{39a,42-46} Through pyrolysis under nitrogen, PHPS leads to a composite material composed of a majority of Si₃N₄ and around 13 wt% of silicium (Si).⁴⁵ As PHPS is available in solution (in xylene in the present case) and is highly reactive even at room temperature, the precise measurement of its ceramic yield is difficult because cross-linking inherently occurs at low temperature. As an illustration, the measured weight loss at 1000 °C varies from 16.0 %⁴⁵ to 24.7 %⁴⁶ in the literature; therefore the ceramic yield is calculated to vary from 75.3 % to 84 %. Such values, even though they are different, are sufficiently high to keep the maximum of product in the porosity of templates through the nanocasting process meaning that PHPS seems to be an ideal precursor for preparing materials with tailored porosity which has never been demonstrated.

In the present paper, nanocasting provides access to mesopores by replicating the nanostructure of CMK-3 into a locally ordered pore system through (i) the impregnation of the pore template with

PHPS solution (20 wt% in xylene) at 10 °C for 48 h under static vacuum, (ii) the subsequent filtration step that consists to selectively remove the PHPS molecules deposited outside while the capillary forces kept the PHPS molecules effectively inside the pores, (iii) the post-treatment of the infiltrated template at low pressure and 30 °C in order to slowly remove the solvent while PHPS crosslinks, (iv) the pyrolysis under nitrogen at 1000 °C (dwelling time of 2 h) of the composite PHPS/CMK-3 to convert the PHPS confined in the porosity of CMK-3 into a composite Si₃N₄/Si, and (v) the heat-treatment under ammonia (dwelling time of 5 h) to remove CMK-3 involving a weight loss of 54.5 % while the title sample is generated.




Figure 3. (a) TGA curves of PHPS-derived Si₃N₄@CMK-3 recorded during decomposition under ammonia at 1000°C (5 °C.min⁻¹), (b) XRD patterns and SEM picture of *omp*-Si₃N₄.

The thermogravimetric curve in Figure 3a shows that the template is eliminated at high temperature above 800 °C. At this temperature, the ceramic conversion of PHPS is almost achieved. Within this context, we tentatively performed the heat-treatment of the composite PHPS/CMK-3 directly under ammonia (dwelling time of 5 h) to convert PHPS into a ceramic while the template was removed. This allowed us to reduce the number of steps in the process. In that case, a hydrogenated compound with composition close to Si₃N₄ is obtained.⁴⁷ We obtained a nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of IV-type similarly to mesoporous materials

with a specific surface of $715.8 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$, a mean pore size of 4.85 nm (with a certain proportion of macropores) and a pore volume of $0.94 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ (Fig. 1SI, See Supporting Information). Because of values slightly lower than those obtained with the two-step heat-treatment (see later) and the presence of macropores, we focused on the samples labeled *omp-Si₃N₄* obtained with the two-step heat-treatment described above and illustrated in Fig. 2.

B Characterization of ordered mesoporous Si₃N₄ nanoblocks. As-obtained samples are X-ray amorphous based on the absence of diffraction peaks in their powder X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) pattern (Figure 3b) and they are generated as highly porous powders that are composed of agglomerated elongated blocks as shown in the Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) image (Figure 3b as inset). They display a typical chemical formula determined by Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDXS) of $\text{Si}_{3.0}\text{O}_{0.1}\text{N}_{4.4}$. The relatively low O content is related to the precautions which are taken to analyze the material: samples are kept in an argon-filled glove-box to avoid moisture adsorption. Furthermore, CMK-3 was heat-treated under vacuum to remove moisture before impregnation of PHPS. Here, there is no residual carbon and no excess of Si: the Si:N ratio is almost that one found in Si_3N_4 . It should be mentioned that the Si:N ratio is around 1 after the first step of the process under nitrogen at 1000 °C (chemical composition of the sample is $\text{Si}_{3.0}\text{N}_{2.9}\text{O}_{0.1}$ by EDXS). This means that the second treatment under ammonia allows removing CMK-3 while nitridation of the silicon present in the material after thermal conversion of PHPS under nitrogen at 1000 °C occurs to form near-stoichiometric Si_3N_4 .⁴⁸ The absence of carbon is confirmed by the heat-treatment in air of the sample which does not exhibit any weight change in the temperature domain where CMK-3 is oxidized (350-550°C, Fig. 2SI, See Supporting Information). Figure 4 (a,b) depicts the corresponding low and high magnification Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) images of the sample.




Figure 4. (a) Low and (b) high magnification TEM images of *omp-Si₃N₄*.

To confirm the TEM observations, the samples have been analyzed by Small-Angle X-ray Diffraction (SA-XRD), Small-Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS) and nitrogen gas adsorption-desorption measurements (Figure 5).




Figure 5. (a) SA-XRD and SAXS patterns (as inset), (Cu K α radiation) and (b) N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms recorded at 77K of *omp-Si₃N₄*. Pore size distribution as inset.

The SA-XRD pattern confirms the ordered structure of the specimens (Figure 5a). A clear diffraction peak at $2\theta = 1.39^\circ$ corresponding to $d = 6.35 \text{ nm}$ is observed. This peak is assigned to the (100) reflection of the 2D hexagonal lattice (space group $P6mm$) with a lattice parameter $a_{100} = 7.3 \text{ nm}$. Other peaks characteristic of the hexagonal structure and observed in CMK-3 do not appear because of a partial loss of the order in *omp-Si₃N₄* due to the impregnation-heat-treatment cycle which inherently involves loss of matter. The SAXS pattern of *omp-Si₃N₄* (Figure 5a as inset) that displays a well-resolved (100) peak at the q vector of 0.99 also demonstrates the presence of ordered hexagonal pore arrays with mesoporous structure. The analysis of the nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of *omp-Si₃N₄* (Figure 5b) allows to estimate the pore-network dimension and structure of nanoblocks. The

isotherms show IV type-curves in which the adsorption and desorption isotherms do not coincide over the region of relative pressure $P/P_0 = 0.4-0.72$. The IV-type isotherms suggest that the samples have uniform mesoporous channels.⁴⁹ At relative pressure below 0.1, the relatively high uptake implies the presence of micropores. The type of the adsorption hysteresis is relatively complex and usually speculative. We can suggest that it represents a mixture of H1 type (typical for SBA-15⁵⁰) and H2-type which is due to the interconnectivity of the pores.⁵¹ Therefore, we can consider that *omp*-Si₃N₄ samples are mesoporous materials with interconnected pores. A specific BET surface area as high as 772.4 m²·g⁻¹ is measured. The total pore volume determined from the amount of nitrogen adsorbed at $P/P_0 = 0.97$ is as high as 1.19 cm³·g⁻¹. The pore size distribution (PSD) was calculated from the desorption branch by means of the *Barett-Joyner-Halenda* method. A uniform diameter of 4.83 nm is found (Figure 5b as inset). In comparison to the textural properties of CMK-3, the values are logically lower but they are in the range of the highest values reported for PDCs to our knowledge. This means that the PHPS solution is efficiently infiltrated in the porosity of CMK-3 most probably due its low viscosity and the good wettability. The high BET surface area, tailored mesoporous structure and large pore volume of *omp*-Si₃N₄ are also the result of the high ceramic yield of PHPS. These textural characteristics are positive features for heterogeneous catalysis and in particular, they are beneficial to the growth of monodisperse Pt nanoparticles for the catalytic hydrolysis of NaBH₄ which is investigated in the following section.

C Preparation and characterization of the nanocatalysts. Pt nanoparticles are deposited on *omp*-Si₃N₄ using hexahydrated chloroplatinic acid as precursor by wet impregnation followed by chemical reduction in alkaline medium. This generated a nanocatalyst labeled Pt/*omp*-Si₃N₄ which could be used to catalytically activate the hydrolysis of NaBH₄. The successful loading of Pt on *omp*-Si₃N₄ giving the sample Pt/*omp*-Si₃N₄ has been verified by TEM, EDXS and elemental mapping (Figure 6).




Figure 6. (a-b) TEM images and particle size distribution, and (c) elemental mapping of Pt/*omp*-Si₃N₄.

TEM images of Pt/*omp*-Si₃N₄ are shown in Figure 6a-b (as inset). We clearly observe a majority of homogeneously dispersed Pt nanoparticles with a spherical shape on the surface of the Si₃N₄ nanoblocks (Figure 6a and marked with white arrows) and a few ones that tend to agglomerate are found (circle). The *omp*-Si₃N₄ sample before impregnation with Pt does not show any crystallinity in the Selected Area Electron Diffraction (SAED) pattern (Fig. 6 as inset) confirming its amorphous structure as previously observed by XRD. Once Pt is impregnated, we observe a few spots and extremely diffuse rings belonging to Pt, which crystallizes in the fcc system. We identified the (200) and (222) crystalline planes of fcc Pt, according to a direct comparison with the values specified in the crystallographic database. The rings are not more pronounced due to the prominent amorphous character of the Si₃N₄ substrate and the scarce loading of Pt nanoparticles on the selected area. Figure 6b shows one Pt nanoparticle supported on the surface of the nanoblocks in a <110> orientation. Lattice fringes are clearly distinguishable, emphasizing a lattice spacing of 0.24±0.03 nm, which corresponds to the (111) crystalline planes of Pt. The mean size of the Pt nanoparticles distributed on the surface of *omp*-Si₃N₄ has been found to be 6.77 nm, as shown in the histogram of the nanoparticles diameters, for which we have considered 100 nanoparticles (Fig. 6b). The histogram emphasizes the low size distribution of the NPs (comprised between 5-9 nm), which confirms that the support contains a homogeneous size distribution of mesopores. Then cross-sections were prepared by using a focused ion beam unit, and EDXS maps were superimposed on the cross-sectional images (Fig. 3SI, See Supporting Information). Elemental mapping (Fig. 6d) reveals the uniform distribution of silicon and nitrogen from the support as well as Pt on *omp*-Si₃N₄. EDXS spectroscopy indicates the presence of 0.61 wt. %.

After Pt growth, the shape of the isotherms and the hysteresis loop of Pt/*omp*-Si₃N₄ (Fig. 4SI, See Supporting Information) are similar to the isotherms of the parent *omp*-Si₃N₄ revealing that the highly ordered structure is maintained even after deposition and/or encapsulation of the Pt nanoparticles. The specific BET surface was found to decrease by only 25-30 % from 772.4 m²·g⁻¹ to 586.7 m²·g⁻¹. These results are clearly attributed to the formation of the nanoparticles inside the pore channels. However, the absence of an abrupt change in the surface area of the support after Pt growth further proves that the pores of the support are not blocked by nanoparticles larger than the pore size of the support, revealing that this support in general hinders the agglomeration or migration of the nanoparticles. This is also fundamental to allow diffusion of the borates through the porosity.

The activity of Pt/*omp*-Si₃N₄ for the hydrolysis of sodium borohydride was investigated at 80 °C, in a very alkaline solution (pH > 10), and with the presence of water. It is important to mention that the catalytic ability of the Pt-free supports *omp*-Si₃N₄ was assessed and, as expected, they were found to be inactive. The hydrogen generation results for Pt/*omp*-Si₃N₄ are presented in Figure 7. It should be mentioned that there is usually a lack of direct comparison on the catalytic performance among mesoporous supports. As a consequence, this renders difficult to pinpoint which

is the best composition for H₂ generation or which parameters are critical for an efficient process. Therefore, we decided to compare the Pt/*omp*-Si₃N₄ nanocatalyst firstly with a Pt/*omp*-Si/Al/C/N nanocatalyst. The latter is prepared through the same process using PHPS as a precursor.⁴⁰ *omp*-Si/Al/C/N represents an ordered mesoporous silicon aluminum carbonitride sample with a SSA of 326 m².g⁻¹ and a pore volume of 0.61 cm³.g⁻¹. The idea behind the preparation of this sample is to follow the effect of textural parameters (SSA and pore volume) on the quantity of hydrogen which is generated during the hydrolysis of NaBH₄. Secondly, we synthesized a Pt-supported CMK-3 (SSA = 983 m².g⁻¹, Table 1) labeled Pt/CMK-3 through the same process we applied to prepare Pt/*omp*-Si₃N₄. CMK-3 was the template used to prepare *omp*-Si₃N₄. Our objective was to assess the advantage of using or not PDCs as catalytic supports for the catalytic hydrolysis of NaBH₄ in the severe conditions we imposed.




Figure 7. Hydrogen generation by hydrolysis of sodium borohydride at 80 °C over Pt-based nanocatalysts.

Using the Pt/*omp*-Si₃N₄ nanocatalyst, the hydrolysis starts immediately; no induction period is observed, which is in agreement with the metallic state of Pt as the occurrence of an induction period is generally observed with oxidized metals.¹⁰ A hydrogen generation rate (slope of the curves at a conversion <50%) of 1.3 mL.min⁻¹ was measured. Expressed per gram of Pt, the rate is 13.54 L.min⁻¹.g_{Pt}⁻¹, which is a high performance, especially when compared to many of the supported platinum catalysts reviewed elsewhere.⁵² A direct comparison to the literature is somehow complicated because of the discrepancies in the experimental conditions, but it may give a good idea about the aforementioned performance. For example, hydrogen generation rates of 0.2, 0.7 and 1.6 L.min⁻¹.g_{Pt}⁻¹ in the presence of 1 wt% Pt/γAl₂O₃, 1 wt% Pt/C and 1 wt% Pt/TiO₂, respectively, were reported at 40 °C for diluted NaBH₄ solutions.⁵³

To highlight the performance of Pt/*omp*-Si₃N₄, it seems better to test other materials, *i.e.*, Pt/*omp*-Si/Al/C/N (with 0.8 wt% of Pt as measured by EDXS) and Pt/CMK-3 (with 0.91 wt% of Pt as measured by EDXS), in the same conditions and for the same reaction (Figure 7). The hydrogen evolution profile using the Pt/*omp*-Si/Al/C/N

nanocatalyst is kinetically lower. Expressed per gram of Pt, the rate is calculated to be 5.67 L.min⁻¹.g_{Pt}⁻¹, which is a significantly lower performance most probably attributed to the textural parameters (SSA and pore volume) of the supports: the SSA and pore volume values of *omp*-Si/Al/C/N are significantly lower than those of *omp*-Si₃N₄. The hydrogen evolution of the Pt/CMK-3 nanocatalyst is markedly different from that observed with the Si₃N₄-based nanocatalyst. During the first minutes, the hydrogen release is fast: this is explained by the catalytic activity of the Pt nanoparticles as well as some contribution of the acid groups available on the surface of the carbonaceous support. However, this fast step is soon followed by a process with slow kinetics. Visual inspection of the reactor showed that the carbonaceous support favorably adsorbs water and significantly swells. The consequence is that the diffusion of the anions BH₄⁻ and B(OH)₄⁻ is negatively affected; accordingly, the surface reactions are restricted, the borates desorption is hindered (leading to a catalyst surface “poisoning”) and the hydrolysis kinetics are decreased. Expressed per gram of Pt, the hydrogen evolution rate is as low as 2.58 L.min⁻¹.g_{Pt}⁻¹. In other words, the adsorption/swelling ability of carbon is a drawback in our experimental conditions (excess of water and high temperature) as it leads to harsh experimental conditions in the vicinity of the platinum nanoparticles, which makes then the catalytic reaction more challenging. In contrast, these phenomena do not appear with the Pt/*omp*-Si₃N₄ nanocatalysts. As a matter of fact, *omp*-Si₃N₄ is a most suitable support for the aforementioned reaction. Considering the recyclability of the borates, the proposed nanocatalyst may be viewed as an effective hydrogen source to meet the overall energy requirements for civil vehicles applications such as for small Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs). However, these nanoblocks have necessary some difficulties in practical use, *i.e.* in the scale of the demonstrator reactor. Recently, Seven *et al.* proposed the use of monolithic cryogel supported Co and Ni catalysts.⁵⁴ Porous cryogels were prepared from poly(acrylamide). However, in the conditions we impose, the use of these cryogels can be problematic. Within this context, further investigations are under progress to prepare monolithic Si₃N₄ with different types of porosity as well as other forms of PDCs. In particular, porous felts obtained by electrospinning preceramic polymers⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷ are promising. These materials, the related metal supported monoliths, their catalytic performance and their reusability in operating conditions will be published separately.

Conclusions

Highly ordered mesoporous silicon nitride (Si₃N₄) with a *P6mm* hexagonal symmetry has been synthesized in the form of nanoblocks by polymer nanocasting using mesoporous carbon (CMK-3) as hard template and perhydropolysilazane (PHPS) as Si₃N₄ precursor. The solution infiltration-ceramic conversion-template removal cycle performed under nitrogen then ammonia at 1000°C resulted in the formation of periodic mesoporous amorphous Si₃N₄ nanoblocks with surface areas as high as 772.4 m².g⁻¹, a pore size distribution of 4.83 nm and

a pore volume of $1.19 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$. Nanoblocks have been used as supports to nucleate platinum nanoparticles (6.77 nm in mean size) leading to nanocatalysts to be successfully assessed for hydrolysis of an alkaline aqueous solution of sodium borohydride (NaBH_4) at 80°C . High H_2 rate has been measured ($13.54 \text{ L} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}_{\text{Pt}}^{-1}$). The performance is even significantly superior to that obtained with other ordered mesoporous PDCs and CMK-3 ($2.58 \text{ L} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}_{\text{Pt}}^{-1}$). Considering the recyclability of borates and the intrinsic stability of Si_3N_4 nanoblocks, the new material presented in this work offer interesting performance for the practical implementation of B-/N-based hydrides as a hydrogen source for fuel cell application. Maybe more importantly, this work is also announcing the emergence of the use of non-oxide ceramics and in particular nitride/carbonitride-based ceramics when dealing with heterogeneous catalysis. In particular, an important aspect of the current research is the extension of the underlying application to monoliths with different types of porosity as well as porous felts.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial contribution from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme through a Marie-Curie Research Training Network ("FUNCTIONAL Nitrides for Energy Applications—FUNEA", PITN-2010-264873) as well as the financial support of the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), through the program "Investissements d'Avenir" (ANR-10-LABX-09-01), LabEx EMC3. The authors also acknowledge Philippe Dieudonné-George from the L2C X-Ray service for SAXS experiments.

Notes and references

- A. S. Arico, P. Bruce, B. Scrosati, J. M. Tarascon, W. Van Schalkwijk, *Nat. Mater.* 2005, **4**, 366.
- M. K. Debe, *Nature* 2012, **486**, 43.
- M. Yadav, Q. Xu, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2012, **5**, 9698.
- A. F. Dalebrook, W. Gan, M. Grasmann, S. Moret, G. Laurenczy, *Chem. Commun.* 2013, **49**, 8735.
- C. Cento, P. Gislou, P.P. Prossini, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2008, **34**, 4551.
- K. Eom, W. Cho, H. Kwon, *J. Power Sources* 2008, **180**, 484.
- S. Peng, X. Fan, J. Zhang, F. Wang, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* 2013, **140-141**, 115.
- Y. Kojima, Y. Kawai, H. Nakanishi, S. Matsumoto, *J. Power Sources* 2004, **135**, 36.
- D. M. F. Santos, C. A. C. Sequeira, *Renew. Sust. Energy Rev.* 2011, **15**, 3980.
- U. B. Demirci, P. Miele, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, **16**, 6872.
- U. B. Demirci, P. Miele, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2011, **13**, 3809.
- M. Zahmakiran, S. Özkar, *Langmuir* 2009, **25**, 2667.
- C. Zhang, J. A. Lercher, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012, **51**, 5935
- Y.H. Huang, C.C. Su, S.L. Wang, M.C. Lu., *Energy*, 2012, **46**, 242.
- W. Ye, H. Zhang, D. Xu, L. Ma, B. Yi, *J. Power Sources* 2007, **164**, 544.
- R. Chamoun, U.B. Demirci, D. Cornu, Y. Zaatar, A. Khoury, R. Khoury, P. Miele, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2010, **256**, 7684.
- M. Pourbaix, Atlas of Electrochemical Equilibria in Aqueous Solutions. National Association of Corrosion Engineers, Houston, TX, USA, 1974.
- R. M. Ravenelle, F. Schuessler, A. D'Amico, N. Danilina, J. A. Van Bokhoven, J. A. Lercher, C. W. Jones, C. Sievers, C. J. *Phys. Chem. C* 2010, **114**, 19582.
- A. Corma, H. Garcia, I. Liabres, F. X. Xamena, *Chem. Rev.* 2010, **110**, 4606.
- Ö. Metin, S. Özkaz, S. Sun, *Nano Res.*, 2010, **3**, 676-684.
- C. Methivier, J. Massardier, J. C. Bertolini, *Appl. Cat. A.* 1999, **182**, 337.
- F. Monnet, Y. Shuuman, F. J. Cadete Santos Aires, J. C. Bertolini, C. Mirodatos, *Catal. Today* 2001, **64**, 51.
- F. J. Cadete Santos Aires, I. Kurzina, G. Garcia Cervantes, J. C. Bertolini, *Catal. Today* 2006, **117**, 518.
- F. J. Cadete Santos Aires, G. Garcia Cervantes, J. C. Bertolini, *Catal. Lett.* 2009, **129**, 266.
- A. S. Blokhina, I. A. Kurzina, V. I. Sobolev, K. Yu. Koltunov, G. V. Mamontov, O. V. Vodyankina, 2012, **53**, 477.
- (a) F. Cheng, S. M. Kelly, N. A. Young, S. Clark, M. G. Francesconi, F. Lefebvre, J. S. Bradley, *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 5662; (b) F. Cheng, S. M. Kelly, N. A. Young, C. N. Hope, K. Beverley, M. G. Francesconi, S. Clark, J. S. Bradley, F. Lefebvre, *Chem. Mater.* 2006, **18**, 5996.
- M. Kamperman, A. Burns, R. Weissgraeber, N. V. Vegten, S. C. Warren, S.M. Gruner, A. Baiker, U.Wiesner, *Nano Lett.* 2009, **9**, 2756.
- (a) G. Glatz, T. Schmalz, T. Kraus, F. Haarman, G. Motz, R. Kempe, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, **16**, 4231; (b) M. Zaheer, C. D. Keenan, J. Hermannsdörfer, R. Roessler, G. Motz, J. Senker, R. Kempe, *Chem. Mater.* 2012, **24**, 3952; (c) M. Zaheer, T. Schmalz, G. Motz, R. Kempe, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012, **41**, 5102; (d) M. Zaheer, J. Hermannsdörfer, W. P. Kretschmer, G. Motz, R. Kempe, *Chem. Cat. Chem.* 2014, **6**, 91.
- (a) M. S. Bazarjani, H.-J. Kleebe, M. M. Müller, C. Fasel, M. B. Yazdi, A. Gurlo, R. Riedel, *Chem. Mater.* 2011, **23**, 4112, (b) M. S. Bazarjani, M. M. Müller, H.-J. Kleebe, Y. Jüttke, Ingolf Voigt, M. B. Yazdi, La. Alff, R. Riedel, A.Gurlo, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 12270.
- (a) O. Majoulet, M. C. Bechelany, F. Sandra, G. Bonnefont, G. Fantozzi, L. Joly-Pottuz, A. Malchere, S. Bernard, P. Miele, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 10991, (b) S. Bernard, O. Majoulet, F. Sandra, A. Malchere, P. Miele, *Adv. Engineer. Mater.* 2013, **15**, 134.
- P. Krawiec, D. Geiger, S. Kaskel, *Chem. Comm.* 2006, 2469.
- Y. Shi, Y. Wan, B. Tu, D. Zhao, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2008, **112**, 112.
- S. Bernard, P. Miele, *New J. Chem.*, 2014, **38**, 1923.
- O. Majoulet, J. G. Alauzun, L. Gottardo, C. Gervais, M. E. Schuster, S. Bernard, P. Miele, *Microp. Mesop. Mater.* 2011, **140**, 40.
- Y. Shi, Y. Wan, D. Zhao, *D. Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 3854.
- L. Borchardt, C. Hoffman, M. Oschatz, L. Mammitzsch, U. Petasch, M. Hermann, S. Kaskel, *Chem Soc. Rev.* 2012, **41**, 5053.
- (a) J. G. Alauzun, S. Ungureanu, N. Brun, S. Bernard, P. Miele, R. Backov, C. Sanchez, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2011, **21**, 14025, (b) S. Schlienger, J. Alauzun, F. Michaux, L. Vidal, J. Parmentier, C. Gervais, F. Babonneau, S. Bernard, P. Miele J. B. Parra, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 88.
- S. Kaskel, K. Schlichte, B. Zibrowius, *Phys. Chem. Phys. Chem.* 2002, **4**, 1675.
- (a) M.-C. Bechelany, V. Proust, C. Gervais, R. Ghisleny, S. Bernard, P. Miele, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 6548, (b) G. Mera, M. Gallei, S. Bernard, E. Ionescu, *Nanomaterials*, 2015, **5**, 468, (c) Z. Xie, S. Cao, J. Wang, X. Yan, S. Bernard, P. Miele, *Mater. Sci. Engineer. A*, 2010, **527**, 7086.

- 40 O. Majoulet, C. Salameh, M. E. Schuster, U. B. Demirci, Y. Sugahara, S. Bernard, P. Miele, *Chem. Mater.* 2013, **25**, 3957.
- 41 O. Akdim, U. B. Demirci, P. Miele, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2011, **36**, 13669.
- 42 D. Seyferth, G. H. Wiseman, C. Prud'homme, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 1983, **66**, C-13.
- 43 C. R. Blanchard, S. T. Schwab, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 1994, **77**, 1729.
- 44 Y. Iwamoto, W. Völger, E. Kroke, R. Riedel, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 2001, **84**, 2170.
- 45 M. Günther, T. Kraus, A. Diendorf, D. Decker, W. Krenkel, G. Motz, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* 2009, **29**, 2061.
- 46 Y. Mori, T. Ueda, S. Kitaoka, Y. Sugahara, *J. Ceram. Soc. Jp.* 2006, **114**, 497.
- 47 O. Funayama, Y. Tashiro, A. Kamo, M. Okumura, T. Isoda, *J. Mater. Sci.* 1994, **29**, 4883.
- 48 (a) S. P. Murarka, C. C. Chang, A. C. Adams, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 1979, **126**, 996; (b) R. C. Anderson, R. S. Müller, C. W. Tobias, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1993, **140**, 1393.
- 49 (a) K. S. W. Sing, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1985, **57**, 603; (b) J. Rouquerol, D. Avnir, C. W. Fairbridge, D. H. Everett, J. M. Haynes, N. Pernicone, J. D. F. Ramsay, K. S. W. Sing, K. K. Unger, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1994, **66**, 1739.
- 50 R. Pitchumani, W. Li, M.-O. Coppers, *Catal. Today* 2005, **105**, 618.
- 51 G. Masson, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 1982, **88**, 36.
- 52 U. B. Demirci, O. Akdim, J. Andrieux, J. Hannauer, R. Chamoun, P. Miele, *Fuel Cells* 2010, **10**, 335.
- 53 V. I. Simagina, P. A. Storozhenko, O. V. Netskina, O. V. Komova, G. V. Odegova, T. Yu. Samoilenko, A. G. Gentsler, *Kinet. Catal.* 2007, **48**, 168.
- 54 F. Seven, N. Sahiner, *J. Pow. Sour.* 2014, **272**, 128.
- 55 J. Wilfert, R. Von Hagen, R. Fiz, M. Jansen, S. Mathur, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 2099.
- 56 T. Gerges, V. Salles, S. Bernard, C. Journet, X. Jaurand, R. Chiriac, G. Ferro, A. Brioude, *Nanotech*, 2015, **26**, 085603.
- 57 V. Salles, S. Bernard, A. Brioude, D. Cornu, P. Miele, *Nanoscale*, 2010, **2**, 215.

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available