

# RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



Journal Name

ARTICLE

## Facile Preparation of Rare-earth Semiconductor Nanocrystals and Tuning their Dimensionalities

Hechun Lin,<sup>†a</sup> Qianqian Luo,<sup>‡a</sup> Wen-Yi Tong,<sup>a</sup> Chunli Jiang,<sup>a</sup> Rong Huang,<sup>a</sup> Hui Peng,<sup>\*ab</sup> Lai-Chang Zhang,<sup>c</sup> Jadranka Travas-Sejdic<sup>b</sup> and Chun-Gang Duan<sup>a</sup>

Received 00th January 20xx,  
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

EuS and Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S nanocrystals with narrow size distribution are synthesized in high yields by the thermal decomposition of Eu(oleate)<sub>3</sub> or Gd(oleate)<sub>3</sub> in oleylamine using CS<sub>2</sub> as the sulfur source. The dimensionalities of these nanocrystals can be easily tuned by the addition of 1-dodecanethiol. The morphologies and crystal structures of EuS and Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S nanocrystals are characterized by TEM and XRD. The magnetic properties of the obtained nanocrystals are also investigated. The experimental results illustrate that CS<sub>2</sub> is an effective sulfur source for the preparation of metal sulfides and lanthanide oxysulfides semiconductor nanocrystals.

### Introduction

The rare-earth containing semiconductors, which have been studied extensively since the 1960s,<sup>1,2</sup> have attracted renewed interest due to their remarkable semiconducting and magnetic properties. Among the rare-earth containing semiconductors, EuS and Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S receive special attention. Because the pronounced ferromagnetism of EuS provides spin-polarized electrons which induces promising application in spin-filter devices as the tunnel barrier.<sup>3,4</sup> Furthermore, enhanced Curie temperature of EuS via hydrostatic pressure,<sup>5,6</sup> epitaxial strain,<sup>7</sup> or electrons injecting with other rare-earth ions such as Tb,<sup>8</sup> and Gd,<sup>9</sup> promotes the possibility of EuS to be applied for semiconductor-based next-generation spintronic devices. Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S is known as a wide-gap material with high chemical stability and high thermal stability. Rare earth ion activated Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S materials show greatly potential applications in various fields, such as field emission displays, long lasting phosphorescence and bioimaging.<sup>10,11</sup>

The novel size-dependent properties displayed by semiconductor nanocrystals have initiated the current worldwide intense research on nanomaterials, especially the ones with magnetism. It is reported that magnetic properties such as tunnelling magnetoresistances<sup>12</sup> and magnetic moments<sup>13</sup> can be easily modulated in nanostructured materials. More interestingly, size-dependent magnetism<sup>14,15</sup>

and size-dependent optics<sup>16</sup> of EuS nanomaterials have been suggested in addition to the increasing number of outstanding properties observed in bulk EuS. Now, research interests have been expanded into controllable synthesis of nanomaterials with the desired dimensionality and also in understanding the correlations between the dimensionalities and their properties. Enormous efforts have been devoted to synthesizing EuS and Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S nanocrystals. In order to get monodispersed nanocrystals, sulfur source is one of critical points of experimental conditions, especially in the case of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S. The theory of hard and soft acids and bases (HSAB) predicts a lack of affinity between the hard Lewis acid Gd<sup>3+</sup> and the soft Lewis base S<sup>2-</sup>.<sup>17</sup> In practice, this theoretical bottleneck is expressed as the lack of an effective sulfurization method in preparing Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S nanocrystals. Reaction of europium metal with thiourea in liquid ammonia under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere,<sup>18</sup> thermal decomposed single molecule precursors,<sup>14,15,19-21</sup> as well as liquid phase thermolysis of Eu(oleate)<sub>3</sub> and diethylammonium diethyldiocarbamate in the presence of 1-dodecanethiol and phenanthroline<sup>16</sup> have been proved to synthesize EuS nanocrystals. In the case of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S nanocrystals, thermal decomposed single molecule precursors<sup>22</sup>, combustion<sup>23</sup> and reaction of hydroxycarbonate precursor with urea followed by sulfuration in a H<sub>2</sub>S/Ar atmosphere at 750 °C<sup>24</sup> are reported. However, due to various limitations in the reported methods such as rigorous reaction conditions resulting in aggregates of particles, the cost of precursors, aggregations, special instrument requirements, or time-consuming in production, it is still of great significance to develop effective synthetic methods to produce these two nanocrystals with facile tuning of the dimensionality.

Here we report a low cost, facile synthesis of EuS and Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S nanocrystals using CS<sub>2</sub> as the sulfur source with the advantages of high-efficiency, and large-scale production. The shape of EuS can be easily tuned from nanocube (3-D)

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Polar Materials and Devices, Ministry of Education, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China

<sup>b</sup> School of Chemical Science, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand. Email: h.peng@auckland.ac.nz

<sup>c</sup> School of Engineering, Edith Cowan University, 270 Joondalup Drive, Joondalup, Perth, WA 6027, Australia

<sup>†</sup> Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: The TEM of EuS-up-scale and synthesis of ZnS, PbS and CdS nanodots. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

<sup>‡</sup> These authors contributed equally.

nanodot (0-D) by the addition of 1-dodecanethiol as a surfactant. Similarly, the shape of  $Gd_2O_2S$  can be easily tuned from nanodots (0-D) to nanorod (1-D) by the addition of 1-dodecanethiol as a surfactant. The magnetic properties of the obtained EuS nanocrystals and  $Gd_2O_2S$  nanocrystals were investigated. It was found that the EuS nanocrystals exhibited strong ferromagnetic property, and the  $Gd_2O_2S$  nanocrystals presented paramagnetic property. Additionally, we also illustrates that  $CS_2$  can be used as a cheap and efficient sulfur source for the preparation of other monodispersed metal sulfide, such as CdS, PbS, and ZnS nanocrystals.

## Experimental

### Materials

All of the reagents used herein were of analytical grade and used as received without any further purification. Oleylamine (mass concentration: 80 ~ 90%), 1-dodecanethiol (DT), sodium oleate,  $Gd(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$  were purchased from Aladdin Industrial Corporation.  $EuCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$  was received from Sigma-Aldrich.

### Synthesis of EuS nanocubes (NCs) and nanodots (NDs)

For the synthesis of EuS NCs,  $Eu(oleate)_3$  was first prepared according to the literature.<sup>25</sup>  $Eu(oleate)_3$  (332 mg) was dissolved in oleylamine (4 mL) under  $N_2$ . The solution was heated up to 280 – 310 °C.  $CS_2$  (0.3 mL) was drop-wise added within 5 minutes with a controlled speed to avoid explosive boiling. The addition of  $CS_2$  quickly led to the color change of the reaction solution from orange to purple, which indicated the formation of EuS. After reacting for half an hour, the mixture was cooled down to room temperature and dispersed to toluene. The purple-black product was collected via centrifugation and washed for 4 times with the mixture of toluene and ethanol (1:1 in volume). After dried in vacuum oven, 55 mg of final product was obtained. The same procedure was applied for the up-scale synthesis of EuS NCs. 3.32 g of  $Eu(oleate)_3$  in oleylamine (40 mL) was used and 0.57 g of final product was obtained. This sample was named as EuS-up-scale.

The synthesis of EuS NDs was similar to the above procedure, except that 1 mL of 1-dodecanthiol was added and the reaction time was 2 hours.

### Synthesis of $Gd_2O_2S$ nanodots (NDs) and nanorods (NRs)

The synthesis of  $Gd_2O_2S$  NDs is similar to that of EuS NCs. In brief,  $Gd(oleate)_3$  was first prepared according to the literature.<sup>26</sup> 334 mg of  $Gd(oleate)_3$  was dissolved in oleylamine (4 mL) under  $N_2$ . The solution was heated up to 280 – 310 °C, and  $CS_2$  (0.3 mL) was drop-wise added within 5 minutes. After reacting for 6 hours, the reaction mixture was dispersed to toluene, and the products were collected via centrifugation and washed for 4 times with the mixture of toluene and ethanol (1:1 in volume). After dried in vacuum oven, 58 mg of orange-brown product was obtained. The synthesis of  $Gd_2O_2S$  NRs was similar to that of  $Gd_2O_2S$  NDs with the addition of 1 mL of 1-dodecanethiol and the reaction time was 10 hours.


## Characterization of Nanocrystals

Nanocrystals were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD). TEM images were obtained by using a JEM-3010 electron microscope. XRD patterns were collected on a Bruker AXS D8 powder diffractometer unit by using  $Cu K\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda=0.154$  nm) operating at 40 kV and 40 mA. The patterns were recorded from 20° to 80° in 2 $\theta$  with a 2 $\theta$  scan step size of 0.02°. XPS experiments were carried out in a RBD upgraded PHI-5000C ESCA system (Perkin Elmer) with  $Mg K\alpha$  radiation ( $h\nu = 1253.6$  eV) or  $Al K\alpha$  radiation ( $h\nu = 1486.6$  eV). The magnetic properties of EuS nanocrystals were measured by using a physical property measurement system (Quantum Design PPMS-9, San Diego, USA).

## Results and Discussion

### Morphologies and crystal structure of EuS nanocrystals

The morphology of EuS nanocrystals prepared via thermal decomposition of  $Eu(oleate)_3$  by using  $CS_2$  as a sulfur source was first investigated by TEM, as shown in Fig.1a. It is clear



**Fig. 1** TEM images of EuS NCs prepared with the reaction time of a) 0.5 hour and e) 1 hour. b) and f) are corresponding high resolution TEM images. c) and g) are corresponding the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns. d) and h) are corresponding size histograms.

that the obtained EuS nanocrystals are cubic with a size of 10 nm to 20 nm (Fig. 1d). The EuS NCs show clear lattice-fringe profiles in the high resolution TEM image and the lattice spacing is 2.98 Å, which corresponds to the (200) plane (Fig. 1b). These lattice planes are further confirmed by the electron diffraction rings (Fig. 1c). Prolonging the reaction time to 2 hours led to the growth of EuS NCs and the narrow size distribution, as shown in Fig. 1e and 1h. The average size of obtained EuS NCs is 44 nm. However, the crystals present a little bit surface disorder as show in the high resolution TEM image (Fig. 1f). The crystal structures of obtained EuS NCs were further characterized by XRD. The results are represented in Fig. 2. Diffraction peaks at 25.8, 30.0, 42.8, 50.8, 53.1, 62.2, and 70.6° are assigned (111), (200), (220), (222), (400), (420), and (422) of NaCl type EuS, respectively. In order to tune the dimensionality of EuS nanocrystals, 1-dodecanethiol was used as a surfactant under the same experimental conditions. The TEM images of obtained EuS nanocrystals are given in Fig. 3. It is clear that the obtained EuS are nanodots, which have a narrow size distribution with the average size of 7.5 nm (Fig. 3d). The lattice fringe of EuS NDs can be clearly seen and the lattice spacing is also 2.98 Å corresponding to (200) plane (Fig. 3b). The XRD profiles of EuS NDs are similar to those of EuS NCs, as shown in Fig. 2. The diffraction peaks are broad and weak because of the small size

of EuS NDs. Due to the presence of 1-dodecanethiol which possibly suppresses the anisotropic growth of EuS nanocrystal, the shape of these nanocrystals can be facily tuned from cube to dot.

Furthermore, a ten-times-scale synthesis of EuS NCs was carried out to investigate the possibility of our method for the large-scale synthesis in practice. A production of 0.58 g EuS NCs in one pot was achieved, which is much larger than previous reported methods<sup>14, 15, 19-21</sup>. The XRD (Fig. 2) and TEM (Fig. S1 in ESI) results well demonstrate the potential application for the large-scale synthesis of EuS NCs.

Additionally, monodispersed CdS, PbS, and ZnS nanodots have also been successfully prepared by using the suggested reaction system (the experimental details and results are given in ESI), which clearly illustrates the generalization of the suggested method for the synthesis of metal sulfide nanocrystals.

### Morphologies and crystal structure of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S nanocrystals

Using the similar experimental procedure, we undertook the thermal decomposition of Gd(oleate)<sub>3</sub> in oleylamine/CS<sub>2</sub>. The obtained product was first characterized by XRD, as shown in Fig. 4a. All diffraction peaks are well indexed as the hexagonal Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S phase with reference to the JCPDS file 26-1422. The broad diffraction peaks and weak intensity are mainly due to small size of nanocrystals. The composition of the product was further investigated by XPS. The Gd (4p, 4d), O 1s and S (2p, 2s) peaks in the survey spectrum which are in good agreement with the literature reports of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sup>27, 28</sup> indicates the obtained products consists of gadolinium, oxygen and sulfur elements (Fig. 4b). The C 1s peak is also observed, possibly due to the C contamination of the oleylamine capping at the surface of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S nanocrystals. The TEM images illustrate that the obtained Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S nanocrystals are nanodots with an average size of ~4.5 nm (Fig. 5a and Fig. 5d). The lattice spacing is 2.98 Å as observed in the high resolution TEM image (Fig. 5b), which corresponds to the distance between the plane (101). The dimensionality of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S nanocrystals can also be facily tuned by 1-dodecanethiol as well. In the presence of 1-dodecanethiol, Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S nanorods (NRs) were obtained as shown in Fig. 5e and 5f. The average diameter of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S NRs is 2.4 nm (Fig. 5h) and the length-to-width ratio varies from 2.1 to 6.2.

The above XRD, EDX and TEM results prove that Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S nanocrystals were prepared directly from the thermal decomposition of Gd(oleate)<sub>3</sub> in oleylamine/CS<sub>2</sub>. As mentioned in the introduction section, it is very difficult to synthesize lanthanide oxysulfides (Ln<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S) through soft synthesis techniques, since the hard Lewis acid Ln<sup>3+</sup> doesn't preferentially bind to the soft Lewis base S<sup>2-</sup>.<sup>17</sup> In the previously reported synthesis methods, inconvenient single molecule precursors, high temperature or further sulfuration are needed. The key point in the synthesis of Ln<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S through soft techniques is to find an effective sulfur source. For example, thiourea was used as the sulfur element source to prepare Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S.<sup>29</sup> However the product was amorphous. Because the mixed alcoholic solvents are not good t




Fig. 2 X-ray diffraction patterns of prepared EuS samples.





Fig. 3 a) TEM image of EuS NDs. b) High resolution TEM image of EuS NDs c) SAED patterns. d) the size histogram.



**Fig. 4** (a) Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nanocrystals. (b) XPS spectra of  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  NDs.

dissolving  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  which was released from the decomposition of thiourea at high temperature. The low concentration of  $\text{S}^{2-}$  ions in this solvothermal reaction system, together with the natural low affinity of  $\text{S}^{2-}$  with  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$ , results in the amorphous product. In our suggested method,  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nanocrystals can be directly obtained in mild experimental conditions, which illustrates that  $\text{CS}_2$  is a good sulfur source for the preparation of  $\text{Ln}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nanocrystals.


#### Magnetic properties of EuS and $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$ nanocrystals

To investigate the magnetic properties these nanocrystals, the temperature dependence of the magnetizations of these EuS nanocrystals was measured from 300 to 5K by using Physical Property Measurement System. Fig. 6a gives the curves of reverse magnetic susceptibility  $\chi^{-1}$  versus temperature of EuS NCs and EuS NDs. The Curie temperatures were estimated to be 16.4 K and 16.1 K for EuS NCs and EuS NDs, respectively, through extrapolation from the curves, which agree well with the recognized value 16.6 K of bulk EuS.<sup>30</sup> All magnetic data above 30 K can be well fitted (insets in Fig. 6a) to the Curie-Weiss law:


$$1/\chi = (T - T_C)/C$$

where  $\chi$  is the magnetic susceptibility,  $T$  is the temperature,  $T_C$  is the Curie temperature and  $C$  is the material-specific Curie constant. As can be clearly seen from Fig. 6a, the EuS NCs has larger Curie constant (or smaller slope) than that of EuS NDs, which can be attributed to the smaller surface/bulk ratio of the EuS NCs.

To further confirm the ferromagnetic property of EuS nanocrystals, we have also carried out temperature dependent  $M/H$  measurement. As shown in Fig. 6b for EuS NDs, the magnetic hysteresis loops are obvious below the Curie temperature. In contrast, they disappear above the Curie temperature. When the temperature locates at 5 K, the



**Fig. 5** TEM image of a)  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  NDs and e)  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  NRs. b) and f) are corresponding high resolution TEM images. c) and g) are corresponding SAED patterns. d) and h) are corresponding size histograms.




**Fig. 6** (a) Temperature dependence of the inverse magnetic susceptibility  $\chi^{-1}$  of EuS nanocrystals; (b) Hysteresis loops of EuS NDs at various temperatures. The inset is the enlarged hysteresis loops of EuS NDs at 5 K.

coercive field ( $H_c$ ) is about 42 Oe (inset in Fig. 6b), suggesting the magnetic anisotropy in EuS NDs is very small. This is expected as the orbital moment of  $\text{Eu}^{2+}$  should be zero theoretically.

Fig. 7a shows the curve of magnetic susceptibility  $\chi$  versus temperature of  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nanocrystals. The magnetic susceptibility of  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nanocrystals decreases rapidly with the temperature increasing, which indicates the obtained  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nanocrystals have a paramagnetic property. The inverse susceptibility ( $H/M$ ) curves (Fig. 7b) show the Curie–Weiss-like behavior of the nanocrystals, which is similar to  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3$  nanocrystals.<sup>31</sup>


#### Formation mechanisms

With regards to the possible formation mechanisms of EuS and  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nanocrystals by using  $\text{CS}_2$  as sulfur source, we proposed that  $\text{CS}_2$  may react with oleylamine to form dithiocarbamate  $[\text{RNH}_3^+][\text{RNHCS}_2^-]$  firstly,<sup>32</sup> which then reacts with  $\text{Eu}(\text{oleate})_3$  to give rise to Eu-dithiocarbamate complexes in situ (Scheme 1). Under elevated temperature, the complexes were thermally decomposed and  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  was reduced to  $\text{Eu}^{2+}$  by oleylamine, resulting in the formation of EuS nanocubes. 1-dodecanethiol could adsorb on the surface of EuS nuclei through the  $-\text{SH}$  group and act as a capping ligand, thus suppresses the growth of EuS nanocrystals and lead to the formation of the smaller EuS nanodots. Properly due to the larger standard reduction potential of gadolinium (III)



**Scheme 1** The suggested formation mechanism of EuS and  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nanocrystals.

( $E_{\text{Gd}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Gd}^{2+}}^{\circ} = -3.82 \text{ V}$ ) compared to europium (III) ( $E_{\text{Eu}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{2+}}^{\circ} = -0.35 \text{ V}$ ),<sup>33</sup>  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  is much more difficult to be reduced to  $\text{Gd}^{2+}$  by oleylamine under the same reaction conditions. At the same time, because of the relatively high concentration and activity of  $\text{S}^{2-}$  in the reaction system, the thermal decompositions of  $\text{Gd}(\text{oleate})_3$  in oleylamine/ $\text{CS}_2$  lead to the formation of  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  NDs. The presence of 1-dodecanethiol could mitigate the growth of  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  crystals, which indicates by the smaller diameters of  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  NRs produced with longer reaction time (10 h) compared with that of  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  NDs. Possibly due to the different adsorption strength of 1-dodecanethiol on the different facets of  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nuclei, the nuclei slowly grow along a preferential direction, resulting in the formation of nanorods.



**Fig. 7** (a) Temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility  $\chi$  of  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nanocrystals. (b) Temperature dependence of the inverse magnetic susceptibility  $\chi^{-1}$  of  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nanocrystals.

#### Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a facile synthesis method of EuS and  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nanocrystals by using  $\text{CS}_2$  as the sulfur source through the thermal decomposition of  $\text{Eu}(\text{oleate})_3$  or  $\text{Gd}(\text{oleate})_3$  in oleylamine, respectively. The obtained EuS and  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nanocrystals with narrow size distribution illustrate  $\text{CS}_2$  is an effective sulfur source for the preparation of metal sulfide and lanthanide oxysulfides semiconductor nanocrystals. Indeed, monodispersed ZnS, PbS and CdS nanodots have been synthesized in the suggested reaction system, which illustrates the generalization of the suggested reaction system. The dimensionality of EuS and  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nanocrystals can be facily tuned by the addition of 1-dodecanethiol as a surfactant. The magnetic measurements suggest that the obtained EuS nanocrystals exhibit strong ferromagnetic properties below the Curie temperature, while  $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}$  nanocrystals are paramagnetic.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the 973 Program No. 2014CB921104, the NSF of China (Grant Nos. 61201071, 61306020) and Program of Shanghai Subject Chief Scientist.

#### Notes and references

1. B. T. Matthias, R. M. Bozorth and J. H. Van Vleck, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1961, **7**, 160-161.

2. T. R. McGuire, B. E. Argyle, M. W. Shafer and J. S. Smart, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1962, **1**, 17-18.
3. T. Nagahama, T. S. Santos and J. S. Moodera, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, **99**, 016602.
4. B. Li, G.-X. Miao and J. S. Moodera, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2013, **88**, 161105.
5. I. N. Goncharenko and I. Mirebeau, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1998, **80**, 1082-1085.
6. X. Wan, J. Dong and S. Y. Savrasov, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter*, 2011, **83**, 205201.
7. W. Söllinger, W. Heiss, R. T. Lechner, K. Rumpf, P. Granitzer, H. Krenn and G. Springholz, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2010, **81**, 155213.
8. R. J. Gambino, R. R. Ruf, T. R. McGuire and P. Fumagalli, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1991, **70**, 6386-6388.
9. R. S. Selinsky, J. H. Han, E. A. Morales Pérez, I. A. Guzei and S. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 15997-16005.
10. M. Bruchez, Jr., M. Moronne, P. Gin, S. Weiss and A. P. Alivisatos, *Science*, 1998, **281**, 2013-2016.
11. M. Nikl, T. Yanagida, H. Yagi, T. Yanagitani, E. Mihokova and A. Yoshikawa, *Optical ceramics for fast scintillator materials*, 2013.
12. Z. Huang, Z. Chen, K. Peng, D. Wang, F. Zhang, W. Zhang and Y. Du, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2004, **69**, 094420/094421-094420/094427.
13. Y. Ma, Y. Dai, M. Guo, C. Niu, Y. Zhu and B. Huang, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 1695-1701.
14. M. D. Regulacio, S. Kar, E. Zuniga, G. Wang, N. R. Dollahon, G. T. Yee and S. L. Stoll, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 3368-3376.
15. F. Zhao, H.-L. Sun, G. Su and S. Gao, *Small*, 2006, **2**, 244-248.
16. S. K. Dmitry, S. Suseela, H. Weidong, A. H. Melissa, A. M. Stephen and H. D. James, *Nanotechnology*, 2010, **21**, 415601.
17. R. G. Pearson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1963, **85**, 3533-3539.
18. T. Kataoka, Y. Tsukahara, Y. Hasegawa and Y. Wada, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, **48**, 6038-6040.
19. Y. Hasegawa, M. Afzaal, P. O'Brien, Y. Wada and S. Yanagida, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, **2**, 242-243.
20. F. Zhao, H.-L. Sun, S. Gao and G. Su, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2005, **15**, 4209-4214.
21. Y. Hasegawa, Y. Okada, T. Kataoka, T. Sakata, H. Mori and Y. Wada, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 9008-9011.
22. F. Zhao, M. Yuan, W. Zhang and S. Gao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 11758-11759.
23. T. Xia, W.-h. Cao, X.-x. Luo and Y. Tian, *J. Mater. Res.*, 2005, **20**, 2274-2278.
24. S. A. Osseni, S. Lechevallier, M. Verelst, C. Dujardin, J. Dexpert-Ghys, D. Neumeyer, M. Leclercq, H. Baaziz, D. Cussac, V. Santran and R. Mauricot, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 18365-18372.
25. D. S. Koktysh, S. Somarajan, W. He, M. A. Harrison, S. A. McGill and J. H. Dickerson, *Nanotechnology*, 2010, **21**, 415601.
26. E. Hemmer, Y. Kohl, V. Colquhoun, H. Thielecke, K. Soga and S. Mathur, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2010, **114**, 4358-4365.
27. J. Thirumalai, R. Chandramohan and T. A. Vijayan, *J. Mater. Sci: Mater. Electron.*, 2011, **22**, 936-943.
28. J. Thirumalai, R. Chandramohan, S. Valanarasu, T. A. Vijayan, R. M. Somasundaram, T. Mahalingam and S. R. Srikumar, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2009, **44**, 3889-3899.
29. Y. Song, H. You, Y. Huang, M. Yang, Y. Zheng, L. Zhang and N. Guo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 11499-11504.
30. D. D. Berkner, *Phys. Lett. A*, 1975, **54**, 396-398.
31. M.-A. Fortin, R. M. Petoral, Jr., F. Soederlind, A. Klasson, M. Engstroem, T. Veres, P.-O. Kaell and K. Uvdal, *Nanotechnology*, 2007, **18**, 395501/395501-395501/395509.
32. E. Alper and W. Bouhamra, *Chem. Eng. Technol.*, 1994, **17**, 138-140.
33. L. R. Morss, *Chem. Rev.*, 1976, **76**, 827-842.