RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

RSC Advances

Synthesis and biological evaluation of novel 2,3-pyrazole ring-substituted-4,4 dimethyl lithocholic acid derivatives as selective protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B) inhibitors with cellular efficacy

Shi-Wei Mao ^{a, M}, Lin Shuai ^{b, M}, Hai-Bing He ^{c, M}, Na Pan ^a, Li-Xin Gao ^b, Li-Fang Yu ^a, Jia Li ^b, Jing-Ya Li b^* Fan Yang a^*

^aMTDD, School of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062,China ^bNational Center for Drug Screening, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Science, Shanghai 201203, China ^cChemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nantong University, Jiangsu 226019, China

Abstract

In our continued efforts to develop lithocholic acid (LCA) analogues as selective PTP1B inhibitors, 14 novel 2,3-pyrazole ring-substituted-4,4-dimethyl derivatives were synthesized and evaluated against PTP1B, as well as the homologous protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs). All compounds were shown to more potent and selective PTP1B inhibitors than LCA (IC₅₀ = 12.74 μM) with IC₅₀ values ranging between 0.42 to 4.49 μM. Moreover, treatment of CHO/hI³ cells with 4,4-dimethyl-2'-(*p*-fluoro phenyl)-2*'H*-chola-2,5-dieno[3,2-*c*]pyrazol-24-oic acid (**30**) or 4,4-dimethyl-2'-(*o*-chloro phenyl)-2*'H*-chola-2,5-dieno[3,2-*c*] pyrazol-24-oic acid (34) increased the phosphorylation levels of IR and Akt in a dose dependent manner. The promising findings in this study suggest that further investigation of these compounds for the treatment of metabolic disorders is warranted.

Keywords:

2,3-Pyrazole ring-substituted lithocholic acid, PTP1B inhibitors, Selectivity, Cellular efficacy, Diabetes and obesity

 \mathbb{R} These authors contributed equally to this work.

Introduction

Type 2 diabetes and obesity are metabolic disorders that are characterized by insulin and leptin resistance¹. Protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B) is an enzyme that belongs to the protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTPs) family, which is involved in the regulation of several important physiological pathways. PTP1B dephosphorylates the insulin receptor (IR), IR substrate 1 (IRS1), and Janus kinase 2, resulting in a negative regulation of insulin and leptin signal transduction^{2,3}. Moreover, PTP1B activity and expression are increased in insulin resistant humans and rodents^{4,5}, whereas PTP1B deficient mice were found to resistant to weight gain and remain the insulin sensitivity as compared to the wild type mice^{6,7}. Therefore, PTP1B has been proposed as novel target for the treatment of type 2 diabetes and obesity. It is worth noting that PTPs share a high degree of structural conservation in the active site 8 . Tcell protein tyrosine phosphatase (TCPTP) has a sequence identity of about 74% in the catalytic domains with $PTPIB⁹$. Although it is unknown whether the combined inhibition of PTP1B and TCPTP will lead to severe side effects, pancreatic-TCPTP knockout mice exhibited impaired glucose tolerance and attenuated glucosestimulated insulin secretion when challenged with prolonged high fat feeding¹⁰. Another drawback limited the application of most inhibitors for further development is poor intracellular PTP1B inhibitory potency. Because of the highly cationic character of the active site of PTP1B, most potent phosphotyrosine (pTyr) mimetics are highly negative charged providing these compounds with poor membrane permeability¹¹.

Natural products have been widely regarded as privileged structures in drug discovery efforts, and scaffolds derived from natural sources have led to the nearly half of the approved drugs in the past 2 decades. Many natural products and their derivatives were found possessing PTP1B inhibitory activities with moderate to good selectivities^{12,13}. Trodusquemine (MSI-1436, 1, **Figure 1**) is a noncompetitive and selective PTP1B inhibitors with moderate potency (IC₅₀ = 1 μ M) which induces weight loss without significant associated toxicities in animal models $14,15$. Recently, several pentacyclic acid triterpenoids (ursolic, oleanolic, moronic and morolic acids, **2**-**5**, **Figure 1**) were reported to present significant antidiabetic activities in non-insulin dependent diabetic rat models¹⁶. In our previous study¹⁷, natural steroid compound lithocholic acid (LCA, **6**, **Figure 1**) was identified as a PTP1B inhibitor with an IC_{50} value of 12.74 μM. Embedding of fused heterocycles (substituted pyrazole, oxazole, substituted pyrimidine, aminothiazole and pyrazine) on ring A resulted in an improvement in potency and selectivity over TCPTP as exemplified by compound **7** ($IC_{50} = 1.62$) μM; TCPTP/PTP1B = 14.1-fold, **Figure 1**). Herein, we reported our continued efforts in the structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies and biological evaluations of 2,3-pyrazole ring-substituted-4,4 dimethyl lithocholic acid derivatives.

Results and discussion

Chemistry

As shown in **Scheme 1**, compound **10** with two methyls at C-4 position was obtained from lithocholic acid in 5 steps 17 . A typical formylation at C-2 of **10** was carried out by using sodium hydride and ethyl formate to yield **11**, which was then reacted with various substituted hydrazine hydrochlorides in ethanol to afford substituted pyrazoles over two steps in 36-62% overall yields. The substitution position of phenyl at the pyrazole ring was determined via X-ray crystallography. Single crystal of compound **33** was obtained by slow evaporation method using mixed solvent of chloroform and methanol $(4/1 \text{ v/v})$. The crystal structure¹⁸ (**Figure 2**) shows that the phenyl group was at the N-1 position, and this is well agreement

with previous report 19 . When it comes to the methyl substituted pyrazoles, both of the isomers (**12a** and **12b**, structures determined by NOE, see supplemental information) were obtained. **12a**, **12b** and **13-24** were hydrolyzed in the presence of lithium hydroxide to give the desired carboxylic acids **25a**, **25b** and **26**-**37**. All the final compounds were characterized by 13 CNMR, 1 HNMR and HRMS.

Structure-activity relationship

Compounds **25a**, **25b** and **26**-**37** were evaluated in the enzyme inhibition assay (p-NPP assay) against PTP1B. Oleanolic acid (OA) was used as reference compound (Table 1). The inhibitory activities on the homologous enzyme TCPTP were investigated. We also tested the inhibitory activities on other homologous PTPs (LAR, SHP1 and SHP2).

It was intriguing that all of the 14 compounds were found to $b \rightarrow$ more potent PTP1B inhibitors than that of LCA (6, IC₅₀ = 12.74 μ N₁) suggesting that substitutions on 2,3-pyrazole-substituted-4, $\frac{1}{4}$ dimethyl lithocholic acid are well tolerated. 10 Derivatives (**27**, **28**, **30-37**) with substituted phenyl groups presented improved PTI inhibitory activities than compound **7** (IC₅₀ = 1.62 μ M). **30** (IC) 0.42 μM) emerged as the most potent PTP1B inhibitor with almost 4-fold improved inhibitory potency compared to compound **7**. Replacement of phenyl by methyl group (25a) reduced the inhibitor activity with IC₅₀ value of 2.82 μ M, which suggested that the phenyl group is favorable for the activity. Among the *para*-positic substituted compounds (**26**, **27**, **28**, **29** and **30**), the para-methyl substituted compound **27** (IC₅₀ = 0.81 μ M) and the *para*-chloron substituted compound **28** (IC₅₀ = 1.12 μ M) showed improve PTP1B inhibitory activities compared to compound **7** (IC₅₀ = 1.6²) μM). The *para*-fluoro substituted compound 30 was most activ towards PTP1B with an IC₅₀ value of 0.42 μM. However, the *para*methoxy substituent 26 and the *para*-bromo substituent 29 reduce the activity to 2.66 μM and 2.35 μM, respectively. Compared to the *para*-methyl substituted compound **27**, *ortho*-methyl compound **(32**) and *meta*-methyl compound (31) exhibited similar PTP1B inhibitor, activities, with IC_{50} values of 1.17 μ M and 0.93 μ M, respectively. The 3,4-dimethyl-substituted compound 36, in the combination *para*-methyl substitution and *meta*-methyl substitution, showed an increased inhibitory activity $(IC_{50}=0.73 \mu M)$. All the chlorosubstituted compounds $(28, 33, 34, and 37)$ exhibited similar inhibitory activities at around 1 μM. **RSCREED ACCEPT ACC**

Selectivity over other homologous PTPs

The methyl substituted compounds (**25a** and **25b**) did not show noticeable inhibitory activities against TCPTP. When it comes to the phenyl substituted compounds, the para-fluoro substitute compound 30, which emerged as the most potent PTP1B inhibito remained about 11-fold selectivity over TCPTP. All the methyl substituted compounds $(27, 31, 32, 35, 36)$ had good selectivity over TCPTP, 27, 31 and 32 did not exhibit noticeable inhibition towards TCPTP under 40 μM. The inhibition $(\%)$ of the chlorosubstituted compounds **28** and **33** against TCPTP at 40 μM was below 5%, while the dichloro-substituted compound (**37**) showed decreased selectivity over TCPTP. It seemed that the electron density of the phenyl ring had influence on the selectivity. In detail, fluoro and dichloro substituted compounds (**30** and **37**) with lower electron density on the phenyl showed more potent inhibition to TCPTP, while remaining similar inhibition to PTP1B when compared with methyl, bromo or monochloro substituted compounds with one exception (34). Encouragingly, all the teta six compounds (**27**, **28**, **30**, **31**, **32** and **33**) exhibited no obvious inhibition on LAR, SHP1 and SHP2 at 40 μM (**Table 2**).

Cellular efficacy

PTP1B is a negative regulator in the insulin signaling pathway by dephosphorylating specific phosphotyrosine residues of IR. Inhibition of PTP1B activity could enhance the phosphorylation of IR and downstream protein Akt, and thus activate the downstream signaling pathway. Four compounds (**30**, **31**, **34** and **36**) with good potency and/or selectivity were selected to evaluate the cellular efficacy in CHO/hIR cells. As shown in **Figure 3**, two compounds (**30** and **34)** were found to remarkably increase the phosphorylation levels of IR and its downstream protein Akt in a concentrationdependent manner (3, 10 μM) , suggesting that **30** and **34** may effectively stimulate the insulin signaling by inhibiting the activity of PTP1B.

Enzyme kinetic study and molecular docking analysis

In our previous study, the binding modality of compound **7** with PTP1B was investigated 17 , since the substituent on the phenyl group had a crucial influence on potency and selectivity, it is necessary to find out whether the binding modality was changed after substituent introduction.

Firstly, the most active compound **30** was selected for PTP1B enzyme kinetic study. As shown in **Figure 4**, the Vmax value retained constant while Km value increased with the mounting compound concentration, indicating that **30** was a competitive PTP1B inhibitor (Ki = $0.26 \mu M$). Afterwards, a molecular docking analysis of **30** was carried out using LibDock available with Discovery Studio 2.1.²⁰ The preferred coordination mode of **30** with PTP1B was presented in **Figure 5**, **Figure 5**(b) shows the binding interactions of **30** with PTP1B. The carboxylic acid group of **30** is bound into the active site. Similar to that of **7**, the carbonyl of the – COOH may interact with Arg221 via a salt bridge and the hydroxyl of the –COOH shows H-bond interaction with Glu115. However, the para-fluoro substituted phenyl group of **30** binds in the second phosphotyrosine (pTyr) binding site of PTP1B by H-bond interaction with guanidine group of Arg254, which was different from the ion-π interaction between **7** and PTP1B. The key H-bond interaction with Arg254 might be crucial for tightening the complex of PTP1B and compound **30**. It indicated that the substituted phenyl group of these compound series had a diverse binding modality with PTP1B enzyme.

Conclusion

14 novel pyrazole-fused 4,4-dimethyl LCA derivatives were synthesized, the potency against PTP1B and selectivity over homologous PTPs were explored. Intriguingly, all of the 14 compounds exhibited improved activities (IC₅₀ = 0.42-4.49 μ M) toward PTP1B compared to that of LCA (6, $IC_{50} = 12.74 \mu M$). In particular, 4-fluoro phenyl substituted compound **30** (IC₅₀=0.42 μ M) was the most potent PTP1B inhibitor, which also possessed good selectivity towards TCPTP, SHP1, SHP2 and LAR. Moreover, both **30** and **34** (3, 10, 30 μM) significantly increased the insulin-induced phosphorylation of IR and Akt in cell-based assays. In summary, we identified a series of 2,3-pyrazole-4,4-dimethyl lithocholic acid derivatives as novel PTP1B inhibitors with good potency, selectivity as well as cell permeability. Further investigation of these compounds may lead to the development of novel antidiabetic agents derived from natural steroids.

Experimental section

General methods

Starting materials, reagents and chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. The progress of reactions was monitored by silica gel thin layer chromatography (TLC) plates, visualized under UV or charred using concentrated H_2SO_4 in the solution of EtOH followed by heating. Flash column chromatography was performed using Qingdao Haiyang silica gel (200-300) with distilled solvents. At 26.5 °C, 1 H NMR (400MHz) spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 400 spectrometers in CDCl₃ or DMSO-d6 [using TMS] internal standard] and ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) spectra on Bruker Avance 400 spectrometers in CDCl₃/CD₃OD = $2/1$ v/v [the residual peak of CDCl₃ (¹H NMR δ 7.26, ¹³C NMR δ 77.16); the residual peak of DMSO-d6 (¹H NMR δ 2.50); the residual peak of CD₃O^o (13 C NMR δ 49.00)]. The following abbreviations were used to explain the multiplicities: $s = singlet$, $d = doublet$, $t = triplet$, m multiplet, br = broad, coupling constant (in Hz) and integration. High resolution mass spectra were performed using a Bruker ESI-TOF high-resolution mass spectrometer. Melting points were unco. rected and were recorded on a Buchi B-54 melting point apparatus.

Synthesis of intermediate compound 10

A solution of lithocholic acid (**6**) (3.76 g, 10.0 mmol) in methanol (150 ml) at 0 °C was treated with $S OCl₂$ (2.92 ml, 40.00 mmol) over 30 min, then the mixture was stirred for 4h at room temperature. The solvent was concentrated in vacuo, then the residue was dissolved in H₂O (30 mL) and EtOAc (30 mL). The EtO $^{\prime}$ phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(2\times20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extract was washed with saturated NaHCO₃, brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂S_{O4} concentrated to give white solid and used for the next step without further purification.

To a solution of the white solid obtained above in $CH₂Cl₂$ (6) ml) was added PCC (4.32 g, 20.0 mmol), after stirring for $12h$ room temperature, the mixture was filtered and the filtrate was washed with saturated aqueous NaHSO₃, brine, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (petroleum ether/ EtOAc $7/1$ v/v) to giv compound **8** $(3.56 \text{ g}, 93\%)$ as a white solid. ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz},$ CDCl₃) δ 3.67 (s, 3H), 2.70 (t, $J = 14.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.40 - 2.29 (m, 2H) $2.26 - 2.20$ (m, 1H), $2.20 - 2.13$ (m, 1H), $2.06 - 1.99$ (m, 3H), 1.92 1.19 (m, 16H), 1.16 - 1.05 (m, 4H), 1.02 (s, 3H), 0.92 (d, $J = 6$. Hz, 3H), 0.68 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{25}H_{40}NaO_3$ [M+Na] 411.2870, found [M+Na] + 411.2898.

Compound **8** (3.56 g, 9.2 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (\cdot ⁰) ml) and a solution of $Br₂$ (0.52 ml, 10.1 mmol) in HOAc (20 ml) was added at 0 ℃ over 30 min, the stirring was continued for 1h. The mixture was washed with water, saturated aqueous NaHCO3, brine, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$, concentrated to give a yellow oil mixture which was used for the next step without further purification.

To a solution of the yellow oil mixture in DMF (60 ml) was added Li₂CO₃ (2.72 g, 36.8 mmol) and LiBrH₂O (1.93 g, 18.mmol) under N₂, the reaction mixture was stirred for 6h at 90 °C. Then the mixture was cooled to room temperature, and added H_2 ^{ϵ} (50 mL) and EtOAc (50 mL). The EtOAc phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3\times20$ mL). The organic phase were combined and washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (petroleum ether/ EtOAc $10/1$ v/v) to $g⁴$ compound **9** (1.83 g, 48%) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (400 MH) CDCl3) δ 5.72 (s, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 2.46 - 2.30 (m, 4H), 2.30 - 2.18 (m, 2H), 2.06 - 1.98 (m, 2H), 1.93 - 1.75 (m, 3H), 1.73 - 1.37 (m) 9H), 1.18 (s, 3H), 1.17 - 0.97 (m, 4H), 0.92 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3_H), 0.71 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{25}H_{38}NaO_3$ [M+Na]⁺ 409.2713, found [M+Na] + 409.2755. **RSCREED ACCEPT ACC**

A solution of compound **9** (1.83 g, 4.7 mmol) in dry *t*-BuOH 30 ml) was treated with *t*-BuOK (2.13 g, 19.0 mmol) under N_2 , \ldots reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1h, and then $CH₃I$ was slowly introduced. After another 24h at room temperature the reaction mixture was poured into ice water (50 ml), and the pH value was adjusted to 5 by 1M HCl aqueous solution. The mix $\frac{d}{dx}$ was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The organic phase washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (petroleum

ether/ EtOAc $20/1$ v/v) to give compound 10 $(0.98 \text{ g}, 50\%)$ as a white solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.56 (dd, $J = 2.0$, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 2.63 - 2.18 (m, 4H), 2.15 - 1.75 (m, 5H), 1.72 - 1.29 (m, 9H), 1.23 (s, 6H), 1.20 - 1.00 (m, 5H), 0.93 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (s, 3H), 0.69 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{27}H_{42}NaO_3$ $[M+Na]$ ⁺ 437.3015, found $[M+Na]$ ⁺ 437.3005.

General procedure for the synthesis of 2,3-pyrazole ringsubstituted-4,4-dimethyl lithocholic acid ethyl esters 12a, **12b**, **and 13**-**24**

To a solution of compound **10** (300 mg, 0.7 mmol) in dry toluene (20 ml) was added ethyl formate (3.0 ml, 36.0 mmol) and NaH (579 mg, 60% , 14.1 mmol) under N₂, the reaction mixture was stirred for 6h at room temperature. The mixture was poured into ice water and then the pH value was adjusted to 7 by 1M HCl aqueous solution. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3\times20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated to give a light yellow solid (compound **11**) which was used for the next step without further purification.

Compound **11** (320 mg, 0.7 mmol) was dissolved in ethanol (15 ml) and substituted hydrazine hydrochloride (3.5 mmol) was added under N_2 , the reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 2h. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was removed in vacuo, then $H₂O$ (10 ml) was added and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(2\times10$ mL). The organic phase was separated and washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated, The crude product was purified by a flash chromatography (petroleum ether/ EtOAc 10/1 v/v) to afford the corresponding pure 2,3 pyrazole ring-substituted-4,4-dimethly lithocholic acid ethyl esters **12a**, **12b** and **13**-**24**.

Characterization data

Compound $12a$: isolated in 32% yield as a white solid; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.11 (s, 1H), 5.71 (dd, *J* = 5.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 2.60 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.32 - 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.19 - 1.95 (m, 4H), 1.85 - 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.66 - 1.42 [m, 8H, containing 1.48 (s, 3H)], 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.31 - 1.21 (m, 3H), 1.19 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.14 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 1.10 - 0.94 $(m, 4H)$, 0.88 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3H), 0.84 (s, 3H), 0.63 (s, 3H).

Compound 12b: isolated in 29% yield as a white solid; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 6.91 (s, 1H), 5.67 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.61 (d, *J* = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.32 - 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.18 - 1.95 (m, 4H), 1.87 - 1.69 (m, 3H), 1.65 - 1.47 (m, 4H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.38 (m, 1H), 1.29 (s, 3H), 1.26 - 1.24 (m, 2H), 1.18 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.15 - 0.93 (m, 5H), 0.87 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.78 (s, 3H), 0.62 (s, 3H).

Compound 13 : isolated in 43% yield as a white solid; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.28 (s, 1H), 7.22 (m, 2H), 6.85 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.61 (d, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 2.68 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.32 - 1.97 (m, 5H), 1.87 - 1.72 (m, 2H), 1.64 - 1.35 (m, 6H), 1.30 - 1.17 [m, 9H, containing 1.22 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H)], 1.11 - 0.94 [m, 7H, containing 1.12 (s, 3H)], 0.88 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (s, 3H), 0.63 (s, 3H).

Compound 14: isolated in 43% yield as a white solid; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.29 (s, 1H), 7.20 - 7.13 (m, 4H), 5.60 (dd, *J* = 5.3, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.68 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.32 - 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.19 - 1.97 (m, 4H), 1.87 - 1.34 (m, 10H), 1.31 - 1.24 (m, 2H), 1.22 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.15 (m, 1H), 1.08 (s, 3H), $1.04 - 0.94$ (m, 2H), 0.88 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3H), 0.87 (s, 3H), 0.63 (s, 3H).

Compound 15: isolated in 47% yield as a white solid; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.36 - 7.33 (m, 2H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.28 - 7.24 (m, 2H), 5.62 (dd, *J* = 5.4, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.68 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.32 - 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.19 - 1.97 (m, 4H), 1.87 - 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.64 - 1.34 (m, 7H), 1.31 - 1.23 (m, 2H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.16 (m, 1H) , 1.09 (s, 3H), 1.07 - 0.94 (m, 3H), 0.88 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (s, 3H), 0.63 (s, 3H).

Compound 16: isolated in 47% yield as a brown solid; 1 H NM. (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.49 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.61 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.32 - 1.97 (m, 5H), 1.87 - 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.64 - 1.34 (m, 6H), 1.31 - 1.24 (m, 2H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t, *J* \sim 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.16 (m, 1H), 1.13 - 0.94 [m, 7H, containing 1.09 (3H)], 0.89 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (s, 3H), 0.63 (s, 3H).

Compound 17: isolated in 46% yield as a white solid; ${}^{1}H$ NM^p (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.34 - 7.27 (m, 3H), 7.05 (t, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.62 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.68 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.28 (m, 1H), 2.19 - 1.98 (m, 4H), 1.87 - 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.65 - 1.34 (m, 7H), 1.31 - 1.24 (m, 2H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.16 - 1.11 (m, 2H), 1.08 (s, 3H), 1.05 - 0.96 (m, 2H), 0.88 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (s, 3H), 0.63 (s, 3H).

Compound 18: isolated in 43% yield as a white solid; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.29 (s, 1H), 7.25 - 7.12 (m, 2H), 7.11 (m, 2 5.60 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.68 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.29 - 2.23 (m, 1H), 2.18 - 1.96 (m, 4^{11}) $1.86 - 1.34$ (m, 9H), $1.31 - 1.25$ (m, 2H), 1.22 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t, *J* 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.17 (m, 1H), 1.08 (s, 3H), 1.06 - 0.95 (m, 3H), 0.88 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (s, 3H), 0.63 (s, 3H).

Compound 19: isolated in 45% yield as a white solid; ${}^{1}H N_{M}$ $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.33 (m, 1H), 7.31 - 7.25 (m, 2H), 7.21 - 7.1 (m, 2H), 5.60 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.69 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.32 - 2.24 (m,1H), 2.19 - 1.97 (m, 4H), 1.89 (s, 3H), 1.85 - 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.64 - 1.35 (m, 6H), 1.31 - 1.16 [i] 9H, containing 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H)], 1.14 - 1.02 [m 4H, containing 1.05 (s, 3H)], 1.00 - 0.86 [m, 9H, containing 0.88 (d) *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (s, 3H)], 0.63 (s, 3H).

Compound 20: isolated in 46% yield as a white solid; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.37 - 7.34 (m, 2H), 7.31 - 7.27 (m, 2H), 7.² 7.20 (m, 1H), 5.61 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.68 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.31 - 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.18 - 1.97 (m, 4H), $1.87 - 1.70$ (m, 2H), $1.64 - 1.34$ (m, 6H), $1.31 - 1.25$ (m, 2H), 1.23 (3H), 1.18 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.16 (m, 1H), 1.11 (s, 3H), 1.08 - 0.94 (m, 4H), 0.88 (d, *J* = 6.5Hz, 3H), 0.86 (s, 3H), 0.63 (s, 3H).

Compound 21: isolated in 47% yield as a white solid;¹H NMP $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.47 - 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.35 - 7.2 (m, 2H), 5.61 (dd, *J* = 5.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.69 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.32 - 1.98 (m, 5H), 1.87 - 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.64 - 1.35 (m, 6H), 1.31 - 1.27 (m, 1H), 1.24 (s, 3H), 1.20 - 1.11 [m, 6H, containing 1.18 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H)], 1.10 - 1.02 (m, 3H), 1.00 (s, 3H), 0.89 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.63 (s, 3H).

Compound 22: isolated in 49% yield as a white solid; ${}^{1}H$ NM, (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.20 - 7.11 (m, 1_H) 7.02 - 6.94 (m, 2H), 5.60 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.68 (d, *J* = 14.5 Hz, 1H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.26 (m, 1H), 2.19 - 1.97 (m, 4H), 1.84 (s, 3H), 1.82 - 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.64 - 1.35 (m, $6.$) 1.30 - 1.16 [m, 9H, containing 1.27 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H)], 1.14 - 0.99(m, 4H), 0.93 (s, 3H), 0.88 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (s, 3H), 0.63 (s, 3H). **RSC RSC Advances 1999**
 RSCC 2009
 RSCC 2009
 RSCC 2009
 RSCC 1999
 RSCC 1999
 RSCC 1999
 RSCC 2009
 RSCC 2009

Compound 23: isolated in 50% yield as a white solid; ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.27 (s, 1H), 7.10 - 7.03 (m, 3H), 5.60 (d, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (d, *J* = 14.5 Hz, 1H), 2.32 $- 2.10$ [m, 9H, containing 2.24 and 2.21, both (s, 3H)], 2.07 $- 1.98$ $(m, 2H)$, 1.87 - 1.71 $(m, 2H)$, 1.64 - 1.35 $(m, 6H)$, 1.31 - 1.16 $[m, 9H]$ containing 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H)], 1.13 - 0.95 [m, $/n$,

containing 1.09 (s, 3H)], 0.88 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (s, 3H), 0.63 (s, 3H).

Compound 24: isolated in 47% yield as a white solid; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.44 (m, 2H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.63 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.32 - 1.97 (m, 5H), 1.87 - 1.72 (m, 2H), 1.64 - 1.35 (m, 6H), 1.28 - 1.16 [m, 9H, containing 1.22 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H)], 1.12 (s, 3H), 1.09 - 0.96 (m, 4H), 0.88 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (s, 3H), 0.63 (s, 3H).

General procedure for the synthesis of 2,3-pyrazole ringsubstituted-4,4-dimethyl lithocholic acid derivatives 25a, **25b and 26-37**

To a solution of the 2,3-pyrazole ring-substituted-4,4-dimethly lithocholic acid ethyl esters (0.5 mmol) in MeOH/H₂O $(20 \text{ ml}/2 \text{ ml})$ v/v) was added LiOH^{H₂O (420 mg, 10.0 mmol), the reaction} mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24h. Aqueous HCl (1M) was introduced to adjust the $pH = 5$. After solvent removal, the residue was extracted by EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phase was dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated. The crude product was flash-chromatographed on a silica gel column $(CH_2Cl_2/$ MeOH 30/1 v/v) to give the corresponding pure 2,3pyrazole ring-substituted-4,4-dimethly lithocholic acid derivatives **25a**, **25b** and **26-37**.

Characterization data

Compound **25a**: isolated in 76% yield as a white solid; mp: 141.5 ℃- 142.4℃; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 11.93 (br, 1H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 5.82 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 2.63 (d, *J* = 14.5 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.15 - 1.97 (m, 4H), 1.83 (m, 1H), 1.73 - 1.63 (m, 2H), 1.62 - 1.54 (m, 2H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 1.49 - 1.38 (m, 2H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.30 - 1.16 (m, 4H), 1.13 - 1.00 (m, 4H), 0.90 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (s, 3H), 0.66 (s, 3H);¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3 /CD3OD) δ 177.49, 151.58, 144.65, 136.20, 121.44, 114.91, 57.19, 56.07, 49.93, 42.56, 40.03, 38.89, 38.65, 36.32, 35.69, 33.58, 32.52, 31.72, 31.61, 31.40, 31.34, 29.54, 28.39, 24.46, 21.39, 21.25, 18.46, 12.03; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{28}H_{43}N_2O_2$ [M+H]⁺ 439.3319, found $[M+H]$ ⁺ 439.3313.

Compound **25b**: isolated in 80% yield as a white solid; mp: 142.6℃ - 143.7℃; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.27 (s, 1H), 5.73 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 2.66 (d, *J* = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.15 - 1.97 (m, 4H), 1.83 (m, 1H), 1.70 - 1.44 (m, 6H), 1.43 - 1.35 [m, 4H, containing 1.39 (s, 3H)], 1.29 - 1.16 [m, 6H, containing 1.26 (s, 3H)], 1.14 - 1.00 (m, 4H), 0.90 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.77 (s, 3H), 0.66 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃ /CD3OD) δ 177.50, 156.30, 149.65, 129.67, 121.70, 114.83, 57.27, 56.18, 49.71, 42.64, 40.12, 38.88, 38.81, 36.17, 35.77, 33.61, 32.77, 32.61, 32.36, 31.96, 31.47, 31.43, 28.45, 24.51, 21.53, 21.44, 18.50, 12.10; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{28}H_{43}N_2O_2$ [M+H]⁺ 439.3319, found $[M+H]$ ⁺ 439.3330.

Compound **26**: isolated in 74% yield as a white solid; mp: 233.1℃ - 234.2℃; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.31 (s, 1H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.71 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 2.72 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 2.23 (m, 1H), 2.14 - 1.98 (m, 4H), 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.71 - 1.36 (m, 8H), 1.31 - 1.17 [m, 6H, containing 1.24 (s, 3H)], 1.14 - 1.08 [m, 4H, containing 1.09 (s, 3H)], 1.06 - 1.00 (m, 2H), 0.91 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 3H), 0.67 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3 /CD3OD) δ 177.80, 160.32, 151.30, 146.69, 137.46, 134.74, 130.27 (2C), 121.25, 114.85, 113.98 (2C), 57.20, 56.10, 55.73, 49.90, 42.61, 40.06, 38.75, 37.23, 35.73, 33.59, 32.47, 32.39, 31.74, 31.56, 31.48, 31.40, 28.41, 24.47, 21.45, 21.32, 18.50, 12.09; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{34}H_{47}N_2O_3$ [M+H]⁺ 531.3581, found $[M+H]$ ⁺ 531.3561.

Compound **27**: isolated in 64% yield as a white solid; mp: 235.8℃ - 236.9℃; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 11.94 (br, 1H), 7.32 (s, 1H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 5.70 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (d, $J = 14.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 2.24 (m, 1 $\overline{1}$, 2.16 - 2.00 (m, 4H), 1.80 (m, 1H), 1.72 - 1.35 (m, 8H), 1.28 - 1.18 [m, 5H, containing 1.24 (s, 3H)], 1.15 - 1.01 [m, 7H, containing 1.0³ $(s, 3H)$], 0.91 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.67 (s, 3H); 13 C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ 177.49, 151.33, 146.80, 139.85, 139.44, 137.50, 129.57 (2C), 128.94 (2C), 121.35, 115.01, 57.27, 56.17, 49.99, 42.67, 40.13, 38.81, 37.31, 35.77, 33.62, 32.52, 32.42, 31.81, 31.58, 31.46, 31.41, 28.47, 24.52, 21.49, 21.39, 21.35, 18.54, 12.14; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{34}H_{47}N_2O_2$ [M+H]⁺ 515.3632, found $[M+H]$ ⁺ 515.3652.

Compound 28: isolated in 63% yield as a white solid; mp: 228.5 - 229.7℃;¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 11.93 (br, 1H), 7.57 (d $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 5.72 (d, J 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.16 - 1.97 (m, 4H), 1.82 (m, 1H), 1.73 - 1.34 (m, 8H), 1.29 - 1.18 [m, 5H, containing 1.24 (s, 3H)], 1.14 - 1.01 [m, 7H, containing 1.10 (s, 3H)], 0.91 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.67 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (\sim MHz, CDCl₃ /CD₃OD) δ 177.73, 151.10, 147.02, 140.71, 138¹ 135.74, 130.61 (2C), 129.30 (2C), 121.60, 115.45, 57.28, 56.19, 49.99, 42.68, 40.13, 38.81, 37.29, 35.79, 33.57, 32.52 (2C), 31.82, 31.71, 31.46, 31.44, 28.47, 24.53, 21.49, 21.40, 18.53, 12.13; HRM (ESI): calcd for $C_{33}H_{44}CIN_2O_2$ [M+H]⁺ 535.3086, found [M+H] 535.3095.

Compound 29: isolated in 71% yield as a brown solid; mp: 23[∩] - 237.4°C;¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 11.94 (br, 1H), 7.70 (d *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.72 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (d, *J* = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.17 - 1.98 (m, 4H), 1.83 (m, 1H), 1.72 - 1.35 (m, 8H), 1.29 - 1.19 [m, 5H, containing 1.24 (s, 3H)], 1.16 - 0.99 [m, 7H, containing 1.10 (s, 3H)¹ 0.91 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.67 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (10) MHz, CDCl₃ /CD₃OD) δ 177.66, 151.18, 147.27, 141.28, 138.27 132.51 (2C), 131.09 (2C), 123.98, 121.81, 115.68, 57.45, 56.3 50.16, 42.83, 40.29, 38.95, 37.44, 35.96, 33.69, 32.66, 32.62, 31.98, 31.81, 31.60, 28.62, 24.66, 21.58, 21.55, 18.62, 12.22; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{33}H_{44}BrN_2O_2 [M+H]^+$ 579.2581, found $[M+H]^+$ 579.25

Compound **30**: isolated in 75% yield as a white solid; mp: 231.8℃ - 232.6℃; ¹H NMR (400 MHz,DMSO-d6) δ 11.93 (br, 1H), 7.48 - 7.43 (m, 2H), 7.37 - 7.30 (m, 3H), 5.72 (dd, *J* = 5.0, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (d, $J = 14.7$ Hz, 1H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.16 - 1.99 (m, 4H), 1.82 (i) 1H), 1.73 - 1.33 (m, 8H), 1.28 - 1.18 [m, 5H, containing 1.24 (s 3H)], 1.15 - 1.00 [m, 7H, containing 1.09 (s, 3H)], 0.91 (d, $J = 6$. Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 3H), 0.67 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₂ $/CD_3OD$) δ 177.78, 163.55 (d, $J_{\text{C,F}} = 249.5$ Hz), 151.38, 147.2 138.42, 138.17, 131.46, 131.37, 121.82, 116.28, 116.06, 115.5 δ , 57.55, 56.47, 50.29, 42.91, 40.39, 39.04, 37.51, 36.05, 33.79, 32.75, 32.58, 32.08, 31.78, 31.70 (2C), 28.69, 24.73, 21.62 (2C), 18.65, 12.25; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{33}H_{44}FN_{2}O_{2}$ [M+H]⁺ 519.3381, found $[M+H]$ ⁺ 519.3360. **RSC RSCC Advances**
 RSCCC ACCEPTED ACCEPT
 RSCCC ACCEPT 1
 RSCCCC ACCEPT 1
 RSCCCC ACCE
 RSCCCC ACCE
 RSCCC
 RSCCC

Compound 31: isolated in 80% yield as a white solid; mp: 236.^{1℃} - 237.5℃;¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.41 - 7.29 (m, 3H) 7.22 - 7.14 (m, 2H), 5.71 (d, $J = 3.0$ Hz, 1H), 2.73 (d, $J = 14.7$ 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.22 (m, 1H), 2.16 - 1.99 (m, 4H), 1.83 (m, 1H), 1.71 - 1.56 (m, 4H), 1.51 - 1.36 (m, 3H), 1.29 - 1.19 [m, 6H, containing 1.25 (s, 3H)], 1.14 - 1.01 [m, 7H, containing 1.09 (s, 3^{\prime} .)], 0.91 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 3H), 0.67 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101) MHz, CDCl3 /CD3OD) δ 177.86, 151.32, 146.60, 142.00, 139.08, 137.54, 130.33, 129.72, 128.71, 126.16, 121.31, 114.97, 57.24, 56.14, 49.95, 42.63, 40.09, 38.78, 37.29, 35.77, 33.57, 32.49, 32. 31.78, 31.60 (2C), 31.44, 28.44, 24.49, 21.46, 21.35, 21.26, 18.51, 12.10; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{34}H_{47}N_2O_2$ [M+H]⁺ 515.3632, fo nd $[M+H]^+$ 515.3647; $C_{34}H_{46}NaN_2O_2$ $[M+Na]^+$ 537.3457, found $[M+Na]$ ⁺ 537.3444.

Compound **32**: isolated in 73% yield as a white solid; mp: 236.2℃ - 239.0℃; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 11.97 (br, 1H), 7.51 - 6.99 (m, 5H), 5.71 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (d, *J* = 14.5 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.15 - 1.99 (m, 4H), 1.86 (s, 3H), 1.80 (m, 1H), 1.72 - 1.54 (m, 4H), 1.51 -1.36 (m, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.27 - 1.15 (m, 4H), 1.13 - 1.01 [m, 5H, containing 1.05 (s, 3H)], 0.97 (m, 1H), 0.91 (s, 3H), 0.89 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 3H), 0.67 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3 /CD3OD) δ 177.26, 150.91, 146.02, 140.85, 137.66, 130.85, 129.66, 128.67, 126.14, 121.15, 120.82, 114.70, 57.01, 55.90, 49.89, 42.42, 39.86, 38.61, 36.88, 35.50, 33.30, 32.23, 32.13, 31.55, 31.19, 31.13, 29.29, 28.22, 24.29, 21.20, 21.14, 18.36, 17.14, 11.95; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{34}H_{47}N_2O_2$ [M+H]⁺ 515.3632, found [M+H]⁺ 515.3655.

Compound **33**: isolated in 72% yield as a white solid; mp: 232.9℃ - 233.8℃; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.62 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.57 - 7.50 (m, 2H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 5.72 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 2.21 (m, 1H), 2.14 - 1.98 (m, 4H), 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.71 - 1.36 (m, 8H), 1.29 - 1.19 [m, 5H, containing 1.25 (s, 3H)], 1.15 - 1.00 [m, 7H, containing 1.11 (s, 3H)], 0.91 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 3H), 0.67 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃ /CD₃OD) δ 177.80, 151.09, 146.99, 143.29, 138.32, 134.61, 130.14, 130.01, 129.55, 127.61, 121.63, 115.52, 57.30, 56.21, 50.00, 42.69, 40.14, 38.82, 37.33, 35.83, 33.56, 32.51, 31.83, 31.80, 31.78, 31.66, 31.52, 28.49, 24.54, 21.51, 21.41, 18.55, 12.14; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{33}H_{44}CIN_{2}O_{2}$ [M+H]⁺ 535.3086, found $[M+H]^+$ 535.3087; $C_{33}H_{43}NaClN_2O_2$ $[M+Na]^+$ 557.2911, found $[M+Na]$ ⁺ 557.2897.

Compound **34**: isolated in 68 % yield as a white solid; mp: 232.8℃ - 234.4℃; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 11.95 (br, 1H), 7.68 - 7.62 (m, 2H), 7.61 - 7.47 (m, 2H), 7.42 (s, 1H), 5.72 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 2.22 (m, 1H), 2.16 - 1.99 (m, 4H), 1.82 (m, 1H), 1.71 - 1.36 (m, 7H), 1.28 (s, 3H), 1.25 - 1.17 (m, 3H), 1.16 - 1.04 (m, 4H), 0.99 (s, 3H), 0.92 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 3H), 0.67 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ 177.54, 151.08, 147.25, 139.84, 138.73, 135.07, 132.01, 131.39, 130.63, 127.61, 121.51, 115.41, 57.32, 56.23, 49.99, 42.73, 40.18, 39.04, 37.32, 37.16, 35.83, 33.58, 32.52, 31.88, 31.50, 31.47, 29.38, 28.51, 24.57, 21.46, 21.20, 18.57, 12.16; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{33}H_{44}CIN_{2}O_{2}$ [M+H]⁺ 535.3086, found [M+H]⁺ 535.3112; $C_{33}H_{43}NaClN_2O_2$ [M+Na]⁺ 557.2911, found [M+Na]⁺ 557.2917.

Compound **35**: isolated in 69% yield as a white solid; mp: 228.4℃ $-229.4 °C;$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 11.94 (br, 1H), 7.36 (s, 1H), 7.23 (m, 1H), 7.18 - 7.06 (m, 2H), 5.70 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.23 (m, 1H), 2.15 - 1.98 (m, 4H), 1.82 (m, 1H), 1.80 (s, 3H), 1.71 - 1.55 (m, 4H), 1.51 - 1.37 (m, 3H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.25 - 1.18 (m, 3H), 1.14 - 1.04 (m, 4H), 0.92 (s, 3H), 0.91 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (s, 3H), 0.67 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3 /CD3OD) δ 177.44, 151.09, 146.43, 139.96, 138.39, 137.46, 131.69, 129.72, 128.57, 126.96, 121.38, 114.93, 57.24, 56.14, 49.89, 42.62, 40.09, 38.80, 38.70, 37.27, 37.11, 35.73, 33.80, 33.50, 32.58, 32.43, 32.22, 31.79, 31.37, 29.39, 28.41, 24.47, 21.35, 18.54, 12.11; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{35}H_{49}N_2O_2$ [M+H]⁺ 529.3832, found $[M+H]^+$ 529.3813; $C_{35}H_{48}NaN_2O_2$ $[M+Na]^+$ 551.3613, found [M+Na]⁺ 551.3615

Compound **36**: isolated in 70% yield as a white solid; mp: 229.4℃ - 230.5℃; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.31 (s, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* $= 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 7.08 (dd, $J = 7.7$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.70 (d, J $= 3.1$ Hz, 1H), 2.72 (d, $J = 14.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.22 (m, 1H), 2.15 - 1.99 (m, 4H), 1.82 (m, 1H), 1.71 - 1.35 (m, 8H), 1.28 - 1.20 [m, 5H, containing 1.25 (s, 3H)], 1.14 - 1.01 [m, 7H, containing 1.09 (s, 3H)], 0.91 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.67 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD) δ 177.92, 151.73, 146.93, 140.06, 138.71, 137.79, 137.65, 130.35, 130.24, 126.72, 121.63, 115.21, 57.65, 56.57, 50.39, 42.98, 40.49, 39.11, 37.62,

36.13, 33.92, 32.83, 32.56, 32.17, 31.81 (2C), 31.75, 28.75, 24.80, 21.69, 21.65, 19.84, 19.70, 18.69, 12.29; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{35}H_{49}N_2O_2$ [M+H]⁺ 529.3832, found [M+H]⁺ 529.3849.

Compound **37**: isolated in 71% yield as a white solid; mp: 237.8℃ $- 238.8^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 11.91 (br, 1H), 7.82 7.74 (m, 2H), 7.46 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 5.73 (d, *J* $= 3.1$ Hz, 1H), 2.74 (d, $J = 14.7$ Hz, 1H), 2.23 (m, 1H), 2.16 - 1.97 $(m, 4H), 1.82$ $(m, 1H), 1.71 - 1.55$ $(m, 4H), 1.52 - 1.37$ $(m, 3H), 1.3$ - 1.18 [m, 6H, containing 1.25 (s, 3H)], 1.15 - 1.00 [m, 7H, containing 1.13 (s, 3H)], 0.91 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 3H), 0.6 σ (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃ /CD₃OD) δ 177.50, 150.8^e, 147.05, 141.48, 138.62, 134.11, 132.92, 131.16, 130.75, 128.64, 121.69, 115.68, 57.21, 56.12, 49.91, 42.63, 40.06, 38.74, 37.25, 35.74, 33.48, 32.60, 32.46, 31.79, 31.74, 31.43, 31.38, 28.43, 24.48, 21.47, 21.34, 18.50, 12.10; HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{33}H_{43}Cl_2N_2O$ $[M+H]^+$ 569.2696, found $[M+H]^+$ 569.2698; $C_{33}H_{42}NaCl_2N_2C_3$ $[M+Na]^+$ 591.2521, found $[M+Na]^+$ 591.2520. **RSC RECONSTRANCES**
 RSCC ACCEPTS
 RSCCC ADVANCES
 RSCCC C
 RSC

Biological assays

Enzyme-based assay of PTP1B and related homologous PTPs

PTP1B hydrolyzes pNPP to pNP which can be detected at 405 nm. Briefly, the tested compounds were solubilized in DMSO and serially diluted into series of concentrations for the inhibitory t_{ES} . The assays which were performed in 96-well plates were carried out in a final volume of 100 μL containing 50 mmol/L MOPS, pH 6.5, mmol/L pNPP, 30 nmol/L GST-PTP1B, and 2% DMSO, and the catalysis of pNPP was continuously monitored on a SpectraMax 340 microplate reader at 405 nm for 2 min at 30 °C. The IC₅₀ value was calculated from the nonlinear curve fitting of the percent inhibition \lceil inhibition (%)] vs the inhibitor concentration \lceil I] using the following equation: % inhibition = $100/(1 + (IC₅₀/III)) k$, where *k* is the Hi coefficient. The inhibition assay of TCPTP was conducted by the same procedure.

To study the inhibition on the other homologous PTPs, SHP1, SHP2 and LAR assays were performed according to procedure described previously 21 .

Effect of PTP1B inhibitors on the phosphorylation level of α **and Akt in CHO-hIR cells**

CHO-hIR cells were cultured in Ham's F-12 medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS, 100 units/m penicillin and 100 mg/mL streptomycin at 37 °C in 5% $CO₂$. The cells were starved in serum-free medium for 2 h and then treated with PTP1B inhibitors for 3 h, followed by stimulation with 10 nM insulin for 10 min. Then cells were washed three times with ice col t PBS and lysed with lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1 mM Na_3VO_4 , 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT, 1 ml EDTA, 1 mM EGTA) containing complete protease inhibitor. (Roche). The immunoblots were visualized by chemiluminescenc using the enhanced chemiluminescence Western Blotting System.

Characterization of the inhibitor on enzyme kinetics ²²

In the time-independent inhibition experiment, PTP1B w . preincubated with compounds (2% DMSO) on the ice for different times, and then add 10 μL mixture of enzyme and compounds to 90 μL assay system. To characterize the inhibitor of PTP1B, the as $\sqrt{ }$ was carried out in a 100 μL system containing 50 mmol/L MOPS, pH 6.5, 30 nmol/L PTP1B, *p*NPP in 2-fold dilution from 80 mmol/L, and different concentrations of the inhibitor. In the presence of f e competitive inhibitor, the Michaelis-Menten equation is described as $1/v = (K_m/[V_{\text{max}}[S]])(1+[I]/K_i)+1/V_{\text{max}}$, where K_m is the Michaelis constant, v is the initial rate, *Vmax* is the maximum rate, and $[S]$ is the substrate concentration. The K_i value was obtained by the linear replot of apparent $K_{\rm m}/V_{\rm max}$ (slope) from the primary reciprocal plot versus the inhibitor concentration $[I]$ according to the equation $K_{\rm m}/V_{\rm max} = 1 + [I]/K_{\rm i}$.

Acknowledgments

This research was financially supported by Shanghai Science and Technology Council (No. 13142200900, 14DZ0511800, 14142201200), National Science and Technology Major Projects for Major New Drugs Innovation and Development (2012ZX09304011 and 2013ZX09507002), National science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (81125023). We also thank the Laboratory of Organic Functional Molecules, Sino-French Institute of ECNU for support.

References

- 1. A. R. Saltiel, C. R. Kahn, *Nature* 2001, 414, 799-806.
- 2. D. Bandyopadhyay, A. Kusari, K. A. Kenner, F. Liu, J. Chernoff, T. A. Gustafson, J. Kusari, *J. Biol. Chem.* 1997, 272, 1639-1645.
- 3. B. J. Goldstein, A. Bittner-Kowalczyk, M. F. White, M. Harbeck, *J. Biol. Chem.* 2000, 275, 4283-4289.
- 4. F. Ahmad, J. L. Azevedo, J. R. Cortright, G. L. Dohm, B. J. Goldstein, *J. Clin. Invest.* 1997, 100, 449-458.
- 5. F. Ahmad, B. J. Goldstein, *Metabolism* 1995, 44, 1175-1184.
- 6. M. Elchebly, P. Payette, E. Michaliszyn, W. Cromlish, S. Collins, A. L. Loy, et al., *Science* 1999, 283, 1544-1548.
- 7. L. D. Klaman, O. Boss, O. D. Peroni, J. K. Kim, J. L. Martino, J. M. Zabolotny, et al. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2000, 20, 5479-5489.
- 8. J. Montalibet, B. P. Kennedy, *Drug Discov. Today: Therap. Strat.* 2005, 2, 129-135.
- 9. L. F. Iversen, K. B. Moller, A. K. Pedersen, G. H. Peters, A. S. Petersen, H. S. Andersen, et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002, 277, 19982-19990.
- 10. Y. Xi, S. Liu, A. Bettaieb, K. Matsuo, I. Matsuo, E. Hosein, et al., *Diabetologia* 2015, 58, 122-131.
- 11. A. J. Barr, *Future Med. Chem.* 2010, 2, 1563-1576.
- 12. C. S. Jiang, L. F. Liang, Y. W. Guo, *Acta Pharmaco. Sinica* 2012, 33, 1217-1245.
- 13. L. J. Wang, B. Jiang, N. Wu, S. Y. Wang and D. Y. Shi, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 48822-48834
- 14. K. A. Lantz, S. G. E. Hart, S. L. Planey, M. F. Roitman, I. A. Ruiz-White, H. R. Wolfe, M. P. Mclane, *Obesity* 2010, 18, 1516-1523.
- 15. M. F. Roitman, S. Wescott, J. J. Core, M. P. Mclane, H. R. Wolfe, *Pharmacology, Biochem. Behav.* 2010, 97, 138-143.
- 16. J. J. Ram fez-Espinosa, M. Y. Rios, S. López-Mart fiez, F. López-Vallejo, J. L. Medina-Franco, P. Paoli, et al., *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2011, 46, 2243-2251.
- 17. H. B. He, L. X. Gao, Q. F. Deng, W. P. Ma, C. L. Tang, W. W. Qiu, et al., *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2012, 22, 7237-7242.
- 18. CCDC 1401731 contains the supplementary crystallographic data of compound 33 for this paper. These data can b obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/cgibin/catreq.cgi or by emailing data-request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or by contacting the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12, Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, U.K. (fax, +44 1223 336 33).
- 19. C. J. Bungard, G. D. Hartman, J. J. Manikowski, J. J. Perkins, C. Bai, et al., *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2011, 19, 7374-7386.
- 20. The X-ray crystal structure of PTP1B was taken from PDP (1NNY) with 2.40 Å resolution used for the docking studies.
- 21. L. Shi, H. P. Yu, Y. Y. Zhou, J. Q. Du, Q. Shen, J. Y. Li, J. Li, *Acta Pharmaco. Sinica* 2008, 29, 278-284.
- 22. W. Zhang, D. Hong, Y. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Q. Shen, J. Y. Li, L. H. Hu, J. Li, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2006, 1760, 1505-1512.

- **Table 1.** Inhibitory activities against PTP1B and TCPTP
- **Table 2.** Inhibition (%) of compounds **27**, **28**, **30**, **31**, **32** and **33** against other homologous PTPs at 40 μM

Figure captions:

Figure 1. Structures of selected pentacyclic triterpenoids and steroids as PTP1B inhibitors

Figure 2. X-ray structure of compound **33**

Figure 3. Effect of **30** and **34** on IR phosphorylation and Akt phosphorylation in CHO/hIR cells.

Figure 4. Characterization of **30** to PTP1B

Figure 5. Molecular docking analysis of **30** and PTP1B

Scheme 1 General synthesis of 2,3-pyrazole ring-substituted-4,4-dimethyl derivatives

Figure 1. Structures of selected pentacyclic triterpenoids and steroids as PTP1B inhibitors

Figure 2. X-ray structure of compound **33**

Page 11 of 17 RSC Advances

Figure 3. Effect of **30** and **34** on IR phosphorylation and Akt phosphorylation in CHO/hIR cells. CHO/hIR cells were incubated with 200 μM sodium orthovanadate, 0.2% DMSO, or compound **30** (or **34**) for 3h, and then treated with 10 nM insulin for 10 min. V: 200 μM sodium orthovanadate used as a positive control (PC).

Figure 4. Characterization of 30 to PTP1B. (a)Time-independent inhibition of PTP1B by 30. (b) Typical competitive inhibition of 30 shown by Lineweaver-Burk plot. (c) At various fixed concentrations of **30** the initial velocity was determined with various concentrations of *p*NPP.

Figure 5. (a) Binding pose of **30** in the protein surface of PTP1B; (b) key residues of PTP1B binding site surrounding **30**.

 a^* TCPTP/PTP1B, the ratio of IC₅₀ of TCPTP and PTP1B

^b IC₅₀ > 40 μ M, the inhibition (%) on TCPTP was between 5% and 50% at 40 μ M

^c The inhibition (%) on TCPTP was below 5% at 40μ M

^d Oleanolic acid as positive control

Table 2

Inhibition (%) of compounds **27**, **28**, **30**, **31**, **32** and **33** against other homologous PTPs at 40μM

10000000011100000001			
Compounds	Inhibition $(\%)^a$		
	LAR	SHP ₁	SHP ₂
27	20.62	23.79	12.31
28	6.25	5.29	2.15
30	24.56	19.82	22.25
31	24.75	6.26	4.12
32	1.02	3.90	5.59
33	4.09	8.30	3.90
^a Inhibition at 40 μ M			

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) MeOH, SOCl₂, 99%, (ii) PCC, DCM, 93%; (b) (i) HOAc, DCM, Br₂,(ii) Li₂CO₃, LiBr^H₂O, DMF, 48%; (c) *t*-BuOK, *t*-BuOH, MeI, 50%; (d) NaH, HCOOEt, 98%; (e) R-NHNH² .HCl, EtOH, 36%-62%; (f) MeOH/H2O, LiOH**.**H2O, 62%-81%.

Graphical Abstract

Abstract

In our continued efforts to develop lithocholic acid (LCA) analogues as selective PTP1B inhibitors, 14 novel 2,3-pyrazole ring-substituted-4,4-dimethyl derivatives were synthesized and evaluated against PTP1B, as well as the homologous protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs). All compounds were shown to be more potent and selective PTP1B inhibitors than LCA (IC₅₀ = 12.74 µM) with IC50 values ranging between 0.42 to 4.49 µM. Moreover, treatment of CHO/hIR cells with 4,4-dimethyl-2'-(*p*-fluoro phenyl)-2*'H*-chola-2,5-dieno[3,2-*c*]pyrazol-24-oic acid (**30**) or 4,4-dimethyl-2'-(*o*-chloro phenyl)-2*'H*-chola-2,5-dieno[3, 2-*c*]pyrazol-24-oic acid (**34**) increased the phosphorylation levels of IR and Akt in a dose dependent manner. The promising findings in this study suggest that further investigation of these compounds for the treatment of metabolic disorders is warranted.