# **RSC Advances**



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

### Journal Name

#### **RSCPublishing**

#### ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x
Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012
DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x
www.rsc.org/

## A multifunctional, plug-and-play and low-cost microfluidic connector system based on the standard of electronics

S.K. Zhao, <sup>a,b</sup> R. Chen, <sup>c</sup> Y. Yu, <sup>d</sup> L. He, <sup>a</sup> J.Q. Liu, <sup>b</sup> X. Chen\*<sup>b</sup> and S. Y. Qin\*<sup>a</sup>

**RSC Advances** 

A reliable, low-cost and standardized microfluidic interface to the outside world is still a challenge for microfluidics. In this study, we utilized the standard of 2.54 mm pin-header connector in electrical engineering to create a standard pin-header microfluidic connector (SPHMC) for PDMS based microfluidic devices. This connector is fabricated using universal-available pin-header connectors and heat shrink tubing, thus the cost of it is less than 10 cents per unit. The density of the SPHMC is relatively high (ten fluidic I/O for 63.5 mm<sup>2</sup>) and the connector can tolerate at least 30 psi, which is beyond the requirement of most microfluidic application. Moreover, to resolve the issues about small volume injection and bubble removing, we modified the SPHMC and developed several new connectors and accessories. We demonstrate that our connectors and accessories can be used for parallel droplet generations, rare-samples injection and capture of circulating tumor cells.

#### Introduction

Over the last 10-20 years, Lab-on-a-chip (LOC) technology has demonstrated its potential to revolutionize investigation of cell biology<sup>1-3</sup> and molecular chemistry. <sup>4-6</sup> Compared to bulkier analytical instruments, these miniaturized devices have many advantages: they enable highly multiplexed and parallel analysis, support accuracy control of fluids flowing by valves, and minimize consumption of samples and reagents.<sup>7</sup> However, the fluidic interfaces between the chip and the peripherals (e.g. injection pumps, fluid reservoirs and pneumatic controllers) are often neglected and limit the overall performance of these LOC devices.<sup>7</sup>

Traditionally, the microfluidic devices have been connected with peripherals using tubes or needles.<sup>8-11</sup> With this strategy, when the LOC devices integrate more fluidic I/O (input/output) or control valves, the experimental setup becomes timeconsuming and experimental failure due to user error is more likely to happen.<sup>12</sup> Another problem is that it is hard to draw up very small volume of liquids by syringes and tubes. Thus, in some applications requiring only nano-scale volume of samples or reagents such as drug screen, most of rare samples remain in the tubes after loading. A variety of connection systems are now available to address the issue about longtime experimental setup and numerous wastes of reagents. Commercial products including customized chip holders, <sup>13, 14</sup> flexible fluidic breadboards, 15, 16 and multi-tube connectors exist in the marketplace. However, these are costly and lack the design flexibility needed by research groups. Better manifold designs from research groups include self-aligned Fitto-Flow Interconnects<sup>17</sup> and the D-subminiature connectors,<sup>18</sup> which can fix a number of fluidic I/O at one time. Later, Van Swaay et al. introduce a reusable connector that has reservoirs for holding low-volume samples (1-20  $\mu$ I) to resolve the problem of injection and handing of very small volumes. Although, these manifold designs have developed varied techniques specific to the target application, a universallyaccepted standard for fluidic interfacing does not exist.<sup>7</sup> Actually, lack of standardization has impeded the commercialization and widespread use of microfluidics.<sup>19, 20</sup>

A pin-header that uses the industry standard spacing of 2.54 mm (0.1 inch) is a form of commonly-used electrical connector. It consists of one or more rows of male pins and is designed for soldering directly into printed circuit boards (PCB's). Inspired by this standard connector, we present a series of reliable, low-cost and plug-and-play microfluidic connectors and accessories for PDMS based microfluidic devices. For the standard pin-header microfluidic connector (SPHMC) in our work, the solid metal pins of the electrical pinheader were replaced with corresponding metal tubes that permit fluid and/or gas passage. In addition to pin-header, heat shrink tubing was used in our connectors to seal or connect two tubes with different diameters. Heat shrink tubing is a colourful and shrinkable plastic tube used to insulate wires and providing abrasion resistance in electrical engineering. In our connectors, we also utilized heat shrink tubing for colorcoding of tubes to avoid confusion. Briefly, there are two advantages for using the standard 2.54 mm pin-header connectors and heater shrink tubing. (1) These components

Journal Name

are affordable, costing less than 10 cents per unit. (2) The spacing between two fluidic I/O is only 2.54 mm, which can provide a high-density tube-packing.

We also present a number of standard components that could either work alone or cooperate with others to achieve different functions. By modifying the SPHMC, we develop a nanoliter sample injector, which can reduce the dead-volume of reagents and samples. This modification makes the connector particularly suitable for some applications that require small-volume of reagents such as PCR and enzymatic reaction. Moreover, we introduce two accessories including a PDMS plug and a female SPHMC, which utilizes pin-headers for replication. By cooperating with a standard microfluidic connector, these accessories can simplify the process of bubble removing and increase the stability of the experimental operations. In the experiments, we demonstrated that our connector system could be used for high throughput droplet generation, rare sample injection and fast capture of circulating tumor cells.

#### Fabrication

#### Design rules of inlets and outlets

In the field of electronic circuits, pin-headers are typically spaced 2.54 mm (0.1 inches) apart. Therefore, when we arrange the inlets and the outlets of the microfluidic chip, the spacing of them is 2.54 mm.

#### Standard pin-header microfluidic connector

The fabrication of a SPHMC was shown in Fig. 1. 22-gauge hollow needles (Amazon Supply) replaced the metal pins of the pin-header (Digikey Supply) to connect the microfluidic device to macro-scale systems. Teflon tubes (0.76 mm ID) were then placed at the longer side of needles and hooped by heat shrink tubing (Digikey Supply, 0.8mm ID). Heat shrink tubing could shrink and seal the seam between the tube and hollow pin by heating with a heat gun. By selecting a heat shrink tubing of a different color, various Teflon tubes could be labelled to avoid confusion. This is useful when numerous transparent reagents are used. Moreover, this fabrication process is compatible to that of pin-header in electrical industry. For mass production of pin-header, a pin-header block is first made through injection molding. And then several metal pins are aligned and inserted into the block by machine. Therefore, it is possible to mass produce our microfluidic connectors by replace metal pins with hollow needles in the future.



**Fig. 1** Process flow for fabricating a SPHMC. Metal pins were removed from pin-header to get a holder (a). Five 22-gauge hollow needles were inserted into the holder. Another holder was fixed to reinforcing the pin-header connector. Teflon tubes were then placed at the longer side of the needles (b) and hooped by heat shrink tubing (c). The SPHMC was fixed on the microfluidic device (d).

#### PDMS plug, female SPHMC and nanoliter sample injector

As shown in Fig. 2, we have developed two kinds of accessories (the PDMS plug and the female SPHMC) and an advanced microfluidic connector (nanoliter sample injector). Firstly, as described in the fabrication of the SPHMC (Fig. 1a-b), five 25gauge hollow needles (Amazon Supply) replaced the metal pins of the pin-header to make a mold. The mold was placed in a female die. Then, PDMS (Sylgard 184, 10:1 monomer: curing agent) were mixed and degassed. Thereafter, it was poured into the Petri dish and cured in an oven at 75 for at least 1 h. We carefully peeled off cured PDMS and removed the mold. By cutting PDMS at different positions, we got a PDMS plug and a PDMS adapter with different kinds of channels, respectively. Secondly, we partly inserted a SPHMC into one side of the PDMS adapter to fabricate a female SPHMC. Because the diameter of the channels inside the PDMS adapter (25-gauge 0.51 mm) is smaller than that of needles used on SPHMC (22-gauge 0.73 mm), the PDMS plug and the PDMS adapter can provide a good seal (at least 30 psi, supplementary information). Finally, the nanoliter sample injector was manufactured by fixing five 7 mm glass capillaries (300 µm ID, 700 µm OD), whose inner-volume is about 500 nl, on the other side of the female SPHMC.

Journal Name



**Fig. 2** Process flows for fabricating a PDMS plug, a female SPHMC and a nanoliter sample injector. Five 25-gauge hollow needles were inserted into a holder to make a mold. PDMS was poured into the mold and was cured in an oven. By cutting PDMS at different positions, we got a PDMS plug (a-b) and a PDMS adapter. A PDMS adapter was combined with a SPHMC to fabricate a female SPHMC (c-d). A nanoliter sample injector was fabricated by inserting a SPHMC into one side of a PDMS adapter and five 7mm glass capillaries into the other side (e-f).

#### Application

#### SPHMC for parallel droplet generator

We demonstrated a two row SPHMC, which can tolerate 45 psi (supplementary information), using a parallel, flow-focusing droplet generator made by a one-step forming method (supplementary information). In our droplet generator, five independent droplet generation units were used to assemble it. Each unit had an aqueous inlet, an oil inlet, and an outlet. As shown in Fig. 3, by plugging the SPHMC into the chip, all the ten inlets can be set up at one time and the density of it is relatively high (ten fluidic I/O for 63.5 mm<sup>2</sup>). After that mineral oil was injected into the first row of the connector as continuous phase and food dye was injected into the second row as dispersed phase. Air pressure was exerted to drive both food dye and mineral oil. Droplet could be generated through identical five units, provided the driven pressure of food dye and mineral oil was maintained at 3.5 psi and 11 psi, respectively. Compared with traditional single needle connector, the time of the setup process decreased significantly and each tube was labelled by color to avoid confusing.



**Fig. 3** Application of microfluidic pin-header connectors. A ten pin two row pin-header connector was fixed on a five-way droplet generator (a). The width of the channel was 100  $\mu$ m and droplet could be generated through the device (b).

#### Nanoliter sample injector for rare sample injection

Although the SPHMC described above was convenient and reliable, when it was used in some situations requiring low-volume of liquid, for example, PCR, ELISA and protein detection, most of the solution remained in the tubes. We presented a plug-and-play nanoliter sample injector, based on glass capillaries, which also conformed to the standard of 2.54 mm spacing. To demonstrate this connector, we made a valve controlled microfluidic device, which required only 100 nl samples for protein assay. The capillaries of nanoliter sample injector were first soaked in the blood sample. Since the length of capillary (300 µm ID) is 7 mm, so about 500 nl blood sample was drawn up using capillary action (Fig. 4a). And then the injector was plugged into the inlets (Fig. 4b). A pressure of 7 psi was utilized to push 100 nl samples into the chip by dead-end filling<sup>21</sup> (Fig. S2). Compared to the chip-to-world interface with small reservoir (1-20  $\mu$ l),<sup>22</sup> the dead-volume of samples decreased significantly. Moreover, by using glass capillaries with a lower inner diameter, the dead-volume of samples could further reduce.







**Fig. 4** 500 nL blood samples were drawn up using capillary action (a). Nanoliter sample injector was plugged in the inlets (b).

#### PDMS plug and female SPHMC for bubble removing

Besides these pin-header microfluidic connectors, we developed two 2.54 mm standard accessories: the PDMS plug and the female SPHMC, which could cooperate with a SPHMC, to fast remove air bubbles. One of the common methods to remove air bubbles is dead-end filling. But it needs to fabricate an additional valve to form a dead-end channel. Here we improved the dead-end filling approach and replaced the valves with our PDMS plugs. Such an improvement simplified both microfluidic device and control system. We demonstrated these accessories by using a circulating tumor cell (CTC) trapping device, which separate CTCs from blood cells by size. The device was based on our previous work and the gap between the dam and the bottom was 5  $\mu$ m.<sup>23</sup>

The chip was first primed by PBS to fill channels and to remove gas bubbles. As shown in Fig. 5a, a SPHMC with no tubes was plugged into the outlets and was linked with a PDMS plug to form dead-end channels. A pressure source of 15 psi was applied to force PBS (blue dye) to fill the chambers. In the process of dead-end filling, several air bubbles were trapped and were removed within 3 min (Fig. 5b, Movie S1). Such an operation eliminates the pressure imbalance caused by bubbles and reduces the damage and death of CTCs in the later capture process. Compared to long-term injection and vacuum filling method.<sup>24</sup> our approach is time-saving and do not require extra equipment. After all the channels have filled with PBS, we substituted a female SPHMC for the PDMS plug rather than directly removed the male SPHMC (Fig. 5c) to avoid generation of new bubbles during fixing or removing connectors. Whole rat blood diluted in PBS and spiked with cultured MCF-7 cells was loaded into the device through a SPHMC (Fig. 5d, Movie S2). <sup>25</sup>When all the blood samples have flowed through the device, PBS was used to flush the chip to remove the blood cells left in the device (Fig. 5e). The trapping efficiency was about 85%. Some of MCF-7 cells transformed and moved across the gap due to the elasticity of PDMS. The capture efficiency could be improved by increasing the capture area of the device and by adjusting the flow rate. In summary, this improved bubble removing method reduces time consumption, simplifies chip structure and avoids introducing new bubbles during exchange of connectors.



**Fig. 5** Process flow for tumor cell trapping experiment. A SPHMC without tubes was plugged into the outlets and connected with a PDMS plug to form dead-end channels (a). PBS (show in blue) was loaded into the chip and the air bubbles was removed. In our device the main structure was a dam, which was supported by several pillars (b). After all the channels were filled with PBS, we replaced the PDMS plug with a female SPHMC (c). Blood samples (show in red) were loaded into the chip. The results showed that tumor cells could be trapped, which were stained with DAPI, and most of blood cells could move across the dam due to their small size (d). PBS flowed through the device and flushing remaining blood cells out of the chip (e).

#### Conclusion

We have illustrated a standardized, low-cost and multifunctional microfluidic connector system based on existing standard of 2.54 mm pin-header connector employed in electronic circuits. The manufacturing process of our standard

25.

pin-header microfluidic connectors is compatible to the current productive process of pin-header, so it is possible to mass produce our standard connectors and reduce the cost. We successfully demonstrated our connectors and accessories could simplify the experimental setup in parallel droplet generation, significantly reduced the dead-volume of samples, and fast removed air bubbles trapped in a CTC capture device. All the connectors and accessories can tolerate at least 30 psi (supplementary information), which is beyond the requirement of most microfluidic application. Furthermore, we introduce a method that is based on a reusable pin-header mold casting all the inlet holes and channels at the same time.

#### Notes and references

- A. M. Skelley, O. Kirak, H. Suh, R. Jaenisch and J. Voldman, Nature methods, 2009, 6, 147-152.
- 2. A. L. Paguirigan and D. J. Beebe, *BioEssays*, 2008, **30**, 811-812.
- H. Yu, C. M. Alexander and D. J. Beebe, Lab on a chip, 2007, 7, 388-391.
- K.-i. Ohno, K. Tachikawa and A. Manz, *Electrophoresis*, 2008, 29, 4443-4453.
- S. Kim, B. Huang and R. N. Zare, Lab on a chip, 2007, 7, 1663-1665.
- E. Delamarche, A. Bernard, H. Schmid, B. Michel and H. Biebuyck, Science, 1997, 276, 779-781.
- Y. Temiz, R. D. Lovchik, G. V. Kaigala and E. Delamarche, Microelectronic Engineering, 2015, 132, 156-175.
- H. Kortmann, L. M. Blank and A. Schmid, *Lab on a chip*, 2009, 9, 1455-1460.
- M. Megens and F. Wimberger, Journal of micromechanics and microengineering, 2009, 19, 015015.
- A. A. S. Bhagat, Journal of micromechanics and microengineering, 2007, 17, 42-49.
- 11. A. M. Christensen, Journal of micromechanics and microengineering, 2005, **15**, 928-934.
- 12. B. Mosadegh, T. Bersano-Begey, J. Y. Park, M. A. Burns and S. Takayama, *Lab on a chip*, 2011, **11**, 2813-2818.
- 13. http://www.micronit.com/research-products).
- 14. <u>http://www.microliquid.com/microfluidic-products/chip-holders)</u>.

#### http://www.microfluidicsinfo.com).

15.

- 16. J. Lim, F. Maes, V. Taly and J.-C. Baret, *Lab on a chip*, 2014, **14**, 1669-1672.
- 17. A. Chen and T. Pan, *Lab on a chip*, 2011, **11**, 727-732.
- A. Scott, A. K. Au, E. Vinckenbosch and A. Folch, *Lab on a chip*, 2013, **13**, 2036.
- 19. H. Becker, *Lab on a chip*, 2010, **10**, 1894-1897.
- 20. H. van Heeren, *Lab on a chip*, 2012, **12**, 1022-1025.
- C. L. Hansen, E. Skordalakes, J. M. Berger and S. R. Quake, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2002, 99, 16531-16536.
- 22. D. van Swaay, J. P. Machler, C. Stanley and A. deMello, *Lab on a chip*, 2014, **14**, 178-181.
- 23. J. Chen, D. Chen, T. Yuan, Y. Xie and X. Chen, *Biomicrofluidics*, 2013, **7**, 34106.
- F. Burgoyne., Vacuum filling of microfluidic devices, (accessed 26 Oct., 2006).

J. Autebert, B. Coudert, J. Champ, L. Saias, E. T. Guneri, R. Lebofsky, F. C. Bidard, J. Y. Pierga, F. Farace, S. Descroix, L. Malaquin and J. L. Viovy, *Lab on a chip*, 2015, **15**, 2090-2101.

<sup>*a*</sup> Bio-X Institutes, Key Laboratory for the Genetics of Developmental and Neuropsychiatric Disorders (Ministry of Education), Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200030, China.

<sup>o</sup> National Key Laboratory of Science and Technology on Micro/Nano Fabrication, Department of Micro/Nano Electronics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

<sup>c</sup> School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, State Key Laboratory of Metal Matrix Composites, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 800 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200240, P. R. China.

<sup>a</sup> Departments of General Surgery, Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiiated First People's Hospital, Shanghai, 200080, P. R. China

\* Dr Xiang Chen, National Key Laboratory of Science and Technology on Micro/Nano Fabrication, Department of Micro/Nano Electronics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China. Fax: +86 21 34206683; Tel: +86 21 34206683; E-mail: xiangchen@sjtu.edu.cn

\* Dr Shengying Qin, Bio-X Institutes, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Little White House Building, Shanghai 200030, China; Tel: +86 21 64717740; Fax: +86 21 62822491; E-mail: chinsir@ sjtu.edu.cn

#### This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2012

#### A multifunctional, plug-and-play and low-cost microfluidic connector system based on the standard of electronics

S.K. Zhao, <sup>*a,b*</sup> R. Chen, <sup>*c*</sup> Y. Yu, <sup>*d*</sup> L. He, <sup>*a*</sup> X. Chen\*<sup>*b*</sup> and S. Y. Qin\*<sup>*a*</sup>

#### Abstract

A reliable, low-cost and standardized microfluidic interface to the outside world is still a challenge for microfluidics. In this study, we utilized the standard of 2.54 mm pin-header connector in electrical engineering to create a standard pin-header microfluidic connector (SPHMC). This connector is fabricated using universal-available pin-header connectors and heat shrink tubing, thus the cost of it is less than 10 cents per unit. The density of the SPHMC is relatively high (ten fluidic I/O for 63.5 mm<sup>2</sup>) and the connector can tolerate at least 30 psi, which is beyond the requirement of most microfluidic application. Moreover, to resolve the issues about small volume injection and bubble removing, we modified the SPHMC and developed several new connectors and accessories. We demonstrate that our connectors and accessories can be used for parallel droplet generations, rare-samples injection and capture of circulating tumor cells.

