Soft Matter

Accepted Manuscript

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/softmatter

Formation of a columnar liquid crystal in a simple one-component system of particles†

Alfredo Metere,*∗^a* Sten Sarman,*^b* , Tomas Oppelstrup,*^c* , and Mikhail Dzugutov*^d*

Received Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXXXX 200X DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

We report a molecular dynamics simulation demonstrating that a columnar liquid crystal, commonly formed by disc-shaped molecules, can be formed by identical particles interacting via a spherically symmetric potential. Upon isochoric cooling from a low-density isotropic liquid state the simulated system performed a weak first order phase transition which produced a liquid crystal phase composed of parallel particle columns arranged in a hexagonal pattern in the plane perpendicular to the column axis. The particles within columns formed a liquid structure and demonstrated a significant intracolumn diffusion. Further cooling resulted in another first-order transition whereby the column structure became periodically ordered in three dimensions transforming the liquid-crystal phase into a crystal. This result is the first observation of a columnar liquid crystal formation in a simple one-component system of particles. Its conceptual significance is in that it demonstrated that liquid crystals that have so far only been produced in systems of anisometric molecules, can also be formed by mesoscopic soft-matter and colloidal systems of spherical particles with appropriately tuned interatomic potential.

1 Introduction

Liquid crystals $1,2$ are anisotropic phases which combine fluidity with periodicity in less than three dimensions. Onedimensional periodic order in the smectic phases arises from uniaxial stacking of liquid layers. Its spatial extent, however, is limited due to Landau-Peierls instability³, whereas the twodimensional periodic order characteristic of columnar liquid crystals 2.4 is stable at the global scale. The experimentally observed columnar liquid crystals represent close packing of parallel columns composed of axially stacked molecular units. In the plane perpendicular to the column axis, the column packing forms a regular pattern with two-dimensional periodicity, whereas the remaining continuous translational symmetry dimension is directed along the column axis. The columns are commonly formed by disk-like molecules ⁴ or wedge-shaped dendrons⁵. The close packing of columns fixes the position of a molecule in the plane perpendicular to the column axis, but the molecules' stacking along the axis is irregular, and the axial position of a molecule is not defined with respect to its neighbors in adjacent columns, which gives rise to the continuous translational symmetry in the axial dimension.

Particle simulations have proved to be an indispensable tool for understanding the relationship between the phase behaviour and the molecular-level properties of liquid crystals $6-8$. These simulation have so far followed the phenomenological paradigm that dominated the science of liquid crystals for decades, whereby the structural anisotropy of liquid crystals was assumed to be determined by anisometric shape of a molecule. Respectively, the shapes of the constituent particles in the models of liquid crystals have been designed to imitate the shapes of the molecules in the respective mesogens: the smectic phases have been simulated using rod-like particles $9-12$, and models of columnar liquid crystals $8,13-16$ commonly used flat discotic particles or oblate ellipsoids.

That approach is based on the conjecture according to which formation of the structurally anisotropic liquid crystal. is driven by the entropic component of the free energy 17 . The origin of this line of thinking can be traced to the seminal work of Onsager¹⁸ which linked the anisotropy of the equilibrium structure in a liquid of rods to the entropy of packing. The conjecture of the entropic mechanism of liquid-crystal formation have been further strengthen by simulations using hard anisometric particles 10,16 stressing the role of the geometry of excluded volume.

A question of general conceptual interest for the statistical mechanics of condensed matter is whether the anisometry of

1–8 *|* 1

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

^a Department of Materials and Environmental Chemistry, Stockholm University, S-106 91, Stockholm, Sweden. Tel: +46 08 67 481;

E-mail: alfredo.metere@mmk.su.se

^b Department of Materials and Environmental Chemistry, Stockholm University, S-106 91, Stockholm, Sweden

^c Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory - 7000 East Avenue, Livermore, California 94551, USA

^d Department of Mathematics and Centre for Parallel Computers, Royal Institute of Technology, S-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

the mesogenic molecules is really a prerequisite for producing structural anisotropy in liquid phases, as it is conjectured by the entropic paradigm, or the entropic effects on the structure formation due to the particle geometry can be compensated by an appropriately designed spherically symmetric interaction potential. This question is confined to the positional structural ordering; the nematic orientational ordering in liquids of anisometric particles is obviously beyond the scope of present discussion.

This question has been addressed in a molecular-dynamics simulation that we report here. It is demonstrated that a singlecomponent system of particles interacting via a sphericallysymmetric potential can form a a thermodynamically equilibrium hexagonal columnar liquid crystal. This mesophase was formed a result of a first-order phase transition which was observed when the system had been cooled isochorically at low density from its equilibrium isotropic liquid state. A distinctive feature of this columnar phase is the liquid structure of its columns and considerable particle diffusion along the axis. Under further cooling, another phase transition took place, transforming the columnar liquid crystal into a columnar structure with global three-dimensional periodicity. This result is the first compelling evidence that an anisotropic liquid crystal phase can be produced in a system of identical particles interacting via a spherically symmetric potential. This finding opens a possibility of producing similar columnar liquidcrystal phases in colloidal and soft-matter systems composed of spherically-shape particles.

2 Model and simulation

We report here a molecular-dynamics simulation of a simple single-component system of particles interacting via the pair potential shown in Fig. 1. The functional form of the potential energy for two particles separated by the distance *r* is defined as:

$$
V(r) = a_1(r^{-m} - d)H(r, b_1, c_1) + a_2H(r, b_2, c_2)
$$
 (1)

where

$$
H(r,b,c) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(\frac{b}{r-c}\right) & r < c \\ 0 & r \ge c \end{cases}
$$
 (2)

The values of the parameters are presented in Table 1. The first term of this functional form describes the short-range repulsion part of the potential, and its first minimum, whereas the second term is responsible for the long-range repulsion. All the quantities we report here are expressed in the reduced units used in the definition of the potential. We also note that the short-range repulsion part of the potential, and the position of its first minimum closely approximate those in the Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential 19 , which makes it possible to directly

m *a*¹ *b*¹ *c*¹ *a*² *b*² *c*² *d*

Table 1 Values of the parameters for the pair potential.

compare the reduced number densities, and other thermodynamic parameters of the two systems.

It has to be mentioned that this pair potential represents a modification of an earlier reported potential²⁰ which was found to produce the smectic-*B* crystal. The main difference between the two potentials is that in the present one the longrange repulsive part extends to a significantly larger distance. By increasing the long-range separation of the interacting particles, this modification of the potential was intended to $r\epsilon$ duce the density of particle packing in low-temperature phases where that part or interaction energy becomes significant.

The described pair potential was exploited in a molecular dynamics model comprised of 16384 identical particles confined to a cubic box with periodic boundary condition. In this simulation, we explored the phase transformations of the described system by changing its temperature isochorically at the reduced number density $\rho = 0.3$. Note that this density is very low as compared with the density of the LJ liquid ϵ its triple-point, $\rho = 0.84^{19}$. The temperature was changed in a stepwise manner; each temperature step was followed by a comprehensive equilibration run that typically amounted to 10⁷ − 10⁸ simulation timesteps. The temperature adjustments were performed by appropriately scaling the particle velocities.

3 Results

We began the simulation by equilibrating the system in its stable isotropic liquid state at sufficiently high temperature at the number density $\rho = 0.3$, which was followed by an isochoric cooling performed according to the described step-wise procedure. A discontinuous reduction of energy characteristic of the first-order phase transition was detected when the system was cooled below $T = 1.1$, Fig. 2. This thermodynamic singularity was accompanied by a sharp reduction of the diffusion rate. The first-order nature of the observed transition was further confirmed by a significant hysteresis which was detected when reheating the low-temperature phase, Fig. 2. An interesting peculiarity of this phase transition is that no discernible singularity in the pressure variation have been found within that range of temperature. This possibly indicates a weak nature of this first-order transition²¹. The possibility of this kind of phase behaviour has been theoretically conjectured for columnar liquid crystals²².

We have also explored that phase transformation at constant pressure $P = 8.16$ at the transition temperature $T = 1.05$, see Fig. 3. The pressure was fixed using the technique suggested by Berendsen et al 23 . The extremely small value of the density step upon the transition, about 0*.*1%, is consistent with the isochoric results in Fig. 2.

Further cooling of this phase resulted in another first-order phase transition; in this case, a clear hysteresis in both energy and pressure was observed, see Fig. 2. This transition further reduced the diffusion rate to the value characteristic of a solid phase. Thus produced low-temperature phases will hereinafter be referred to as Phase I and Phase II, according to the order of their occurrence upon cooling. Evidently, the Phase I remains in a thermodynamically stable equilibrium within a finite range of temperature.

The structure characterisation of the two phases has first been performed by analysing the pattern of their density correlations in the the Fourier-space. For that purpose, we calculated the structure factor $S(Q) = \langle \rho(Q) \rho(-Q) \rangle$, where $\rho(Q)$ is a Fourier-component of the number density of a system of *N* particles:

$$
\rho(\mathbf{Q}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \exp(-i\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{r}_j)
$$
(3)

 \mathbf{r}_i being the positions of the system's particles, and $\langle \rangle$ denote ensemble averaging. $S(Q)$ represents the diffraction intensity as measured in diffraction experiments.

The structure factor was first calculated on the Q-space sphere of the radius corresponding to position of the first peak of the spherically averaged $S(Q)$. A well-defined pattern of *S*(Q) maxima was observed, which made it possible to determine the global symmetry and the axis. The axis orientation

Fig. 2 Isochoric liquid-solid phase transformation. *a*) and *b*), respectively, depict energy and pressure variation as a function of temperature. Dots and open triangles correspond to cooling and heating, respectively.

having been found, $S(Q)$ was calculated, for each phase, in two characteristic *Q*-planes: $Q_z = 0$, Q_z being the axis coordinate, and $Q_y = 0$, Q_y coordinate corresponding to a translational symmetry vector orthogonal to the axis. The results, for both phases, are shown in Fig. 4.

These diffraction results lead us to making the following conclusions. First, the Phase I exhibits two-dimensional global hexagonal periodicity in the plain perpendicular to the axis, whereas no structure has been found in the axial dimension. Thus, Phase I appear to be a columnar liquid crystal where parallel columns form a hexagonal close-packed pattern in the plane perpendicular to the column axis. In the axial dimension, the phase remain structureless. The transformation of Phase I into Phase II upon further cooling breaks the continuous translational symmetry in the axial dimension. Moreover, the crystalline order within column structure is induced in all three dimensions. This ordering within columns apparently occurs in a globally coherent manner, producing both axial periodicity and a periodic pattern in the plane perpen-

Fig. 3 Transformation of the isotropic liquid into columnar liquid crystal at constant pressure $P = 8.16$ and temperature $T = 1.05$. *a*) and *b*) plots, respectively, depict variations of energy and density

dicular to the axis. The latter too is arising due to the coherence of regular packing of particles within column in Phase II. This global order is indicated by additional sets of diffraction peaks which appear in both Q-planes. Thus, Phase II appear to be a true crystal, composed of coherently arranged crystalline columns organised in the same hexagonal pattern as in Phase I. We also note that in both phases the intercolumn separation as inferred from the diffraction pattern is consistent with the long-range repulsion range of the pair potential, Fig. 1.

The conclusions about the structure of the two phases inferred from the diffraction data are consistent with the realspace images of the configurations of these phases which are shown in Fig. 6. Both Phase I and Phase II appear to be columnar structures where parallel particle columns are arranged in triangular-hexagonal pattern in the plane perpendicular to the column axis.

The most remarkable structural aspect of the Phase I that

Fig. 4 The isointensity plots of the structure factor *S*(Q) calculated for a Phase I configuration in two orthogonal reciprocal-space planes. From top to bottom, respectively: $Q_z = 0$ and $Q_x = 0$. Q_z denotes the axial dimension, and Q_y corresponds to one of the translational symmetry vectors orthogonal to the axis.

can be observed in its images in Fig. 6 is that the configuration of a column can be described as three-dimensional liquid-like dense random particle packing. This column structure is distinctively different from that ubiquitously occurring in discotic liquid crystals where the columns are composed of axially stacked disc-like particles. The discotic columns are of one-particle width, and they are densely packed laterally, forming a periodic pattern in the plane perpendicular to the axis. Under these constraints, the position of a constituent particle in a discotic phase is limited both axially and laterall Such a structure can only allow solid-like vibrational particle motions, and the continuous global translational symmetry of the discotic phases in the axial dimension arises as a result of the lack of coherence between columns in the stacking order. By contrast, the configuration of the Phase I shown in Fig. \circ exhibits a significant spacing between its columns, and each

Fig. 5 The isointensity plots of the structure factor $S(Q)$ calculated for a Phase II configuration in two orthogonal reciprocal-space planes. *a*) and *b*), respectively: $Q_z = 0$ and $Q_x = 0$. Q_z denotes the axial dimension, and Q_y corresponds to one of the translational symmetry vectors orthogonal to the axis.

column appears to be sufficiently wide to accomodate threedimensional liquid-like dense random particle packing.

One can also see in Fig.6 that the transition of the liquid crystal (Phase I) into a crystalline phase possessing a three-dimensional periodicity (Phase II) represents a structural transformation of the liquid configuration of each column into a three-dimensional periodic crystal configuration. It is also possible to see that the axial periodic order of a crystalline column is coherent with that of the neighbour columns, thereby producing a global axial periodicity. In the reciprocal space pattern, this gives rise to the respective diffraction peaks emerging in the axial reciprocal-space plane, see Fig. 5. Moreover, the periodic order arising within a column in the process of its crystallisation in the directions perpendicular to the axis develops in a manner coherent with the respective order in the neighbour columns. Thereby a pattern of global

Fig. 6 The real-space images of the two phases configurations. a) and c): view from the axial direction, Phase I and Phase II, respectively. b) and d): a one-column layer of the structure, cut parallel to the layer axis, as viewed perpendicular to the axis. The particle diameter in the plot is assumed to be 1, in reduced units, which corresponds to the particles separation distance at the hard-core contact, see the pair potential in Fig.1

periodicity with wave vectors defined by the close-neighbour distance arises perpendicular to the axis, giving rise to a set of additional diffraction peaks which appears in the respective reciprocal-space plane as a result of the Phase II formation, see Fig. 5. We note that the planes of periodically stacked particle layers in Phase II that can be observed from the view perpendicular to the columns, Fig 6. A detailed analysis of this crystal structure will be reported elsewhere.

The characteristically liquid structure of the columns of Phase I suggests that this phase should also be expected to sustain a kind of liquid-like dynamics. Indeed, a considerable rate of intracolumn liquid-like structural relaxation has been observed (these dynamics can be seen in the movies included as supplementary material 2^4). It is well known that atomic diffusion in dense fluids is driven by collective local particle rearrangements and, in this way coupled to the structural relaxation dynamics 25,26. Driven by this diffusion mechanism, a particle is expected to be able to diffuse to unlimited distance within a column along the axis, whereas its transition perpendicular to the axis is limited by the column diame-

1–8 *|* 5

Fig. 7 *a*) mean-square particle displacement (MSD) in Phase I as a function of time at $T = 1$. Solid line corresponds to the diffusion along the column axis. Dashed line: MSD averaged over directions perpendicular to the axis. *b*) Arrhenius plot of the diffusion rate through the transition point between the liquid phase and the liquid crystal. Squares, liquid. Dots, columnar phase diffusion rate along the axis.

ter. A particle can, nevertheless, leave the column by hopping to an adjacent one; these hopping events, however, are expected to be rare relative to the particle intra-column diffusive movements because of the large energy cost of crossing the potential-energy maximum separating the columns, see Fig.1 (the occasional intercolumn particle hoppings can be observed in the movie included as supplementary material). Driven by these two distinctly different diffusion mechanisms, the particle diffusion in Phase I is therefore expected to be strongly anisotropic, with its gradient directed along the axis. This conjecture is confirmed by the mean-square particle displacement data presented in Fig. 7 which demonstrate that particles indeed diffuse in the axial dimension much faster than in a direction perpendicular to the axis.

Fig. 7 presents an Arrhenius plot of the diffusion, both above and below the transition temperature. For the columnar phase, diffusion presented in the plot is the component along the column axis for which the mean-square displace-

Fig. 8 Normalised intermediate scattering function $F(Q,t)/F(Q,0)$. Solid line and dotted line, respectively, represent the columnar phase at $T = 1.05$ and the liquid phase at $T = 1.1$ for the O value corresponding to the nearest-neighbour distance. Chain-dotted line, columnar phase at $T = 1.05$ for the *Q* value corresponding to intercolumn distance.

ment scales as $\langle r^2(t) \rangle = 2Dt$ where *D* is the diffusion coefficient. The slope of the Arrhenius plot represents the activation energy which is an indicator of the diffusion mechanism; it is clear that the latter doesn't change across the transition temperature. These results convincingly demonstrate that a constituent column in the Phase I represents a typical normal three-dimensional liquid, both structurally and dynamically.

We also investigated collective relaxation dynamics in the columnar phase at $T = 1.05$. For that purpose we calculated intermediate scattering function: $F(Q,t)$ = *⟨*ρ(Q*,*0)ρ(*−*Q*,t*)*⟩*, where ^ρ(Q*,t*) is a Fourier-component of the number density of a system at time *t* as defined by the Equation 3. We explored two wavevector values: $Q_1 = 2.2$ and $Q_2 = 6.8$. The former corresponds to the intercolumn spacing, the latter represents distance between nearest neighbours within a column. We also calculated $F(Q_2,t)$ for the liquid phase at $T = 1.1$. The results are presented in Fig. 8, where time is scaled by the self-diffusion coefficient *D* in order to compare the rates of the diffusive dynamics and the structura. relaxation. While $F(Q_1,t)$ is approaching an asymptotically constant time-limit characteristic of crystallographic wavevectors in periodic structures, $F(Q_2,t)$ decays rather quickly in terms of single-particle diffusive motions, in an apparentl good agreement with the respective behaviour of the liquid. A small very low-level residual tail in the liquid-crystal $F(O_2, t)$ can presumably be accounted for by the constraints imposed on the relaxation dynamics due to intercolumn confinement. We thus conclude that the relaxation dynamics doesn't change upon the transition from the liquid phase to the liquid crystal.

These results appear to be in a sharp contrast with the $F(Q,T)$ behaviour in cluster crystals ²⁷ where a strong decoupling of the relaxation dynamics from the diffusion have been observed for non-crystallographic wavevectors, similar to the respective effects in supercooled liquids approaching structural arrest²⁸. This difference can apparently be related to fundamental the difference in diffusion mechanisms which mediate the structural relaxation in these systems. Cluster crystals are 3D-periodic solids where particle diffusion is only possible by particle hopping between cluster sites. In the columnar phase, normal liquid relaxation mechanism exists within columns whereby structural relaxation is inherently coupled with the individual particle dynamics. It is well known that appearance of hopping diffusion in supercooled liquids is accompanied by relaxation stretching 29 .

4 Discussion

Following the seminal work of Onsager¹⁸, the science of liquid crystals has been dominated by the idea that anisometric shape of the constituent particles is a prerequisite for the formation of anisotropic structure in liquids 17 . Later, it was demonstrated that the liquid crystal structure can be stabilised energetically by an appropriately designed anisotropic interparticle potential 30 . A conceptually significant aspect of the present result is that it demonstrates that an anisotropic pattern with two-dimensional global periodicity can emerge in a liquid composed of a single sort of particles interacting via a spherically-symmetric potential. Moreover, this liquid phase has also demonstrated a strongly anisotropic self-diffusion. This result thus makes it possible to conclude that emergence of structural and dynamic anisotropy in a liquid phase is not necessarily related to the entropic component in the free energy that has so far been assumed to be responsible for the formation of equilibrium anisotropic liquid phases.

Moreover, the Phase I represents a novel type of columnar liquid crystal distinctively different from those commonly observed in discotic systems. In the discotic columnar phases the time-averaged positions of constituent particles are fixed due to the structural constraints imposed by the intracolumn stacking order and the close-packing of the columns. In contrast, the liquid crystal that we discovered in this study is a genuine liquid phase, both structurally an dynamically. Its columns demonstrate a three-dimensional liquid-like structure and a high rate of structural relaxation dynamics (see the movie in supplementary material) which mediates a predominantly axial diffusion, see Fig 7. The possibility of a liquid phase with uniaxial self-diffusion may be of a significant technological interest.

The stabilisation mechanism of the simulated columnar phase can be explained in terms of the pair potential as follows. The dense liquid structure of particles packing within the columns is determined by the short-range steep repulsion and the attractive part of the potential which too is rather shortranged and steep. The long-range repulsive part of the potential, on the other hand, provides separation of the liquid phase into columns, thereby defining the intercolumn spacing. In this way, the design of the pair potential limits the density range in which this phase can be stabilised, since neither packing density within columns nor intercolumn spacing can be significantly changed. We indeed observed that other phases occur upon rather small density variation; these will be reportes separately.

It is of interest to compare the present columnar liquid crystal with cluster crystals formed in the systems with long-range soft-core purely repulsive pair potential 27 . The stabilisation mechanism of the latter crystals is quite different from that of the present columnar mesophase since the purely repulsive potential used in those simulations doesn't have any built-in length scale separation. The cluster crystals are solid 3Dperiodic crystalline structures composed of clusters of particles which significantly interpenetrate under the external pressure due to the soft-core nature of the potential, and the degree of interpenetration is regulated by the external pressure. Therefore, the density of these crystals can be significantly changed while keeping the lattice parameters constant by changing the number of interpenetrating particles within a cluster. The particle mobility in the cluster crystals is provided by inter-cluster hopping; this kind of dynamics, as we discussed above, is quite different from the typically liquid dynamics displayed by the columnar liquid crystal we report here.

Another interesting aspect of the liquid-crystal formation we report here is its apparent similarity to the microphase separation transition 31 . The latter is commonly observed in some polymer blends which, instead of macroscopic phase separation, are able to form under cooling an equilibrium structure of microscopic-size domains with different polymer concentration. These microdomains may form different kinds of globally ordered superstructures, including hexagonal symmetry similar to the one we observed in this simulation. Both the structures produced by microphase separation and the present columnar phase exhibit an anomalously low amplitude of density modulation characteristic of weak crystallisation transition²¹. The simulation we report here thus demonstrates that the microphase separation can be produced in a simple system of identical particles using a potential with short-range attraction and long-range repulsion.

One possible way of realisation of this model in terms of physical systems is straightforward. Columnar liquid crystals have so far been produced in colloidal systems of anisometric particles, commonly of disk-like shape. The present result suggests that columnar liquid crystals with the structure similar to that we report here, and possibly other liquid-

 $1 - 8$ |²

crystal phases, can be formed by spherical colloidal particles, with appropriate tuning of the effective potential. It has to be mentioned that the main features of the pair potential we exploited in this simulation are consistent with the classical theory for colloidal interactions by Derjaguin, Landau, Verwey and Overbeek $(DLVO)^{32-35}$, amended with hard-core repulsion or steric repulsion close to the contact. We also mention that a family of similarly-shaped pair potentials have been used to produce patterns in two-dimensional simulations of soft-matter systems³⁶.

5 Conclusion

In summary, we have presented a molecular dynamics simulation which demonstrates that a thermodynamically equilibrium hexagonal columnar liquid crystal can be formed in a simple single-component system of particles as a result of a first-order phase transition from an isotropic liquid phase. This result is the first demonstration that columnar liquid crystals, that have so far only been found in mesogens composed of anisometric molecules, can also be formed in a system of identical particles with spherically-symmetric interaction potential. Another conceptually significant distinction of this liquid crystal as compared with commonly observed discotic phases is that its columns are structurally and dynamically fluid; we observed a considerable diffusion along the column axis. The scope of impact of this finding is expected to include a possibility of producing columnar liquid crystals in colloidal systems of identical spherical particles and other similar softmatter mesoscopic particle systems. Further studies of the phase behaviour of this system can be helpful to guide its experimental application.

6 Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. B. Sadigh for very useful discussions. This study was supported by the Swedish E-Science Research Foundation (SERC). Funding from the Swedish National Research Council (VR) is gratefully acknowledged. This work has been approved for release under Lawrence Livermore Release No. LLNL-JRNL-656140.

References

- 1 P. G. De Gennes and J. Prost, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals*, Oxford University Press, 1995.
- 2 S. Chandrasekhar, *Liquid Crystals*, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- 3 L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics*, Pergamon, 1980.
- 4 H. Bock, 2001.
- 5 X. Zeng, G. Ungar, Y. Uu, V. Percec, A. E. Dulcey and J. Hobs, *Nature (London)*, 2004, 428, 157.
- 6 C. M. Care and D. J. Cleaver, *Rep. Progr. Phys.*, 2005, 68, 2665.
- 7 M. A. Bates and G. R. Luckhurst, *Structure and Bonding*, Springer Verlag, 1999, vol. 94.
- 8 C. Zannoni, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2001, 11, 2637.
- 9 P. Bolhuis and D. Frenkel, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1997, 106, 666.
- 10 M. P. Allen, G. T. Evans, D. Frenkel and B. M. Mulder, *Advances of Chemical Physics*, 1993, 76, 1.
- 11 S. Sarman and A. Laaksonen, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, 15, 3442.
- 12 S. Sarman and A. Laaksonen, *J. Comp. Theor. Nanosci.*, 2011, 8, 1081.
- 13 B. Martinez-Haya and A. Cuetos, *J.Chem. Phys.*, 2009, 131, 074901.
- 14 M. Bates and G. Luckhurst, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1996, 104, 6696.
- 15 D. Andrienko, V. Marcon and K. Kremer, *J.Chem. Phys.*, 2006, 125, 124902.
- 16 J. Veerman and D. Frenkel, *Phys. Rev. A*, 1992, 45, 5632.
- 17 T. Lubensky, *Solid State Commun.*, 1997, 102, 187.
- 18 L. Onsager, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 1949, 15, 627.
- 19 J.-P. Hansen and I. R. McDonald, 2013.
- 20 A. Metere, T. Oppelstrup, S. Sarman, A. Laaksonen and M. Dzugutov, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2013, 88, 062502.
- 21 E. I. Kats, V. V. Lebedev, and A. R. Muratov, *Phys. Rep.*, 1993, 228, 1.
- 22 G. M. Grason, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, 101, 105702. 23 H. Berendsen and W. van Gunsteren, 1984.
- 24 *See Supplementary material.*
- 25 E. D. G. Cohen, *Physica A*, 1993, 194, 229.
- 26 M. Dzugutov, *Nature*, 1996, 381, 136.
- 27 B. M. Mladek, D. Gottwald, G. Kahl, M. Neumann and C. N. Likos, *Phys.Rev.Lett*, 2006, 96, 045701.
- 28 A. J. Moreno and C. N. Likos, *Phys.Rev.Lett*, 2007, 99, 107801.
- 29 M.Dzugutov, *Europhys. Lett.*, 1994, 26, 533.
- 30 M. W. and S. A., *Z. Naturforsch. A*, 1958, 13, 564.
- 31 L. Leibler, *Macromolecules*, 1980, 13, 1602.
- 32 B. Derjaguin and L. Landau, *Acta Physico Chemica URSS*, 1941, 14, 633.
- 33 E. J. Verwey and J. T. G. Overbeek, 1948.
- 34 J. N. Israelachvili, 2011.
- 35 G. Malescio, *Nature Materials*, 2003, 2, 501.
- 36 K. Barkan, M. Engel and R. Lifshitz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2014, 113, 098304.

Soft Matter Accepted ManuscriptSoft Matter A