Journal of Materials Chemistry C

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsC

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Bimetallic Mn^{III}-Fe^{II} hybrid complexes formed by a functionalized Mn^{III} Anderson polyoxometalate coordinated to Fe^{II}: Observation of a fieldinduced slow relaxation of magnetization in the Mn^{III} centres and a photoinduced spin-crossover in the Fe^{II} centres

⁵ Alexandre Abhervé,^a Mario Palacios-Corella,^a Juan Modesto Clemente-Juan,^a Raphael Marx,^b Petr Neugebauer,^b Joris van Slageren,^b Miguel Clemente-León^{*a} and Eugenio Coronado^{*a}

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The synthesis and crystal structure of the Anderson POM functionalized with two 2,6-di(pyrazol-1-yl)-¹⁰ pyridine (1-bpp) ligands are reported (compound **1**). High-frequency electron paramagnetic resonance (HF-EPR) and magnetic measurements show that it presents a significant negative axial zero-field splitting and field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization due to the presence of isolated Mn^{III} anisotropic magnetic ions. Complexation of **1** with Fe^{II} gives rise to a 2D cationic network formed by

Anderson POMs coordinated to two Fe^{II} ions through the two tridentate 1-bpp ligands and to other two 15 Fe^{II} ions through two oxo ligands in compound **2**, and to an anionic polymeric network formed by Anderson POMs coordinated through the 1-bpp ligands to two Fe^{II} , which are coordinated to two 1-bpp

- ligands from two neighbouring POMs, in compound **3**. Crystal structure of **2** has been solved. Magnetic properties show that Fe^{II} of **3** remains in the low-spin state, while that of **2** remains in the high-spin state due to coordination to oxygens from a neighbouring POM and dimethylformamide and water solvent ²⁰ molecules. Irradiation of **3** at 10 K with green light induces a spin-crossover (LIESST effect) with a small
- but significant photoconversion (~8 %). Finally, AC susceptibility measurements of **2**, **3** and $(C_{16}H_{36}N)_3[MnMo_6O_{18}\{(OCH_2)_3CNH_2\}_2]$ (4) confirm field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization of Mn^{III} Anderson POMs.

Introduction

- ²⁵ Polyoxometalates (POMs) consitute a family of molecular-metal oxides with unique electronic and structural properties and a variety of applications in areas like catalysis, medicine and material science.^{1,2} An interesting possibility of these polyanions is that they can be functionalized with organic ligands,³ affording
- ³⁰ rationally designed, predictable and consistent POM-based hybrid structures.⁴ One of the most successful strategies uses tris-alkoxoamide tripods as anchoring ligands. This approach has already been used for the incorporation of a large variety of organic ligands into Lindqvist, Anderson and Dawson-Wells structures.⁴⁻⁹
- ³⁵ For instance, discrete or polymeric complexes have been obtained from tris-alkoxo-pyridyl ligands of various denticity (pyridyl,¹⁰ bipyridyl^{11,12} and terpyridine¹³).

The preparation and characterization of magnetic POMs following this strategy remains largely unexplored.¹³ Still, ⁴⁰ complex magnetic functionalities could be expected if the appropriate functionalization is chosen. An attractive example can result from the association of a magnetic POM molecule with a spin-crossover (SCO) complex. In SCO systems, low-spin (LS) to high-spin (HS) transitions can be triggered through a variety of

- 45 external stimuli (temperature, pressure or electromagnetic radiation). They constitute one of the most spectacular examples of molecular bistability. To our knowledge, there are not previous reports of POMs showing SCO behaviour. In this work, we will explore this topic through the incorporation of the tridentate 50 ligand, 2,6-bis(pyrazol-1-yl)pyridine (1-bpp) (Scheme 1), into a Mn^{III} Anderson POM. This ligand has been chosen because Fe^{II} complexes of 1-bpp usually present very abrupt spin transition with thermal hysteresis close to room temperature.^{14,15} Furthermore, they often exhibit spin-crossover induced by 55 irradiation (light-induced excited spin state trapping effect, LIESST) with relatively long lifetimes of the photoinduced metastable states.^{15b} To reach this goal we have first prepared the functionalized 1-bpp Anderson POM $(C_{16}H_{36}N)_3[MnMo_6O_{24}(C_{16}H_{15}N_6O)_2] \cdot (C_4H_9NO)_2 \cdot (H_2O)_{2.5}$ (1) 60 and subsequently the compounds
- $[Fe(H_2O)(C_3H_7NO)]_2[MnMo_6O_{24}(C_{16}H_{15}N_6O)_2](OH) \cdot (H_2O) \cdot (C_3 H_7NO)_{1.5}$ (2)and $(C_{16}H_{36}N)[Fe(MnMo_6O_{24}(C_{16}H_{15}N_6O)_2)] \cdot (H_2O)_4$ (3), formed by
 reaction of 1 with Fe²⁺.
- ⁶⁵ Interestingly, during the magnetic characterization of **1**, we have found that the magnetically anisotropic Mn^{III} ion behaves as a single-molecule magnet (SMM) showing field-induced slow

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

relaxation of the magnetization. This behaviour is rare in POMs.^{1c} In fact, it was observed for the first time in 2008 in mononuclear complexes based on lanthanoids ([Ln(W5O18)2]9- POM series)16a magnetic clusters and in based on the $\{ [XW_9O_{34}]_2 [Mn^{III}_4Mn^{II}_2O_4(H_2O)_4] \}^{12}$ (X = Si, Ge) POM.¹⁷ Very recently, in 2015, this behaviour has been observed for mononuclear complexes based on d metal ions $([M(SiW_9O_{34})_2]^{17})$ $^{/18-}$ (M = Fe^{III}, Co^{II} and Mn^{III})).¹⁸ Owing to the current interest raised by the mononuclear SMMs based on Mn^{III,19} we have

10 studied in this work how general this behaviour is in the Anderson structures containing this transition metal ion. Thus, the magnetic properties of 1, 2 and 3 will be compared with those of a functionalized Mn^{III} Anderson POM reported in the literature, $(C_{16}H_{36}N)_3[MnMo_6O_{18}\{(OCH_2)_3CNH_2\}_2]$ (4), (Scheme 1).²⁰ In

15 the second part of this work, we will also study how light affects the SCO behaviour in the hybrid Mn^{III}-Fe^{II} compound **3**.



Scheme 1 Molecular structure of 1-bpp (left) and 4 (right).

Experimental

- $_{20}$ General Remarks. $(C_{16}H_{36}N)_4\alpha\mathcharcel{eq:general}-[Mo_8O_{26}],^{21}\ \mbox{bppCOOEt}^{22}$ and $(C_{16}H_{36}N)_{3}[MnMo_{6}O_{18}{(OCH_{2})_{3}CNH_{2}}_{2}]$ (4)²⁰ were synthetized according to the literature methods. All other materials and solvents were commercially available and used without further purification.
- 25 Synthesis of TRIS-bpp. Under nitrogen atmosphere, bppCOOEt (181 mg, 0.64 mmol), (HOCH₂)₃CNH₂ (77 mg, 0.64 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (88 mg, 0.64 mmol) were suspended in dry dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (5 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 18 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered, and the solvent
- 30 removed by vacuum. The residue was dissolved in EtOH (3 mL) and the product precipitated by slowly adding H₂O (15 mL). The white precipitate was filtered, washed with diethyl ether, and dried to give pure TRIS-bpp (87 mg, 38 %). ¹H NMR (d₆-DMSO, 300 MHz): 8.99 (dd, J = 3, 0.75 Hz, 2H, H_{Im1}), 8.12 (s, 2H, H_{Pyr}),
- $_{35}$ 7.92 (dd, J = 2, 0.75 Hz, 2H, H_{Im}2), 7.82 (br, 1H, H_{NH}), 6.67 (dd, J = 3, 2 Hz, 2H, H_{Im3}), 4.69 (t, J = 6 Hz, 3H, H_{OH}), 3.74 (d, J = 6 Hz, 6H, H_{CH2}). Synthesis

of

 $(C_{16}H_{36}N)_3[MnMo_6O_{24}(C_{16}H_{15}N_6O)_2] \cdot (C_4H_9NO)_2 \cdot (H_2O)_{2.5}$ (1).

 $_{40}$ (C₁₆H₃₆N)₄ α -[Mo₈O₂₆] (150 mg, 0.07 mmol) and Mn(CH₃COO)₃.2H₂O (27 mg, 0.10 mmol) were dissolved in dry dimethylacetamide (DMAc, 8 mL). Then a solution of TRIS-bpp (87 mg, 0.24 mmol) in dry DMAc (3 mL) was added, and the mixture was heated at 80°C for 18 h. The obtained orange

45 solution was allowed to cool down. After two days, yellow cubic crystals of 1 were obtained (177 mg, 98 %). ¹H NMR (d₆-DMSO, 300 MHz): 8.97 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 4H, H $_{Im1}$), 8.09 (br, 4H, H $_{Pvr}$),

7.91 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 4H, H_{Im2}), 6.65 (dd, J = 2.7, 1.2 Hz, 4H, H_{Im3}), 3.16 (m, 24H, H_{C1}), 1.56 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 24H, H_{C2}), 1.31 $_{50}$ (sx, J = 7.5 Hz, 24H, H_{C3}), 0.93 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 36H, H_{C4}) (presence of 2 equiv. of DMAc confirmed by peaks at 1.96, 2.78 and 2.94 ppm). IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 2960 (v C-H, s), 2935 (v C-H, s), 2874 (v C-H, s), 1670 (m), 1618 (m), 1570 (m), 1552 (sh), 1524 (m), 1483 (sh), 1462 (s), 1396 (v C-H, s), 1362 (w), 1320

55 (w), 1288 (w), 1259 (w), 1207 (w), 1151 (w), 1111 (sh), 1097 (v C-O, w), 1047 (m), 1036 (v C-O, sh), 1030 (sh), 941 (v Mo=O, vs), 922 (v Mo=O, vs), 903 (v Mo=O, vs), 789 (m), 760 (m), 667 (v Mo-O-Mo, vs), 565 (m), 464 (m). Anal. Calcd for C. $(C_{16}H_{36}N)_{3}[MnMo_{6}O_{24}(C_{16}H_{15}N_{6}O)_{2}] \cdot (C_{4}H_{9}NO)_{2} \cdot (H_{2}O)_{2.5}$ 60 41.0; H, 6.3; N, 9.2 %. Found: C, 40.9; H, 5.8; N, 9.1 %.

Synthesis of $[Fe(H_2O)(C_3H_7NO)]_2[MnMo_6O_{24}(C_{16}H_{15}N_6O)_2](OH) \cdot (H_2O) \cdot ($ C₃H₇NO)_{1.5} (2).

 $(C_{16}H_{36}N)_{3}[MnMo_{6}O_{24}(C_{16}H_{15}N_{6}O)_{2}] \cdot (C_{4}H_{9}NO)_{2} \cdot (H_{2}O)_{25}$ (1)65 (25.8 mg, 0.01 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (3 mL). A solution of Fe(ClO₄)₂,xH₂O (5.1 mg, 0.02 mmol) in acetonitrile (3 mL) was added slowly, and the resulted mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. The orange precipitate was filtered and recrystallized in dimethylformamide (10 mL). After three 70 days, red crystals were obtained (0.8 mg, 4 %) IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 2922 (v C-H, s), 2875 (v C-H, s), 1654 (m), 1648 (m), 1628 (m), 1572 (m), 1528 (m), 1500 (m), 1460 (s), 1405 (v C-H, s), 1327 (w), 1295 (w), 1274 (w), 1211 (s), 1173 (s), 1156 (w), 1098 (v C-O, s), 1055 ((v C-O, sh), 1025 (sh), 972 (s), 946 (v

75 Mo=O, vs), 925 (v Mo=O, vs), 912 (v Mo=O, vs), 796 (s), 765 (m), 668 (v Mo-O-Mo, vs), 569 (m), 466 (m). Anal. Calcd for $[Fe(H_2O)(C_3H_7NO)]_2[MnMo_6O_{24}(C_{16}H_{15}N_6O)_2] \cdot (OH) \cdot (H_2O): C,$ 23.3; H, 2.6; N, 10.0 %. Found: C, 21.59; H, 3.62; N, 8.99 %.

Synthesis of (C₁₆H₃₆N)[Fe(MnMo₆O₂₄(C₁₆H₁₅N₆O)₂)]·(H₂O)₄

- $(C_{16}H_{36}N)_{3}[MnMo_{6}O_{24}(C_{16}H_{15}N_{6}O)_{2}] \cdot (C_{4}H_{9}NO)_{2} \cdot (H_{2}O)_{2.5}$ ⁸⁰ (3). (1) (12.9 mg, 0.005 mmol) was dissolved in dry acetonitrile (5 mL). A solution of Fe(ClO₄)₂,xH₂O (0.005 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (500 μ L) was added slowly, and the resulted mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature. The orange
- 85 precipitate was centrifuged, washed with dry acetonitrile (5 mL), and dried under vacuum (4 mg, 40 %). IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 2958 (v C-H, s), 2923 (v C-H, s), 2872 (v C-H, s), 1670 (m), 1624 (m), 1570 (m), 1527 (m), 1499 (sh), 1459 (s), 1400 (v C-H, s), 1390 (w), 1363 (w), 1323 (w), 1264 (w), 1209 (w), 1169 (w),
- 90 1096 (v C-O, w), 1052 (v C-O, sh), 1026 (sh), 972 (s), 945 (v Mo=O, vs), 922 (v Mo=O, vs), 903 (v Mo=O, vs), 795 (m), 764 (m), 665 (v Mo-O-Mo, vs), 567 (m), 462 (m). Anal. Calcd for $(C_{16}H_{36}N)$ [Fe(MnMo₆O₂₄(C₁₆H₁₅N₆O)₂)]·(H₂O)₄: C, 28.8; H, 3.7; N, 9.1 %. Found: C, 27.5; H, 2.5; N, 9.1 %. The bands at 1624, ₉₅ 1390, 1169 and 972 cm⁻¹ could support the coordination of Fe^{II} to 1-bpp.

Physical measurements.

Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded in the solid state (KBr pellets) on a Nicolet Avatar 320 FTIR spectrometer in the 400-4000 cm⁻¹ 100 range. C, H and N elemental analyses were done on a CE Instruments EA 1110 CHNS Elemental analyser. The Mn:Mo and Fe:Mn:Mo ratios were measured on a Philips ESEM X230 scanning electron microscope equipped with an EDAX DX-4 microsonde. ¹H NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker 105 AVANCE DRX 300 spectrometer.

Journal of Materials Chemistry C Accepted Manuscrip

Single crystals of all compounds were mounted on glass fibres using a viscous hydrocarbon oil to coat the crystal and then transferred directly to the cold nitrogen stream for data collection. All reflection data were collected at 120 K for **1** and 180 K for **2**

- s on a Supernova diffractometer (1) and on a Supernova Atlas Dual Source diffractometer (2) equipped with a graphitemonochromated Enhance (Mo) X-ray Source ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å). The CrysAlisPro program, Oxford Diffraction Ltd., was used for unit cell determinations and data reduction. Empirical absorption
- ¹⁰ correction was performed using spherical harmonics, implemented in the SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm. Crystal structures were solved by direct methods with the SIR97 program,²³ and refined against all F² values with the SHELXL-2013 program,²⁴ using the WinGX graphical user interface.²⁵ All
- ¹⁵ non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically except as noted and hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined isotropically with a riding model. The structure of **2** showed a weak diffraction due to the presence of disordered solvent molecules in the structure. Due to this, it was not possible
- ²⁰ to refine anisotropically C and N atoms. Initial refinements revealed the presence of substantial volume of unresolvable solvent (DMF) molecules in **2**. The subroutine SQUEEZE from PLATON²⁶ was used to remove the diffracting component of disordered solvents resulting in a void of ca. 741.5 Å³ and 142
- ²⁵ electrons/cell omitted. This corresponds to ca. 3 DMF molecules per unit cell. Crystallographic data are summarized in Table S1, ESI[†]. CCDC-1058519 and 1058520 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre
- ³⁰ via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif. 0.5 mm glass capillaries were filled with polycrystalline samples of compound **1** and mounted and aligned on a Empyrean PANalytical powder diffractometer, using CuK α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54177$ Å). A total of 3 scans were collected at room temperature in the 20 range 5-40°.
- ³⁵ A Q-TOF Premier mass spectrometer with an orthogonal Z-spray electrospray source (Waters, Manchester, U.K.) was used for Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS). The temperature of the source block was set to 100 °C and the desolvation temperature to 120 °C. A capillary voltage of 3.3 kV
- ⁴⁰ was used in the negative scan mode, and the cone voltage was set to 5 V to control the extent of fragmentation of the identified species. TOF mass spectra were acquired in the W-mode operating at a resolution of ca. 15000 (fwhm). Mass calibration was performed using a solution of sodium iodide in
- ⁴⁵ isopropanol/water (50:50) from m/z 50 to 3000. Acetonitrile sample solutions were infused via syringe pump directly connected to the ESI source at a flow rate of 10 μL/min. The observed isotopic pattern of each compound perfectly matched the theoretical isotope pattern calculated from their elemental ⁵⁰ composition using the MassLynx 4.1 program.

Magnetic measurements were performed with Quantum Design MPMS-XL-5 SQUID and PPMS-9 magnetometers on powdered polycrystalline samples. Photomagnetic measurements were performed irradiating with a Diode Pumped Solid State

⁵⁵ Laser DPSS-532-20 from Chylas coupled via an optical fibre to the cavity of the SQUID magnetometer. The optical power at the sample surface was adjusted to 3.4 mW.cm⁻², and it was verified that it resulted in no significant change in magnetic reponse due

to heating of the sample. The photomagnetic samples consisted of 60 a thin layer of compound whose weight was obtained by comparison with the magnetic measurement of a more accurately weighted sample of the same compoud. High-frequency EPR (HF-EPR) spectra (100 - 370 GHz) were recorded on a homebuilt spectrometer. Its microwave source is a 8 - 20 GHz signal 65 generator (VDI) in combination with an amplifier-multiplier chain (VDI) to obtain the required frequencies. It features a quasi-optical bridge (Thomas Keating) and induction mode detection. The detector is a OMC magnetically tuned InSb hot electron bolometer. The sample is located in an Oxford 70 Instruments 15/17T cryomagnet equipped with a variable temperature insert (1.5-300 K). The sample was measured as a 5 mm pressed pellet, which was mixed with eicosan (ratio 1:1 25 mg each). Spectral simulations were performed using the EasySpin 4.5.3 simulation software. A modulation amplitude of 75 80 mA (80 G) was used to modulate the magnetic field. Two temperature sensors allowed monitoring of the sample temperature with high accuracy. The sample was investigated at different frequencies and temperatures (see Table S2, ESI⁺). A linewidth of 120 mT (FWHM) was used. The powder spectrum is 80 obtained using 91 orientations.

Results and discussion

Syntheses



Scheme 2 Synthesis of TRIS-bpp and 1.

1-bpp-functionalized Anderson POM (1) was synthesized in several steps following adapted literature procedures (see Scheme 2). The starting material for the preparation of the functionalized POM was a tris-(hydroxymethyl)-functionalized 1-bpp (TRISbpp), which was obtained from 1-bpp-4'-carboxyethylester. The ester was obtained by esterification with ethanol of the vo carboxylate 1-bpp derivative (bppCOOH). The functionalization of the POM was performed in dry dimethylacetamide (DMAc). It can also be performed with similar conditions in dry acetonitrile but it gives rise to a less pure product in a lower yield. Single crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of the DMAc solution of the compound. ¹H NMR spectra confirm the purity of TRISbpp and 1 and the grafting of 1-bpp to the POM in 1 (see Fig. S1, ESI[†]). As observed previously in terpyridine-functionalized

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

Journal of Materials Chemistry C Accepted Manuscrip

Anderson POM,¹³ the electronic influence of the cluster (paramagnetic Mn^{III}) induces changes in the chemical shifts of the methylene protons of the ligand but not in those of the aromatic ones. Further characterization of **1** by elemental ⁵ analysis, IR spectroscopy (Fig. S2, ESI[†]), microanalysis and electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) is consistent with the bifunctionalization of the POM. Microanalysis shows a Mn:Mo ratio close to 1:6. Fig. S3, ESI[†], shows the ESI-MS (negative mode) analysis of a solution of **1** in acetonitrile. The

¹⁰ three most intense peaks appear at m/z values of 543.2, 814.8 and 935.9, which correspond respectively to the $[MnMo_6O_{24}(C_{16}H_{15}N_6O)_2]^{3-}$ ([1]³⁻), $[MnMo_6O_{24}(C_{16}H_{15}N_6O)_2]^{2-}$ (H⁺ + [1]³⁻) and $[(C_{16}H_{36}N)MnMo_6O_{24}(C_{16}H_{15}N_6O)_2]^{2-}$ (Tetrabutylammonium (TBA)⁺ + [1]³⁻) species. The charge of the

- species present in the spectrum has been unambiguously characterized by single ion recording (SIR) at the highest resolution of the spectrometer with monoisotopic peaks separated by 1/z. Fig. S4, ESI⁺, shows the isotopic distributions of the most intense peaks. As these peaks arise from species in which the
- ²⁰ POM remains intact, we can conclude that the structure of the polyanion is preserved in solution.



Scheme 3 Proposed structure for the polymeric network of 3.

When 1 was reacted with Fe^{2+} in acetonitrile, a precipitate immediately formed, as observed in Lindqvist POM

²⁵ functionalized with terpyridine.²⁷ The precipitation takes place after the addition of one equivalent of Fe²⁺ to the POM suggesting the formation of a polymeric compound in which every POM is coordinated to two Fe^{II}, which, at the same time, are coordinated to two 1-bpp from two POMs (see Scheme 3).

- $_{30}$ Elemental analysis of this precipitate is consistent with the formula $(C_{16}H_{36}N)[Fe(MnMo_6O_{24}(C_{16}H_{15}N_6O)_2)]\cdot(H_2O)_4$ (3). Furthermore, microanalysis show a Fe:Mn:Mo ratio close to 1:1:6 and IR spectrum (Fig. S2, ESI†) and magnetic properties (see below) are consistent with coordination of two 1-bpp to Fe^{II}.
- ³⁵ Unfortunately, it was not possible to get single crystals of this compound to solve the structure. If two equivalent of Fe²⁺ are added, an orange precipitate is obtained with a Fe:Mn:Mo ratio close to 2:1:6. This could indicate that Fe^{II} are either coordinated to 1-bpp from the POM or act as counterion. This precipitate was
- ⁴⁰ partially soluble in polar aprotic solvents such as DMSO, DMAc and dimethylformamide (DMF). This dissolution may involve dissociation of the 1-bpp-metal coordination bond as observed in pyridyl-functionalized hexavanadates.⁵ Indeed, recrystallization in DMF of the compound gave rise to compound **2**, in which
- ⁴⁵ octahedral coordination around Fe^{II} is completed with DMF and water solvent molecules and oxo groups from neighbouring POM (see below). The presence of DMF and water molecules coordinated to the M^{II} (Mn, Co, Ni, Zn) metals has also been observed in pyridyl-functionalized hexavanadates.⁵
- 50 Structure



Fig. 1 View of the structure of the functionalized-POM in compound 1. (Mn (pink), Mo (white), C (black), N (blue), O (red)). Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

1 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$. The 55 asymmetric unit is composed by two half crystallographically independent anions, three TBA⁺ cations, two DMAc solvent molecules and water molecules that present some disorder. The two crystallographically independent anions contain an inversion centre placed in the Mn. They present the common Anderson 60 POM structure with six MoO₆ octahedral edge-sharing units forming a hexagon around the central MnO₆ octahedron. As both alkoxo ligands from the bpp-ligand are directly linked to the $\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{III}}$ ion, this corresponds to the δ isomer of the Anderson structure (Fig. 1).²⁸ All metal atoms essentially lie in a common plane, with 65 a maximum deviation of 0.003 Å from the best least-squares plane. The octahedral coordination geometry of the central Mn^{III} ion is quite regular, with three Mn-O distances of 1.961(3), 1.977(3) and 2.014(3) Å for Mn1 and 1.913(3), 2.019(3) and 2.022(3) Å for Mn2, and cis-O-Mn-O bond angles comprised 70 between 87.00(10)° and 93.00(11)° and trans-O-Mn-O angles of 180° due the presence of an inversion center in Mn. In contrast to previous Mn^{III} complexes exhibiting a field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization, the coordination sphere around $\mathsf{Mn}^{\mathrm{III}}$ does not exhibit a marked tetragonal distortion.^{18,19} For example, 75 distances and angles in 1 are much closer to a perfect octahedron than those of TBA₇H₁₀[Mn^{III}(SiW₉O₃₄)₂]·3H₂O (Mn-O distances of 1.928(5), 1.939(5) and 2.332(5) Å, cis-O-Mn-O bond angles of 77.75(19)° and 88.2(2)° and trans-O-Mn-O angle of $101.36(19)^{\circ}$).¹⁸ It should be noted that the rigidity of the POM 80 framework prevents the Mn^{III} ion from undergoing marked Jahn-Teller distortions. Due to this, the coordination octahedron is only very slightly elongated (Mn1) or compressed (Mn2). The small distortions observed correspond to a slight compression of the octahedron, bringing the two faces capped by the organic ligands 85 closer together, as in other Mn^{III} Anderson POMs.^{20,28} Indeed, distances between these two faces of the octahedron (2.186-2.189 Å) are slightly shorter than those between other faces (2.312-2.338 Å). The two crystallographically independent POMs present a different orientation. They are surrounded by TBA⁺ 90 cations and solvent molecules (Fig. S5, ESI⁺). The shortest distance between Mn^{III} belonging to different POMs is 14.317 Å. Hydrogen bonds are observed between the terminal oxo groups of the POM and water molecules. Furthermore, the NH groups of

⁴ | *Journal Name*, [year], **[vol]**, 00–00

the two POMs form hydrogen bonds with a DMAc molecule and a water molecule. Powder X-ray diffraction of 1 confirms the structure of the compound (Fig. S6, ESI†).



1

Fig. 2 Views of a layer of functionalized-POM linked through Fe²⁺ ions in the structure of 2 (top) and of the repeating trimeric unit with two coordinated POMs (bottom) (Fe (yellow), Mn (pink), Mo (white), C (black), N (blue), O (red)). Red oxygen atoms from the POM are those coordinated to Fe²⁺ ions. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

2 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c. The ¹⁰ asymmetric unit is composed by half crystallographically independent anion and one crystallographically independent Fe coordinated to a DMF and water molecule. Furthermore, it contains half crystallographically independent OH⁻ and water molecules. The structure of the anion is the same as that of the 1-¹⁵ bpp-functionalized Anderson POM found in **1** with an inversion centre placed in Mn, but, in contrast to **1**, it presents a two dimensional (2D) polymeric structure (Fig. 2). Thus, each functionalized Anderson POM is coordinated to two Fe^{II} ions through the two tridentate 1-bpp ligands and to other two Fe^{II} ²⁰ ions through two oxo ligands linked to two Mo ions (Mo2). The

octahedral coordination around Fe^{II} is completed with one DMF and water solvent molecules and the oxo ligand from a neighbouring POM mentioned above. This gives rise to a 2D network in the *bc* plane formed by interconnected ²⁵ $[Fe^{II}(H_2O)(C_3H_7NO)]_2[Mn^{III}Mo_6O_{24}(C_{16}H_{15}N_6O)_2]^+$ units (Fig. 2). The octahedral coordination geometry of the central Mn^{III} presents three Mn-O distances of 1.906(11), 1.998(11) and 2.034(12) Å, cis-O-Mn-O bond angles comprised between 87.1(5)° and 92.9(5)° and trans-O-Mn-O angles of 180° due the ³⁰ presence of the inversion centre in Mn. Fe^{II} presents a more distorted octahedral coordination geometry. The shortest distance is that with the O atom from DMF (1.985(19) Å). Fe-O distances to the water molecule and oxo ligand from POM are intermediate (2.128(16) and 2.206(13) Å), while Fe-N distances to the 1-bpp

- ³⁵ ligand range from 2.182(16) to 2.224(16) Å. These distances indicate that Fe^{II} is in the HS state. A lateral view of two neighbouring layers, shown in Fig. S7, ESI[†], allows distinguishing the microporous channels, which are formed along the crystallographic *c*-axis. These pores are occupied by three ⁴⁰ disordered DMF solvent molecules (see above). Beside these
- DMF molecules, the space between the cationic layers is occupied by water solvent molecules and OH⁻ groups, which are connected through hydrogen bond interactions with NH groups and POM oxo groups from the layers. Hydrogen bond formation
- ⁴⁵ agrees with the presence of half crystallographically independent OH⁻ anion (O200 in Fig. S7, ESI[†]), which counterbalances the positive charge of the 2D layer. Powder X-ray diffraction of **2** could not be performed due to the small amount of sample available.

50 Magnetic properties

Temperature dependence of the product of the molar magnetic susceptibility times the temperature $(\chi_m T)$ of a pressed pellet of 1 in eicosane is shown in Fig. 3. $\chi_m T$ value at room temperature $(2.9 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K})$ is consistent with an isolated Mn^{III} with S = 2 ss and g = 2.0. Upon cooling, the $\chi_m T$ value remains constant until 40 K. Below this temperature, there is an abrupt decrease which indicates that there is an appreciable zero-field splitting as observed in other Mn^{III} mononuclear complexes. This is further confirmed by the isothermal magnetization (M) curves of 1 in the 60 temperature range of 2-10 K, which cannot be superposed at high H/T values (Fig. 4). This indicates that there is a strong magnetic anisotropy of the ground state of Mn^{III}. From simultaneous fitting of susceptibility and magnetization data using the Magpack program (Figs. 4 and S8, ESI[†]),²⁹ a D value = -5.75 cm⁻¹, a E $_{65}$ value = 0.01 cm⁻¹ and a g value = 2 have been obtained. A more precise value of the negative axial anisotropy and a rhombic term presence was confirmed by high-frequency electron paramagnetic resonance (HF-EPR).

HF-EPR is a useful technique to study mononuclear Mn^{III} complexes.³⁰ HF-EPR spectra of a pressed pellet of **1** in eicosane at different temperatures and frequencies (see Table S2, ESI†) are shown in Figs. 5, S9 and S10, ESI†. Simulations of these spectra using the EasySpin simulation software³¹ clearly confirm the negative sign of *D*. All simulations were done using the following 75 set of parameters: $D = -5.24 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $E = 0.39 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $g_{iso} = 1.98$. Interestingly, the slightly distorted octahedral geometry of Mn^{III} in the Anderson POM gives rise to higher axial zero-field splitting parameter than values found in literature for other Mn^{III} complexes presenting a clear tetrahedral elongation of the 80 coordination sphere of Mn^{III,30} including those showing a fieldinduced slow relaxation of magnetization (*D* ranging from -3.2 to -4.55 cm⁻¹).¹⁹ On the other hand, this *D* value is closer to that of TBA₇H₁₀[Mn^{III}(SiW₉O₃₄)₂]·3H₂O (*D* = -5.28 cm⁻¹), which also presents a clear tetrahedral distortion.¹⁸ Finally, the rhombic *E*-⁵ term is slightly lower than most of these complexes ($E \sim 0.5$ -0.7 cm⁻¹)^{19b,c,e} but higher than that found for Na₅[Mn(L-tart)₂]·12H₂O (E = 0.032 cm⁻¹)^{19d} and TBA₇H₁₀[Mn^{III}(SiW₉O₃₄)₂]·3H₂O (E = 0.00119 cm⁻¹).¹⁸



Fig. 3 Temperature dependence of $\chi_m T$ of 1 (full circles), 2 (empty squares) and 3 (empty circles).

10



Fig. 4 Isothermal magnetization of 1 at 2, 5 and 10 K. The continuous line corresponds to the fit (see the text for details).

The relaxation properties of **1** were studied by susceptibility measurements performed with an alternating magnetic field (AC ¹⁵ susceptibility). In the absence of a magnetic field, no signal in the out of phase molar susceptibility (χ_m ") is observed. When magnetic fields of 0.2 or 0.5 T are applied, strong frequencydependent peaks in both the in phase molar susceptibility (χ_m ") and χ_m " appear with clear maxima of χ_m " below 3 K (Figs. 6 and 20 S8, ESI[†]). This is a clear indication that **1** presents a field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization.



Fig. 5 HF-EPR spectra of 1 (black: experiment, red: simulation) at 330 GHz (top) and 180 GHz (bottom) and 10 K. * denotes small impurities in the sample.



Fig. 6 Temperature dependence of the in-phase AC susceptibility (χ_m') (filled symbols) and the out-of-phase AC susceptibility (χ_m'') (empty symbols) of 1 under an applied field of 0.5 T.

25

6 | Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00-00

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]



Fig. 7 Temperature dependence of χ_m T of 3. Empty circles: data recorded without irradiation; full circles: data recorded after irradiation at 10 K.

Notice that only a few mononuclear Mn^{III} complexes, reported very recently, have shown this behaviour.¹⁹ They are $Ph_4P[Mn^{III}(opbaCl_2)(py)_2]$ (H₄opbaCl₂ = N,N'-3,4-dichloro-ophenylenebis(oxamic acid), py = pyridine, and Ph₄P⁺ = tetraphenylphosphonium cation),^{19a} [Mn^{III}(5-TMAM(*R*)salmen)(H₂O)Co^{III}(CN)₆]·7H₂O·MeCN (5-TMAM(*R*)-salmen = (*R*)-*N*,*N*-(1-methylethylene)bis(5-

- 10 trimethylammoniomethylsalicylideneiminate)), 19b [Mn^{III}{(OPPh_2)_2N}_3], 19c Na₅[Mn(L-tart)_2] ·12H₂O (L-tart = L-tartrate), 19d Mn^{III}(dbm)₃ (dbm⁻ = dibenzoylmethanido) and [Mn^{III}(dbm)_2(L)_2](ClO_4) (L = dimethyl sulfoxide or pyridine), 19e and the TBA₇H₁₀[Mn^{III}(SiW₉O₃₄)_2] ·3H₂O POM mentioned
- ¹⁵ above.¹⁸ The maxima of χ_m " in **1** appear at lower temperature (2.4 K) than those of compounds Ph₄P[Mn^{III}(opbaCl₂)(py)₂]^{19a} (~3.6 K) or [Mn^{III}(dbm)₂(L)₂](ClO₄) (L = pyridine)^{19e} (~2.6 K) but higher than those of compounds [Mn^{III}(5-TMAM(*R*)-salmen)(H₂O)Co^{III}(CN)₆]·7H₂O·MeCN,^{19b}
- ²⁰ [Mn^{III}{(OPPh₂)₂N}₃],^{19c} [Mn^{III}(dbm)₃]^{19e} [Mn^{III}(dbm)₂(L)₂](ClO₄) (L = dimethyl sulfoxide)^{19e} and TBA₇H₁₀[Mn^{III}(SiW₉O₃₄)₂]·3H₂O¹⁸ (lower than 2.3 K). On the other hand, the values of the relaxation time, which are calculated from the maximum of χ_m " at a given frequency ($\tau = 1/2\pi\nu$),
- ²⁵ follow the Arrhenius law characteristic of a thermally activated mechanism ($\tau = \tau_0 \exp(E_a/k_BT)$) (Fig. S8, ESI†). The calculated values of the pre-exponential factor and the activation energy (τ_0 = 9 ± 2 10⁻⁹ s and E_a = 12.6±0.3 cm⁻¹ at 0.2 T and τ_0 = 7 ± 1 10⁻⁹ s and E_a = 13.1±0.4 cm⁻¹ at 0.5 T) are consistent with those of the
- ³⁰ other Mn^{III} complexes showing this behaviour.¹⁹ As in these compounds, τ_0 and E_a are field dependent. The extrapolated zero-field E_a value (12±1 cm⁻¹) is close to the gap between the ground and first excited states obtained from HF-EPR data (14.5 cm⁻¹). This could indicate that an Orbach process of magnetic relaxation
- ³⁵ is operative via the first excited $m_{\rm S}$ state as observed in lanthanoid complexes.³² On the other hand, the Cole–Cole plots of 1 at 2.1 K and applied fields of 0.2 and 0.5 T give almost perfect semicircles, which can be fitted by the generalized Debye model (Fig. S11, ESI†).³³ The calculated low values of the α parameter

 $_{40}$ ($\alpha = 0.11$ at 0.2 T and $\alpha = 0.13$ at 0.5 T) support a single relaxation process ($\alpha = 0$ for a Debye model). These values are similar to those found in Ph₄P[Mn^{III}(opbaCl₂)(py)₂] (0.089–0.216).^{19a}



Fig. 8 Temperature dependence of the in-phase AC susceptibility (χ_m') (filled symbols) and the out-of-phase AC susceptibility (χ_m'') (empty symbols) of **2** under an applied field of 0.5 T.

Temperature dependence of $\chi_m T$ of powdered samples of 2 and **3** is shown in Figure 3. $\chi_m T$ values at room temperature (9.4 cm³ mol^{-1} K for 2 and 3.3 cm³ mol⁻¹ K for 3) are close to the expected so contributions for an isolated Mn^{III} with S = 2 and g = 2.0 plus two Fe^{II} in the HS state for 2. These data are consistent with metalligand distances in the structure of 2 that indicate that Fe^{II} is in the HS state. This is in agreement with the crystal field splitting caused by the coordination of Fe^{II} with O atoms (N₃O₃ 55 coordination sphere), whose strength is lower than that caused by the N atoms in 3. In fact, in 3, Fe^{II} is coordinated to two 1-bpp ligands leading to a LS state of FeII. As $\chi_m T$ values for $\boldsymbol{2}$ remain constant upon cooling, we can conclude that there is not magnetic interaction between the Mn^{III} centre (S = 2) and the two HS Fe^{II} $_{60}$ centres (S = 2). This is due to the magnetic isolation between Mn^{III} and the HS Fe^{II}, which is provided by the relatively long TRIS-bpp bridging ligand or MoO₆ units. Indeed, minimum distances between Fe and Mn are close to 6.4 Å in 2. Finally, as spin-crossover of other 1-bpp derivatives has been observed at ⁶⁵ temperatures well above 300 K, ³⁴ χ_m T of **3** has been measured up to 400 K. Unfortunately, χ_m T remains close to LS values indicating that no spin-crossover is taking place in this polymer. To see if it was possible to photoinduce the spin-crossover, 3 was irradiated with green light ($\lambda = 532$ nm, optical power 3.4 mW ⁷⁰ cm⁻²) at 10 K. A small but significant increase of the magnetic signal was observed. After three hours, the irradiation was switched off and the temperature was then increased at the standard rate of 0.3 K min⁻¹. The x_mT product firstly increases upon warming from 10 K due to zero-field splitting of the HS Fe^{II} 75 and reaches a maximum near 40 K (Fig. 7). At higher temperatures $\chi_m T$ decreases to reach similar values to those obtained before irradiation above 70 K. The maximum difference between the two curves (~0.25 cm³ mol⁻¹ K) indicates a

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

photoconversion close to 8 %. This low photoconversion is similar to that observed in other Fe^{II} compounds showing a disordered structure and high $T_{1/2}$.³⁵ Further studies are needed to understand the photomagnetic behaviour of this compound s (spectroscopic studies and relaxation kinetics of the photo-

induced metastable state). To test if SMM behaviour is general for this type of structures, we have measured the relaxation properties of **2** and **3** by AC susceptibility measurements. Furthermore, we have studied for

- ¹⁰ the first time the magnetic properties of **4** (Scheme 1), one of the simplest Anderson POM with Mn^{III} reported in the literature.²⁰ We have to take into account that, while unfunctionalized Anderson-type polyoxomolybdates with Mn^{II}, Fe^{III}, Ni^{II}, and Zn^{II} are known in the literature, all Mn^{III} Anderson POM structures
- ¹⁵ reported so far correspond to functionalized POMs.²⁰ **2**, **3** and **4** show strong frequency-dependent χ_m ' and χ_m '' peaks under an applied magnetic field of 0.5 T below 3 K as in **1**. This is a clear indication that field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization is a common feature for this type of structure (Figs. 8 and S12, ESI[†]).
- ²⁰ The calculated values of τ_0 and E_a of these compounds are similar to those of **1** and the other Mn^{III} complexes showing this behaviour (Fig. S12 and table S3, ESI[†]).¹⁹

Conclusions

In this work, two tridentate 1-bpp ligands have been incorporated

- ²⁵ into an Anderson POM in compound 1 by using the tris-alkoxoamide tripodal functionalization as shown by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Direct reaction of 1 in a 1:1 Fe²⁺:POM ratio gives rise to a 1D polymer in compound 3, whereas a 2:1 Fe²⁺:POM ratio leads to a precipitate partially soluble in DMF, which leads to 2
- ³⁰ after recrystallisation. These results confirm the versatility of the coordination chemistry of tris-alkoxo-amide functionalized POMs to obtain a great variety of structures ranging from a 2D cationic network in compound 2 or an anionic polymer in compound 3. Two conclusions can be extracted from the structure
- ³⁵ of 2: i) the excess of metal leads to coordination with the oxo groups of the POM and; ii) recrystallization in polar aprotic solvents such as DMF involves dissociation of the 1-bpp-metal coordination bond. A similar behaviour has been observed in other functionalized POMs such as pyridyl-functionalized ⁴⁰ hexavanadates.⁵
- Magnetic properties of **1** have shown that it presents a fieldinduced slow relaxation of magnetization due to magnetic anisotropy of Mn^{III}, as observed in other mononuclear Mn^{III} complexes reported very recently. This is the second example of
- ⁵⁰ 3 confirms that this type of behaviour is general for this type of structures. Furthermore, it shows that a high Jahn-Teller tetrahedral distortion as that of those previous Mn^{III} complexes showing field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization is not needed to obtain such behaviour. This result opens the way for
- ss the preparation of hybrid POMs combining this property with other magnetic properties of interest. Thus, spin-crossover behaviour could be expected if two 1-bpp ligands were

coordinated to Fe^{II} as observed in compound **3**. The magnetic properties indicate that, although Fe^{II} complexes remain in the LS ⁶⁰ state in all the temperature range, it is possible to induce spincrossover by light irradiation (LIESST effect). However, the LS to HS photoconversion is limited (~8 %). Possible strategies to improve these results are the use of other counterions or solvents as the spin transition of this type of complexes is very sensitive to

- 65 the changes of packing and intermolecular interactions resulting from different counterion or solvent molecules. Other possibility is to decrease the ligand field by the introduction of other substituents in the 1-bpp derivative.
- Acknowledgments: Financial support from the EU (SPINMOL ERC Adv. Grant), the Spanish MINECO (CTQ-2011-26507, MAT2011-22785 and MAT2014-56143), DFG (INST 41/863-1, SPP1601) and the Generalitat Valenciana (Prometeo and ISIC-Nano programs) is gratefully acknowledged. J. M. Martínez-75 Agudo from the University of Valencia is gratefully acknowledged for magnetic measurements. Gabriel Peris-Pérez and Cristian Vicent-Barrera from Universitat Jaume I are gratefully acknowledged for single crystal X-ray diffraction measurements of 2 and ESI-MS measurements of 1.

Notes and references

- ^a Instituto de Ciencia Molecular (ICMol), Universidad de Valencia, Catedrático José Beltrán 2, Paterna, 46980, Spain. Fax: 34 963543273; Tel: 34 963544405; E-mail: <u>miguel.clemente@uv.es</u>,
- 85 <u>eugenio.coronado@uv.es</u>
- ^b Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 55, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany.

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Crystallographic table, ¹H NMR of TRIS-bpp and 1, IR spectra of 1 and 2 ESI MS of 1 structurel views of 1 and 2 X ray mayder differentian

- 90 3, ESI-MS of 1, structural views of 1 and 2, X-ray powder diffraction pattern of 1, AC susceptibility data of 1, 3 and 4 and HF-EPR spectra of 1. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- [‡] CCDC 1058519-1058520 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the 95 Cambridge Crystallographic Data centre via

www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

- (a) M. T. Pope, Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II, 4, Elsevier Ltd, Oxford, UK, 2004, pp. 635; (b) C. L. Hill, Chem. Rev., 1008, 08, 1, 2 (Special issue: polyayametalates); (c) L. M. Clementa
- 1998, **98**, 1–2 (Special issue: polyoxometalates); (c) J. M. Clemente-Juan, E. Coronado and A. Gaita-Ariño, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 7464.
- C. Bosch-Navarro, B. Matt, G. Izzet, C. Romero-Nieto, K. Dirian, A. Raya, S. I. Molina, A. Proust, D. M. Guldi, C. Martí-Gastaldo and E. Coronado, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 4346.
 - 3 (a) Y. Zhu, P. Yin, F. Xiao, D. Li, E. Bitterlich, Z. Xiao, J. Zhang, J. Hao, T. Liu, Y. Wang and Y. Wei, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 17155; (b) I. Bar-Nahum, H. Cohen and R. Neumann, Inorg. Chem., 2003, 42, 3677.
- ¹¹⁰ 4 M. P. Santoni, G. S. Hanan and B. Hasenknopf, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **281**, 64.
 - 5 J. W. Han, K.I. Hardcastle and C. L. Hill, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, 2598.
 - 6 J. W. Han and C. L. Hill, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 15094.
- 115 7 C. Allain, S. Favette, L. M. Chamoreau, J. Vaissermann, L. Ruhlmann and B. Hasenknopf, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, 3433.
 - 8 C. Allain, D. Schaming, N. Karakostas, M. Erard, J.-P. Gisselbrecht, S. Sorgues, I. Lampre, L. Ruhlmann and B. Hasenknopf, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 2745.

8 | Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00-00

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

Journal of Materials Chemistry C Accepted Manuscript

- 9 I. Ahmed, R. Farha, Z. Huo, C. Allain, X. Wang, H. Xug, M. Goldmann, B. Hasenknopf and L. Ruhlmann, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **110**, 726.
- M. P. Santoni, A. K. Pal, G. S. Hanan, M. C. Tang, K. Venne, A. Furtos, P. Ménard- Tremblay, C. Malveau and B. Hasenknopf, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 200.
- 11 M. P. Santoni, A. K. Pal, G. S. Hanan and B. Hasenknopf, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 6990.
- P. Yin, T. Li, R. S. Forgan, C. Lydon, X. Zuo, Z. N. Zheng, B. Lee,
 D. L. Long, L. Cronin and T. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 13425
- M. P. Santoni, A. K. Pal, G. S. Hanan, A. Proust and B. Hasenknopf, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 6737.
- 14 (a) M. A. Halcrow, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **253**, 2493; (b) M. A. Halcrow, *New J. Chem.*, 2014, **38**, 1868.
- (a) T. Buchen, P. Gütlich, K. H. Sugiyarto and H. A. Goodwin, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1996, 2, 1134; (b) S. Marcen, L. Lecren, L. Capes, H. A. Goodwin and J. F. Létard, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2002, 358, 87; (c) G. Chastanet, C. A. Tovee, G. Hyett, M. A. Halcrow and J. F. Létard, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, 41, 4896.
- 16 (a) M. A. AlDamen, J. M. Clemente-Juan, E. Coronado, C. Martí-Gastaldo and A. Gaita-Ariño, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 8874; (b) M. A. AlDamen, S. Cardona-Serra, J. M. Clemente-Juan, E. Coronado, A. Gaita-Ariño, C. Martí-Gastaldo, F. Luis and O.
- Montero, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 3467; (c) M. J. Martínez-Pérez, S. Cardona-Serra, C. Schlegel, F. Moro, P. J. Alonso, H. Prima-García, J. M. Clemente-Juan, M. Evangelisti, A. Gaita-Ariño, J. Sesé, J. van Slageren, E. Coronado and F. Luis, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2012, **108**, 247213; (d) S. Cardona-Serra, J. M. Clemente-Juan, E. Coronado A. Custa Arião A. Comen. M. Evangalisti, F. Luis, M. J. Martínez, A. Comen, M. Evangelisti, F. Luis, M. J. Martínez, A. Comen, M. Evangalisti, F. Luis, M. J. Martínez, A. Comen, M. Evangalisti, F. Luis, M. J. Martínez, A. Comen, M. Evangalisti, F. Luis, M. J. Martínez, A. Comen, M. Evangalisti, F. Luis, M. J. Martínez, A. Comen, M. Evangalisti, F. Luis, M. J. Martínez, A. Comen, M. Evangalisti, F. Luis, M. J. Martínez, A. Comen, M. Evangalisti, F. Luis, M. J. Martínez, M. S. Sangalisti, F. Luis, M. J. Martínez, M. S. Sangalisti, F. Luis, M. J. Martínez, M. S. Sangalisti, F. Justi, M. J. Martínez, M. Sangalisti, F. Justi, M. Sangalisti, F. Justi, M. J. Martínez, M. Sangalisti, F. Justi, M. Justi, Sangalisti, F. Justi, Sangalisti, F. Justi, Sangalisti, F. Justi, M. Justi, Sangalisti, F. Justi, M. Justi, Sangalisti, F. Justi, Sangalisti
- Gaita-Ariño, A. Camon, M. Evangelisti, F. Luis, M. J. Martínez-Pérez and J. Sesé, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 14982.
 C. Ritchie, A. Ferguson, H. Nojiri, H. N. Miras, Y. F. Song, D. L.
- 17 C. Richie, A. Feiguson, H. Nojiri, H. N. Milas, Y. F. Song, D. L. Long, E. Burkholder, M. Murrie, P. Kögerler, E. K. Brechin and L. Cronin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, 47, 5609.
- 35 18 R. Sato, K. Suzuki, T. Minato, M. Shinoe, K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 4081.
- 19 (a) J. Vallejo, A. Pascual-Álvarez, J. Cano, I. Castro, M. Julve, F. Lloret, J. Krzystek, G. De Munno, D. Armentano, W. Wernsdorfer, R. Ruiz-García and E. Pardo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**,
- ⁴⁰ 14075; (b) R. Ishikawa, R. Miyamoto, H. Nojiri, B. K. Breedlove and M. Yamashita, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 8300; (c) A. Grigoropoulos, M. Pissas, P. Papatolis, V. Psycharis, P. Kyritsis and Y. Sanakis, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 12869; (d) G. A. Graig, J. J. Marbey, S. Hill, O. Roubeau, S. Parsons and M. Murrie, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 13;
- 45 (e) L. Chen, J. Wang, Y. -Z. Liu, Y. Song, X. -T. Chen, Y. -Q. Zhang and Z. -L. Xue, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 271.
- 20 P. R. Marcoux, B. Hasenknopf, J. Vaissermann and P. Gouzerh, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 2406.
- 21 M. Filowitz, R. K. C. Ho, W. G. Klemperer and W. Shum, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1979, **18**, 93.
- 22 T. Vermonden, D. Branowska, A. T. M. Marcelis and E. J. R. Sudhölter, *Tetrahedron*, 2003, **59**, 5039.
- 23 A. Altomare, M. C. Burla, M. Camalli, G. L. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, A. G. G. Moliterni, G. Polidori and R. Spagna, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 1999, **32**, 115.
- 24 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.*, 2008, **A64**, 112.
- 25 L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Cryst., 2008, A04, 112.
- 26 A. L. Spek, *J.Appl. Cryst.*, 2003, **36**, 7.
- 27 J. Zhang, J. Hao, Y. Wei, F. Xiao, P. Yin and L. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, **132**, 14.
- 28 B. Hasenknopf, R. Delmont, P. Herson and P. Gouzerh, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2002, 1081.
- 29 J. J. Borrás-Almenar, J. M. Clemente-Juan, E. Coronado and B. S. Tsukerblat, J. Comput. Chem., 2001, 22, 985.
- ⁶⁵ 30 (a) J. Limburg, J. S. Vrettos, R. H. Crabtree, G. W. Brudvig, J. C. de Paula, A. Hassan, A. L. Barra, C. Duboc-Toia and M. N. Collomb, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **40**, 1698; (b) C. Mantel, A. K. Hassan, J. Pécaut, A. Deronzier, M. N. Collomb and C. Duboc-Toia, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 12337.
- 70 31 S. Stoll and A. Schweiger, J. Magn. Reson., 2006, 178, 42.

- 32 R. Marx, F. Moro, M. Dörfel, L. Ungur, M. Waters, S. D. Jiang, M. Orlita, J. Taylor, W. Frey, L. F. Chibotaru and J. van Slageren, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, 5, 3287.
- 33 K. S. Cole and R. H. Cole, J. Chem. Phys., 1941, 9, 341.
- 75 34 A. Abhervé, M. Clemente-León, E. Coronado, C. J. Gómez-Garcia and M. López-Jordà, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, 43, 9406.
 - 35 V. Mishra, H. Mishra, R. Mukherjee, E. Codjovi, J. Linares, J. F. Létard, C. Desplanches, C. Baldé, C. Enachescu and F. Varret, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 7462.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

