# JAAS

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/jaas

3

1

1 Table of Contents entry





| 3  |
|----|
| 4  |
| 5  |
| 6  |
| 7  |
| 1  |
| 8  |
| 9  |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 20 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 28 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 34 |
| 25 |
| 20 |
| 30 |
| 37 |
| 38 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| 45 |
| 40 |
| 40 |
| 4/ |
| 48 |
| 49 |
| 50 |
| 51 |
| 52 |
| 53 |
| 54 |
| 55 |
| 55 |
| 20 |
| 5/ |
| 58 |
| 59 |
| 60 |

1 2

1

2

3

4

5

6 7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

Dynamic etching of soluble surface layers with on-line Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry detection – a novel approach for determination of complex metal oxide surface cation stoichiometry Andreas Limbeck<sup>a,\*,‡</sup>, Ghislain M. Rupp<sup>a,\*,‡</sup>, Markus Kubicek<sup>a</sup>, Helena Téllez<sup>b</sup>, John Druce<sup>b</sup>, Tatsumi Ishihara<sup>b</sup>, John A. Kilner<sup>b,c</sup> and Jürgen Fleig<sup>a</sup> Institute of Chemical Technologies and Analytics, TU Wien, Getreidemarkt 9/164, 1060 Vienna, Austria <sup>b</sup> International Institute for Carbon-Neutral Energy Research, Kyushu University, 744, Motooka Nishi-ku, Fukuoka, 819-0395, Japan <sup>c</sup> Department of Materials, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London, SW7 2AZ, UK <sup>\*</sup> A. Limbeck and G. M. Rupp contributed equally to this work \* Correspondence: Institute of Chemical Technologies and Analytics, TU Wien, Getreidemarkt 9/164, 1060 Vienna, Austria. Tel.: +43 1 58801 15180. E-mail addresses: A.Limbeck@tuwien.ac.at (A. Limbeck), ghislain.rupp@tuwien.ac.at (G.M. Rupp) Abstract

23 In this work, an innovative approach for determining the surface stoichiometry of 24 complex metal oxide (CMO) thin films is presented. The procedure is based on 25 treatment of the sample surface with different etching solutions, followed by on-line analysis of the derived eluates using inductively coupled plasma - mass 26 27 spectrometry (ICP-MS). Via consecutive treatment of the sample surface with water 28 and diluted HCI, a differentiation between water soluble and acid soluble parts of 29 near surface regions in thin films is enabled. The developed procedure was applied 30 for the analysis of dense  $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}CoO_{3-\delta}$  (LSC) thin films indicating the presence of a 31 water soluble Sr-rich phase with sub nm- thickness on top of the LSC films. The step-LIMBECK et al. PAGE 1

| 2  |  |
|----|--|
| 3  |  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 1  |  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 10 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 29 |  |
| 30 |  |
| 31 |  |
| 32 |  |
| 33 |  |
| 34 |  |
| 35 |  |
| 36 |  |
| 37 |  |
| 38 |  |
| 30 |  |
| 10 |  |
| 40 |  |
| 41 |  |
| 42 |  |
| 43 |  |
| 44 |  |
| 45 |  |
| 46 |  |
| 47 |  |
| 48 |  |
| 49 |  |
| 50 |  |
| 51 |  |
| 57 |  |
| 52 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 54 |  |
| 55 |  |
| 56 |  |
| 57 |  |
| 58 |  |
| 59 |  |
| 60 |  |

32 wise optimization of this technique is reported and the results are compared to 33 measurements performed by low-energy ion scattering (LEIS). The detrimental effect 34 of the water soluble Sr rich phase on the oxygen exchange activity of LSC thin film 35 electrodes is verified by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS).

36

Keywords: Dynamic etching procedure, ICP-MS, flow injection, thin film analysis, lowenergy ion scattering, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

39

## 40 INTRODUCTION

41 Complex metal oxides (CMOs) are key materials in an increasing number of 42 applications. Among the numerous crystal structures adopted by CMOs, those in the 43 perovskite-type (ABO<sub>3</sub>) family have received the greatest attention followed by spinel-44 type  $(AB_2O_4)$  and pyrochlore-type  $(A_2B_2O_7)$  structures. The growing interest over the 45 past decades for these and other structures can be attributed to the functional diversity due to the wide range of properties that they can adopt <sup>1</sup>. For example, in 46 47 the fields of solid state electrochemistry and heterogeneous catalysis, complex metal 48 oxides are attractive alternatives to expensive noble metal catalysts  $^{2-4}$ . For both 49 disciplines, excellent knowledge of the structure and composition of the investigated 50 material at the reaction sites, i.e. in most applications the solid/gas phase boundary 51 (surface), is required for understanding reaction mechanisms and tailoring properties. 52 Nanoscaled systems (e.g. nanoparticles, thin films) may not only improve 53 functionalities but are also indispensable to increase the surface/bulk ratio for a 54 detailed analysis of the region of interest. Particularly, thin film model systems, 55 mostly deposited by physical and chemical vapor deposition techniques, offer the 56 possibilities for using a large variety of analytical methods to characterize the surface 57 and near surface region as well as depth profiling the layers beneath.

LIMBECK et al.

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

Imaging methods, such as atomic force and scanning tunneling microscopy. are widely used to characterize the structure of the termination layer. For the analysis of the chemical composition, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) or ion bombardment techniques such as secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS), Rutherford backscattering (RBS) and low energy ion scattering (LEIS) are often applied <sup>5</sup>. However, quantification of the exact surface composition by these techniques is non-trivial. Alternative methods for quantitative analysis of thin films are glow-discharge mass spectrometry (GDMS)<sup>6,7</sup> or laser-ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS)<sup>8,9</sup>. These and other well-established techniques also enable the analysis of depth profiles with sufficient sensitivity and depth resolution.

Application of these analytical tools has already shown that for complex metal oxides the structure and composition at and close to the surface frequently differ from the bulk. Elastic and electrostatic forces may drive segregation of dopants to the surface of CMOs<sup>10, 11</sup>, reactions between gas phase and solid may lead to the formation of secondary phases <sup>12, 13</sup>, and exsolution of metallic particles on the surface of perovskites is found under reducing conditions <sup>14, 15</sup>, to mention only a few examples. These changes are crucial for the (electro-)catalytic activity of the materials and their analysis requires methods that are specifically adapted to these complex phenomena. One example of a particularly challenging situation is given for the perovskite-type CMO  $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}CoO_{3-\delta}$  (LSC). LSC is a promising cathode material for intermediate temperature solid oxide fuel cells due its high activity for the surface oxygen exchange <sup>16-18</sup>. However, degradation of the surface activity under various conditions is reported in the literature and several correlations to structural and/or compositional changes of the electrode surface have been reported <sup>19, 20</sup>. Chemical investigations on thin LSC films using XPS, SIMS and inductively coupled LIMBECK et al. PAGE 3

 plasma – optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) already revealed an accumulation of Sr at the electrode surface, which may be responsible for the deactivation of the oxygen reduction reaction <sup>21-23</sup>. Furthermore, Cai et al. proposed a secondary Sr phase such as SrO/Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub> at the surface based on different binding energies found for Sr 3d electrons using XPS. Nonetheless, by XPS it is non-trivial to qualitatively (and almost impossible to quantitatively) deconvolute Sr surface species from Sr in the LSC lattice. Accordingly, additional analytical methods with complementary information content, e.g. not only on ionic binding situations but also on phases, are therefore highly desirable.

Recently, Kubicek et al.<sup>21</sup> presented a novel tool for surface chemistry analysis to overcome limitations of conventional depth profiling techniques. There, the surface of LSC thin films was etched with dilute HCI, and the eluate containing the dissolved cations was then analyzed on-line by ICP-OES. In contrast to classic batch-wise extraction procedures, this approach provides time resolved information on the availability and solubility of any dissolved species. Application of a slightly modified etching procedure allowed the verification of a Sr enriched region at the surface of porous LSC thin films <sup>24</sup>. However, limitations in sensitivity and reproducibility of analysis prevented the determination of surface cation stoichiometry as well as the measurement of dense LSC thin films. Thus, further methodological development of the dynamic etching procedure is compulsory; in particular, to enable the investigations required for a better understanding of Sr segregation and the underlying physical and chemical phenomena.

107 In the present study we show how this approach with dynamic etching can be
 108 continuously optimized. With the use of ICP-MS for element-selective on-line
 109 detection significant improvements in sensitivity were achieved. Adaption of the flow LIMBECK et al.

injection system allowed consecutive etching of the sample with different solutions. thereby enabling a differentiation between water soluble and acid soluble LSC constituents. Moreover, improvements in accuracy and reproducibility of analysis could be obtained by changing material, design and volume of the etching cartridge. Achieved advancements allowed reliable measurement of the LSC thin film surface and near-surface cation composition, providing quantitative information about changes in the LSC stoichiometry. Applicability of the developed procedure for the analysis of dense LSC thin films was demonstrated by excellent agreement of the findings with results from low-energy ion scattering (LEIS) measurements.

### 120 EXPERIMENTAL

## 121 Reagents and standard solutions

High purity water was prepared using an Easypure water system (Thermo, USA, resistivity 18 MΩ cm) and used throughout the entire study. Nitric acid (65 %) and hydrochloric acid (37 %) was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) with p.a. grade. Certified stock solutions (1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) of La, Sr and Co as well as Cu and Mn were procured from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and used for the preparation of calibration standards or as internal standards by dilution with 0.012 mol L<sup>-1</sup> HCl.

# Sample synthesis and preparation

The LSC target for PLD was obtained from stoichiometric mixtures of  $La_2O_3$ , SrCO<sub>3</sub>, Co (99.995% Trace Select, Sigma Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) powders and prepared by Pechini synthesis <sup>25</sup> a described in Ref. <sup>24</sup>. LSC thin films with a thickness of 200 nm were deposited onto (100) oriented 9.5 mol% yttria stabilized zirconia (YSZ) substrates (5x5x0.5 mm<sup>3</sup>) the deposition procedure and parameters are given in Ref. <sup>26</sup>.

LIMBECK et al.

Instrumentation

An iCAP 6500 series ICP-OES spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) was used for method development. Sample introduction was performed using an APEX E high efficiency sample introduction system with a Meinhard concentric nebuliser and a cyclonic spray chamber (ESI Elemental Scientific, USA). Instrumental parameters used for ICP-OES measurement of thin surface layers are summarized in Table 1. ICP-MS analysis was conducted using guadrupole instrumentation (Thermo iCAP Qc, Thermor Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Sample introduction was accomplished employing a Peltier-cooled spray chamber equipped with a concentric guartz glass nebulizer. The instrumental parameters (Table 2) were optimized for maximum <sup>115</sup>In signal and a <sup>140</sup>Ce<sup>16</sup>O/<sup>140</sup>Ce ratio below 1.9% on a daily basis using a standard tuning solution. The amount of doubly charged ions was measured by the <sup>137</sup>Ba<sup>++</sup>/<sup>137</sup>Ba<sup>+</sup> ratio which was below 3% for all experiments. To minimize the influence of potential instrument induced artifacts, the sample signals were normalized using <sup>55</sup>Mn as internal standard. Signal quantification was based on external calibration with aqueous standard solutions. Standards and blanks were measured at the beginning, during and at the end of an experimental session.

For analysis of time resolved etching profiles a flow-injection (FI) system coupled to an ICP-OES or ICP-MS for element selective on-line detection was used. The applied FI-system consisted of a six port injection valve (VICI, Cheminert C22, USA) equipped with a sample cartridge for insertion of LSC samples. Arrangement of the applied FI-manifold was similar to the one recently described by Limbeck et al.<sup>27</sup> for the dynamic extraction of water soluble trace metals in airborne particulate matter (Fig. 1). In the first part of the work, commercially available Chromafix® SPE columns (Macherey-Nagel, Germany, diameter 12 mm, length 14 mm) equipped with porous LIMBECK et al. PAGE 6

quartz frits were employed as sample cartridges. In the final procedure, homemade polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) micro-cartridges were used. In both cases the cartridges were connected to the FI-system using conventional luer-fittings, which allowed an easy and fast replacement of the etching compartment. The connections between the individual parts of the FI-system were made with PTFE tubes having an inner diameter of 0.7 mm.

LEIS analysis of the LSC thin films were performed using a Qtac100 spectrometer (ION-TOF GmbH, Germany) fitted with a high brightness ion source to provide the primary beam (Hyperion, Oregon Physics, USA). Major advantage of LEIS is that the analyzer beam only probes the first atomic layer. The elemental composition of the surface was analyzed using a 6 keV Ne<sup>+</sup> beam, which was directed normal to the surface and the kinetic energy distribution of the backscattered ions at 145° (collected over the entire azimuth) was analyzed to obtain the elemental composition of the first monoatomic layer. For depth profiling, a 1 keV Ar<sup>+</sup> sputtering beam at a sputtering angle of 59 degrees was used. The sputtered area was 1.3 x 1.3 mm<sup>2</sup>, with the central region of 1x1 mm<sup>2</sup> analyzed by the primary beam. The sputter rate for the depth calibration of the profiles was estimated by measuring the depth of a 300 x 300 µm<sup>2</sup> crater using laser interferometry (LEXT OLS4000, Olympus, Japan), assuming that the rate was uniform for the LSC thin film. For the quantification of the cation surface coverage, the plateau signals at the end of the depth profiles were used as the reference value for the bulk stoichiometry, as described in Ref. 28. 

184 To measure the impedance of LSC electrodes, a second LSC thin film (same 185 deposition conditions) was deposited on the back side of the YSZ substrate. The 186 impedance was then measured by a Novocontrol Alpha A High Performance 187 Frequency Analyzer with an alternating voltage of 10 mV (rms) in a frequency range 188 of  $10^6$  to  $10^{-2}$  Hz. A detailed description of the setup can be found in Ref. <sup>26</sup>

# 190 Dynamic etching procedure with on-line ICP-OES or ICP-MS detection

The procedure starts with switching the valve in the load position, in this alignment the etching solution (water or dilute HCl including 1.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> Cu used as internal standard; flow rate 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) is directed straight to the mixing device, enabling the removal or insertion of a sample containing etching cartridge. Prior to sample insertion the cartridge was carefully cleaned in an ultrasonic bath of 0.24 mol  $L^{-1}$  HCl for 5 minutes to remove impurities remaining from the last measurement. After integration of a sample containing cartridge, the valve is switched back into the inject position and ICP-OES measurement is started. The etching solution introduced into the etching compartment initiates the etching process of the LSC film. The material dissolved by the etching agent is transported to the mixing device, where the eluate is combined with a make-up solution (0.24 mol L<sup>-1</sup> HCl containing 0.5 mg L<sup>-1</sup> Mn as additional internal standard; flow rate 0.6 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) and mixed thoroughly. The combined liquid flow is then directed into the sample introduction system of the ICP-OES instrument, where the transient elution profiles are measured.

In case of more sensitive ICP-MS detection time resolved intensities for the investigated isotopes were recorded with Qtegra software and exported as .csv files for further data treatment, in particular internal standard correction to overcome potential non-spectral interferences. For interpretation and further discussion the intensity data derived from the etching experiments were converted into absolute masses, which have been removed from the sample surface per time unit. For this purpose calibration functions were determined by analyzing matrix adjusted standard solutions with the same instrumental setup. Standards containing defined amounts of LIMBECK et al. PAGE 8

the investigated elements (blank level up to contents of 1000  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>) and 10  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> Cu (used as internal standard) were prepared just before use by appropriate dilution of respective stock solutions with 0.012 mol L<sup>-1</sup> HCl. For analysis, the etching solution used as carrier was replaced with the standard solution to be investigated. Measurement of those standard solutions was performed in the load position solely. In analogy to the analysis of LSC samples, the liquid flow leaving the FI-manifold was mixed with make-up solution; and the combined flow introduced into the detection system. Prior to each ICP-MS analysis the system was purged with the examined standard solution for approximately 30s, afterwards the signals of the selected isotopes were monitored for 120s. The signal intensities (in counts per second) obtained for the investigated analytes were normalized using Mn as internal standard and correlated with the injected analyte masses, showing for all elements a linear behavior throughout the investigated mass range.

227 RESULTS

### 228 Method development

Limitations in reproducibility and accuracy of analysis are considered the main drawbacks of the procedure reported in Ref.<sup>21</sup>. Typical sources for these problems are analyte losses and/or memory effects, changes in the carrier flow rate and/or composition, alterations in the nebulizer efficiency as well as variations in the plasma load, causing undesirable fluctuations in the measured signal intensities. Since the investigated elements provide an enhanced solubility at lower sample pH, adsorption losses and/or memory effects are only of concern when pure water is applied as etching agent. In the latter case, material dissolved from the LSC surface could be lost during transport from the sample cartridge into the plasma due to adsorption of dissolved material on different parts of the analytical system (tubings, nebulizer, LIMBECK et al. PAGE 9

### Page 11 of 32

### Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry

spray chamber, torch), impeding an accurate measurement of the eluate composition. To minimize the risk of analyte losses and/or memory effects, the sample flow coming from the etching cartridge is mixed on-line with 0.24 mol L<sup>-1</sup> HCI as a make-up solution. The combined liquid flow is then introduced into the nebulizer unit of the detecting ICP-OES, where the transient etching profiles are measured.

To overcome the difficulties related with the mentioned non-spectral interferences, internal standards were applied. Whereas errors related to the sample introduction system could be compensated with any internal standard, the accurate correction of plasma related effects (e.g. energy transfer) requires the use of elements with excitation and ionization energies comparable to that of the target analytes. Thus, the elements Cu and Mn were selected as internal standards, having emission wavelengths close to that of the investigated LSC constituents. With the use of Mn (constituent of the make-up solution) changes in the sample introduction efficiency as well as plasma load could be monitored and corrected if necessary. Cu as constituent of the etching solution is used to control the liquid flow directed through the FI-manifold including the etching compartment. Moreover, the homogeneity of the solution leaving the mixing device could be controlled, a prerequisite for the accurate and reproducible measurement of etching profiles.

Beside improvement of the measurement step, a continuous optimization of the LSC thin film etching process is also required for reliable determination of distribution profiles. For this purpose an etching compartment is mandatory which delivers the eluent to the whole thin film surface uniformly, enabling homogenous sample dissolution by the eluent. Moreover, the etching compartment should provide fast wash out times to avoid mixing of sample eluates from successive sample depths, and the absence of any memory effects due to adsorption or release of analytes. The last issue is of special importance since the acidic make-up solution LIMBECK et al. PAGE 10

Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

Journal of Analytical Atomic

introduced to overcome the problem of analyte losses (see previous section) protects
only the sample introduction system located behind the mixing device. Thus in the
etching cartridge adsorption losses are still possible, since this part is positioned
before the mixing device and therefore operated with etching solution only.

In the very first part of this work, where LSC thin films were simply sandwiched between two porous quartz frits and inserted into a SPE cartridge as reported by Kubicek et al.<sup>21</sup>, differentiation between water soluble and acid soluble contents of LSC thin films was hampered. Memory-effects caused by the pH dependent adsorption/desorption of dissolved LSC constituents on the porous frits were found to be the main reason. To overcome the problems associated with the use of commercial SPE cartridges and porous frits as sample holders, a new etching compartment was manufactured, which allows fixation of the LSC sample without the use of any additional substrate. The material chosen for this application was PTFE, giving the advantage of being resistant to strong acids and acetone, which also enables an effective cleaning of the cartridge prior to next use. Particular emphasis was laid on the geometry of the etching compartment (for details see Fig. 2), which should prevent movement of the sample during the etching process. The square sample (5x5 mm<sup>2</sup>) is placed in the funnel shaped etching compartment (corners in contact with the wall) and the liquid can pass the sample along the edges. Moreover, the volume of the compartment was minimized from 984 µL to 289 µL to decrease wash out time, hence lowering peak broadening. With this set-up reproducibility of analysis was improved significantly, since memory effects as well as unexpected fluctuations in the liquid flow could be completely avoided, enabling a reproducible determination of water and acid soluble LSC constituents.

Page 13 of 32

290 Element selective detection using ICP-MS

As demonstrated in Ref.<sup>21</sup> the detection power of the ICP-OES employed as detection system is sufficient for the analysis of porous LSC films, but in case of dense LSC films with lower surface area limitations in sensitivity are expected. Compared to porous LSC films, the decreased surface area of dense LSC films results in a reduction of the etching rate, thus the amount of analyte introduced into the ICP per time unit is lower, impeding accurate analysis of the derived eluate. Insufficient detection power hampers also an appropriate characterization of the water soluble LSC phase, thus the stoichiometry determined for the water soluble phase could be erroneous. To overcome the problems related with ICP-OES detection, in all further experiments solely ICP-MS was used for element selective analysis. However, compared to ICP-OES the operating conditions of ICP-MS are different, thus, adaption of the dynamic etching procedure was necessary. In a first step the flow rates of etching and make-up solution were optimized, main purpose of this optimization step was to improve sample introduction efficiency without any deterioration of the etching profiles. Best results were achieved with a combined liquid flow of 1.0 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. For lower flow rates, a further increase in the sensitivity of ICP-MS analysis was observed, but the wash-out behavior of the etching compartment decreased distinctly. The internal standards selected for ICP-OES measurements were also applicable for detection with ICP-MS, since the ionization potentials of the target analytes are comparable (Co) or even lower (La, Sr) to that of the internal standard elements (Cu, Mn). Furthermore, ICP-MS analysis of etching and make-up solution delivered basically no signals on the m/z values used for detection of target analytes, indicating the absence of notable contaminations as well as spectral interferences. Concentrations of the applied internal standards were reduced to 10  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> (Cu) and 5  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> (Mn) only. 

LIMBECK et al.

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

With the optimized flow rate and the instrumental parameters described in Table 2 a significant increase in sensitivity could be achieved. For signal quantification matrix adjusted standard solutions and integration intervals of 1 s were applied, a more detailed description is presented in the experimental section. Compared to ICP-OES, the detection limits ranging from 7 (La at 379.478 nm) to 35 (Co at 228.696 nm) µg L<sup>-1</sup> could be reduced by 2 magnitudes of order using the ICP-MS (0.03  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for <sup>139</sup>La, 0.04  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for <sup>59</sup>Co and 0.15  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for <sup>86</sup>Sr). With guadrupole ICP-MS instrumentation lower detection limits are usually possible, however, the operating conditions required in this special application (sample uptake rate of 1.0 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, and the measurement of transient signals with integration intervals of 1 s only) resulted in decreased sensitivity. The guality of the applied chemicals could also contribute to the achieved detection limits, since prevailing impurities might increase the background signals for the target analytes. Thus, with the use of ultrapure grade chemicals further improvements in sensitivity are expected. Nevertheless, the detection limits were low enough to quantify the transient data obtained during each step of the etching process. Fig. 3 shows element intensity/time profiles of an LSC thin film first etched with water and then with 0.012 mol L<sup>-1</sup> HCl measured by ICP-MS. The <sup>86</sup>Sr baseline signals were found to be in the order of 200 cps only, whereas the signal intensity increases to 200.000 cps during water etching before it slowly diminishes to around 2.000 cps and rises again to around 150.000 cps after switching the eluent to diluted HCI. Using the determined calibration functions derived ICP-MS signals were converted into concentrations, which were found to range between some  $\mu g l^{-1}$  and several hundred  $\mu g L^{-1}$ .

LIMBECK et al.

Page 15 of 32

# 341 Analytical performance

As already mentioned in the introduction, changes of the surface cation stoichiometry are considered the main reason for degradation of the electrochemical performance of LSC. Thus, special emphasis was dedicated to the reliable analysis of surface and near-surface regions of LSC thin films. For this purpose, a set of LSC thin films was produced using identical PLD conditions. Analysis of water soluble parts of the films was performed with the use of water as etching solution; samples were subsequently treated with 0.012 mol  $L^{-1}$  HCl to continuously dissolve the remaining acid soluble LSC constituents. Progress of the etching process was monitored by ICP-MS measurement of the derived sample eluates. Obtained etching profiles do not reveal any obvious differences, indicating that the measurement of LSC thin films is highly reproducible. This is also confirmed by the small variations in the peak areas obtained for the water soluble LSC parts, showing relative standard deviations (n=3) of 1.0% for Sr, 1.6% for La and 3.0% for Co. Similar findings can be found in literature for other FI-ICP-MS procedures reported for a variety of applications including on-line sample extraction <sup>29</sup>, analyte enrichment <sup>30</sup> and matrix or analyte separation <sup>31</sup>.

Due to the higher sensitivity of the ICP-MS employed as detection system. experiments become feasible which were not possible with the setup described in Ref.<sup>21</sup>. One scientific task which could thus be addressed is the analysis of dense LSC thin films using water as etching solvent. This results in very low etching rates and thus sample eluates with concentrations not measurable with ICP-OES. To this end, a set of identical dense LSC thin film samples was investigated by means of both detection techniques and treated first with water and subsequently with etching solutions of increased acidity. For further discussion, the intensity/time data received by the detector was converted into molar ratios versus time profiles using the LIMBECK et al. PAGE 14

procedure developed for signal quantification. As expected, the ICP-OES signals were close to the respective detection limits, and do not allow a reliable differentiation between parts of LSC with different solubility. Detection by ICP-MS, on the other hand, leads to very precise and reliable profiles for all elements (Fig. 4a). From the ICP-MS results, it is evident that significant quantities of Sr but also Co and La were dissolved by the treatment with water. Moreover, the amount of water soluble species at the thin film surface decreased significantly with ongoing reaction time, see also Fig. 3. Changing the eluent to 0.012 mol L<sup>-1</sup> HCl resulted in substantially increased signals for Sr, Co and La, until a horizontal plateau of signals (Fig. 3) as well as molar ratios (Fig. 4a) is observed, indicating a constant etching rate for all thin film constituents.

From the findings presented in Fig. 4a, it can be concluded that the near surface region of the LSC thin film contains mainly Sr, while Co and La were only detected in minor amounts. While with ongoing etching time and thus sample depth the absolute ICP-MS signals decreased drastically, the relative contribution of Co and La increased continuously, leading to a rather constant composition of the water soluble part of the LSC sample after approximately 200 s. Compared to the known LSC thin film stoichiometry (dotted line in Fig. 4a) this water soluble fraction (between 200 s - 400 s) still exhibits significant differences – in particular a depletion of La and an enhanced contribution of Sr. However, only a very small amount of cations (< 0.3 nmol) is dissolved during this time interval, which is also reflected by the tailing of the water-soluble peak in Fig. 3. With the use of 0.012 mol L<sup>-1</sup> HCl as etching solution the detected molar ratio changed again, revealing practically the known composition of the LSC thin films.

Further information becomes available when relating the molar ratios to the
 total amount of eluted cations instead of time. It can be clearly deduced from the ICP LIMBECK et al.

MS results (Fig. 4b), that the water soluble fraction represents only a minor part of the dissolved LSC thin film sample and is largely Sr enriched. Assuming that the LSC sample is dissolved homogeneously (layer by layer) the obtained concentration versus time plots could even be converted into depth profiles with knowledge of the respective etching rates. Application of this methodology would result in depth resolutions reaching the sub-nm range (see top abscissa in Fig. 4b) and thus be very competitive to traditional approaches such as GDMS <sup>32</sup>, SIMS <sup>33</sup> or LA-ICP-MS analysis <sup>34</sup>. However, since preferential dissolution of the LSC thin film material on grain boundaries or defect rich regions will most probably occur, the depth scale should only be considered as a rough estimation.

For demonstration of accuracy and reproducibility four PLD deposition batches of LSC thin films, consisting of four individual samples each (i.e. 16 samples), were either analyzed using the procedure developed or in a conventional batch wise approach by dissolving the entire film. For both procedures, 0.012 mol·l<sup>-1</sup> HCl was chosen as etching solution. The analysis time for the dynamic measurements was extended accordingly to allow monitoring of the whole dissolution process, signal quantification was performed as mentioned before. For batch wise experiments the samples were treated with the etching solution in closed reaction tubes. After complete dissolution of the LSC thin films, the substrates were removed from the tubes and sample acidity of the derived solutions has been adjusted to 0.12 mol<sup>-1</sup> HCl prior to ICP-MS analysis. The results derived for the total cation amounts of the LSC samples were in excellent agreement, revealing only negligible differences between batch experiments and dynamic ICP-MS measurements. Furthermore, for quality assurance the samples derived from batch experiments were also measured using ICP-OES, delivering results practically identical to the ICP-MS findings. Thus, the applicability of the proposed dynamic etching procedure as well as the accuracy LIMBECK et al. PAGE 16

Page 18 of 32

Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

Journal of Analytical Atomic

of the applied quantification approach is confirmed, in particular when considering that the production of LSC thin films can also generate minor variations in the composition of the investigated sample sets.

### Analysis of the near surface region of different LSC thin films and comparison

### with LEIS measurements

The developed procedure was applied to compare the surface composition of different dense LSC thin films. In order to understand which parts of the films are water soluble and to compare these results to LEIS measurements, the following experiment was performed: Three of six as-deposited LSC thin films were stirred ex-situ for 10 minutes in ultrapure  $H_2O$  and blown dry with high purity  $N_2$ . All six thin films were then analyzed by the dynamic ICP-MS etching procedure. Representative time-resolved concentration profiles for all elements are shown in Fig. 5a and 5b. The only significant difference between the as-deposited and  $H_2O$  pretreated thin films is seen in the part of the profile resulting from H<sub>2</sub>O etching.

The exact amount of cations etched by water were determined by integration (Fig. 5 beginning of the measurement up to the dashed line). The amount of water soluble Sr is decreased by 0.39  $\pm$  0.02 nmol after the H<sub>2</sub>O pretreatment, while only minor changes are observed in the La and Co concentration. From the lattice parameter of SrO, it can be calculated that 0.33 nmol Sr would be needed to form one atomic layer of highly water-soluble SrO (100 direction) covering the entire 5x5 mm<sup>2</sup> surface of the thin film. Accordingly, the additional 0.39 nmol Sr removed from LSC layers without H<sub>2</sub>O pretreatment corresponds to approximately 1.2 atomic layers of SrO. The remaining cations dissolved with as well as without H<sub>2</sub>O pretreatment would then sum up to about one to two slightly Sr enriched elementary cells of LSC, though inhomogeneous etching might play a role here. This result strongly suggests LIMBECK et al. PAGE 17

### Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry

that the main difference between the surface composition of an as-deposited and a H<sub>2</sub>O treated layer is the absence of a strongly Sr-rich layer in the latter case. The fact that also after H<sub>2</sub>O pretreatment, in the beginning of the measurement, an increased amount of the LSC lattice is dissolved in water may be explained with the influence of trace gases, e.g.  $CO_2$ ,  $SO_2$  adsorbed at the sample surface or PTFE compartment.

To confirm the findings from ICP-MS measurements, further LSC thin films were prepared and analyzed by LEIS. Depth profiles over the first 5 nm of the thin films for the Sr/(Sr+La) and Co/(Sr+La) ratio are shown in Fig. 6a and 6b, respectively. The very first measurement points of the LEIS profiles represent the cation composition of the surface layer. For the as-deposited thin film it can be seen that most of the cation sites in the surface layer are occupied by Sr (81%), Co and La are thus strongly depleted. An enrichment in Sr and depletion of La is also determined for the first 2 – 3 nm of the LSC thin film, even though the sub-surface shows a Co / (Sr + La) ratio that is already very close to the bulk stoichiometry. After the ex-situ H<sub>2</sub>O treatment, the surface layer shows a decreased Sr / (Sr + La) ratio compared to the as-deposited sample, although Co is still depleted. A slight Sr enrichment is again observed beneath the surface.

First, a very good qualitative agreement is thus found between the LEIS results at the surface and the water-soluble amount of cations determined by ICP-MS. Both methods suggest that the LSC lattice has a very Sr rich termination layer. A Sr enriched near-surface region (1-3 nm information depth) was also confirmed by Cai et al. <sup>23</sup> from XPS measurements on similar LSC thin films. In their work, they also proposed that the LSC lattice is SrO / Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub> terminated, since evidence of SrCO<sub>3</sub> species was not obtained. Also the La enriched and Co depleted surface composition measured by our LEIS study after the ex-situ H<sub>2</sub>O treatment finds its counter-part in the ICP-MS experiment: A sharp peak of La together with a depletion LIMBECK et al. PAGE 18

of Co is observed after switching from H<sub>2</sub>O to diluted hydrochloric acid (Fig. 5b and 5d).

Quantitatively, LEIS results indicate a Sr coverage of 81% of the surface. ICP-MS, on the other hand, revealed 1.2 atomic layers of SrO. This difference may arise since LEIS probes a 2D projection of the surface and ICP-MS the true surface area (total solid-gas phase boundary) of the sample. The latter is larger due to cracks in the films. In a previous work we were able to show on microporous LSC thin films that the enhanced surface area from open porosity can be even quantified from the water-soluble amount of Sr<sup>25</sup>. 

Finally, EIS measurements were conducted on as-deposited and  $H_2O$ pretreated samples at 400°C in 1 mbar  $pO_2$  in order to compare the electrochemical properties (O<sub>2</sub> exchange kinetics); representative spectra are shown in Fig. 7. A detailed analysis of such impedance data is given in Ref.<sup>35</sup> and showed that the low frequency arc can be correlated to the oxygen exchange reaction at the LSC surface. It can be seen that the surface treatment does not affect the electrolyte resistance but lowers the electrode resistance by about 50%. This confirms the detrimental effect of the water-soluble SrO top layer on the oxygen exchange activity.

### CONCLUSIONS

The analytical procedure presented in this work allows the chemical composition of surface and near surface regions of complex metal oxide thin films to be determined. Differentiation between water and acid soluble sample constituents was accomplished by preliminary treatment of the sample surface with water, followed by etching with diluted HCI, and element specific on-line analysis of the derived solutions. The required sensitivity was achieved with the use of ICP-MS as detection technique: non-spectral interferences during on-line ICP-MS measurement LIMBECK et al. PAGE 19

 were corrected by means of internal standards. Stepwise optimization of the etching cartridge resulted in an improved washout behavior and the complete elimination of memory effects. Compared to conventional approaches used for surface analysis of solids such as XRF, SIMS, GDOES or GDMS, laser ablation combined with ICP-OES or ICP-MS, the necessity of appropriate matrix matched standards could be circumvented, since simple aqueous standards could be used for signal quantification. A further benefit of the proposed procedure is the ability to distinguish phases with different solubility, whereas common techniques allow only the measurement of total element contents.

The developed procedure was applied for the analysis of LSC thin films. LEIS and on-line ICP-MS measurements revealed a water-soluble Sr-rich termination layer on freshly deposited dense LSC thin films. Qualitatively and quantitatively, a very good agreement between the results obtained by these two techniques was found. The oxygen exchange rates were measured by impedance spectroscopy and an improvement was determined after removing the water-soluble Sr-rich phase from the surface, suggesting that the LSC surface is blocked or inactivated by the formation of this water soluble Sr-rich phase.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors gratefully acknowledge funding by Austrian Science Fund (FWF) projects P21960-N17 and W1243. JAK, TI, HT and JD also acknowledge support from the International Institute for Carbon Neutral Energy Research (wpi-I2CNER), funded by the World Premier Research Center Initiative of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology. HT thanks the financial support from the Japanese Society for Promotion of Science (JSPS postdoctoral fellowship and Kakenhi Grant-in-Aid P13770). LIMBECK et al.

| 2        | 523 | DEED |  |
|----------|-----|------|--|
| 3<br>1   | 525 | REFE |  |
| 4<br>5   | 504 | 4    | A. C. Bhalla, D. Cua and D. Day, Mat Dealeray at 2000, 4, 2, 20  |
| 6        | 524 | 1.   | A. S. Bhalla, R. Guo and R. Roy, <i>Mal Res Innoval</i> , 2000, 4, 3-20.   |
| 0<br>7   | 525 | Ζ.   | M. B. Gawande, R. K. Pandey and R. V. Jayaram, Catalysis Science &   |
| 8        | 526 | -    | Technology, 2012, <b>2</b> , 1113-1125.  |
| 9        | 527 | 3.   | I. E. Wachs, <i>Catalysis Today</i> , 2005, <b>100</b> , 79-94.  |
| 10       | 528 | 4.   | S. Royer, D. Duprez, F. Can, X. Courtois, C. Batiot-Dupeyrat, S. Laassiri and  |
| 11       | 529 |      | H. Alamdari, <i>Chemical Reviews</i> , 2014, <b>114</b> , 10292-10368.   |
| 12       | 530 | 5.   | G. Friedbacher and H. Bubert, Surface and Thin Film Analysis: A  |
| 13       | 531 |      | Compendium of Principles, Instrumentation, and Applications, Second Edition,   |
| 14       | 532 |      | 2011.  |
| 15       | 533 | 6.   | T. Nelis and J. Pallosi, Applied Spectroscopy Reviews, 2006, 41, 227-258.  |
| 16       | 534 | 7.   | B. Fernández, R. Pereiro and A. Sanz-Medel, Analytica Chimica Acta, 2010.  |
| 17       | 535 |      | <b>679</b> , 7-16.   |
| 18       | 536 | 8    | J Pisonero J Koch M Wälle W Hartung N D Spencer and D Günther  |
| 19       | 537 | 0.   | Analytical Chemistry 2007 79 2325-2333   |
| 20       | 538 | a    | B Hattendorf   Pisonero D Günther and N Bordel Analytical Chemistry  |
| 21       | 530 | 5.   | 2012 <b>84</b> 8771 8776   |
| 22       | 539 | 10   | W Loo L W Han V Chan Z Cai and P Vildiz Journal of the American  |
| 23       | 540 | 10.  | W. Lee, J. W. Hall, T. Chell, Z. Cal and D. Thuiz, Journal of the American<br>Chamical Casisty 2012 <b>425</b> 7000 7025 |
| 24       | 541 |      | Chemical Society, 2013, <b>133</b> , 1909-1925.  |
| 20       | 542 | 11.  | S. B. Desu and D. A. Payne, <i>Journal of the American Ceramic Society</i> , 1990,                                       |
| 20<br>27 | 543 |      | <b>73</b> , 3391-3397.   |
| 28       | 544 | 12.  | H. Wang, Y. Zhu, R. Tan and W. Yao, <i>Catalysis Letters</i> , 2002, <b>82</b> , 199-204.                                |
| 29       | 545 | 13.  | A. Yan, V. Maragou, A. Arico, M. Cheng and P. Tsiakaras, Applied Catalysis   |
| 30       | 546 |      | <i>B: Environmental</i> , 2007, <b>76</b> , 320-327.   |
| 31       | 547 | 14.  | Y. Nishihata, J. Mizuki, T. Akao, H. Tanaka, M. Uenishi, M. Kimura, T.   |
| 32       | 548 |      | Okamoto and N. Hamada, <i>Nature</i> , 2002, <b>418</b> , 164-167.   |
| 33       | 549 | 15.  | W. Kobsiriphat, B. D. Madsen, Y. Wang, M. Shah, L. D. Marks and S. A.  |
| 34       | 550 |      | Barnett, Journal of The Electrochemical Society, 2010, <b>157</b> , B279-B284.   |
| 35       | 551 | 16.  | S. B. Adler, <i>Chemical reviews</i> , 2004, <b>104</b> , 4791-4844.   |
| 36       | 552 | 17.  | R. M. Ormerod. Chemical Society Reviews, 2003, 32, 17-28.  |
| 37       | 553 | 18.  | F. Zhao, R. Peng and C. Xia, Fuel Cells Bulletin, 2008, 2008, 12-16.   |
| 38       | 554 | 19.  | H. Yokokawa, H. Tu, B. Iwanschitz and A. Mai, <i>Journal of Power Sources</i> .  |
| 39       | 555 |      | 2008 <b>182</b> 400-412  |
| 40       | 556 | 20   | V I Sharma and B Yildiz Journal of The Electrochemical Society 2010 <b>157</b>   |
| 41       | 557 | 20.  | R441_R448  |
| 42<br>13 | 558 | 21   | M Kubicek A Limbeck T Fromling H Hutter and L Eleig <i>Journal of The</i>  |
| 43<br>44 | 550 | ۷١.  | Electrochemical Society 2011 <b>158</b> B727 B734  |
| 45       | 559 | 22   | Electrochemical Society, 2011, <b>130</b> , D727-D734.   |
| 46       | 500 | 22.  | S. F. Sillinel, M. D. Anderson, M. H. Engenialu and J. W. Stevenson,   |
| 47       | 501 | 00   | Electrochemical and Solid-State Letters, 2006, 9, A478-A481.   |
| 48       | 562 | 23.  | Z. Cal, M. Kudicek, J. Fleig and B. Yildiz, Chemistry of Materials, 2012, 24,  |
| 49       | 563 | ~ 1  |  |
| 50       | 564 | 24.  | G. M. Rupp, A. Limbeck, M. Kubicek, A. Penn, M. Stoger-Pollach, G.   |
| 51       | 565 |      | Friedbacher and J. Fleig, Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2014, 2, 7099-   |
| 52       | 566 |      | 7108.  |
| 53       | 567 | 25.  | M. P. Pechini, 1967, <b>US3330697 A</b> .  |
| 54       | 568 | 26.  | G. M. Rupp, A. Schmid, A. Nenning and J. Fleig, Journal of The   |
| 55       | 569 |      | Electrochemical Society, 2016, <b>163</b> , F564-F573.   |
| 56       | 570 | 27.  | A. Limbeck, C. Wagner, B. Lendl and A. Mukhtar, Analytica Chimica Acta,  |
| 5/       | 571 |      | 2012, <b>750</b> , 111-119.  |
| 58<br>50 |     |      |  |
| 59<br>60 |     |      |  |
| 00       |     |      |  |

| 1  |                         |
|--|-------------------------|
| 2  |                         |
| 3 572 28. J. Druce, H. Tellez, M. Burriel, M. D. Sharp, L. J. Fawcett, S       | 5. N. COOK, D. S.       |
| 4 573 MicPhail, I. Ishinara, H. H. Brongersma and J. A. Ki                     | liner, Energy &         |
| 5 574 Environmental Science, 2014, 7, 3593-3599.                               |                         |
| 575 29. J. Buanuam, K. Liptanasup, J. Shiowatana, M. Miro and E.               | Harald Hansen,          |
| 576 Journal of Environmental Monitoring, 2006, <b>8</b> , 1248-1254.           |                         |
| 577 30. D. Das, M. Dutta, M. L. Cervera and M. de la Guardia,                  | TrAC Trends in          |
| 10 578 Analytical Chemistry, 2012, <b>33</b> , 35-45.                          |                         |
| 11 579 31. P. Galler, A. Limbeck, S. F. Boulyga, G. Stingeder, T. Hirata a     | and T. Prohaska,        |
| 12 580 Analytical Chemistry, 2007, <b>79</b> , 5023-5029.                      |                         |
| 13 581 32. J. Pisonero, N. Bordel, C. Gonzalez de Vega, B. Fernández, F        | R. Pereiro and A.       |
| 14 582 Sanz-Medel, <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> , 2013, <b>405</b> , 5655-5662.    |                         |
| 583 33. J. S. Fletcher and J. C. Vickerman, <i>Analytical Chemistry</i> , 2013 | 3, <b>85</b> , 610-639. |
| 584 34. A. Gutierrez-Gonzalez, C. Gonzalez-Gago, J. Pisonero,                  | N. Tibbetts, A.         |
| 17 585 Menendez, M. Velez and N. Bordel, Journal of Ai                         | nalytical Atomic        |
| 19 586 Spectrometry, 2015, <b>30</b> , 191-197.                                |                         |
| 587 35. G. M. Rupp, H. Tellez, J. Druce, A. Limbeck, T. Ishihara, J. Ki        | Iner and J. Fleig,      |
| 21 588 Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2015, <b>3</b> , 22759-22769.         |                         |
| 22   |                         |
| 23   |                         |
| 24   |                         |
| 25   |                         |
| 26   |                         |
| 21   |                         |
| 20   |                         |

| 1  |     |   |
|--|-----|---|
| 2<br>3<br>4  | 589 | Captions of Tables and Figures  |
| 5<br>6   | 590 | Table 1 Instrumental parameters used for ICP-MS analysis  |
| 7<br>8   | 591 | Table 2 Instrumental parameters used for ICP-OES analysis   |
| 9<br>10  | 592 |   |
| 11<br>12   | 593 | Fig. 1 FI Manifold for dynamic etching of LSC thin film samples: P peristaltic pump, V                                  |
| 13<br>14<br>15   | 594 | 6-port valve.   |
| 16<br>17   | 595 | Fig. 2 PTFE etching compartment.  |
| 18<br>19   | 596 | Fig. 3 Time resolved <sup>59</sup> Co, <sup>86</sup> Sr, <sup>139</sup> La intensities of LSC thin films analyzed using |
| 20<br>21   | 597 | PTFE compartment and ICP-MS. The eluent was switched from ultrapure $H_2O$ to   |
| 22<br>23<br>24   | 598 | 0.012 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> after ~390 s.   |
| 24<br>25<br>26   | 599 | Fig. 4 (a) shows the molar ratio of dense LSC thin films vs. measurement time during                                    |
| 27<br>28   | 600 | the dynamical etching procedure and analysis by ICP-MS, including description of  |
| 29<br>30   | 601 | applied eluent sequence below the profiles. In (b) the molar ratio is plotted vs. the                                   |
| 31<br>32   | 602 | total cation amount (similar to depth profiles).  |
| 33<br>34<br>35   | 603 | Fig. 5 Representative time-resolved ICP-MS concentration profiles for (a) as-   |
| 36<br>37   | 604 | deposited LSC thin films and (b) after ex-situ $H_2O$ treatment. Inset in (b) directly                                  |
| 38<br>39   | 605 | compares the Sr concentration in the water-soluble part.  |
| 40<br>41   | 606 | Fig. 6 (a) Sr / (Sr + La) and (b) Co / (Sr + La) depth profiles measured by LEIS for                                    |
| 42<br>43<br>44   | 607 | as-deposited and ex-situ $H_2O$ treated LSC thin films.   |
| 45<br>46   | 608 | Fig. 7 Nyquist plots of the impedance measurements performed at 400°C in 1 mbar   |
| 47<br>48<br>49<br>50<br>51<br>52<br>53<br>54<br>55<br>56<br>57 | 609 | $pO_2$ for as-deposited and $H_2O$ treated LSC.   |
| 55<br>56<br>57<br>58   |     |   |

**Table 1** Instrumental parameters used for ICP-MS analysis

| ICP-MS instrumentation | Thermo iCAP Q   |
|------------------------|---|
| total sample flow rate | 0.8 mL min <sup>-1</sup>  |
| nebulizer gas flow     | 0.8 L min <sup>-1</sup>   |
| aux. gas flow          | 0.8 L min <sup>-1</sup>   |
| cool gas flow          | 14 L min <sup>-1</sup>  |
| RF power               | 1550 W  |
| Cones                  | Ni  |
| measured isotopes      | <sup>55</sup> Mn, <sup>59</sup> Co, <sup>63</sup> Cu, <sup>65</sup> Cu, <sup>86</sup> Sr, |
| dwell time per isotope | 0.010 s   |
| mass resolution        | m/∆m = 300  |

# **Table 2** Instrumental parameters used for ICP-OES analysis

| Value   | Parameter   | Value  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| 1250 W  | Nebulizer flow  | 0.70 l min <sup>-1</sup>   |  |
| 1.4 ml min <sup>-1</sup>                                  | Auxiliary flow  | 0.8 l min <sup>-1</sup>  |  |
| 12 mm   | Coolant flow  | 12 l min <sup>-1</sup>   |  |
| transient signals with 1 s observation time per data poir |   |  |  |
| constant shift from analytical line                       |   |  |  |
| Thermo ITEVA software                                     |   |  |  |
| λ <sub>1</sub> [nm]                                       | λ <sub>2</sub> [nm]   | λ <sub>3</sub> [nm]  |  |
| 333.749   | 379.478   | 419.655  |  |
| 216.596   | 346.446   | 421.552  |  |
| 228.696   | 238.892   |  |  |
| 224.700   | 324.754   |  |  |
| 257.610   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   | 1250 W         1.4 ml min <sup>-1</sup> 12 mm         transient signals with         constant shift from         Thermo ITEVA soft $\lambda_1$ [nm]         333.749         216.596         228.696         224.700         257.610 | ValueParameter1250 WNebulizer flow1.4 ml min <sup>-1</sup> Auxiliary flow12 mmCoolant flowtransient signals with 1 s observation timeconstant shift from analytical lineThermo ITEVA software $\lambda_1$ [nm] $\lambda_2$ [nm]333.749379.478216.59624.700324.754257.610 |  |



618 Fig. 1 FI Manifold for dynamic etching of LSC thin film samples: P peristaltic pump, V

619 6-port valve.





**Fig. 3** Time resolved <sup>59</sup>Co, <sup>86</sup>Sr, <sup>139</sup>La intensities of LSC thin films analyzed using PTFE compartment and ICP-MS. The eluent was switched from ultrapure H<sub>2</sub>O to 0.012 mol·l<sup>-1</sup> after ~390 s.



**Fig. 4** (a) shows the molar ratio of dense LSC thin films vs. measurement time during the dynamical etching procedure and analysis by ICP-MS, including description of applied eluent sequence below the profiles. In (b) the molar ratio is plotted vs. the total cation amount (similar to depth profiles).



**Fig. 5** Representative time-resolved ICP-MS concentration profiles for (a) as-634 deposited LSC thin films and (b) after ex-situ  $H_2O$  treatment. Inset in (b) directly 635 compares the Sr concentration in the water-soluble part.





- **Fig. 7** Nyquist plots of the impedance measurements performed at 400°C in 1 mbar
- $pO_2$  for as-deposited and  $H_2O$  treated LSC.