ChemComm



Cross-Linked Porous Polyurethane Materials Featuring Dodecaborate Clusters as Inorganic Polyol Equivalents

Journal:	ChemComm
Manuscript ID	CC-COM-04-2019-003350.R1
Article Type:	Communication



Journal Name



COMMUNICATION

Cross-Linked Porous Polyurethane Materials Featuring Dodecaborate Clusters as Inorganic Polyol Equivalents

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx Dahee Jung,^{a,b} Fernando Raffan-Montoya,^c Roshini Ramachandran,^a Yuanyuan Zhang,^d Timur Islamoglu,^d Gustavo Marin,^a Elaine A. Qian,^{a,b,e} Rafal M. Dziedzic,^a Omar K. Farha,^d Stanislav I. Stoliarov ^c and Alexander M. Spokoyny^{a,b*}

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

We report the discovery that a perhydroxylated dodecaborate cluster ($[B_{12}(OH)_{12}]^2$) can act as an inorganic polyol, serving as a molecular cross-linker in the synthesis of polyurethane-based materials. We further demonstrate how the inherent robustness of the utilized boron cluster can effectively enhance the thermal stability of the produced polyurethane materials incorporating $[B_{12}(OH)_{12}]^2$ building blocks compared to analogous polymers made from carbon-based polyols. Ultimately, this approach provides a potential route to tune the chemical and physical properties of soft materials through incorporation of polyhedral boron-rich clusters into the polymer network.

Since the discovery of the vulcanization of natural rubber, crosslinking has been essential in polymer chemistry to improve the thermal, physical and mechanical properties of synthetic macromolecules.¹ Specifically, adding cross-linkers to polymer chains can affect several features in polymers such as elasticity, solubility, and mechanical strength, depending on the crosslinker density and its chemical nature.²⁻⁴ Over the past several decades, researchers have developed numerous cross-linking approaches including the use of linker agents that can interact with the polymer chains in a non-covalent and covalent manner. The latter approach was previously shown to increase chemical and physical stability in polymer networks due to the robust and irreversible nature of covalent cross-linking agents.⁵⁻⁷

Polyurethanes represent one of the most extensively studied classes of polymers due to their versatile properties offering a wide range of applications ranging from rigid foam Polyurethanes are prepared via condensation of diisocyanates and polyols to form urethane linkages. Consequently, the chemical and physical properties of polyurethanes can be altered by employing different types of isocyanates and polyols. In addition, covalent cross-linking has been shown to play an important role in determining the properties of polyurethane materials.^{11,12} Recently, bio-derived polyols obtained from vegetable oils have attracted significant interest as crosslinkable polyols, with the potential to replace petroleum-based polyols. Although these bio-based polyols exhibit reduced impacts on the environment, the lower reactivity of their hydroxyl groups relative to the primary hydroxyl groups in the petroleum-based polyols and high flammability of the resulting bio-based polyurethanes necessitate significant structural modifications to bio-derived polyols.14,15 While a vast amount of research has been carried out on organic-based polyols such as glycerol (I), pentaerythritol (II) and castor oil (III) (Fig. 1a),13-15 surprisingly, there has been no reported effort in assessing purely inorganic-based molecular polyols for producing crosslinked polyurethanes. In this work, we introduce a perhydroxylated dodecaborate cluster, [B₁₂(OH)₁₂]²⁻, (referred to as IV)¹⁶ as an inorganic polyol equivalent, which serves as a hyper cross-linker possessing multiple cross-linking sites to generate porous polymers.⁶ We show that upon reaction with a model diisocyanate linker, boron-rich IV can form multiple urethane linkages to produce a porous polyurethane-based material with enhanced thermal stability compared to an analogue containing a carbon-rich cross-linker.

insulation panels to high performance adhesives.8-10

Our group recently developed a "molecular cross-linking" approach to create hierarchical hybrid metal oxide materials using IV.¹⁷ Based on these observations, we envisioned that the molecular cross-linking approach can be further expanded to organic molecules to form densely cross-linked polymeric networks.¹⁸⁻²¹ Specifically, we hypothesized that IV can be a suitable polyol system that can potentially react with isocyanates to generate urethane linkages. Given that the molecular scaffold of IV can withstand harsh thermal and

^{a.} Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, 607 Charles E. Young Drive East, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA. E-mail: spokoyny@chem.ucla.edu

^{b.} California NanoSystems Institute (CNSI), University of California, Los Angeles, 570 Westwood Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

^{c.} Department of Fire Protection Engineering, University of Maryland, 4356 Stadium Drive, College Park, MD 20742, USA

^{d.} Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, 2145 Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL 60208, USA

^{e.} Department of Bioengineering, University of California, Los Angeles, 420 Westwood Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

⁺Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION



Fig. 1 (a) Overview of existing polyols for the synthesis of polyurethane materials: glycerol (I); pentaerythritol (II); castor-oil (III), and, in this work, a dodecaborate cluster (IV), (b) Synthetic route to produce densely cross-linked polyurethanes, utilizing the polyhedral boron cluster [NⁿBu4]₂[B₁₂(OH)₁₂] as a robust inorganic polyol, (c) FT-IR and (inset) photo of **1**, (d) Solid-state 1D single-pulse ¹³C MAS NMR of **1**.

oxidizing conditions,²²⁻²⁴ we further hypothesized that crosslinking these clusters in polyurethane materials would enhance thermal stability and potentially improve other properties of the resulting polymeric materials. To test our hypothesis, we conducted a model reaction between IV and p-tolyl isocyanate using a bench-top microwave reactor (see ESI⁺). When 60 equivalents of *p*-tolyl isocyanate were mixed with IV and heated for 2 hours at 100 °C in acetonitrile, a complete substitution of the starting cluster material was observed by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), and electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) (see ESI⁺). This model experiment suggested that B-OH groups in IV could indeed behave as competent nucleophiles to form urethane linkages with isocyanates. We then performed condensation polymerizations utilizing 1,4-phenylene diisocyanate (PDI) with IV to ascertain whether this cluster can be used as a polyol equivalent in the synthesis of a model polyurethane-based material (Fig. 1b). We used stoichiometric amounts of functional groups (NCO:OH=1:1) to produce the cross-linked polymer, 1. The reaction produced a viscous mixture and a gel (referred to herein as 1) was formed within 24 hours, suggesting the likely formation of a cross-linked polymer network (Fig. 1c inset and ESI⁺). The FT-IR spectrum of **1** displayed the characteristic features of N-H (3290 cm⁻¹), C=O (1680 cm⁻¹), and C-N stretching (1510 cm⁻¹) coupled with N-H bending, which corroborated the formation of the urethane linkage (Fig. 1c).²⁵ More importantly, there was no unreacted isocyanate present in the product based on the absence of a peak at 2270 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the free isocyanate (ESI⁺). Further structural characterization of 1 was obtained using 1D solid-state ¹¹B and ¹³C magic-anglespinning (MAS) NMR spectroscopy. The 1D ¹¹B MAS NMR spectrum of 1 showed a sharp signal at -17 ppm, which can be assigned to intact B12-based clusters (ESI⁺). The ¹³C MAS NMR showed four signals at 13.3, 19.2, 23.3, and 57.0 ppm



Fig.2 (a) TGA results of 1, (b) SEM image, (c) N_2 adsorption and desorption isotherms at 77 K, (d) Pore size distribution of 1 following supercritical CO_2 activation.

corresponding to the alkyl carbon-based resonances of the butyl groups in [NⁿBu₄]⁺ cation. Two signals in the aromatic carbon region (118.6 and 134.2 ppm) arise from the aryl ring in PDI, and the characteristic peak at 154.7 ppm can be assigned to the carbonyl group in the urethane linkage (Fig. 1d). These combined structural characterizations of the material validate the successful formation of cross-linked polyurethane networks and the incorporation of IV into the polymer. The thermal stability of 1 was investigated using thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). The TG curve of the as-synthesized gel showed a significant weight loss (90%) around 80 °C due to the trapped solvent, acetonitrile, suggesting a highly porous network of the gel (Fig. 2a). The hypothesized porous morphology of the material 1 prompted us to collect N₂ isotherm at 77 K which then was used for Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area and pore size distribution calculations. For this analysis, we activated the polymer gel using a supercritical CO2 drying method to avoid a collapsing of the structure upon solvent removal (ESI⁺).²⁶ The shape of the N₂ isotherm in Figure 2c is representative of a Type IV isotherm, indicating a mesoporous structure with a calculated BET surface area of 440 m²/g (Fig. 2c). The surface morphology obtained by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and the pore size distribution obtained from N₂ isotherm further confirmed the mesoporous structure of the material (Fig. 2b, d). We then examined the thermal stability of the dried polymer using TGA and observed the decomposition of urethane groups at 330 °C (Fig. 2a). This result demonstrates the notably enhanced thermal stability of material **1** compared to the reported thermal decomposition temperature range (120-250 °C) for polyurethanes obtained using organic polyols.27

We then compared the thermal behavior of **1** with an analogue (referred to herein as **2** and see ESI⁺) containing carbon-rich cross-linker **II**. The TGA and the derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) results showed that **1** possesses higher initial and maximum decomposition temperatures and % residual mass at 800 °C than **2**, highlighting the enhanced

Journal Name

Journal Name



Fig. 3 (a) Thermogravimetric, (b) derivative thermogravimetric results of 1 and 2, (c) micro combustion calorimetry (MCC) results, (d) comparison of heat release rate of 1 and 2.

thermal stability of 1 in comparison to organic cross-linked analogue 2 (Fig. 3a, b and ESI⁺). These improved thermal properties of 1 can be attributed to the robust cross-linker, IV, present in 1 which provides more cross-linking sites than II, and consequently results in a higher density of cross-linking.^{28,29} Flammability has been a major issue in the polyurethane industry for certain applications such as polyurethane-based memory foams as they are highly combustible and produce toxic chemicals. Therefore, many efforts have been made to improve the thermal combustion properties of polyurethane foams by physically blending additive type flame-retardants³⁰ or incorporating reactive type flame-retardants, which interact with the polymer during the pyrolysis, into the polymeric network through copolymerization.³¹ In general, polyurethanes copolymerized with flame-retardant monomers exhibit improved thermal stability compared to those with additive types since they form robust covalent bonds with urethane linkages. Given the enhanced thermal stability of 1, we hypothesized IV could function as a flame-retardant polyol in this system. The potential competence of IV as a flameretardant monomer was investigated through micro combustion calorimetry (MCC). In a MCC test, the sample is pyrolyzed at a linear heating rate of 1 °C/s in a N₂ atmosphere and the volatiles are premixed with O₂, resulting in a complete combustion of the material.³² MCC results show a drastically higher char yield for 1 compared to 2, along with a corresponding decrease in heat of combustion for 1 (Fig. 3c). The two-peak heat release rate behavior of 2 is also eliminated, resulting in a single, higher heat release rate peak for 1 (Fig. 3d). The increased char yield, along with the decrease in heat of combustion suggest that, at this heating rate, 1 may offer improved condensed phase flame retardancy. However, given the potential dependence of the char yield on the heating rate, this flame retardancy effect should be characterized at higher heating rates that are more representative of realistic fire scenarios. Nevertheless, for applications where the initial heating rate is on the order of 1 °C/s or lower, 1 shows



Fig. 4 (a) Branched polymers which possess allophanate linkages, (b) Solidstate 1D single pulse 13 C MAS NMR of the branched polymers. (c,d) Pore size distribution of the branched polyurethanes shown in (a).

promising performance as a char-forming fire retardant material.

Finally, in the synthesis of polyurethanes, the presence of excess isocyanates can create allophanate linkages in which the newly formed secondary amine of a urethane linkage reacts with an isocyanate substrate (ESI+).33 We envisioned the surface area and the pore size of the resulting polymer could be tailored by introducing allophanates into the network as they form branched structures. Accordingly, we prepared three different cross-linked polyurethanes by employing various ratios of isocyanates to polyol hydroxyl groups (NCO/OH) (Fig. 4a). The formation of allophanate linkages was confirmed through ¹³C MAS SSNMR. As more diisocyanates are employed, the shoulder around 120 ppm appears more prominent, indicating an increased amount of aromatic carbon close to the allophanate linkages (Fig. 4b and ESI⁺). Moreover, the gradual increase in the intensity of the C=O carbon signal (154 ppm) from NCO/OH=1 to 3 further suggests the formation of allophanate linkages. Indeed, the material containing more allophanate groups, which possesses more branched structures with fewer cross-linkers, exhibits increased surface area and pore sizes (Fig. 4c,d and ESI⁺). This modification of the polyurethane structure demonstrates the value of IV to generate polyurethane materials that possess porous structures and to potentially alter their thermal properties (ESI⁺).34

In summary, we have reported a new class of cross-linked porous polyurethanes utilizing polyhedral boron clusters as an inorganic polyol equivalent. The incorporation of these building blocks into the polyurethane results in a porous morphology and enhanced thermal stability of the material compared to analogous polymers produced from carbon-based polyols. These results highlight the value of the molecular cross-linking with the dodecaborate cluster as a novel and effective strategy to alter the chemical and physical properties of polymeric

COMMUNICATION

Journal Name

materials. This work further showcases how molecular main group chemistry can be harnessed to improve the properties of polymeric materials.³⁵⁻⁴⁵

A. M. S. acknowledges UCLA for start-up funds, 3M for a Non-Tenured Faculty Award, the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for a Fellowship in chemistry, and the Research Corporation for Science Advancement for a Cottrell Scholar Award. A. M. S also thanks the Center for Synthetic Control Across Length-scales for Advancing Rechargeables (SCALAR), an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences under Award DE-SC0019381. D. J and E. A. Q acknowledge the UCLA Graduate Division for the Dissertation Year Fellowship. The authors thank UCLA Molecular Instrumentation Center for mass spectrometry and NMR spectroscopy (NIH Grant 1S10OD016387-01, NSF grant CHE-1048804). We thank the National Science Foundation (Grant 1532232) for sponsoring the acquisition of the solidstate NMR equipment. The authors thank Nicholas A. Bernier for helpful discussions and Dr Alex I. Wixtrom for the graphic image of the dodecaborate cluster.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 M. Akiba and A. S. Hashim, Prog. Polym. Sci., 1997, 22, 475-521.
- 2 J. Maitra and V. K. Shuka, J. Polym. Sci., 2014, 4, 25–31.
- 3 T. G. Fox and S. Loshaek, J. Polym. Sci., 1955, 15, 371–390.
- 4 E. A. Kamoun, X. Chen, M. S. M. Eldin and E-R. S. Kenawy, *Arab. J. Chem.*, 2015, **8**, 1–14.
- 5 P. Wang, H. Xing, D. Xia and X. Ji, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 17431–17434.
- 6 L. Tan and B. Tan, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 3322-3356.
- 7 R. Ramachandran, D. Jung and A. M. Spokoyny, *NPG Asia Mater.*, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41427-019-0119-9
- 8 J. O. Akindoyo, M. D. H. Beg, S. Ghazali, M. R. Islam, N. Jeyaratnam and A. R. Yuvaraj, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 114453–114482.
- 9 R. B. Seymour and G. B. Káuffman, J. Chem. Educ., 1992, 69, 909–910.
- 10 D. Chattopadhyay and K. Raju, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2007, **32**, 352–418.
- 11 M. Heinen, A. E. Gerbase and C. L. Petzhold, *Polym. Degrad. Stab.*, 2014, **108**, 76–86.
- 12 P. Singhal, J. N. Rodriguez, W. Small, S. Eagleston, J. V. de Water, D. J. Maitland and T. S. Wilson, *J. Polym. Sci. B*, 2012, 50, 724–737.
- 13 S. Oprea, J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc., 2010, 87, 313-320.
- 14 L. Zhang, M. Zhang, L Hu and Y. Zhou, *Ind Crops Prod.*, 2014, **52**, 380–388.
- 15 M. Fleischer, H. Blattmann and R. Mulhaupt, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 934–942.
- 16 T. Peymann, C. B. Knobler, S. I. Khan and M. F. Hawthorne, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, **123**, 2182–2185.
- D. Jung, L. M. A. Saleh, Z. J. Berkson, M. F. El-Kady, J. Y. Hwang, N. Mohamed, A. I. Wixtrom, E. Titarenko, Y. Shao, K. McCarthy, J. Guo, I. B. Martini, S. Kraemer, E. C. Wegener, P. Saint-Cricq, B. Ruehle, R. R. Langeslay, M. Delferro, J. L.

Brosmer, C. H. Hendon, M. Gallagher-Jones, J. Rodriguez, K. W. Chapman, J. T. Miller, X. Duan, R. B. Kaner, J. I. Zink, B. F. Chmelka and A. M. Spokoyny, *Nat. Mater.*, 2018, **17**, 341–348.

- 18 A. I. Wixtrom, Y. Shao, D. Jung, C. W. Machan, S. N. Kevork, E. A. Qian, J. C. Axtell, S. I Khan, C. P. Kubiak and A. M. Spokoyny, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2016, **3**, 711–717.
- 19 M. S. Messina, J. C. Axtell, Y. Wang, P. Chong, A. I. Wixtrom, K. O. Kirlikovali, B. M. Upton, B. M. Hunter, O. S. Shafaat, S. I. Khan, J. R. Winkler, H. B. Fray, A. N. Alexandrova, H. D. Maynard and A. M. Spokoyny, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, **138**, 6952–6955.
- 20 K. Su and L. G. Sneddon, *Chem. Mater.*, 1993, **5**, 1659–1668.
- 21 R. Núñez, I. Romero, F. Teixidor and C. Viñas Chem. Soc. Rev., 2016, 45, 5147–5173.
- 22 A. M. Spokoyny, Pure Appl. Chem., 2013, 85, 903–919.
- 23 A. R. Pitochelli and M. F.Hawthorne, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 3228–3229.
- 24 J. C. Axtell, L. M. A. Saleh, E. A. Qian, A. I. Wixtrom and A. M. Spokoyny, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **57**, 2333–2350.
- 25 D. Rosu, L. Rosu and C. N. Cascaval, Polym. Degrad. Stabil., 2009, 94, 591–596.
- 26 A. P. Nelson, O. K. Farha, K. L. Mulfort and J. T. Hupp, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, **131**, 458–460.
- 27 W. P. Yang, E. W. Macosko and S. T. Wellinghoff, *Polymer*, 1986, **27**, 1235–1240.
- 28 T. Gupta and B. Adhikari, *Thermochim. Acta*, 2003, **402**, 169– 181.
- 29 M. L. Matuszak and K. C. Frisch, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. Ed., 1973, 11, 637–648.
- 30 M. –J. Chen, X. Wang, M. –C. Tao, X. –Y, Liu, Z. –G, Liu, Y. Zhang, C. –S. Zhao and J. –S. Wang, *Polym. Degrad. Stabil.*, 2018, **154**, 312–322.
- 31 R. Yang, W. Hu, L. Xu, Y. Song and J. Li, *Polym. Degrad. Stabil.*, 2015, **122**, 102–109.
- 32 F. Raffan-Montoya, S. I. Stoliarov, S. Levchik and Eden, E. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* 2018, **151**, 12–24.
- 33 A. Lapprand, F. Boisson, F. Delolme, F. Méchín and J. –P. Pascault, Polym. Degrad. Stabil., 2005, 90, 363–373.
- 34 R. Pirard, A. Rigacci, J. C. Maréchal, D. Quenard, B. Chevalier, P. Achard and J. P. Pirarda, *Polymer*, 2003, 44, 4881–4887.
- 35 I. Manners, J. Polym. Sci. A: Polym. Chem., 2002, 40, 179–191.
- 36 J. D. Lichtenhan, Y. A. Otonari and M. J. Carr, *Macromolecules*, 1995, 28, 8435–8437.
- 37 F. Vidal and F. Jäkle, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 5846-5870.
- 38 B. P. Dash, R. Satapathy, J. A. Maguire and N. S. Hosmane, New J. Chem., 2011, 35, 1955–1972.
- 39 S. P. Fisher, A. W. Tomich, S. O. Lovera, J. F. Kleinsasser, J. Guo, M. J. Asay, H. Nelson and V. Lavallo, *Chem. Rev.*, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00551
- 40 Y. -S. Bae, O. K. Farha, A. M. Spokoyny, C. A. Mirkin, J. T. Hubb and R. Q. Snurr, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 4135–4137.
- 41 A. A. Jahnke and D. S. Seferos, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2011, **32**, 943–951.
- 42 Y. Zhang, L. Yang, L. Wang, S. Duttwyler and H. Xing, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201903600</u>
- 43 M. A. Fox and K. Wade, J. Mater. Chem., 2002, 12, 1301–1306.
- 44 K. Kokado, Y. Tokoro and Y. Chujo, *Macromolecules*, 2009, **42**, 2925–2930.
- 45 W. –M. Wan, A. W. Baggett, F. Cheng, H. Lin, S. –Y. Liu and F. Jäkle, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 13616–13619