



NJC

**Influence of the Pendant Arm in Deoxydehydration
Catalyzed by Dioxomolybdenum Complexes Supported by
Amine Bisphenolate Ligands**

Journal:	<i>New Journal of Chemistry</i>
Manuscript ID	NJ-ART-04-2020-002151.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-May-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Siu, Timothy; Cal Poly Pomona Silva Jr., Israel; Cal Poly Pomona Lunn, Maiko; Cal Poly Pomona John, Alex; California State Polytechnic University Pomona, Chemistry & Biochemistry

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **Influence of the Pendant Arm in Deoxydehydration Catalyzed by**
4 **Dioxomolybdenum Complexes Supported by Amine Bisphenolate Ligands**
5
6
7

8 Timothy C. Siu,[†] Israel Silva Jr.,[†] Maiko J. Lunn, and Alex John*
9
10

11
12
13 Chemistry and Biochemistry Department
14
15 California State Polytechnic University, Pomona
16
17 3801 West Temple Ave., Pomona CA 91768.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51


52 Email: ajohn@cpp.edu
53
54
55
56
57

Abstract

Dioxomolybdenum complexes supported by aminebisphenolate ligands were evaluated for their potential in catalyzing the deoxydehydration (DODH) reaction to establish structure-activity relationships. The nature of the pendant arm in these aminebisphenolate ligands was found to be crucial in determining reactivity in the deoxydehydration of styrene glycol (1-phenyl-1,2-ethanediol) to styrene. Pendant arms bearing strongly coordinating *N*-based groups such as pyridyl or amino substituents were found to hinder activity while those bearing non-coordinating pendant arms (benzyl) or even weakly coordinating groups (an ether) resulted in up to 6 fold enhancements in catalytic activity. A dioxomolybdenum complex featuring an aminemonophenolate ligand derived from the aminebisphenolate skeleton also resulted in similar yield enhancements. Although aromatic solvents were found to be ideal for performing these catalytic reactions, polar solvents such as *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) and *N,N'*-dimethylpropyleneurea (DMPU) were also suitable. The catalyst was found to maintain its structural integrity under the optimized conditions and could be recycled for a second catalytic run without loss of activity. With the activated substrate *meso*-hydrobenzoin, *trans*-stilbene was obtained in a 56 % yield at 220 °C along with benzaldehyde (71 %) suggesting that the diol is a competing reductant under these conditions.

Introduction

Deoxygenation of biomass is gaining momentum as a process to produce, and complement bulk chemicals currently manufactured by petrochemical industries.¹ The highly oxygenated nature of biomass has proven to be an obstacle when attempting to reduce these molecules into platform chemicals. This challenge in reduction reactions has prompted chemists to develop new methods in the removal of oxygen from biomass.² In this respect, the deoxydehydration (DODH) reaction has proven to be a favorable reaction for the production of olefins from vicinal diols, a functionality abundantly present in lignocellulosic biomass (Scheme 1).³ The reaction typically employs high oxidation state oxometal complexes as catalysts and a reducing agent in an aromatic or alcoholic solvent.⁴



Scheme 1. Deoxydehydration of vicinal diol to an olefin.

The most effective catalysts reported thus far for the deoxydehydration reaction are rhenium-based that have achieved alkene yields well over 90 %.⁵ Cook and Andrews documented early findings of the deoxydehydration reaction with the use of Cp*ReO₃ for the conversion of styrene glycol to styrene (Figure 1(a)).⁶ Other research groups have studied heterogeneous rhenium catalysts that are coupled with precious metals to act as promoters.⁷ Alternatively, researchers have turned to vanadium and molybdenum as an economically viable option for catalysts.⁸ However, these readily available catalysts were found to have relatively low DODH activity when compared to rhenium-based catalysts. In order to increase reactivity, complexes where the metal is supported by different ancillary ligands have been evaluated.⁸ The

choice of reductant has been demonstrated to be crucial in achieving effective catalysis using molybdenum complexes.^{9g}




Figure 1. DODH of styrene glycol catalyzed by selected metal complexes.

In the initial phases of using molybdenum complexes for DODH catalysis, most reactions involved molybdate salts, though, further research has been conducted on molybdenum complexes which feature supporting ligands.⁹ Molybdenum complexes evaluated thus far for DODH reactivity are based on a wide range of ancillary ligands. Acylpyrazolonate ligand based molybdenum complexes were the first to be tested for their reactivity in DODH reactions by Hills and coworkers in 2013 (Figure 1(c)).^{9a} These complexes were found to be moderately effective in reducing 1-phenylethane-1,2-diol to styrene (10-13 %) and cyclooctane-1,2-diol to cyclooctene (55 %) using a 2 mol % loading of the catalyst at 110 °C over 18 h. The higher yield

1
2
3 obtained with an aliphatic diol (1,2-octanediol) compared to the activated diol (1-phenylethane-
4 1,2-diol) is notable. The Okuda group used *bis*(phenolate)molybdenum complexes as catalysts
5
6 for the DODH of anhydroerythritol at 200 °C employing 3-octanol as solvent and reductant.^{9d}
7
8 The olefin product (2,5-dihydrofuran) was obtained in a 57 % yield with conventional heating
9
10 while a 49 % yield was obtained under microwave irradiation using their best catalyst. In 2018,
11
12 De Vos and Stalpaert investigated the stabilizing effects of bulky β -diketone ligands (Figure 1(d)
13
14 and Table S1), and demonstrated that the bulky β -diketone 2,2,6,6-tetramethylheptane-3,5-dione
15
16 (4 equiv.) gave a significant increase in alkene yield (up to 93 %) with several different
17
18 substrates (at 170-200 °C) using 10 mol % of MoO₂(acac)₂.^{9g} The addition of the bulky ligand
19
20 was demonstrated to inhibit catalyst oligomerization under the reaction conditions, which was
21
22 proposed to prevent catalyst precipitation and hence increase catalytic activity. More recently,
23
24 Kilyanek and Tran demonstrated the reactivity of a five-coordinate dioxomolybdenum complex
25
26 and its OPPh₃ adduct (six-coordinate) in the DODH reaction (Figure 1(e) and Table S1).¹⁰ The
27
28 five-coordinate complex (up to 46 % alkene yield) was found to be marginally inferior to its
29
30 OPPh₃ adduct (up to 62 % alkene yield) for several substrates using various reductants in
31
32 temperature range from 150-190 °C.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Our research group is interested in developing catalytic processes based on molybdenum
42
43 catalysts for converting vicinal diols to olefins. We recently reported on deoxydehydration of
44
45 glycols using catalytic amounts of ammonium heptamolybdate (AHM) in the presence of
46
47 Na₂SO₃ as a benign reductant.¹¹ Our interest in evaluating the role of ancillary ligands in
48
49 supporting dioxomolybdenum centers in facilitating the deoxydehydration reaction, prompted us
50
51 to explore the use of amine bisphenolate ligands. These ligands have been used extensively in
52
53 stabilizing metal centers from across the periodic table for various catalytic applications.¹² We
54
55
56
57
58
59
60


1
2
3 were particularly attracted by the modular synthesis of this class of ligands which allows
4 incorporating subtle changes to sterics and electronics as well as the nature of the pendant arm.
5
6 All of these features can be favorably tuned to study the effect of the ligand backbone on
7
8 catalytic activity, and thus develop structure–activity relationships (SAR). Similar SARs have
9
10 been previously reported in olefin polymerization studies catalyzed using complexes stabilized
11
12 by amine bisphenolate ligands. Based on these studies, the presence of a pendant arm featuring
13
14 an amine donor in the ligands was suggested to yield thermally stable and reactive complexes.¹³
15
16 Other studies have demonstrated a correlation between the M-X (where X is the donor atom in
17
18 the pendant arm) bond length in such complexes and olefin polymerization activity.¹⁴
19
20 Dioxomolybdenum complexes based on aminebisphenol ligands have previously found utility in
21
22 various applications including olefin epoxidation, and oxo-transfer catalysis.¹⁵
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 In this study, we have evaluated the catalytic promise of dioxomolybdenum complexes
30 supported by amine bisphenolate (N_xO_y ; $x=1$ or 2 ; $y=2$ or 3) ligands in effecting the DODH
31 reaction (Figure 1(f)). Catalytic reactions were conducted using styrene glycol as a model
32 substrate at 170°C for 24 hours. Catalytic activity was evaluated as a function of ligand features
33 to establish structure-activity relationships. Based on these studies, the nature of the pendant arm
34 was found to be critical in determining catalytic potential of these complexes and yield
35 enhancements up to 6 times were observed.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 Results and Discussion

48
49 At the outset we synthesized a dioxomolybdenum complex **1b**, based on an
50 aminebisphenolate ligand **1a** featuring a pyridyl pendant arm (Scheme 2). The molybdenum
51 complex was synthesized in a low yield (26 %) by the reaction of the ligand with $MoO_2(acac)_2$ in
52
53
54
55
56
57

THF at 50 °C, and was characterized by ^1H & $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR, IR spectroscopy and elemental analysis.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of ligands and molybdenum complexes used in this study.

Complex **1b** was then evaluated for its catalytic potential in the deoxydehydration of 1-phenyl-1,2-ethanediol (styrene diol/glycol) using PPh_3 as a reductant in toluene as a solvent at 170 °C; only a 5 % yield of styrene was detected under these conditions (Figure 2). Styrene yield was quantified by ^1H NMR spectroscopy using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. Since a low styrene yield was obtained with catalyst **1b** we became curious about the feature in the amine bisphenolate ligand which was responsible for impeding catalytic activity. We sought to understand this undesirable effect of the ligand on catalysis by making systematic changes to the ligand backbone and evaluating the resulting molybdenum complexes in the above deoxydehydration reaction.




Figure 2. Molybdenum catalysts supported over amine bisphenolate ligands used in this study.

To uncover the ligand feature which is responsible for the low catalytic activity of complex **1b**, we began by modifying the pendant arm (Scheme 2). We hypothesized that the strength of interaction between the pendant arm and the molybdenum center could potentially influence catalytic activity. A strongly coordinating pendant arm could resist dissociation from the molybdenum center resulting in reduced catalytic activity while a hemilabile pendant arm would create a free coordination-site around the metal when required during the catalytic cycle. When complex **2b** featuring a dialkylamino substituent (NMe₂) was evaluated in the deoxydehydration of styrene diol, a 9 % yield of styrene was obtained (Figure 2). This modest increase in styrene yield with **2b** suggested that the pyridyl pendant arm in complex **1b** was perhaps impeding its catalytic activity. This is presumably due to the stronger binding ability of the rigid pyridine *N* to the molybdenum center compared to the amino *N* in complex **2b**. Alternatively, the hemilabile nature of the pendant arm in **2b** could result from the sterically hindered nature of the tertiary amine donor in the dialkylamino pendant group. To further

1
2
3 explore the potential of hemilabile pendant arms in facilitating the deoxydehydration reaction,
4
5 we synthesized complex **3b** featuring an ether group in the pendant arm. The *O* donor in the
6
7 pendant arm should be an even more weakly coordinating group given the higher
8
9 electronegativity (lower basicity) of *O* compared to *N*. Quite significantly, this resulted in a
10
11 styrene yield of 31 % (Figure 2) under the reaction conditions which is significantly higher
12
13 compared to the yield (5 %) observed with catalyst **1b**. Expanding on this result, when complex
14
15 **4b** featuring a non-coordinating benzyl arm was used in the deoxydehydration reaction,
16
17 gratifyingly, styrene was also obtained in 34 % yield (Figure 2). This result further confirms the
18
19 importance of the coordinating nature of the pendant arm in controlling catalytic activity.
20
21
22

23
24 To understand the importance of the phenol arms in amine bisphenolate ligands in
25
26 controlling the catalytic potential of these molybdenum complexes, we synthesized an amine
27
28 monophenol ligand (**5a**) through a reductive amination reaction (Scheme 2). The corresponding
29
30 dioxomolybdenum complex **5b** was obtained as a μ -oxo dimer under the reaction conditions.¹⁶
31
32 When the catalysis reaction was performed using 5 mol % **5b** (10 mol % Mo since it is a dimeric
33
34 complex), styrene was produced in 32 % yield suggesting that removal of a phenol arm has the
35
36 same effect as the transition from a strongly coordinating to a hemilabile or weakly coordinating
37
38 pendant arm (Figure 1). It must be noted that the dinuclear nature of the complex **5b** could result
39
40 in a change in mechanism of the catalytic reaction as compared to the mononuclear complexes
41
42 **1b-4b**. When the catalyst loading of **5b** was increased to 15 mol %, the reaction resulted in a
43
44 modest increase of styrene yield to 48 %.
45
46
47
48

49 The detection of resonances characteristic of the catalyst (**4b**) in ¹H NMR spectra of
50
51 reactions at the end a catalytic run, prompted us to test the reuse of the catalyst. Hence, a second
52
53 equivalent of the substrate was added to the reaction flask after the first 24 hour period (37 %
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 yield of styrene from first run) and the catalytic reaction allowed to run for an additional 16-24
4
5 hours. The catalyst in solution was found to be active, and an additional 44 % of styrene was
6
7 formed during the second run. Attempts to further reuse the catalyst were unsuccessful. This loss
8
9 of activity after the second run could presumably be indicative of the gradual formation of
10
11 catalytically inactive (heterogeneous) molybdenum species during the catalysis.
12
13

14
15 The choice of solvent is crucial to realizing the full potential of DODH reaction in
16
17 converting biobased vicinal diols to corresponding alkenes. Previous studies using rhenium
18
19 catalysts used alcohols as solvent and sacrificial reductant for effecting conversion of sugar
20
21 alcohols.¹⁷ A screen was performed to identify solvent compatibility for DODH catalyzed by
22
23 complex **4b** (Table 1). Aromatic solvents including mesitylene, xylene, chlorobenzene, and
24
25 toluene were found to be equally effective (30-34 %) in promoting catalysis at 170 °C. A
26
27 catalytic reaction carried out in dry toluene as solvent where reagents were loaded into the
28
29 reactor inside a glovebox did not offer any improvement, and produced styrene in 33 % yield
30
31 (Table 1, entry 3). Although polar solvents such as DMF and DMPU furnished styrene in
32
33 comparatively lower yields (~20 %), these results are worth noting as further developments in
34
35 this direction may be imperative to realize the full substrate scope of sugar-based polyols in the
36
37 DODH reaction. No reaction was observed when H₂O was used as solvent. Although alcohols
38
39 have been used as a sacrificial reductant and solvent in a variety of prior studies, a low yield of 5
40
41 % styrene was obtained when 3-octanol was employed under our conditions. Although higher
42
43 temperatures (200 °C) have been typically employed in molybdenum catalyzed reactions
44
45 involving alcohol reductants,^{9d,g} we did not explore such conditions using 3-octanol. The yield of
46
47 benzaldehyde formed under the reaction conditions (Table 1; C=O) for these solvents was in the
48
49 range of 1-13 %; the highest yield was obtained in DMPU, with styrene and benzaldehyde
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

obtained in a 2:1 ratio. The benzaldehyde side-product is obtained by oxidative cleavage of styrene glycol and is indicative of the diol acting as a reductant under our catalytic conditions.^{9b,10}

Table 1. Solvent screen for DODH of styrene glycol using **4b**.^a

entry	solvent	yield (%)	
		C=C ^b	C=O ^e
1.	mesitylene	31	5
2.	xylene	30	4
3.	toluene	34 (33) ^c	1
4.	DMF	18	nd
5.	DMPU	22	13
6.	H ₂ O	0	0
7. ^d	3-octanol	5	1
8.	chlorobenzene	33	5

^aReaction conditions: Styrene glycol (0.5 mmol), PPh₃ (0.75 mmol) and **4b** (10 mol %) were combined in the solvent (*ca.* 2.5 mL) and heated at 170 °C for 16-24 hours. DMF = *N,N*-dimethylformamide, DMPU = *N,N'*-dimethylpropyleneurea. ^bYield of styrene was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ^cDry toluene was used as solvent, and reagents added inside a glovebox. ^dUsing 3-octanol as solvent and sacrificial reductant; No PPh₃ added. ^eYield of benzaldehyde.

In efforts to improve the catalytic efficiency of **4b**, we explored the effect of temperature and catalyst loading on the DODH of styrene glycol. No significant enhancements in styrene yield were noted in reactions performed at a higher temperature (~190-200 °C) or at a higher catalyst loading (20 % at 170 °C). As noted earlier, a marginal improvement in styrene yield (48 %) was observed when a higher catalyst loading (15 mol %) of **5b** was used. However, styrene was formed in 36 % yield even when the catalysis was performed at 150 °C (Table 2, entry 1). We also explored the possibility of generating the active catalyst *in situ* under the catalytic conditions from the molybdenum precursor MoO₂(acac)₂ and stoichiometric equivalents of the ligand. Thus, a catalytic reaction carried out using 10 mol % MoO₂(acac)₂ and 10 mol % of

ligand **4a** produced styrene in 30% yield. A higher loading of the ligand (20 mol %) did not offer any improvements under the standard reaction conditions.

Table 2. Reductant and substrate scope for DODH using **4b**.^a

entry	substrate	reductant	yield (%)	
			C=C ^b	C=O ^c
1.		PPh ₃	34 (36) ^d	1
2.		3-octanol	5	2
3.	styrene glycol	Na ₂ SO ₃	37	18
4.		carbon	31	10
5.		Zn granules	31	12
6.	1,2-decanediol	PPh ₃	5	
7.	1,2-cyclohexanediol	PPh ₃	3	
8.	diethyl tartrate	PPh ₃	18	
9.	(<i>R,R</i>)-(+)-hydrobenzoin	PPh ₃	42 (5) ^e	68
10. ^f	<i>meso</i> -hydrobenzoin	PPh ₃	56 (4) ^e	71

^aReaction conditions: Styrene glycol (0.5 mmol), reductant (0.75 mmol) and **4b** (10 mol %) were combined in toluene (*ca.* 2.5 mL) and heated at 170 °C in an oil bath for 16-24 hours. ^bYield of styrene was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ^cYield of benzaldehyde. ^dReaction carried out at 150 °C. ^eThe major product is *trans*-stilbene; yield of *cis*-stilbene in parentheses. ^fReaction carried out at 220 °C in a heating block.

Practical limitations to large-scale use of PPh₃ as a reducing agent in DODH reactions prompted us to evaluate alternate reductants (Table 2, entries 1-5). Although a variety of reductant classes support rhenium catalyzed DODH reactions,¹⁸ alcohols represent the most explored category of reductants in molybdenum catalyzed reactions apart from PPh₃.^{9b,c,d} However, most reported studies based on alcohols as reducing agents in DODH reactions catalyzed by molybdenum catalyzed reactions were performed at temperatures around or above 200 °C. Only a low yield of styrene (5 %) was detected when 3-octanol was used the reductant under our standard conditions at 170 °C. The inorganic reductant Na₂SO₃ (37 %) as well as elemental reductants C and Zn (31 % each) produced styrene in yields at par with PPh₃. The

1
2
3 relatively inexpensive nature of these reductants as well as the nature of their oxidized
4 byproducts offer advantages from an environmental as well as process standpoint compared to
5
6 PPh₃. The inorganic reductants (Na₂SO₃, C and Zn) resulted in the formation of higher amounts
7
8 of benzaldehyde (10-18 %) compared to PPh₃ (1 %).
9

10
11
12 A brief survey of the substrate scope using dioxomolybdenum catalyst **4b** was also
13 undertaken (Table 2, entries 6-10). The catalyst was found to be ineffective for aliphatic diols
14 such as 1,2-decanediol and 1,2-cyclohexanediol producing the corresponding olefins in low
15 yields (<10 %) (Table 2, entries 6 & 7). With the bio-derived substrate diethyltartarate, the
16 corresponding olefin product diethyl fumarate was obtained in 18 % yield. Starting from highly
17 activated diol (*R,R*)-(+)-hydrobenzoin, *trans*-stilbene was obtained in a 42 % yield along with
18 *cis*-stilbene (5 %) at a high diol conversion of 81 %. Benzaldehyde, the product resulting from
19 oxidative cleavage of the diol substrate, was also produced in a 68 % yield along with the olefin
20 products. This observation is again supportive of the possibility of competitive reduction from
21 the diol substrate under our catalytic conditions. When *meso*-hydrobenzoin was used as the
22 substrate at an elevated temperature (220 °C), *trans*-stilbene was produced in a high yield of 56
23 % along with 71 % of benzaldehyde (95 % conversion). Although inefficient at converting
24 aliphatic diols, the yields of styrene and stilbene obtained with catalyst **4b** are comparable to
25 other reported molybdenum complexes (Table S1).^{9a,f,10} Studies based on vanadium complexes
26 exhibit relatively higher catalytic activities for the conversion of styrene glycol.^{8c,d} In contrast,
27 rhenium based catalytic systems developed so far display much higher conversions and yields
28 under relatively milder reaction conditions. Generally, quantitative diol conversion as well as
29 high alkene yields (>90 %) have been achieved starting from both styrene glycol and
30 hydrobenzoin substrates using various Re catalysts.^{5a,18f,19}
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Conclusion

To summarize, we have explored the potential of dioxomolybdenum complexes supported over aminebisphenolate ligands in catalyzing the deoxydehydration reaction. A series of aminebisphenol ligands were evaluated, and the coordinating ability of the pendant arm was found to be central in determining catalytic potential with weakly-coordinating or non-coordinating arms exhibiting the highest catalytic activity. The removal of one of the phenol arms also resulted in a similar yield enhancement. The solution of catalyst **4b** featuring a non-coordinating benzyl pendant arm could be recycled for a second catalytic run without loss of activity. Aromatic solvents were found to facilitate the deoxydehydration reaction and inorganic reductants were found to be as effective as PPh₃. The detection of benzaldehyde in our catalytic reactions is supportive of a competing reduction by the diol substrate through an oxidative cleavage pathway. Although the catalyst **4b** was ineffective at catalyzing DODH of aliphatic diols, higher yields were obtained with activated substrates such as (*R,R*)-(+)-hydrobenzoin and *meso*-hydrobenzoin especially at elevated temperatures. Mechanistic investigations are currently underway in our laboratory to understand these ligand effects.

Acknowledgments

Funding for this work was provided by the US National Science Foundation under award no. CHE-1800605 (A.J.). Experimental work was carried out at Chemistry & Biochemistry Department, College of Science at California State Polytechnic University, Pomona. AJ would like to thank Prof. Francis X. Flores, Cal Poly Pomona & Prof. Kenneth M. Nicholas, University of Oklahoma for insightful discussions and comments.

Author Contributions

†These authors contributed equally to the work, and should be regarded as co-first authors.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Associated Content

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Characterization data for new compounds, protocol for catalytic reactions, and NMR spectra for unknown compounds.

Experimental Section

General Procedures:

All air and water sensitive manipulations were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere by using standard Schlenk line techniques or using an MBraun Labstar pro glovebox. All ^1H , $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ and ^{31}P NMR spectra were collected on a Varian 400-MR spectrometer. Chemical shifts (δ) for ^1H NMR spectra were referenced to the residual protons on deuterated chloroform (7.26 ppm) and $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra were referenced to the residual chloroform (77.1 ppm). Infra-red spectra were recorded on a Thermo Scientific NICOLET iS10 Spectrophotometer equipped with a SMART iTR. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometric (GC-MS) analysis was performed on an Agilent 6850 series GC system connected to an Agilent 5973N Mass Selective Detector equipped with a HP-5MS column (30m \times 0.25mm \times 0.25 μm). Elemental analysis was performed at Robertson Microlit Laboratories (New Jersey, USA). Precursors for ligand and complex

1
2
3 synthesis were used as received. CDCl_3 was used as received from Sigma. Solvents (methanol,
4 toluene, and hexanes) were purchased from Fisher Scientific and used as received. All starting
5 materials were procured from commercial sources and used without further purification. The
6 ligands (**1a-5a**) and complex **5b** used in this study were synthesized by modifications of
7 literature protocols.^{20,16}
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **Synthesis of dioxomolybdenum complexes**

18
19 **Synthesis of 1b:** To an oven dried round bottom flask was added ligand **1a** (0.1 g, 0.217 mmol)
20 and $\text{MoO}_2(\text{acac})_2$ (0.712 g, 0.217 mmol) in THF (ca. 6 mL). The round bottom flask was stirred
21 in an inert nitrogen atmosphere for 26 hours and gravity filtered to produce an orange precipitate
22 (0.032 g, 26 %). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz, 28 °C) δ 9.16 (d, 1H, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8$ Hz), 7.55(t, 1H, $^3J_{\text{HH}}$
23 = 8), 7.16 (t, 1H, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8$ Hz), 6.89 (s, 2H), 6.80 (d, 1H, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8$ Hz), 6.75 (s, 2H), 4.96 (d, 2H,
24 $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 16$ Hz), 3.95 (s, 2H), 3.68 (d, 2H, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 12$ Hz), 2.21 (s, 6H), 1.25 (s, 18H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$
25 NMR (CDCl_3 , 26 °C, 100 MHz) δ 159.3, 155.2, 151.5, 139.3, 138.4, 128.7, 127.7, 127.6, 122.7,
26 122.1, 121.1, 63.5, 58.9, 34.6, 30.0, 29.8, 20.8. Selected IR (cm^{-1}) 922, 898. Anal. Calcd. for
27 $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{38}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4\text{Mo}$: C, 61.43 %; H, 6.53 %; N, 4.78 %. Found: C, 61.03 %; H, 6.52 %; N, 4.66 %.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **Synthesis of 2b:** To a round bottom flask was added ligand **2a** (0.257 g, 0.6 mmol) and methanol
43 (ca. 2.5 mL). The resulting mixture was heated to 50°C before addition of $\text{MoO}_2(\text{acac})_2$ (0.197 g,
44 0.6 mmol) and then maintained at 50°C for 2 hours. The mixture was then gravity filtered and
45 methanol washed to yield bright yellow precipitate (0.330 g, 100 %). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400
46 MHz, 28°C) δ , 7.05 (s, 2H), 6.79 (s, 2H), 4.08 (d, 2H, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 12$ Hz), 3.92 (d, 2H, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 12$ Hz)
47 2.90 (s, 6H), 2.87–2.80 (m, 4H), 2.26 (s, 6H), 1.42 (s, 18H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 26 °C, 100
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

MHz) δ 161.3, 136.8, 129.3, 127.3, 127.2, 123.3, 60.9, 56.6, 53.5, 50.5, 34.7, 30.3, 30.2, 20.8.

Selected IR (cm^{-1}) 914, 877. Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{42}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4\text{Mo}$: C, 59.35 %; H, 7.47 %; N, 4.94 %.

Found: C, 59.50 %; H, 7.36 %; N, 4.93 %.

Synthesis of 3b: To a round bottom flask, ligand **3a** (1.00 g, 2.34 mmol) and methanol (ca. ~ 10 mL) were added together and refluxed for about 3 hours. $\text{MoO}_2(\text{acac})_2$ (0.762 g, 2.34 mmol) was then added to the reaction mixture and the solution turned from clear to yellow upon this addition. A yellow precipitate is collected through gravity filtration and washed with cold methanol (1.10 g, 86% yield). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 28°C , 400 MHz) δ 7.10 (s, 2H), 6.78 (s, 2H), 4.68 (d, 2H, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 16$ Hz), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.68 (d, 2H, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 14$ Hz), 3.30 (t, 2H, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8$ Hz), 2.84 (t, 2H, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8$ Hz), 2.28 (s, 6H), 1.42 (s, 18H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 26°C , 100 MHz) δ 158.0, 138.3, 129.2, 128.0, 127.5, 122.4, 69.4, 63.6, 63.1, 52.7, 34.8, 29.9, 20.9. Selected IR (cm^{-1}) 929, 909. Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{39}\text{NO}_5\text{Mo}$: C, 58.58 %; H, 7.10 %; N, 2.53 %. Found: C, 58.42 %; H, 7.02 %; N, 2.51 %.

Synthesis of 4b: To a round bottom flask, ligand **4a** (3.88 g, 7.02 mmol) and methanol (ca. 10 mL) were added together and refluxed for about 3 hours. $\text{MoO}_2(\text{acac})_2$ (2.30 g, 7.02 mmol) was then added to the reaction mixture and the solution turned from clear to orange upon this addition. An orange precipitate is collected through gravity filtered and washed with cold methanol (2.96 g, 72% yield). ^1H NMR ($\text{DMSO}-d_6$, 28°C , 400 MHz) δ 7.35-7.33 (m, 3H), 7.02 (m, 4H), 6.73 (s, 2H), 4.05 (d, 2H, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 12$ Hz), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.23 (d, 2H, $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 12$ Hz), 2.25 (s, 6H), 1.38 (s, 18H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3 , 26°C , 100 MHz) δ 152.3, 137.6, 136.8, 129.6, 129.1, 129.0, 128.0, 128.0, 127.5, 122.1, 58.5, 56.6, 34.7, 29.6, 20.8. Selected IR (cm^{-1}) 928,

1
2
3 908. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{31}H_{19}NO_4Mo$: C, 63.58 %; H, 6.71 %; N, 2.39 %. Found: C, 63.64 %; H,
4 6.57 %; N, 2.39 %.
5
6
7
8
9

10
11 Synthesis of **5b**: To a round bottom flask was added $MoO_2(acac)_2$ (0.492 g, 1.50 mmol) and
12 ligand (**5a**) (0.491 g, 1.50 mmol) in methanol (ca. 5 mL). The reaction was maintained at 50 °C
13 for 2 hours during which a bright orange crystalline solid formed. The precipitate was then
14 gravity filtered and washed with methanol to obtain the product as an orange solid (0.365 g, 26
15 %). 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 400 MHz, 28 °C) δ , 8.69 (d, 1H, $^3J_{HH} = 4$ Hz), 7.48 (t, 1H, $^3J_{HH} = 8$ Hz),
16 6.99 (t, 1H, $^3J_{HH} = 8$ Hz), 6.94 (s, 1H), 6.90 (d, 1H, $^3J_{HH} = 8$ Hz), 6.83 (s, 1H), 5.47 (m, 1H),
17 5.18-5.12 (m, 1H), 4.72 (d, 1H, $^2J_{HH} = 12$ Hz), 3.91 (d, 2H, $^2J_{HH} = 16$ Hz), 3.82 (d, 1H, $^2J_{HH} = 12$
18 Hz) 1.22 (s, 9H), 1.17 (s, 9H).
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **Representative procedure for deoxydehydration reactions.**

33
34 A pressure tube reactor was charged with diol (.500 mmol), reductant (.750 mmol),
35 molybdenum complex (.050 mmol) and 2.5 mL of solvent. The reactor tube was sealed and the
36 reaction mixture was stirred at 170 °C for 24 hours. The reactions were cooled to room
37 temperature before adding the internal standard for analysis. 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (.010 g,
38 .059 mmol) was added after the 24 hours in heat as an internal standard. An aliquot of the sample
39 of the reaction mixture was analyzed by 1H NMR in $CDCl_3$. The integration of peaks
40 corresponding to the internal standard to diol and alkene product was used to determine yield of
41 alkene product and carbonyl compound.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. (a) T. A. Bender, J. A. Dabrowski and M. R. Gagné, “Homogeneous catalysis for the production of low-volume, high-value chemicals from biomass” *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 2018, **2**, 35-46.
- (b) S. Shylesh, A. A. Gokhale, C. R. Ho and A. T. Bell, “Novel Strategies for the Production of Fuels, Lubricants, and Chemicals from Biomass” *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2017, **50**, 2589-2597.
- (c) L. Wu, T. Moteki, A. A. Gokhale, D. W. Flaherty and F. D. Toste, “Production of Fuels and Chemicals from Biomass: Condensation Reactions and Beyond” *Chem* 2016, **1**, 32-58.
- (d) P. Lanzafame, G. Centi and S. Perathoner, “Catalysis for biomass and CO₂ use through solar energy: opening new scenarios for a sustainable and low-carbon chemical production” *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, **43**, 7562-7580.
- (e) P. Gallezot, “Conversion of biomass to selected chemical products” *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012, **41**, 1538-1558.
- (f) J. J. Bozell and G. R. Peterson, “Technology development for the production of biobased products from biorefinery carbohydrates-the US Department of Energy's "Top 10" revisited” *Green Chemistry* 2010, **12**, 539-554.
- (g) D. M. Alonso, J. Q. Bond and J. A. Dumesic, “Catalytic conversion of biomass to biofuels” *Green Chemistry* 2010, **12**, 1493-1513.
- (h) D. R. Dodds and R. A. Gross, “Chemicals from Biomass” *Science* 2007, **318**, 1250-1251.
2. J. C. Serrano-Ruiz, R. M. West and J. A. Dumesic, “Catalytic Conversion of Renewable Biomass Resources to Fuels and Chemicals” *Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng.* 2010, 79-100.
3. (a) B. Wozniak, Y. Li, S. Tin and J. G. deVries, “Rhenium-catalyzed deoxydehydration of renewable triols derived from sugars” *Green Chem.* 2018, **20**, 4433-4437.

- 1
2
3
4 (b) S. Liu, J. Yi and M. M. Abu-Omar, “Deoxydehydration (DODH) of Biomass-Derived
5 Molecules. In: Schlaf M., Zhang Z. (eds) Reaction Pathways and Mechanisms in
6 Thermocatalytic Biomass Conversion II.” *Green Chemistry and Sustainable Technology*.
7 Springer, Singapore. 2016, 1-11.
8
9
10
11
12
13 (c) C. Boucher-Jacobs and K. M. Nicholas, “Deoxydehydration of polyols” *Top. Curr. Chem.*
14 2014, **353**, 163-184.
15
16
17
18 4. K. A. DeNike and S. M. Kilyanek, “Deoxydehydration of vicinal diols by homogeneous
19 catalysts: a mechanistic overview” *R. Soc. Open sci.* 2019, **6**: 191165.
20
21
22
23 5. (a) D. S. Morris, K. van Rees, M. Curcio, M. Cokoja, F. E. Kuhn, F. Duarte and J. B. Love,
24 “Deoxydehydration of vicinal diols and polyols catalyzed by pyridinium perrhenate salts” *Catal.*
25 *Sci. Technol.* 2017, **7**, 5644-5649.
26
27
28
29 (b) S. Raju, M. –E. Moret and R. J. M. K. Gebbink, “Rhenium-Catalyzed Dehydration and
30 Deoxydehydration of Alcohols and Polyols: Opportunities for the Formation of Olefins from
31 Biomass” *ACS Catal.* 2015, **5**, 281-300.
32
33
34
35
36
37 6. G. K. Cook and M. K. Andrews, “Toward Nonoxidative Routes to Oxygenated Organics:
38 Stereospecific Deoxydehydration of Diols and Polyols to Alkenes and Allylic Alcohols
39 Catalyzed by the Metal Oxo Complex (C₅Me₅)ReO₃” *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996, **118**, 9448-9449.
40
41
42
43 7. (a) J. Cao, M. Tamura, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, “Direct Synthesis of Unsaturated
44 Sugars from Methyl Glycosides” *ACS Catal.* 2019, **9**, 3725-3729.
45
46
47 (b) J. Lin, H. Song, X. Shen, B. Wang, S. Xie, W. Deng, D. Wu, Q. Zhang and Y. Wang,
48 “Zirconia-supported rhenium oxide as an efficient catalyst for the synthesis of biomass-based
49 adipic acid ester” *Chem. Commun.* 2019, **55**, 11017-11020.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 (c) J. H. Jang, H. Sohn, J. Camacho-Bunqin, D. Yang, C. Y. Park, M. Delferro and M. M. Abu-
5 Omar, “Deoxydehydration of Biomass-Derived Polyols with a Reusable Unsupported Rhenium
6 Nanoparticles Catalyst” *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* 2019, **7**, 11438-11447.
7
8
9
10
11 (d) T. Wang, M. Tamura, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, “Preparation of Highly Active
12 Monometallic Rhenium Catalysts for Selective Synthesis of 1,4-Butanediol from 1,4-
13 Anhydroerythritol” *ChemSusChem* 2019, **12**, 3615-3626.
14
15
16
17
18 (e) Y. Nakagawa, S. Tazawa, T. Wang, M. Tamura, N. Hiyoshi, K. Okumura and K. Tomishige,
19 “Mechanistic Study of Hydrogen-Driven Deoxydehydration over Ceria-Supported Rhenium
20 Catalyst Promoted by Au Nanopartic” *ACS Catalysis* 2018, **8**, 584-595.
21
22
23
24
25 (f) W. Tianmiao, L. Sibao, T. Masazumi, N. Yoshinao, H. Norihito and T. Keichi, “One-pot
26 catalytic selective synthesis of 1,4-butanediol from 1,4-anhydroerythritol and hydrogen” *Green*
27
28
29
30
31
32 (g) Y. Kon, M. Araque, T. Nakashima, S. Paul, F. Dumeignil and B. Katryniok, “Direct
33 Conversion of Glycerol to Allyl Alcohol Over Alumina-Supported Rhenium Oxide” *Chem.*
34
35
36
37
38
39 (h) S. Tazawa, N. Ota, M. Tamura, Y. Nakagawa, K. Okumura and K. Tomishige,
40 “Deoxydehydration with Molecular Hydrogen over Ceria-Supported Rhenium Catalyst with
41 Gold Promoter” *ACS Catalysis* 2016, **6**, 6393-6397.
42
43
44
45
46 (i) A. L. Denning, H. Dang, Z. Liu, K. M. Nicholas and F. C. Jenthoft, “Deoxydehydration of
47 Glycols Catalyzed by Carbon-Supported Perrhenate” *ChemCatChem* 2013, **5**, 3567-3570.
48
49
50
51 8. (a) L. J. Donnelly, S. P. Thomas and J. B. Love, “Recent Advances in the Deoxydehydration
52 of Vicinal Diols and Polyols” *Chem. Asian J.* 2019, **14**, 3782-3790.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 (b) A. R. Peterson and P. Fristrup, “New Motifs in Deoxydehydration: Beyond the Realms of
5 Rhenium” *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, **23**, 10235-10243.
6

7
8
9 (c) G. Chapman Jr. and K. M. Nicholas, “Vanadium-catalyzed deoxydehydration of glycols”
10 *Chem. Commun.* 2013, **49**, 8199-8201.
11

12
13 (d) T. V. Gopaldasu and K. M. Nicholas, “Carbon Monoxide (CO) - and Hydrogen-Driven,
14 Vanadium-Catalyzed Deoxydehydration of Glycols” *ACS Catal.* 2016, **6**, 1901-1904.
15

16
17 (e) K. M. Kwok, C. K. S. Choong, D. S. W. Ong, J. C. Q. Ng, C. G. Gwei, L. Chen and A.
18 Borgna, “Hydrogen-Free Gas-Phase Deoxydehydration of 2,3-Butanediol to Butene on Silica-
19 Supported Vanadium Catalysts” *ChemCatChem* 2017, **9**, 2443-2447.
20

21
22 9. (a) L. Hills, R. Moyano, F. Montilla, A. Pastor, A. Galindo, E. Alvarez, F. Marchetti and C.
23 Pettinari, “Dioxomolybdenum(VI) Complexes with Acylpyrazolonate Ligands: Synthesis,
24 Structures, and Catalytic Properties” *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2013, **19**, 3352-3361.
25

26
27 (b) J. R. Dethlefsen, D. Lupp, B. –C. Oh and P. Fristrup, “Molybdenum-Catalyzed
28 Deoxydehydration of Vicinal Diols” *ChemSusChem* 2014, **7**, 425-428.
29

30
31 (c) J. R. Dethlefsen, D. Lupp, A. Teshome, L. B. Nielsen, P. Fristrup, “Molybdenum-Catalyzed
32 Conversion of Diols and Biomass-Derived Polyols to Alkenes Using Isopropyl Alcohol as
33 Reductant and Solvent” *ACS Catal.* **2015**, **5**, 3638-3647.
34

35
36 (d) K. Beckerle, A. Sauer, T. P. Spaniol and J. Okuda, “Bis(phenolato)molybdenum complexes
37 as catalyst precursors for the deoxydehydration of biomass-derived polyols” *Polyhedron* 2016,
38 **116**, 105-110.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 (e) L. Sandbrink, K. Beckerle, I. Meiners, R. Liffmann, K. Rahimi, J. Okuda and R. Palkovits,
5
6
7 “Supported Molybdenum Catalysts for the Deoxydehydration of 1,4-Anhydroerythritol into 2,5-
8
9 Dihydrofuran” *ChemSusChem* 2017, **10**, 1375-1379.
- 10
11 (f) M. Stalpaert and D. De Vos, “Stabilizing Effect of Bulky β -Diketones on Homogeneous Mo
12
13 Catalysts for Deoxydehydration” *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* 2018, **6**, 12197-12204.
- 14
15 (g) B. E. Sharkey, A. L. Denning, F. C. Jentoft, R. Gangadhara, T. V. Gopaladasu and K. M.
16
17 Nicholas, “New solid oxo-rhenium and oxo-molybdenum catalysts for the deoxydehydration of
18
19 glycols to olefins” *Catal. Today* 2018, **310**, 86-93.
- 20
21
22 10. R. Tran and S. M. Kilyanek, “Deoxydehydration of polyols catalyzed by a molybdenum
23
24 dioxo-complex supported by a dianionic ONO pincer ligand” *Dalton Trans.* 2019, **48**, 16304-
25
26 16311.
- 27
28
29 11. C. A. Navarro and A. John, “Deoxydehydration using a commercial catalyst and readily
30
31 available reductant” *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 2019, **99**, 145-148.
- 32
33
34 12. (a) C. J. Durango-García, E. Rufino-Felipe, M. López-Cardoso, M. –A. Muñoz-Hernández
35
36 and V. Montiel-Palma, “Synthesis and structural characterization of lithium, sodium and
37
38 potassium complexes supported by a tridentate amino-bisphenolate ligand” *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2018,
39
40 **1164**, 248-258.
- 41
42 (b) S. Barrosos, P. Adão, A. M. Coelho, J. C. Pessoa and A. M. Martins, “Pinacol coupling of
43
44 benzaldehydes mediated by titanium complexes displaying amine bis(phenolate) ligands” *J. Mol.*
45
46 *Catal. A: Chem.* 2016, **412**, 107-116.
- 47
48
49 (c) A. K. Bowser, A. M. Anderson-Wile, D. H. Johnston and B. M. Wile, “Diamine
50
51 bis(phenolate) and pendant amine bis(phenolate) ligands: catalytic activity for the room
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 temperature palladium-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reaction” *Appl. Organometal. Chem.*
5
6 2016, **30**, 32-39.

7
8
9 (d) H. Schroeder, M. Buback and M. P. Shaver, “Kinetics of Amine–Bis(phenolate) Iron-
10
11 Mediated ATRP Up to High Pressure” *Macromolecules* 2015, **48**, 6114-6120.

12
13 (e) T. Zeng, Y. Wang, Q. Shen, Y. Yao, Y. Luo and D. Cui, “Synthesis and Characterization of
14
15 Amine-Bridged Bis(phenolate) Yttrium Guanidinate and Their Application in the Ring-Opening
16
17 Polymerization of 1,4-Dioxan-2-one” *Organometallics* 2014, **33**, 6803-6811.

18
19 (f) D. K. Steelman, S. Xiong, G. A. Medvedev, W. N. Delgass, J. M. Caruthers and M. M. Abu-
20
21 Omar, “Effects of Electronic Perturbations on 1-Hexene Polymerization Catalyzed by Zirconium
22
23 Amine Bisphenolate Complexes” *ACS Catal.* 2014, **4**, 2186-2190.

24
25
26
27 13. (a) C. Lorber, E. Despagnet-Ayouob, L. Vendier, A. Arbaoui and C. Redshaw, “Amine
28
29 influence in vanadium-based ethylenepolymerisation pro-catalysts bearing bis(phenolate) ligands
30
31 with ‘pendant’ arms” *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2011, **1**, 489-494.

32
33 (b) E. Y. Tshuva, I. Goldberg, M. Kol and Z. Goldschmidt, “Zirconium Complexes of
34
35 Amine–Bis(phenolate) Ligands as Catalysts for 1-Hexene Polymerization: Peripheral Structural
36
37 Parameters Strongly Affect Reactivity” *Organometallics* 2001, **20**, 3017-3028.

38
39
40
41 14 (a) D. K. Steelman, S. Xiong, P. D. Pletcher, E. Smith, J. M. Switzer, G. A. Medvedev, W. N.
42
43 Delgass, J. M. Caruthers and M. M. Abu-Omar, “Effects of Pendant Ligand Binding Affinity on
44
45 Chain Transfer for 1-Hexene Polymerization Catalyzed by Single-Site Zirconium Amine Bis-
46
47 Phenolate Complexes” *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, **135**, 6280-6288.

(b) E. Y. Tshuva, S. Groysman, I. Goldberg and M. Kol, “[ONXO]-Type Amine Bis(phenolate) Zirconium and Hafnium Complexes as Extremely Active 1-Hexene Polymerization Catalysts” *Organometallics* 2002, **21**, 662-670.

15. (a) Md. K. Hossain, J. A. Schachner, M. Haukka, A. Lehtonen, N. C. Mösch-Zanetti and E. Nordlander, “Dioxidomolybdenum(VI) and -tungsten(VI) complexes with tripodal amino bisphenolate ligands as epoxidation and oxo-transfer catalysts” *Polyhedron*, 2017, **134**, , 275-281.

(b) A. Peuronen and A. Lentonen, “Dioxomolybdenum(VI) and -Tungsten(VI) Amino Bisphenolates as Epoxidation Catalysts” *Top. Catal.*, 2016, **59**, 1132-1137.

(c) A. Dupé, Md. K. Hossain, J. A. Schachner, F. Belaj, A. Lehtonen, E. Nordlander and N. C. Mösch-Zanetti, “Dioxomolybdenum(VI) and -tungsten(VI) Complexes with Multidentate Aminobisphenol Ligands as Catalysts for Olefin Epoxidation” *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 3572-3579.

(d) X. Lei and N. Chelamalla, “Dioxomolybdenum(VI) complexes with linear and tripodal tetradenate ligands: Synthesis, structures and their use as olefin epoxidation catalysts” *Polyhedron*, 2013, **49**, 244-251.

(e) E. Lauren, H. Kivelä, M. Hännien and A. Lehtonen, “Oxidomolybdenum(VI) complexes with atrane-type [O3N] ligands” *Polyhedron*, 2009, **28**, 4051-4055.

16. J. M. Mitchell and N. S. Finney, “New Molybdenum Catalysts for Alkyl Olefin Epoxidation. Their Implications for the Mechanism of Oxygen Atom Transfer”. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, **123**, 862.

- 1
2
3
4 17. (a) R. T. Larson, A. Samant, J. Chen, W. Lee, M. A. Bohn, D. M. Ohlmann, S. J. Zuend and
5 F. D. Toste, "Hydrogen Gas-Mediated Deoxydehydration/Hydrogenation of Sugar Acids:
6 Catalytic Conversion of Glucarates to Adipates" *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, **139**, 14001-14004.
7
8
9
10
11 (b) M. Shiramizu and F. D. Toste, "Expanding the Scope of Biomass-Derived Chemicals through
12 Tandem Reactions Based on Oxorhenium-Catalyzed Deoxydehydration" *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*
13
14 2013, **52**, 12905-12909.
15
16
17
18 (c) M. Shiramizu and F. D. Toste, "Deoxygenation of Biomass-Derived Feedstocks:
19 Oxorhenium-Catalyzed Deoxydehydration of Sugars and Sugar Alcohols" *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*
20
21 2012, **51**, 8082-8086.
22
23
24
25 18. (a) A. Jefferson and R. S. Srivastava, "Re-catalyzed deoxydehydration of polyols to olefins
26 using indoline reductants" *Polyhedron* 2019, **160**, 268-271.
27
28
29
30 (b) J. Gossett and R. Srivastava, "Rhenium-catalyzed deoxydehydration of renewable biomass
31 using sacrificial alcohol as reductant" *Tet. Lett.* 2017, **58**, 3760-3763.
32
33
34 (c) C. Boucher-Jacobs and K. M. Nicholas, "Oxo-rhenium-catalyzed deoxydehydration of
35 polyols with hydroaromatic reductants" *Organometallics* 2015, **34**, 1985-1990.
36
37
38
39 (d) J. M. McClain III and K. M. Nicholas, "Elemental Reductants for the Deoxydehydration of
40 Glycols" *ACS Catal.* 2014, **4**, 2109-2112.
41
42
43 (e) C. Boucher-Jacobs and K. M. Nicholas, "Catalytic Deoxydehydration of Glycols with
44 Alcohol Reductants" *ChemSusChem* 2013, **6**, 597-599.
45
46
47
48 (f) I. Ahmad, G. Chapman and K. M. Nicholas, "Sulfite-Driven, Oxorhenium-Catalyzed
49 Deoxydehydration of Glycols" *Organometallics* 2011, **30**, 2810-2818.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 (g) S. Vkututri, G. Chapman, I. Ahmad and K. M. Nicholas, "Rhenium-Catalyzed
5
6 Deoxydehydration of Glycols by Sulfite" *Inorg. Chem.* 2010, **49**, 4744-4746.
7
8
9 19. J. Li, M. Lutz, M. Otte and R. J. M. Klein Gebbink, "A Cptt-Based Trioxo-Rhenium Catalyst
10
11 for the Deoxydehydration of Diols and Polyols" *ChemCatChem* 2018, **10**, 4755-4760.
12
13 20. (a) A. John, M. M. Shaikh and P. Ghosh, "Structural and functional mimic of galactose
14
15 oxidase by a copper complex of a sterically demanding [N2O2] ligand" *Dalton Trans.* 2008,
16
17 2815-2824.
18
19
20 (b) Y. Sarazin, R. H. Howard, D. L. Hughes, S. M. Humphrey and M. Bochmann, "Titanium,
21
22 zinc and alkaline-earth metal complexes supported by bulky O,N,N,O-multidentate ligands:
23
24 yntheses, characterisation and activity in cyclic ester polymerisation" *Dalton Trans.* 2006, 340-
25
26 350.
27
28
29 (c) K. Hasan, L. N. Dawe and C. M. Kozak, "Synthesis, Structure, and C-C Cross-Coupling
30
31 Activity of(Amine)bis(phenolato)iron(acac) Complexes" *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2011, 4610-4621.
32
33
34 (d) X. Qian, L. N. Dawe and C. M. Kozak, "Catalytic alkylation of arylGrignard reagents by
35
36 iron(iii) amine-bis(phenolate) complexes" *Dalton Trans.* 2011, **40**, 933-943.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Influence of the Pendant Arm in Deoxydehydration Catalyzed by Dioxomolybdenum Complexes Supported by Amine Bisphenolate Ligands

Table of Content

Molybdenum complexes devoid of a strongly coordinating pendant arm result in enhanced catalytic activity.

