ChemComm



## β-Oxochlorin cobalt(II) complexes catalyze the electrochemical reduction of CO2

Journal:	ChemComm
Manuscript ID	CC-COM-02-2021-000573.R2
Article Type:	Communication



# COMMUNICATION

# $\beta$ -Oxochlorin cobalt(II) complexes catalyze the electrochemical reduction of CO<sub>2</sub>

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

John Nganga,<sup>a</sup> Nivedita Chaudhri,<sup>a</sup> Christian Brückner,\*,<sup>a</sup> and Alfredo M. Angeles-Boza\*<sup>a,b</sup>

Inspired by the architecture of the macrocycle of heme d<sub>1</sub>, a series of synthetic mono-, di- and tri- $\beta$ -oxo-substituted porphyrinoid cobalt(II) complexes were evaluated as electrocatalytic CO<sub>2</sub> reducers, identifying complexes of unusually high efficiencies in generating multi-electron reduction products, including CH<sub>4</sub>.

The efficient reduction of the greenhouse gas  $CO_2$  into useful carbon-based molecules will help alleviate its environmental impact.<sup>1-3</sup> Generating fuels from  $CO_2$ , such as  $CH_4$ , particularly when using electrical energy from renewable resources, may also contribute to solving other timely problems: the dwindling of our non-renewable energy sources and the storage of energy from highly fluctuating renewable energy sources.<sup>4</sup>

Among the challenges associated with using  $CO_2$  as a feedstock is that the linear, non-polar  $CO_2$  molecule is thermodynamically stable and kinetically inert.<sup>5</sup> Fortunately, promising approaches for overcoming the kinetic challenges have been reported.<sup>6-10</sup> Thermal, electrochemical and photochemical reduction of  $CO_2$  have become possible using both heterogenous and homogeneous systems.<sup>11-18</sup>

Irrespective of the progress in the field, systems that are able to reduce  $CO_2$  by more than two electrons are rare, particularly, when considering systems that are based on earth-abundant metals.<sup>15, 19-22</sup> Porphyrin iron and cobalt complexes possess some electrocatalytic  $CO_2$  reduction properties.<sup>23-28</sup> In addition, the superior activity of select hydrometalloporphyrins in electrocatalytic reductions of H<sup>+</sup> (hydrogen evolution reaction)<sup>29-31</sup> or  $CO_2^{32, 33}$  over their saturated porphyrin analogues has begun to be explored.

Bacteria accomplish multi-electron reductions of nitrite or sulfate using heme  $d_1$ , the iron porphyrinoid prosthetic group of dissimilatory nitrite and sulfite reductases, respectively.<sup>34-36</sup> The porphyrinic framework of heme  $d_1$  contains a unique 2,7-dioxoisobacteriochlorin framework.<sup>§</sup>



Aside from the generally recognized non-innocence of the porphyrinic framework in the catalytic action of their metal complexes,<sup>37</sup> the complex roles of the  $\beta$ -oxo-functionalities during nitrite reduction catalysis have only recently begun to become clear; they affect the iron reduction potential, metal axial ligand binding, and proton transfer reactions.<sup>38</sup> The question arises whether the presence and regiochemistry of one, or more,  $\beta$ -oxo-functionalities impart beneficial properties with respect to the ability to reduce CO<sub>2</sub>, also. We therefore prepared the Co(II) complexes of known  $\beta$ -monooxo-, all di-oxo-isomers, and some trioxo-isomers derived from  $\beta$ -octaethylporphyrin,<sup>39</sup> and studied their characteristics in the electrocatalytic CO<sub>2</sub> reduction reaction, some of which proved to be competent in affecting the 8-electron reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to CH<sub>4</sub>. Thusly, we introduce herein a new family of earthabundant metal-based CO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. This study also delineates the degree number, and position of the oxo-substituents on the macrocycle affect the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub>, guiding the further development of more efficient catalysts.

All free base oxochlorins were prepared using an established method (treatment of octaethylporphyrin **1** with  $H_2O_2$  in conc.  $H_2SO_4$ ) (Scheme 1).<sup>39, 40</sup> The chromatographic separation of the products formed in this non-selective oxidation allowed the isolation of the monoketone, all isomers of the diketones (of the bacteriochlorin and isobacteriochlorin series)<sup>‡</sup>, and two triketone isomers.<sup>39</sup> Insertion of cobalt(II) under thermal conditions provided the complexes, most of which are novel (see ESI for their characterization).<sup>41</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Connecticut, 55 N. Eagleville Road, Storrs, CT 06269, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b.</sup> Institute of Materials Science, University of Connecticut, 97 N. Eagleville Road, Storrs, CT 06269, USA.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details to the complex synthesis and characterization, including reproductions of representative spectra; details to the electrochemical and electrocatalytic experiments. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x



Journal Name



Scheme 1. Synthesis of the oxoporphyrinoid cobalt(II) complexes investigated, also indicating by color the general porphyrinoid class the macrocycles belong to.

The cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of solutions of the complexes in acetonitrile sparged with N<sub>2</sub> show two quasi-reversible reductions separated by ~1.1 V from each other (Figure 1, Table 1). The first reductions for the trioxopyrrocorphin complexes **8Co** and **9Co** appear at less negative potentials than those of oxochlorin **2Co** or all dioxo(iso)bacteriochlorin isomers (**3Co** through **7Co**). Based on recent literature reports, we assign these reductions to a ligand-based and a metalbased process that produce [**XCo**<sup>II</sup>]<sup>-</sup> and [**XCo**<sup>I</sup>]<sup>2-</sup> intermediates, respectively.<sup>27,42-44</sup> A third, non-reversible, reduction is observed for the dioxochlorin cobalt complexes **3Co**, **4Co**, and **5Co**, and the two trioxochlorin complexes **8Co** and **9Co**.

9Co 8Co 8Co 7Co 6Co 6Co 5Co 4Co 3Co 2Co 0 -0.5 -1 -1.5 -2 -2.5 -3 Potential vs Fc<sup>+/0</sup> (V)

 $\label{eq:Table 1. Electrochemical reduction potentials (vs \ Fc^{*/0}) \ of \ cobalt \ complexes \ indicated \\ under \ N_2 \ and \ catalytic \ current \ enhancement \ in \ presence \ of \ CO_2.^a$ 

Complex	1 <sup>st</sup> red	2 <sup>nd</sup> red	3 <sup>rd</sup> red	4 <sup>th</sup> red	i <sub>co2</sub> /i <sub>N2</sub>
<b>1Co</b> <sup>b</sup>	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
2Co	-1.32	-2.52	-2.72		9
3Co	-1.16	-2.31	-2.46	-2.75	7
4Co	-1.24	-2.37	-2.82		9
5Co	-1.25	-2.36	-2.75		3
6Co	-1.18	-2.26			9
7Co	-1.27	-2.35			9
8Co	-1.15	-2.22	-2.71		6
9Co	-1.13	-2.17	-2.72		5

<sup>a</sup> Conditions: N<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub> atmospheres, electrolyte 0.1 M [<sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>N]PF<sub>6</sub> in acetonitrile, glassy carbon working electrode, Pt wire counter electrode, 25 °C. <sup>b</sup> The solubility of **1Co** in CH<sub>3</sub>CN was too low to allow a determination of its electrochemical and electrocatalytic properties under comparable conditions to those of the oxoporphyrinoids.

Figure 1. Cyclic voltammograms of  $1\,\text{mM}$  oxoporphyrinoid cobalt complexes. Conditions: see Table 1.

When the solutions were saturated with CO<sub>2</sub>, the peaks corresponding to the first reduction event ([XCo"]/[XCo"]-) remained unaffected. However, strong catalytic currents,  $i_{CO2}$ , were observed for the second reduction events ([XCo"]-/[XCo<sup>I</sup>]<sup>2-</sup>) in all cases, indicating the electrocatalytic reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> is promoted by the cobalt complexes. This behavior is reminiscent of cobalt porphyrinic systems,45 For example, cobalt(II) tetraphenylporphyrin also showed an 8-fold current increase ( $i_{\rm CO2}/i_{\rm N2}$ ) on the wave corresponding to the second reduction (butyronitrile solution saturated with Ar at a scan rate of 0.1 V s<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>45</sup> The oxochlorin cobalt complex 2Co and the dioxoisobacteriochlorin complexes 6Co, 7Co and dioxobacteriochlorin 4Co exhibit the highest current enhancements, 9-fold (Table 1). All other dioxo complexes show only about 3- to 7-fold current enhancements. This clearly shows the strong influence of the number and position of the β-dioxo substituents. The regiochemical influences of the  $\beta$ -oxo substituents on the electronic properties of these,<sup>39,</sup> <sup>40</sup> and related,<sup>46</sup> chromophores was shown before. Both of the triketone isomers provided catalytic current enhancements that were larger than that of weakest diketone (5Co), but

#### Journal Name

smaller than that of the other diketone regioisomers, showing that more  $\beta$ -oxo substituents is not necessarily better.

We also tested these complexes with respect to the effect on their catalytic potential  $(E_{cat/2})$  for CO<sub>2</sub> activation and product selectivity in the presence of different concentrations of Brønsted acid. In general, the catalytic current tends to increase linearly with increasing [TFE] (pK<sub>a</sub> (CH<sub>3</sub>CN) = 35.4)<sup>47</sup> across the series tested, with positive shifts in the  $E_{cat/2}$  values at the highest TFE concentration tested (0.42 M). One example of these studies for oxochlorin complex 2Co is shown in Figure 2 (all data are tabulated in Table S2 and shown in Figs. S33-64). For example, titrimetric analysis of the electrochemical solution of 6Co with TFE (in the range up to 0.42 M) reveals the largest positive shift across the series in Ecat/2 from -2.45V vs Fc+/0 to -2.35V vs Fc+/0. The catalytic enhancement ratio (iCO2/iN2) increased from 9 (0.0 M TFE) to 37 (0.42 M TFE), representing a 4-fold enhancement in catalytic activity. Since the catalytic waves represent three reactions, they do not allow foot-of-the-wave analyses to extract catalytic rates.48 We thus used preparative-scale electrolysis experiments to compare the electrocatalytic CO2 reduction activity and product selectivity of the complexes.



COMMUNICATION

Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms of 1 mM 2Co in the absence and presence of CO<sub>2</sub>, in the absence and presence of 0.05 M trifluoroethanol (TFE). Conditions: N<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub> atmospheres, electrolyte 0.1 M [<sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>N]PF<sub>6</sub>, glassy carbon working electrode, platinum wire counter electrode, reference Fc<sup>1/0</sup>, 25 °C.

After 2 h of controlled potential electrolysis (CPE) experiments at -2.9 V (vs  $Fc^{+/0}$ ), the liquid and gas phases were analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and GC-MS, respectively (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Faradaic efficiencies, TON and TOF for oxoporphyrinoid cobalt complexes indicated based on controlled potential electrolysis (CPE) experiments. Conditions: ["Bu<sub>4</sub>N]PF<sub>6</sub> (0.1 M) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN with a glassy carbon working electrode, a Pt counter electrode, a Ag/Ag\* reference electrode (Fc<sup>+/0</sup> used as an internal calibrant), held at -2.9 V vs Fc<sup>+/0</sup> for 2 h.

Catalyst	FE (%)			TON			TOF (h <sup>-1</sup> )					
	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	$CH_4$	HCOO <sup>-</sup>	$H_2$	СО	$CH_4$	HCOO <sup>_</sup>	$H_2$	CO	$CH_4$	HCOO-
2Co	<3	59 ± 3	4 ± 2	< 3	-	35	1.3	-	-	17.5	0.7	-
3Co	<3	53 ± 1	12 ± 2	< 2	-	28	3.1	-	-	14	1.5	-
4Co	<4	66 ± 2	15 ± 1	< 1	-	37	3.5	-	-	18.5	1.8	-
5Co	<3	49 ± 3	14 ± 2	< 3	-	24	3.1	-	-	12	1.5	-
6Co	<3	57 ± 4	10 ± 3	< 4	-	29	2.9	-	-	14.5	1.5	-
7Co	<3	63 ± 2	16 ± 2	<2	-	36	3.7	-	-	18	1.8	-
8Co	< 5	51 ± 1	8 ± 3	< 3	-	26	2.2	-	-	13	1.1	-
9Co	<3	52 ± 3	9 ± 2	< 1	-	27	2.7	-	-	13.5	1.8	-

The primary reduction product using any of the catalysts is CO, with turnover numbers (TON) for the formation of CO ranging from 24 to 35. The highest TON<sub>co</sub> was determined for oxochlorin complex 2Co. Smaller fractions of H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and HCO2<sup>-</sup> (formate) were detected in the reaction products of all catalysts. Isotope labelling studies using <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> produced <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>4</sub> (m/z = 17), confirming that the CH<sub>4</sub> originated from CO<sub>2</sub> reduction (Figure S65). The TON for CH<sub>4</sub> formation ranged from 1.3 to 3.7. The highest CH<sub>4</sub> producing catalysts are any of the dioxo(iso)bacteriochlorin. Dioxo-bacterio- and isobacteriochlorin complexes 4Co and 7Co were found to be the best catalysts for CH<sub>4</sub> production. Overall, the increase in the overpotential required to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> to CO leads to larger relative TOF, as expected based on data of other porphyrinoids.<sup>15, 19-21</sup> In conclusion, the series of cobalt  $\beta$ -oxo-porphyrinoid complexes investigated here provide a new macrocycle modification motif for the search of efficient CO<sub>2</sub> activation catalyst that can reduce  $CO_2$  beyond CO or formate. As in many other Co(II) porphyrinoids, the reduction event occurs when  $[\textbf{XCo}^{l}]^{2\text{-}}$  is formed. The highest catalytic current increases

were registered for the mono-oxochlorin complex 2Co and the dioxoisobacteriochlorin complexes 6Co, 7Co and dioxobacteriochlorin 4Co. Our studies demonstrate that - firstly for any Co(II) complex – CH<sub>4</sub> can be produced using the  $\beta$ oxoporphyrinoid complexes, whereby the all dioxo species proved to be the most active CH<sub>4</sub>-generating catalysts (in terms of TON and TOF) for this 8-electron reduction process, perhaps pointing at the special nature of their dioxoporphyrinoid frameworks. However, any evidence that the 2,7dioxoisobacteriochlorin framework for heme d<sub>1</sub> is superior compared to that of the other dioxoporphyrnoids could not be provided. Our data demonstrate the complex structure-activity relationships that are operative within this family of structurally related but electronically much differentiated compounds. Detailed mechanistic studies are the focus of continuing studies.

Funding was provided by the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) through grants CHE-1652606 (to AAB) and CHE-1800361 (to CB) and the University of Connecticut (Research Excellence

Journal Name

Program, to CB and AAB). We thank Chi-Kwong Chang, Michigan State University, for donation of the OEP used.

## **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Notes and references

§ In the context of the  $\beta$ -oxo-porphyrinoids discussed here, the terms chlorin, bacteriochlorin, and isobacteriochlorin are purely to describe their substitution pattern.

- 1. M. Aresta, A. Dibenedetto and A. Angelini, *Adv. Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **66**, 259-288.
- A. M. Appel, J. E. Bercaw, A. B. Bocarsly, H. Dobbek, D. L. DuBois, M. Dupuis, J. G. Ferry, E. Fujita, R. Hille, P. J. A. Kenis, C. A. Kerfeld, R. H. Morris, C. H. F. Peden, A. R. Portis, S. W. Ragsdale, T. B. Rauchfuss, J. N. H. Reek, L. C. Seefeldt, R. K. Thauer and G. L. Waldrop, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 6621-6658.
- 3. A. Goeppert, M. Czaun, J.-P. Jones, G. K. Surya Prakash and G. A. Olah, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 7995-8048.
- 4. C. Steinlechner and H. Junge, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 57, 44-45.
- A. M. Appel, J. E. Bercaw, A. B. Bocarsly, H. Dobbek, D. L. DuBois, M. Dupuis, J. G. Ferry, E. Fujita, R. Hille, P. J. A. Kenis, C. A. Kerfeld, R. H. Morris, C. H. F. Peden, A. R. Portis, S. W. Ragsdale, T. B. Rauchfuss, J. N. H. Reek, L. C. Seefeldt, R. K. Thauer and G. L. Waldrop, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 6621-6658.
- J. Shen, R. Kortlever, R. Kas, Y. Y. Birdja, O. Diaz-Morales, Y. Kwon, I. Ledezma-Yanez, K. J. P. Schouten, G. Mul and M. T. M. Koper, *Nature Commun.*, 2015, 6, 8177.
- 7. H. Rao, L. C. Schmidt, J. Bonin and M. Robert, *Nature*, 2017, **548**, 74.
- M. D. Sampson and C. P. Kubiak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 1386.
- 9. R. R. Rodrigues, C. M. Boudreaux, E. T. Papish and J. H. Delcamp, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2019, 2, 37-46.
- 10. T. W. Schneider, M. Z. Ertem, J. T. Muckerman and A. M. Angeles-Boza, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 5473-5481.
- B. A. Johnson, S. Maji, H. Agarwala, T. A. White, E. Mijangos and S. Ott, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 1825-1829.
- J. K. Nganga, C. R. Samanamu, J. M. Tanski, C. Pacheco, C. Saucedo, V. S. Batista, K. A. Grice, M. Z. Ertem and A. M. Angeles-Boza, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, 56, 3214-3226.
- 13. B. J. Neyhouse and T. A. White, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2018, 479, 49.
- 14. H. D. Manamperi, S. E. Witt and C. Turro, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* 2019, **2**, 7306-7314.
- 15. C. Costentin, S. Drouet, M. Robert and J. M. Savéant, *Science*, 2012, **338**, 90.
- P. Huang, J. Huang, S. A. Pantovich, A. D. Carl, T. G. Fenton, C. A. Caputo, R. L. Grimm, A. I. Frenkel and G. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 16042-16047.
- 17. P. Huang, S. A. Pantovich, N. O. Okolie, N. A. Deskins and G. Li, ChemPhotoChem, 2020, 4, 420-426.
- E. Cuéllar, L. Pastor, G. García-Herbosa, J. Nganga, A. M. Angeles-Boza, A. Diez-Varga, T. Torroba, J. M. Martín-Alvarez, D. Miguel and F. Villafañe, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2021, **60**, 692-704.
- B. A. Rosen, A. Salehi-Khojin, M. R. Thorson, W. Zhu, D. T. Whipple, P. J. A. Kenis and R. I. Masel, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 643-644.

- 20. J. Agarwal, T. W. Shaw, H. F. Schaefer, III and A. B. Bocarsly, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 5285-5294.
- 21. J. Medina-Ramos, J. L. DiMeglio and J. Rosenthal, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, **136**, 8361-8367.
- J. K. Nganga, L. M. Wolf, K. Mullick, E. Reinheimer, C. Saucedo, M. E. Wilson, K. A. Grice, M. Z. Ertem and A. M. Angeles-Boza, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2021, DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.0c02579.
- 23. M. Hammouche, D. Lexa, M. Momenteau and J. M. Saveant, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, **113**, 8455-8466.
- 24. C. Costentin, M. Robert, J. M. Savéant and A. Tatin, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2015, **112**, 6882.
- 25. K. Takahashi, K. Hiratsuka, H. Sasaki and S. Toshima, *Chem. Lett.*, 1979, **8**, 305-308.
- M. J. Castillo, A. J. McShane, M. Cai, Y. Shen, L. Wang and X. Yao, Anal. Chem., 2015, 87, 9209-9216.
- D. Behar, T. Dhanasekaran, P. Neta, C. M. Hosten, D. Ejeh, P. Hambright and E. Fujita, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1998, 102, 2870-2877.
- A. Mikhailine, A. J. Lough and R. H. Morris, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 1394-1395.
- 29. Z.-Y. Wu, T. Wang, Y.-S. Meng, Y. Rao, B.-W. Wang, J. Zheng, S. Gao and J.-L. Zhang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 5953–5961.
- Z.-Y. Wu, H. Xue, T. Wang, Y. Guo, Y.-S. Meng, X. Li, J. Zheng, C. Brückner, G. Rao, R. D. Britt and J.-L. Zhang, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, 10, 2177–2188.
- 31. Y. Han, H. Fang, H. Jing, H. Sun, H. Lei, W. Lai and R. Cao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 5457–5462.
- J. Jiang, A. J. Matula, J. R. Swierk, N. Romano, Y. Wu, V. S. Batista, R. H. Crabtree, J. S. Lindsey, H. Wang and G. W. Brudvig, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, 8, 10131-10136.
- S. Zhang, M. Nagarjuna Reddy, O. Mass, H.-J. Kim, G. Hu and J. S. Lindsey, *New J. Chem.*, 2017, **41**, 11170-11189.
- 34. C. K. Chang, J. Biol. Chem., 1985, 260, 9520-9522.
- 35. C. K. Chang, K. M. Barkigia, L. K. Hanson and J. Fajer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 1352-1354.
- E. K. Wilson, A. Bellelli, M. Arese, F. Cutruzzola, M. Brunori, K. Aoyagi and K. M. Smith, *Isr. J. Chem.*, 2000, 40, 27-33.
- W. Kaim and B. Schwederski, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2010, 254, 1580-1588.
- 38. H. Fujii, D. Yamaki, T. Ogurac and M. Hadab, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 2896–2906.
- 39. H. H. Inhoffen and W. Nolte, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1969, **725**, 167–176.
- 40. C. K. Chang, Biochemistry, 1980, 19, 1971-1976.
- 41. D. Schnable, N. Chaudhri, R. Li, M. Zeller and C. Brückner, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, **59**, 2870–2880.
- 42. T. Dhanasekaran, J. Grodkowski, P. Neta, P. Hambright and E. Fujita, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1999, **103**, 7742-7748.
- 43. J. Grodkowski, P. Neta, E. Fujita, A. Mahammed, L. Simkhovich and Z. Gross, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2002, **106**, 4772-4778.
- 44. G. F. Manbeck and E. Fujita, J. Porph. Phthal., 2015, 19, 45-64.
- D. Behar, T. Dhanasekaran, P. Neta, C. M. Hosten, D. Ejeh, P. Hambright and E. Fujita, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 1998, **102**, 2870– 2877.
- N. Hewage, P. Daddario, K. S. F. Lau, M. J. Guberman-Pfeffer, J. A. Gascón, M. Zeller, C. O. Lee, G. E. Khalil, M. Gouterman and C. Brückner, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 239–256.
- 47. M. L. Clark, P. L. Cheung, M. Lessio, E. A. Carter and C. P. Kubiak, ACS Catalysis, 2018, **8**, 2021-2029.
- 48. C. Costentin, S. Drouet, M. Robert and J.-M. Savéant, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, **134**, 11235-11242.