Nanoscale

Nanoscale

Surface Modification Nanoarchitectonics of Carbon Nitride Dots for Better Drug Loading and Higher Cancer Selectivity

Email Address: rgraham@med.miami.edu

Abstract

 Carbon Dots (CDs) have recently attracted considerable amount of attention thanks to their well-documented biocompatibility, tunable photoluminescence, and excellent water solubility. However, CDs need further analysis before their potential use in clinical trials. Previously, we reported a new type of carbon nitride dots (CNDs) that displayed selective cancer uptake traits attributed to structural resemblances between CNDs and glutamine. Here, the effects of surface structural differences on the cellular uptake of CNDs are further investigated to understand their selective cancer cell uptake trend. Beyond enhanced drug loading on modified CNDs, our cytotoxicity, Western blot and bioimaging studies proposed that modified CNDs' cellular uptake mechanism is thoroughly linked with ASCT2 and LAT1 transporters. Therefore, CNDs have a promising trait in selective cancer cell targeting by utilizing highly expressed transporters on cancer cells. Additionally, drug loaded CNDs exhibited improved anti-cancer efficacies towards cancer cells along with good non-tumor biocompatibilities.

1. Introduction

 Carbon dots (CDs), the latest member of the fluorescent nanomaterials family, have drawn a considerable attraction in the fields of cancer therapy, bioimaging, chemical sensing, and 18 photocatalysis.^{1,2} Some outstanding reasons laid behind the recent interest drawn by CDs for instance their well-documented biocompatibility, tunable photoluminescence, excellent water solubility, ease of production, and resistance to photobleaching in comparison to traditional

traits towards non-tumor cells. Moreover, to further analyze the cancer cell uptake of the

Fig. 1 Highlights of this manuscript.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials Used

2.2. Synthesis of 1:1, 1:3 and 1:5 CNDs

2.4. Surface functional groups quantification

Page 11 of 62 Nanoscale

15 Eq. (1): $\Phi = \Phi_R \times (I / I_R) \times (A_R / A) \times (n^2 / n_R^2)$

2.7. Cell viability studies

Fig. 2 A) UV–vis absorption spectra of modified CNDs (concentration = 0.1 mg/ml). **B, C, D)** PL emission spectrum of 1:1, 1:3,1:5 CNDs (concentration = 1 × 10 -6 mg/ml), respectively. Insets, normalized PL emission spectra of 1:1, 1:3, 1:5 CNDs. **E)** FTIR spectra of modified CNDs**.**

2 chemical and biochemical characteristics. It is for this reason that we have used different

A)

1 straightforward acid-base titration and a fluorescamine assay. As a result, we obtained further

MALDI-TOF

Number of -

Fig. 3 A) Table for Quantum yield, Number of -COOH and -NH2 Groups on the surface, Surface Charge and MALDI-TOF Main +1 Ionization peak of 1:1, 1:3 and 1:5 CNDs. **B)** Table for Output of XPS analysis for carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen. **C)** Schematic representation of Radical carboxylation of CND edges.

2 confirmation that the amount of surface -COOH groups is less in both the 1:3 and the 1:5 CNDs

- 4 and 0.184 mmol of -COOH groups, respectively. Supportably, the fluorescamine assay
- 5 confirmed the results of the FTIR by quantifying the surface -NH₂. Specifically, the number of

Nanoscale **Page 24 of 62**

3.2. Doxorubicin conjugation and quantification on modified CNDs

Here, we studied modified CNDs' nanocarrier properties by conjugating them with

doxorubicin, an anticancer drug. It has been known that doxorubicin has significant side effects

towards healthy cells.²² The use of nanocarriers such as CDs should limit the side effects by

1 achieving a targeted delivery of doxorubicin to cancer cells. We loaded doxorubicin on the

Fig. 4 A) UV-vis absorption spectra of Dox, 1:1 CNDs-Dox, 1:3 CNDs-Dox and 1:5 CNDs-Dox conjugates. **B)** Circular Dichroism (CD) spectra of 1:1 CNDs-Dox, 1:3 CNDs-Dox and 1:5 CNDs-Dox conjugates. **C)** Table for amount of doxorubicin loaded per mg of each conjugate. D) Table for comparing the differences between zeta potential (surface charge) measurements of surface modified CNDs before and after doxorubicin conjugation.

2 surface of modified CNDs by creating carbodiimide crosslink between the CNDs and the

3 loading cargo. A long-established EDC/NHS bioconjugation between CNDs and doxorubicin

3.3. *In vitro* **cell viability studies**

Moreover, the 1:3 and the 1:5 CNDs-DOX conjugates performed notable anticancer efficacy

A) MSC $SJ-GBM2$ KNS42 100 100 Survival Rate (%) Survival Rate $(^{0}_{0})$ 100 Survival Rate (%) 80 60 60 60 40 40 $\overline{40}$ $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ $\overline{2}$ $\mathbf{0}$ vo 40°00 ^vo 40 40°00 ^vo 40°00 ^vo わからかな たかびな たかざが たっとうびゅ たっとうしゅ $v^0 v^0 v^0 v^0$ **Sample Concentrations (nM)** Sample Concentrations (nM) Sample Concentrations (nM) SMS-KCNR $SFI88$ $\underset{***}{NPS3}$ *** $\sqrt{1}$ \ast ** 100

Fig. 5 A) 3-hours exposure cell viability results of 1:1 CNDs-Dox (Black), 1:3 CNDs-Dox (Red) and 1:5 CNDs-Dox (Blue) conjugates **B)** Table for IC50 values and amount of dox present at the IC50 points of CNDs-Dox conjugates, and IC50 values of doxorubicin alone. Results are expressed as % of survival rate. Values are means $(nM) \pm SEM$ (n = 12). P was accepted as 0.05.

I

1 towards four glioblastoma cell lines: SF188, NP53, KNS42, and SJ-GBM2, and one

1 neuroblastoma, SMS-K-CNR **(Fig. 5, A)**. The 1:3 CNDs, conversely, achieved the best

Fig. 6 A) 72-hours exposure cell viability results of 1:1 CNDs-Dox (Black), 1:3 CNDs-Dox (Red) and 1:5 CNDs-Dox (Blue) conjugates **B)** Table for IC50 values and amount of dox present at the IC50 points of CNDs-Dox conjugates, and IC50 values of doxorubicin alone. Results are expressed as % of survival rate. Values are means $(nM) \pm SEM (n = 12)$. P was accepted as 0.05.

2 anticancer efficacy among the three modified CNDs-Dox conjugates. The 1:3 CNDs' IC_{50}

significant increase in the green PL intensity for both the MSC and the SJ-BM2 cell lines

compared to the 1:1 CNDs **(Fig. 7, B, C, D)**. Meanwhile, the 1:5 CNDs also displayed

significant PL brightness to the 1:1 CNDs for both the non-tumor and the cancer cells

bioimaging **(Fig. 7, B, C, D)**. To further investigate cellular uptake properties of the modified

CNDs, we implemented bioimaging studies with the presence of the ASCT2 and the LAT1

transporters' competitive ligands **(Fig. 8, A)**. We selected five competitive inhibitor ligands to

work: benser, glutamine, V-9302, BCH, and tryptophan. It has been assessed in previous

studies that cellular uptake mechanisms of competitive inhibitors of benser, glutamine, and V-

1 9302 is directly related to the ASCT2 transporter levels.³⁴⁻³⁶ Further studies have shown that

1 BCH and tryptophan are up taken by LAT1 transporter on the cells.^{37,38} We hypothesized that

Both cell lines were treated with 1 mM concentration of modified CNDs for 1 h. Scale bars are 50 µm. Excitation wavelength: Blue, 358 nm, Green, 488 nm. **B)** Green PL intensity quantification for MSC (non-tumor) images of modified CNDs. Values are means ± range (n=30). **C)** Green PL intensity quantification for SJ-GBM2 (glioblastoma) images of modified CNDs. Values are means ± range (n=30). **D)** One-way (Anova) statistical comparison for MSC (non-tumor) and SJ-GBM2 (glioblastoma) green PL intensity of modified CNDs. Values are means \pm range (n=30). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001. In boxplots, center bars represent medians and expand to the first and third quartiles; whiskers extend to min/max data points.

if the possible cell uptake mechanism of the 1:1, 1:3 and the 1:5 CNDs are connected with the

ASCT2 and the LAT1 transporters, then their PL intensity for bioimaging should be affected

1 in the presence of the competitive molecules that occupies the same transporters for cell uptake.

Fig. 8 A) Fluorescence microscopy images of SJ-GBM2 (glioblastoma) cells treated for an hour with 1 mM of 1:1, 1:3 and 1:5 CNDs, 60mM of benser, 60mM of glutamine, 15µM of V-9302, 15mM of BCH, and 60mM of tryptophan. Scale bars are 50 µm. Excitation wavelength: Blue, 358 nm, Green, 488 nm. **B, C, D)** Green PL intensity One-way (Anova) quantification of 1:1, 1:3 and 1:5 CNDs, respectively. Values are means \pm range (n=30). P was accepted as 0.001. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001. In boxplots, center bars represent medians and expand to the first and third quartiles; whiskers extend to min/max data points.

2 Therefore, we quantified the PL intensity of the modified CNDs that are treated with

3 glioblastoma (SJ-GBM2) cells with and without the presence of inhibitors. The PL intensities

4 of images were calculated through ImageJ software, and the results were analyzed with One-

1 3. Li, L. & Dong, T. Correction: Photoluminescence tuning in carbon dots: surface passivation or/and 2 functionalization, heteroatom doping. *Journal of materials chemistry. C, Materials for optical and* 3 *electronic devices* **7**, 315–315 (2019). 4 4. Esfandiari, N. *et al.* Effect of carbonization degree of carbon dots on cytotoxicity and photo-5 induced toxicity to cells. *Heliyon* **5**, e02940–e02940 (2019). 6 5. Hassan, S. *et al.* Evolution and clinical translation of drug delivery nanomaterials. *Nano Today* **15**, 7 91–106 (2017). 8 6. Fenstermacher, D. A., Wenham, R. M., Rollison, D. E. & Dalton, W. S. Implementing personalized 9 medicine in a cancer center. *The cancer journal (Sudbury, Mass.)* **17**, 528–536 (2011). 10 7. *Confirmation hearings on the nominations of Thomas Perrelli, nominee to be Associate Attorney* 11 *General of the United States and Elena Kagan, nominee to be Solicitor General of the United* 12 *States : hearing before the Committee on the Judiciary, United States Senate, One Hundred* 13 *Eleventh Congress, first session, February 10, 2009.* (U.S. G.P.O., 2009). 14 8. Neal, J. W. *et al.* Erlotinib, cabozantinib, or erlotinib plus cabozantinib as second-line or third-line 15 treatment of patients with EGFR wild-type advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (ECOG-ACRIN 16 1512): a randomised, controlled, open-label, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *The lancet oncology* **17**, 17 1661–1671 (2016). 18 9. Druker, B. J. *et al.* Five-Year Follow-up of Patients Receiving Imatinib for Chronic Myeloid 19 Leukemia. *N Engl J Med* **355**, 2408–2417 (2006). 20 10. Liyanage, P. Y. *et al.* Carbon Nitride Dots: A Selective Bioimaging Nanomaterial. *Bioconjug Chem* 21 **30**, 111–123 (2019). 22 11. Still, E. R. & Yuneva, M. O. Hopefully devoted to Q: targeting glutamine addiction in cancer. *Br J* 23 *Cancer* **116**, 1375–1381 (2017). 24 12. Lopes, C., Pereira, C. & Medeiros, R. ASCT2 and LAT1 Contribution to the Hallmarks of Cancer: 25 From a Molecular Perspective to Clinical Translation. *Cancers (Basel)* **13**, 203 (2021). 26 13. Halvorson, K. G. *et al.* A high-throughput in vitro drug screen in a genetically engineered mouse 27 model of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma identifies BMS-754807 as a promising therapeutic 28 agent. *PLoS One* **10**, e0118926–e0118926 (2015). 29 14. Zhou, Y. *et al.* Photoluminescent Carbon Dots: A Mixture of Heterogeneous Fractions. 30 *Chemphyschem* **19**, 2589–2597 (2018). 31 15. Kirbas Cilingir, E. *et al.* Metformin derived carbon dots: Highly biocompatible fluorescent 32 nanomaterials as mitochondrial targeting and blood-brain barrier penetrating biomarkers. *J* 33 *Colloid Interface Sci* **592**, 485–497 (2021). 34 16. Graham, R. M. *et al.* Resveratrol augments ER stress and the cytotoxic effects of glycolytic 35 inhibition in neuroblastoma by downregulating Akt in a mechanism independent of SIRT1. *Exp* 36 *Mol Med* **48**, e210–e210 (2016).

27