ChemComm

Sn(II)–Carbon Bond Reactivity: Radical Generation and Consumption via Reactions of a Stannylene with Alkynes

COMMUNICATION

Sn(II)–Carbon Bond Reactivity: Radical Generation and Consumption via Reactions of a Stannylene with Alkynes

Wenxing Zou,^{a†} Kristian L. Mears,^{a†} James C. Fettinger^a and Philip P. Power^{*a}

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Thermal Sn–C cleavage in the diarylstannylene Sn(AriPr4)² (AriPr4 = C6H3-2,6-(C6H3-2,6-iPr2)2) was used to generate ·Sn(AriPr4) and ·AriPr4 radicals for alkyne arylstannylation. The radical pair and RCCR $(R = H, R' = Ph; R = Ph, R' = Ph; R = H, R' = C₄H₉; R = H, R' = SIMe₃)$ in **refluxing benzene generate the aryl vinyl stannylene complexes, AriPr4Sn{C(C6H5)-C(H)(AriPr4)} (1), AriPr4Sn{C(C6H5)-C(H)(C6H5)} (2) and AriPr4Sn{C(C4H9)-C(H)(AriPr4)} (3) respectively. For HCCSiMe3, the known distannene {Sn(CCSiMe3)AriPr4}² (4) was also generated from this new method.**

Structural and theoretical studies of stannylenes, a class of stable, divalent tin carbene analogues, $1-3$ have the general formula of $SnR₂$ where usually, $R =$ bulky organic or related ligand. Stannylenes feature a vacant p-orbital, as well as an orbital occupied by a non-bonding pair of electrons.⁴–⁷ These frontier orbitals define their electron acceptor and donor characteristics. Like other heavier group 14 carbene analogues, stannylenes are highly colored and typically display high reactivity towards small molecules due to their relatively modest HOMO-LUMO gap (ca. 2.0-2.5 eV)^{8,9} and often welldefined $Sn(1)$ radical character.^{10,11} It has been shown that stannylenes react with H_2 ,^{9,12,13} CO₂,^{14–16} RNH₂,⁹ and ROH (R = Me or H ¹⁷ and ethylene⁶ under mild conditions.

In contrast to these studies, investigations of stannylene reactivity with alkynes remain scarce. In late 1980s, Sita and coworkers¹⁸ reported a reversible complexation of a stannylene with a strained cycloheptyne species, which afforded a 1:1 complex (Figure 1, a). It is noteworthy that the resulting addition product dissociated to regenerate the corresponding stannylene and free alkyne at elevated temperature, suggesting that this coordination can be understood in terms of a weak πcomplex binding.¹⁹ Veith's group²⁰ reported the synthesis of the first distannacyclobutene, which was prepared from a formal [2+2] cycloaddition reaction of a diamidostannylene (equilibrated with the corresponding distannene) with a strained cyclic alkyne under ambient conditions (Figure 1, b).

Figure 1. Previously reported reactions of stannylenes (a-d) with alkynes and the known homolysis exploited for arylstannylation, reported here.^{18,20–22}

a. . Department of Chemistry, One Shields Avenue, Davis, University of California, 95616, USA.

b.† These authors contributed equally.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Experimental details and characterization methods including multinuclear NMR, UV-vis and IR spectroscopy and SCXRD data]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION Journal Name

In contrast to the simple coordination/cycloaddition products mentioned above, Kira et al. reported a more complicated reaction of a diaryl stannylene and methyl/ethyl propynoates, which gave a 1:2 complex (Figure 1, c).²¹ However, treatment of the same starting reagents with non-terminal alkynes afforded no reaction. A double insertion reaction of bis(boryl)stannylenes and alkynes was reported by Aldridge and co-workers, showing that the treatment of bis(boryl)stannylene with diphenylacetylene yielded a borane-appended (vinyl) Sn(II) compound (Figure 1, d).²² Previously, we showed that the diarylstannylene $Sn(Ar^{iPr4})₂$ underwent a facile migratory insertion reaction with ethylene, to afford two stannylene species with slightly different terphenyl substituents (AriPr4 and Ar^{iPr6}, Ar^{iPr6} = C₆H₃-2,6-(C₆H₂-2,4,6-iPr₃)₂).^{6,23} While the use of tin compounds is widespread in organic chemistry24,25 (*e.g.* Stille coupling),²⁶ the tin species employed usually have the Sn(IV) oxidation state. However, recent work on radical-based borylstannylations of alkynes using Sn(IV)–B containing compounds²⁷–²⁹ has provided evidence that a Sn(II) stannylene can accomplish an arylstannylation. By accessing a onecoordinate Sn(I) radical-terphenyl radical pair by thermal homolysis of $Sn(Ar^{iPr4})₂$ at elevated temperatures,^{23,30} similar reactivity of this radical pair with alkynes could be envisioned. We herein describe the insertion reactions of the diarylstannylene Sn $(Ar^{ipra})₂$ and a series of alkynes (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1: Overview of insertion reactions of diarylstannylene with alkynes reported here.

The reaction of $Sn(Ar^{iPr4})₂$ and 3 equiv. of phenylacetylene in benzene at 60 °C for 3 days resulted in a color change from dark blue to dark red. Benzene was removed under reduced pressure to afford the product, $Ar^{ipr4}Sn{C(C_6H_5)-C(H)(Ar^{ipr4})}$ (1), as a dark red residue. Recrystallization from hexane yielded **1** as red blocks which were suitable for single crystal X-ray crystallography (SCXRD). The SCXRD data for **1** (Figure 2) showed that the $Sn(Ar^{iPr4})_2$ had added across the phenylacetylene molecule to afford monomeric aryl vinyl divalent tin complex (**1**). Complex **1** features a mononuclear two-coordinate tin atom, with an interligand angle of 110.22(15)°, which is significantly narrower than those in the previously reported diaryl stannylene complexes (*cf.* Sn(Ar^{iPr4})₂: 117.56(8)°;³¹ Sn(Ar^{iPr6})₂ (Ar^{iPr6} = C₆H₃-2,6-(C₆H₂-2,4,6-ⁱPr₃)₂): $107.61(9)°;^{32}$ Sn(Ar^{iPr4}-4-Cl)₂: $115.12(8)°;^{33}$ Sn(Ar^{iPr4}-3,5-ⁱPr₂)₂: 123.4(2)°;³³ Sn(Ari^{pr4}-4-SiMe₃)₂: 115.37(9)°)³³ but considerably wider than those in the related aryl alkyl substituted

stannylenes (cf. AriPr4SnCH₂CH₂AriPr4: 94.7 (5) °:⁶ AriPr6SnCH₂CH₂AriPr6: 99.22(1)°).⁶ The Sn-C1 and Sn-C9 bond lengths in **1** span the range 2.225(16) 2.217(4) to 2.225(16) Å, which slightly exceeds the sum of the single bond radii of carbon (0.77 Å) and tin $(1.4 \text{ Å})^{34}$ but nevertheless can be compared to the Sn-Cipso distances observed in other aryl (alkyl) stannylenes (cf. Sn{C(Ph)=C(Ph)B(NDipCH)₂}₂: 2.2232(19) Å;²²

Sn{C(Ph)=C(H)B(NDipCH)2}{NDip(SiMe3)}: 2.2298(19) Å;²² AriPr4SnCH2CH2AriPr4: 2.192(3) Å;⁶ AriPr6SnCH2CH2AriPr6: 2.1992(12) Å). 6 C1 and C2 have essentially planar geometry (a sum of interior angles of 359.6(10)° for C1 and 361.0(17)° for C2) which is consistent with their approximate sp^2 hybridization. The ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectrum of **1** shows two signals, the more downfield signal at +1598.74 ppm corresponding to **1** (the molecular structure of **1** is shown in Figure 2) and what is likely the corresponding distannene, $[Ar^{ipr4}Sn{C(C_6H_5)}-$ C(H)(AriPr4)}]² (**1 dimer**), which has a more upfield shift of +389.55 ppm. A rapid association/dissociation likely occurs in the solution state leading to the formation of **1 dimer** which is also evident by the broad peaks in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** indicating high fluxionality**.** Other distannene compounds also display signals in this range of the 119 Sn NMR spectrum. $35,36$

The use of diphenylacetylene, a more sterically demanding alkyne yielded a monomeric organotin species $Ar^{ipr4}Sn{C(C_6H_5)}$ - $C(H)(C_6H_5)$ (2) that displays only one terphenyl ligand AriPr4 at the Sn(II) atom (Figure 3). The tin atom in **2** (Figure 3) has a bent two-coordinate configuration with an C1-Sn-C15 angle of 98.574(5)°, which is much narrower than that in **1** but is comparable to those in other alkyl substituted arylstannylenes (cf. $Ar^{iPr4}SnCH_2CH_2Ar^{iPr4}$: 98.59(15)°,⁶ and AriPr6SnCH2CH² AriPr6: 99.23(7)°).⁶ The H1, C2, C1 atoms in **2** lie essentially coplanar with the C15-Sn1-C1 plane with a twist angle of 7.04(11)°, while the two phenyl rings on the vinyl substituent are nearly orthogonal to each other (a twist angle of 86.07(6)°).

Figure 2. Molecular structure of complex 1 (ellipsoids shown at 50% probability, most by dependent for clare in the distribution of the distri

Figure 3. Molecular structure of complex 2 (ellipsoids shown at 50% probability,
most hydrogens not shown, and flanking rings shown in wireframe format for
Clarity). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (*) of 2: C1-C2 1.3

The ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectrum of compound **2** displayed a single resonance at +1601 ppm, which falls just upfield of the observed monomeric divalent organotin species (cf. AriPr4SnCH₂CH₂AriPr4: +1809 ppm:⁶ AriPr6SnCH₂CH₂AriPr6: +1946 ppm;⁶ Sn{C(Ph)=C(H)B(NDippCH)2}2: +1730 ppm;²² Sn{C(Ph)=C(Ph)B(NDippCH)₂}₂: +1670 ppm),²² which also indicates that **2** is a monomer in solution. The UV-vis spectrum of **2** shows a broad absorption band at 518 nm that tails into visible region. The absorption band is attributed to an $n \rightarrow p$ transition which can be correlated to the HOMO-LUMO energy gap. This value is comparable to those of other monomeric alkyl/aryl stannylene species (cf. AriPr4SnCH₂C₆H₅: 486 nm;²³ Ari^{pr4}SnCH₂C₆H₄-3-Me: 490 nm;²³ AriPr4SnCH2CH2*t*Bu: 486 nm;³⁷ AriPr6SnCH2CH2*t*Bu: 484 nm;³⁷ and Ar^{iPr4}SnR (R = norbornyl, 494 nm; norbornenyl, 502 nm) and Ar^{ipr4}Sn(norbornyl)SnAr^{ipr4} (496 nm)).³⁸

We then tested reactive aliphatic alkynes with $Sn(Ar^{ipr4})₂$, including 1-hexyne and trimethylsilylacetylene. When $Sn(Ar^{ipr4})₂$ was treated with three molar equivalents of 1-hexyne in benzene at 80 °C for 48 h, the deep blue color of the $Sn(Ar^{\text{ipra}})_2$ gradually became dark purple. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded a purple powder. The powder was re-dissolved in diethyl ether (50 mL) and was stored in a *ca*. - 38 °C freezer to yield pure compound **3** (68%) as a purple solid. However, attempts to grow crystals of **3** from a variety of solvents were unsuccessful. A proposed structure of **3** was shown in Scheme 1, on the basis of spectroscopic data which match the proposed molecular structure. The mechanism (Scheme 2) of the formation of compound **1** is proposed based on literature precedent.^{6,23,30} Homolytic cleavage of a Sn-C bond was initiated upon heating, followed by the formation of a :SnAriPr4 radical and a terphenyl carbon radical ·AriPr4, which can be contrasted with the generation of the radical \cdot Sn{CH(SiMe₃)₂}₃ by heating solutions of :Sn{CH(SiMe₃)₂}₂.^{10,11,39} The reaction of the :SnAriPr4/·AriPr4 radical pair with

phenylacetylene yielded the product **1**. In the synthesis of **2**, the ·AriPr4 radical does not add to the alkyne substrate, likely for steric reasons. We have previously shown that proton abstraction²³ from the most readily available source (solvent) is likely the radical termination step for the generated \cdot AriPr4 radical.

Scheme 2: Proposed mechanism for the formation of complex **1**.

Interestingly, the addition of $Sn(Ar^{iPr4})₂$ to trimethylsilylacetylene yielded the known distannene [(AriPr4)Sn(CCSiMe3)]² (complex **4**) arising from the dimerization of the stannylene monomer [(AriPr4)Sn(CCSiMe3)] which was previously synthesized via the salt metathesis route between [SnCl(AriPr4)] and LiCCSiMe₃ (Figure 4).³⁸ The yield obtained for complex **4** was significantly improved using the arylstannylation route reported here (84% compared to 56% obtained for the salt metathesis route). Complex **4** has previously shown to undergo dynamic solution behavior, dissociating from the distannene dimer to the stannylene monomer at room temperature. These fast processes in solution may play a role in the preferential formation of the distannene, rather than the anticipated arylstannylated product, which was not observed.

In summary, the thermal homolysis of the Sn-C bond in : Sn(AriPr4)₂ to generate the one-coordinate Sn(I) radical, : SnAriPr4 and a terphenyl ·AriPr4 radical have been applied for the first time in the arylstannylations of alkynes at elevated temperature, affording stable vinylstannylenes (products **1**-**3**). For the case of trimethylsilylacetylene, the known distannene product (**4**) was generated, rather than an arylstannylated alkene product. The structures of **1**, **2** and **4** were confirmed by X-ray crystallography and multinuclear NMR spectroscopy, while 4 was confirmed by ¹H, ¹³C and ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectroscopy. Further mechanistic investigations are in hand.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 S. Benet, C. J. Cardin, D. J. Cardin, S. P. Constantine, P. Heath, H. Rashid, S. Teixeira, J. H. Thorpe and A. K. Todd, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 389–398.
- 2 W. Setaka, K. Sakamoto, M. Kira and P. P. Power, *Organometallics*, 2001, **20**, 4460–4462.
- 3 H. Arp, J. Baumgartner, C. Marschner and T. Müller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 5632–5635.
- 4 Y. Mizuhata, T. Sasamori and N. Tokitoh, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 3479–3511.
- 5 C. Mohapatra, L. T. Scharf, T. Scherpf, B. Mallick, K. Feichtner, C. Schwarz and V. H. Gessner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 7459– 7463.
- 6 T. Y. Lai, J.-D. Guo, J. C. Fettinger, S. Nagase and P. P. Power, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 405–407.
- 7 N. Abedini and M. Z. Kassaee, *Comput. Theor. Chem.*, 2020, **1190**, 112998.
- 8 S. Banerjee and K. Vanka, *Polyhedron*, 2022, **222**, 115907.
- 9 Y. Peng, B. D. Ellis, X. Wang and P. P. Power, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 12268–12269.
- 10 A. Hudson, M. F. Lappert and P. W. Lednor, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1976, 2369.
- 11 K. L. Mears, B. Ruiz, G.-A. Nguyen, W. Zou, J. C. Fettinger and P. P. Power, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, **59**, 6399–6402.
- 12 Y. Peng, J.-D. Guo, B. D. Ellis, Z. Zhu, J. C. Fettinger, S. Nagase and P. P. Power, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 16272–16282.
- 13 R. C. Turnell-Ritson, J. S. Sapsford, R. T. Cooper, S. S. Lee, T. Földes, P. A. Hunt, I. Pápai and A. E. Ashley, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 8716–8722.
- 14 L. A.-M. Harris, M. P. Coles and J. R. Fulton, *Inorganica Chim. Acta*, 2011, **369**, 97–102.
- 15 L. Ferro, P. B. Hitchcock, M. P. Coles, H. Cox and J. R. Fulton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 1879–1888.
- 16 A. V. Protchenko, M. Á. Fuentes, J. Hicks, C. McManus, R. Tirfoin and S. Aldridge, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 9059–9067.
- 17 J. D. Erickson, P. Vasko, R. D. Riparetti, J. C. Fettinger, H. M. Tuononen and P. P. Power, *Organometallics*, 2015, **34**, 5785–5791.
- 18 L. R. Sita and R. D. Bickerstaff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 5208– 5209.
- 19 J. A. Boatz, M. S. Gordon and L. R. Sita, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1990, **94**, 5488–5493.
- 20 A. Krebs, A. Jacobsen-Bauer, E. Haupt, M. Veith and V. Huch, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1989, **28**, 603–604.
- 21 J. Xu, X.-Q. Xiao, C. Yan, Z. Li, Q. Lu, Q. Yang, G. Lai and M. Kira, *Organometallics*, 2018, **37**, 2399–2405.
- 22 A. V. Protchenko, M. P. Blake, A. D. Schwarz, C. Jones, P. Mountford and S. Aldridge, *Organometallics*, 2015, **34**, 2126–2129.
- 23 T. Y. Lai, J. C. Fettinger and P. P. Power, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 5674–5677.
- 24 A. G. Davies, Organotin Chemistry, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2003 1980, pp. 1–77.
- 25 T. Mukaiyama and S. Kobayashi, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1990, **382**, 39–52.
- 26 J. K. Stille, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1986, **25**, 508–524.
- 27 H. Yoshida, Y. Takemoto and K. Takaki, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 6297–6300.
- 28 S. Onozawa, Y. Hatanaka, T. Sakakura, S. Shimada and M. Tanaka, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 5450–5452.
- 29 K. Suzuki, N. Sugihara, Y. Nishimoto and M. Yasuda, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, , DOI:10.1002/anie.202201883.
- 30 T. Y. Lai, L. Tao, R. D. Britt and P. P. Power, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 12527–12530.
- 31 G. H. Spikes, Y. Peng, J. C. Fettinger and P. P. Power, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2006, **632**, 1005–1010.
- 32 M. L. McCrea-Hendrick, M. Bursch, K. L. Gullett, L. R. Maurer, J. C. Fettinger, S. Grimme and P. P. Power, *Organometallics*, 2018, **37**, 2075–2085.
- 33 P. Wilfling, K. Schittelkopf, M. Flock, R. H. Herber, P. P. Power and R. C. Fischer, *Organometallics*, 2015, **34**, 2222–2232.
- 34 P. Pyykkö and M. Atsumi, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 186–197.
- 35 K.W.Zilm, G. A. Lawless, R.M. Merrill, J.M. Millar and G.G. Webb, J. *Am Chem. Soc*. 1987, **109,** 7236-7238.
- 36 C.R. Stennett, M. Bursch, J.C. Fettinger, S. Grimme and P. P. Power, *J. Am . Chem. Soc. .*2021, **143**, 21478-2148.
- 37 S. Wang, M. L. McCrea-Hendrick, C. M. Weinstein, C. A. Caputo, E. Hoppe, J. C. Fettinger, M. M. Olmstead and P. P. Power, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 6596–6604.
- 38 H. Lei, J. C. Fettinger and P. P. Power, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 5585–5590.
- 39 P. J. Davidson, A. Hudson, M. F. Lappert and P. W. Lednor, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1973, 829–830.