

PCCP

Anisotropic fluid flows in black phosphorus nanochannels

Journal:	Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics		
Manuscript ID	CP-ART-09-2023-004736.R1		
Article Type:	Paper		
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Nov-2023		
Complete List of Authors:	Jian, Ruda; The University of Texas at Dallas, Mechanical Engineering Wu, Shiwen; The University of Texas at Dallas, Mechanical Engineering Tian, Siyu; The University of Texas at Dallas, Mechanical Engineering Mashhadian, Amirarsalan; The University of Texas at Dallas, Mechanical Engineering Xu, Zhihao; University of Notre Dame, Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering Leonardi, Stefano; The University of Texas at Dallas, Mechanical Engineering Luo, Tengfei; University of Notre Dame, Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering Luo, Tengfei; University of Notre Dame, Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering Xiong, Guoping; The University of Texas at Dallas, Mechanical Engineering		



Anisotropic fluid flows in black phosphorus nanochannels

Ruda Jian¹, Shiwen Wu¹, Siyu Tian¹, Amirarsalan Mashhadian¹, Zhihao Xu², Stefano Leonardi¹, Tengfei Luo²*, Guoping Xiong¹*

- Department of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75080, USA
- Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA

*Corresponding authors: Email: <u>tluo@nd.edu</u>, <u>Guoping.Xiong@utdallas.edu</u>

Abstract

With the development of advanced micro/nanoscale technologies, two-dimensional materials have emerged from laboratories and have been applied in practice. To investigate the mechanisms of solid-liquid interactions in potential applications, molecular dynamics simulations are employed to study the flow behavior of n-dodecane (C12) molecules confined in black phosphorus (BP) nanochannels. Under the same external conditions, a significant difference in the velocity profiles of fluid molecules is observed when flowing along the armchair and zigzag directions of the BP walls. The average velocity of C₁₂ molecules flowing along the zigzag direction is 9-fold higher than that along the armchair direction. The friction factor at the interface between C₁₂ molecules and BP nanochannels and the orientations of C₁₂ molecules near the BP walls are analyzed to explain the differences in velocity profiles under various flow directions, external driving forces, and nanochannel widths. The result shows that most C_{12} molecules are oriented parallel to the flow direction along the zigzag direction, leading to a relatively smaller friction factor hence a higher average velocity. In contrast, along the armchair direction, most C_{12} molecules are oriented perpendicular to the flow direction, leading to a relatively larger friction factor and thus a lower average velocity. This work provides important insights into understanding the anisotropic liquid flows in nanochannels.

Keywords

solid-liquid interaction; friction factor; molecular alignment; anisotropy; BP nanochannel

1. INTRODUCTION

With the fast development of micro/nanoscale technologies, an increasing number of twodimensional (2D) nanomaterials have been developed in laboratories and used in practical applications¹⁻⁴. As a typical 2D nanomaterial, black phosphorus (BP) has attracted enormous attention because of its direct bandgap⁵, high carrier mobility⁶, and anisotropic properties⁷. The outstanding physical⁸, electronic⁹, and optical properties¹⁰ of BP have driven the development of micro/nanofluidic devices¹¹ based on the material. However, current research on BP-based micro/nanofluidic devices is still at the exploratory stage, focusing on fluid flow characteristics in nanochannels^{12, 13} and solid-liquid interface mechanisms^{14, 15}. The interaction mechanisms at the solid-liquid interface in BP nanochannels remain elusive. Therefore, unveiling the interplay between the BP-based nanochannel and fluids is crucial to understanding the transport properties of fluids in such nanochannels for practical applications.

In recent years, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have emerged as a widely-used tool to investigate fluid transport at the molecular scale and has been extensively applied to study the pressure-driven flow of liquid hydrocarbons in nanochannels^{7, 16-28}. The liquid molecules confined in a nanochannel behave differently compared to the bulk state because of the more dominant role of interaction between the molecules and confined nanochannel walls²⁹⁻³¹. The flow behavior of molecules in BP nanochannels becomes more complicated because of the solid-liquid interaction with the anisotropic lattice structure of BP³². In a recent study, water flowing in BP nanochannels exhibited a discrepancy (maximum 2 times) of flow velocity along the zigzag/armchair directions⁷. However, whether the simulation results in water as liquid phase can be generalized to other liquids (e.g., hydrocarbons) is not clear. Particularly, the flow behavior of long-chain hydrocarbons in

nanochannels is highly related to their molecular orientation²⁸. Therefore, investigating the flow behavior of hydrocarbon molecules confined in BP nanochannels with anisotropy is warranted.

In this paper, MD simulations are conducted to investigate the anisotropic flow behavior of liquid oil confined in BP nanochannels. As representative oil model n-dodecane (C_{12}) is employed in the simulations. The velocity profiles of oil molecules are analyzed by changing the external driving force and nanochannel width. The average velocities of C_{12} molecules flowing along the armchair and zigzag directions differ by a factor of ~9 under the same conditions due to the anisotropic lattice nature of BP, which is significantly higher compared to the reported water flow in BP channels with a velocity difference of 2 times along the armchair and zigzag directions. Furthermore, we investigate the friction factor at the interface between the nanochannel and C_{12} molecules and the orientation of the C_{12} molecules near the BP walls. Results show that oil flow along the armchair and zigzag exhibit a large velocity discrepancy, which can be influenced by the external driving force and width of the nanochannels. These findings provide a better understanding of the transport properties of the C_{12} molecules in BP nanochannels under different conditions.

2. METHODS AND SIMULATION MODEL

In this work, all simulations are performed using Large-scale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator (LAMMPS)³³ and visualized by Open Visualization Tool (OVITO)³⁴. Figure 1a shows a schematic of the simulation setup. Two BP layers with a dimension of ~ 5 nm (x) × 5.5 nm (z) are used as the nanochannel walls with 180 C₁₂ molecules sandwiched between the two parallel BP walls while the atoms number of different conditions is listed in Table S1. Periodic boundary conditions are applied along the x and z directions. The time step size is set to 1 fs. The cutoff distance is set to 12 Å. The C₁₂ molecules are modeled using the polymer consistent force field (PCFF)³⁵. The BP molecules are modeled using the Stillinger-Weber (SW) potential⁷. The non-bond interactions are described by the Lennard-Jones (L-J) potential ^{36, 37}, which has included the impact of van der Waals interactions³⁶:

$$E = 4\varepsilon \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 \right]$$
(1)

where ε and σ are the energy and length constants, respectively, and r_{ij} is the distance between two atoms *i* and *j*. For cross-species pairwise L-J interactions, the Lorentz–Berthelot rule is used^{38,} ^{39.}

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{ii}\varepsilon_{jj}}, \quad \sigma_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_{ii} + \sigma_{jj}}{2}$$
 (2)

where ε_{ij} and σ_{ij} are the energy constants and distance parameters of the L-J potential between type *i* and type *j* atoms, respectively. All adopted L-J potential parameters⁷ are listed in Table 1. The long-range electrostatic interactions in the entire system are computed by the particle-particle particle-mesh (PPPM) approach⁴⁰ with an accuracy of 1 × 10⁻⁵.

	atom	ε (Kcal/mole)	σ (Å)
BP	Р	0.367	3.438
C ₁₂	С	0.54	4.01
	Н	0.02	2.995

 Table 1 L-J potential parameters for different atom species.

The system is relaxed by the following processes: first, the system is run in the microcanonical ensemble (i.e., NVE) for 10 ps. Then, a canonical ensemble (i.e., NVT) is applied at a temperature of 300 K for 2 ns. Subsequently, the system is equilibrated by applying an isobaric-isothermal ensemble (i.e., NPT) for 10 ns at 1 atm with one of the BP nanochannel walls fixed by a spring force of 2×10^{-2} kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹ while the other is free to move. Finally, a following

NVT for 5 ns is applied. The density profiles of C_{12} molecules along the *y* direction are collected and averaged during the last 5 ns of the NVT process. As shown in Figure 1b, the average density of C_{12} in the middle region of the BP nanochannels is calculated to be 0.708 g/cm³, which is within 94.53% to the experimental density data of its bulk phase (0.749 g/cm³)⁴¹ at the same pressure and temperature. The density of C_{12} is calculated with the same relaxed process without BP wall as shown in Figure S3.

The flow behavior of C_{12} molecules confined in the BP nanochannel is studied by nonequilibrium molecular dynamics (NEMD). During the simulations, both BP nanochannel walls are fixed, and constant external driving forces along the *z* direction (i.e., armchair direction) or *x* direction (i.e., zigzag direction) are applied to all the C_{12} molecules in the BP nanochannel to mimic the flowing behavior of C_{12} under constant pressure conditions. The NEMD simulation based on the previous condition continues for 20 ns with the Nosé-Hoover thermostat applied along the directions perpendicular to the C_{12} molecules flow^{28, 42-44} since employing the velocity perpendicular to the flow direction and subtracting the center of mass velocity help accurately control the temperature. The interactions between BP nanochannel and C12 molecules under different conditions are quantitatively analyzed using the group force computation method (Table S1).



Figure 1. (a) Schematic of the simulation setup in which C_{12} molecules are confined in a BP nanochannel which the blue and red atoms represented the middle carbon atoms and head/tail carbon atoms. (b) The density distribution of C_{12} along the *y*-axis.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For the simulated model (Figure 1a), the zigzag direction of the BP is in the *x* direction, and the armchair direction is along the *z* direction. External driving forces ranging from 5×10^{-4} kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹ to 2×10^{-3} kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹ are applied to each atom in the C₁₂ molecules along either armchair or zigzag direction of the BP nanochannel. The group force computation method applied to calculate the interaction between BP nanochannel and C₁₂ molecules. This interaction influences the pressure gradience (Table S1) and velocity profile of the C₁₂ molecules under various conditions. The velocity profiles of C₁₂ molecules in the relaxed system along the *y* direction are averaged every 20,000 time steps (i.e., 2×10^{-2} ns) based on the data from the last 10 ns of NVT. Figure 2 shows the velocity profiles of C₁₂ molecules confined in the BP nanochannels when the C_{12} molecules are flowing along the armchair (Figure 2a) and zigzag (Figure 2b) directions under different driving forces. Under the same external driving force, the average velocity of C_{12} molecules flowing along the armchair direction is much lower than that flowing along the zigzag direction. When C_{12} molecules are flowing along the zigzag direction of a 2-nm nanochannel under an external driving force of 5×10^{-4} kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹, the average velocity of C_{12} molecules is 9 times higher than that flowing along the armchair direction. Moreover, the velocity profiles of C_{12} molecules flowing along the armchair direction exhibit larger curvatures than those along the zigzag direction, which can be attributed to the larger friction³² between BP walls and C_{12} molecules along the armchair direction. This can also explain why the average velocity along the armchair direction is smaller than that along the zigzag direction. In addition, with the increase of the external driving force, the average velocity change along the armchair direction is higher than that along the zigzag direction (Figure S1). It can be inferred that the friction between the C_{12} molecules and the BP walls changes as the external driving force increases.



Figure 2. Velocity profiles of the C_{12} molecules flowing along the (a) armchair direction and (b) zigzag direction under different external driving forces in a 2-nm BP nanochannel.

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics

To further explain the different flow behaviors of the C_{12} molecules along the armchair and zigzag directions, the Darcy–Weisbach friction factor is calculated based on the data collected from the MD simulations by the following formula⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷:

$$f = \frac{\left(\frac{\sum_{h=1}^{N} D_{h}}{\frac{1}{2}\rho v^{2}}\right)}{(3)}$$

where ρ is the mass density, f_{ext} is the external driving force added on every fluid atom while N is the number of the fluid atoms, A is the sectional area of the nanochannel and L is the length of nanochannel segment, v is the average fluid velocity at a nanochannel cross-section, and D_h is the hydraulic diameter which is twice the width of the nanochannel.

Figure 3 depicts the calculated friction factors at the interfaces between C_{12} molecules and BP nanochannels with a width of 2 nm under different external driving forces. The magnitude friction factor varies from 1 to 10⁴, which agrees well with the simulation results of other nanochannels in prior work^{46, 47}. Results show that the friction factor along the zigzag direction is consistently smaller than that along the armchair direction. On the other hand, the friction factor decreases as the external driving force increases along both directions. Consequently, the disparity between friction factor along the armchair and zigzag directions reduces as the external driving force increases (Figure S2). The friction factor results are consistent with the velocity profile results shown in Figure 2.



Figure 3. The logarithm of the friction factor between C_{12} molecules and BP nanochannels under external driving forces ranging from 5×10^{-4} to 2×10^{-3} kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹.

To further elucidate the mechanisms of the drastically different flow behaviors along the armchair and zigzag directions, the orientation of the C_{12} molecules near the BP wall is investigated because it can affect the molecules flowing at the interface²⁸. The orientation parameter (*S*) of each C_{12} molecule is calculated by^{48, 49}:

$$S(x) = \frac{3}{2}\cos^2\theta(x) - \frac{1}{2}$$
 (4)

$$S(z) = \frac{3}{2}\cos^2\theta(z) - \frac{1}{2}$$
(5)

where $\theta(x)$ is the angle between the flowing direction and the head-to-tail vector of the C₁₂ molecule when flowing along the zigzag direction, and $\theta(z)$ is that of the C₁₂ molecules flowing along the armchair direction. The value of *S* ranges from – 0.5 to 1. Particularly, *S* = 1 indicates

that the C_{12} molecules are aligned parallel to the flow direction, while S = -0.5 indicates that the C_{12} molecules are aligned perpendicular to the flow direction.

Figure 4a exhibits the x - z cross-sectional view of the one-layered oil molecular structure close to the BP wall. The chain-like C₁₂ molecules are parallel to the BP wall and aligned in different directions. As Figure 4b shows, under an external driving force of 1×10^{-3} kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹, the orientation parameter *S* of C₁₂ molecules flowing along the zigzag direction is higher than that along the armchair direction under the same external force. The result implies that along the zigzag direction, most C₁₂ molecules are oriented parallel to the flow direction. On the contrary, most C₁₂ molecules are oriented perpendicular to the flow direction along the armchair direction.



Figure 4. (a) x - z cross-sectional view of the one-layered structure, in which the molecular chains of C₁₂ are aligned parallel to the BP wall. (b)The orientation parameter *S* value versus time along armchair and zigzag directions in 2-nm BP nanochannels.

As such, when the C_{12} molecules flow along the zigzag direction, the friction between the C_{12} molecules near the BP walls is low, leading to a high average velocity. In contrast, when the C_{12} molecules flow along the armchair direction, the molecules in the neighboring layers need to

overcome the corrugated landscape, resulting in a lower average velocity. Therefore, we can conclude that for C_{12} molecules flowing along armchair and zigzag directions, the alignment of oil molecules near the wall is different, leading to substantial divergences in the friction factor and average velocities.

Additionally, Figure 5 and Figure S4 illustrate that as the width of the nanochannel increases, the velocity profiles along both the armchair and zigzag directions retain their highcurvature and low-curvature configurations, respectively³², indicating that the friction between the BP walls and C_{12} molecules flowing along the armchair direction is consistently higher than that along the zigzag direction³². The mechanism of the different velocity profiles will be analyzed by following the friction factor and orientation data with different width of nanochannels.

The comparison between Figure 5a and 5b with Figure 5c and 5d and further results (Figure S4) demonstrate that the curvature of the velocity profiles declines as the width of the nanochannel increases. This suggests that the velocity difference between C_{12} molecules in the middle region and the near-wall region of the nanochannel is gradually decreasing because the relative importance of the friction from the BP walls is progressively diminishing. As the width of the nanochannel increases, the interaction between the BP walls and the C_{12} molecules in the middle region of nanochannels gradually weakens. On the other hand, when the nanochannel widens, the velocity gradient difference along the *y* direction decreases due to the increase in the total number of atoms, making the velocity profiles appear smoother.



Figure 5. Velocity profiles of the C_{12} molecules confined in 4-nm BP nanochannels when flowing along the (a) armchair direction and (b) zigzag direction. Velocity profiles of the C_{12} molecules confined in 6-nm BP nanochannels when flowing along the (c) armchair direction and (d) zigzag direction.

In Figure 6a and 6b, we present the logarithm of friction factor at the interface between BP nanochannel surface and C_{12} molecules versus external forces for BP nanochannels with widths of ~4 nm and ~6 nm. Similar to the results for the 2-nm-wide nanochannel, the friction factors along the armchair direction are significantly higher than those along the zigzag direction. As the external driving force increases, the friction factors along both directions decrease. Similarly, the friction factors along the zigzag direction experience a smaller reduction than along the armchair direction with the increment of the external driving force. This reduces the disparity between the friction

factors along the two directions in each nanochannel, and these findings are consistent with the velocity distribution results. Moreover, the friction factors along different directions decrease as the width of the nanochannel increases under identical driving forces. For instance, under the same external driving force of 5×10^{-4} kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹, the friction factor of the 4-nm-wide nanochannel (Figure 6a) is 2 times higher than that of the 6-nm-wide nanochannel (Figure 6b). The discrepancy between the friction factors along different directions diminishes when the width of the nanochannel increase.



Figure 6. The logarithm of the friction factor between C_{12} molecules and BP nanochannels for BP nanochannels with widths of (a) 4 nm and (b) 6 nm.

To further reveal the influence of nanochannel width on the flow behavior, we calculate the orientation parameters of the C_{12} molecules close to the BP walls in 4-nm and 6-nm nanochannels under identical external driving forces. Figure 7a and 7b shows that the orientation parameters *S* calculated from eq. (5) along the zigzag direction are larger than those along the armchair direction in the 4-nm and 6-nm nanochannels. This implies that along the zigzag direction, most C_{12} molecules are oriented parallel to the flow direction, whereas along the armchair direction, most

 C_{12} molecules are oriented perpendicular to the flow direction. This can also explain why the frictional resistance along the zigzag direction is lower than that along the armchair direction under the same conditions. By comparing the orientation parameters of C_{12} molecular in 4-nm (Figure 7a) to 6-nm (Figure 7b) nanochannels, the difference gradually diminishes between the orientation parameters *S* along the armchair and zigzag directions. This indicates that the disparity in molecular orientations of the C_{12} one-layered structure near the BP wall along the direction of motion is decreasing along different directions, which is consistent with the results of the friction factor and velocity distribution differences.



Figure 7. S values of one-layered C_{12} molecules close to the BP wall along the armchair and zigzag directions in (a) 4-nm and (b) 6-nm nanochannels.

CONCLUSION

systematic molecular dynamics simulations have been performed to study the flow behavior of C_{12} molecules in BP nanochannels. Average velocities of C_{12} molecules along armchair and zigzag directions of BP walls under different conditions were calculated and compared. Results show that the C_{12} molecules exhibit prominent anisotropic flow behavior in the BP nanochannels. When C_{12} molecules are flowing along the zigzag direction of a 2-nm nanochannel under an external driving force of 5×10^{-4} kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹, the average velocity of C_{12} molecules is 9 times higher than that flowing along the armchair direction. The difference in average velocities of oil flowing along armchair and zigzag directions depends on the external driving force applied to the C_{12} molecules and the flow resistance, while the difference in friction is related to the orientation of C_{12} molecules in the near-wall region. Along the zigzag flow direction, most C_{12} molecules in the layered structure are aligned parallel to the flow direction, most C_{12} molecules in the one-layered structure are aligned perpendicularly to the flow direction, leading to larger friction and therefore lower velocities. Moreover, the molecular orientation also explains the velocity profiles of the C_{12} molecules in the BP nanochannels under varying width of nanochannels. These findings facilitate a better understanding of the flow behavior of fluid molecules under nanoconfinement in BP nanochannels and may shed light on the solid-liquid interactions in nanochannels.

Acknowledgments

G.X. thanks the University of Texas at Dallas startup fund and NSF (Grant No. CBET-1949962). T.L. thanks the support from the NSF (Grant No. CBET-1949910). S.L. acknowledge support from the NSF (Grant No. CBET- 2202710) The authors acknowledge the Texas Advanced Computing Center (TACC) at The University of Texas at Austin for providing high-performance computing (HPC) resources that have contributed to the research results reported within this paper. URL: http://www.tacc.utexas.edu .

References

- 1. N. R. Glavin, R. Rao, V. Varshney, E. Bianco, A. Apte, A. Roy, E. Ringe and P. M. Ajayan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 1904302.
- J. T. Paul, A. K. Singh, Z. Dong, H. Zhuang, B. C. Revard, B. Rijal, M. Ashton, A. Linscheid, M. Blonsky, D. Gluhovic, J. Guo and R. G. Hennig, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, 2017, 29, 473001.
- 3. G. G. Naumis, S. Barraza-Lopez, M. Oliva-Leyva and H. Terrones, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, 2017, **80**, 096501.
- 4. N. C. Keim and P. E. Arratia, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2014, **112**, 028302.
- 5. H. Huang, B. Jiang, X. Zou, X. Zhao and L. Liao, *Sci. Bull.*, 2019, **64**, 1067-1079.
- 6. R. F. Service, *Science*, 2015, **348**, 490-492.
- 7. Z.-Q. Zhang, H.-L. Liu, Z. Liu, Z. Zhang, G.-G. Cheng, X.-D. Wang and J.-N. Ding, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **475**, 857-862.
- 8. X. Mu, J. Wang and M. Sun, *Mater. Today Phys.*, 2019, **8**, 92-111.
- 9. A. Zaoui and F. E. H. Hassan, J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 2001, 13, 253.
- 10. C. Wang, G. Zhang, S. Huang, Y. Xie and H. Yan, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2020, **8**, 1900996.
- 11. Z. Li, C. Cheng, H. Gong, Q. Liu and C. Huang, J. Mol. Liq., 2020, **309**, 113023.
- 12. F. D. Sofos, T. E. Karakasidis and A. Liakopoulos, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2009, **79**, 026305.
- 13. C. Y. Soong, T. H. Yen and P. Y. Tzeng, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2007, **76**, 036303.
- 14. S. Balasubramanian and C. J. Mundy, *Bull. Mater. Sci.*, 1999, **22**, 873-876.
- 15. V. Turlo, O. Politano and F. Baras, *Acta Mater.*, 2015, **99**, 363-372.
- 16. S. Yao, J. Wang and X. Liu, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf., 2021, **176**, 121441.
- 17. P. Alipour, D. Toghraie, A. Karimipour and M. Hajian, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2019, **275**, 192-203.
- 18. Z. Cao, H. Jiang, J. Zeng, H. Saibi, T. Lu, X. Xie, Y. Zhang, G. Zhou, K. Wu and J. Guo, *J. Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **420**, 127578.
- 19. L. S. de Lara, M. F. Michelon and C. R. Miranda, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2012, **116**, 14667-14676.
- 20. S. Wang, F. Javadpour and Q. Feng, *Fuel*, 2016, **171**, 74-86.
- 21. S. Zhan, Y. Su, Z. Jin, W. Wang and L. Li, *Fuel*, 2020, **262**, 116560.
- 22. Z. Li, Y. Jun and J. Kou, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2019, **10**, 4291-4296.
- 23. J. Liu, Y. Zhao, Y. Yang, Q. Mei, S. Yang and C. Wang, *Energies*, 2020, **13**, 3815.
- 24. W. Cui, Z. Shen, J. Yang and S. Wu, *Case Stud. Therm. Eng.*, 2015, **5**, 114-121.
- 25. J. Liu, Y. Yang, S. Sun, J. Yao and J. Kou, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **434**, 134682.
- 26. H. Zheng, Y. Du, Q. Xue, L. Zhu, X. Li, S. Lu and Y. Jin, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2017, **12**, 1-9.
- 27. X. Wang, S. Xiao, Z. Zhang and J. He, *Environ. Sci. Nano*, 2019, **6**, 2810-2819.
- 28. S. Wu, Z. Xu, R. Jian, S. Tian, L. Zhou, T. Luo and G. Xiong, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2023, **127**, 6184-6190.
- 29. B. Liu, R. Wu, J. A. Baimova, H. Wu, A. W.-K. Law, S. V. Dmitriev and K. Zhou, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 1886-1896.
- 30. H. Lu, Y. Xu, C. Duan, P. Jiang and R. Xu, *Energy Fuels*, 2022, **36**, 5267-5275.
- 31. S. Mozaffari, P. Tchoukov, A. Mozaffari, J. Atias, J. Czarnecki and N. Nazemifard, *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.*, 2017, **513**, 178-187.
- 32. B. Chen, H. Jiang, X. Liu and X. Hu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2017, **121**, 1321-1328.

- 33. S. Plimpton, J. Comput. Phys., 1995, **117**, 1-19.
- 34. A. Stukowski, *Model. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, 2010, **18**, 015012.
- 35. H. Sun, S. J. Mumby, J. R. Maple and A. T. Hagler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, **116**, 2978-2987.
- 36. S. Wu, Z. Xu, S. Tian, T. Luo and G. Xiong, J. Mol. Liq., 2022, **360**, 119410.
- 37. D. Huang, S. Wu, G. Xiong and T. Luo, J. Appl. Phys., 2022, **132**, 015104.
- 38. S. Tian, Z. Xu, S. Wu, T. Luo and G. Xiong, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf., 2022, **195**, 123134.
- 39. S. Tian, D. Huang, Z. Xu, S. Wu, T. Luo and G. Xiong, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.*, 2022, **183**, 122188.
- 40. Y. Pang, J. Zhang, R. Ma, Z. Qu, E. Lee and T. Luo, ACS Energy Lett., 2020, 5, 437-456.
- 41. W. M. Haynes, *CRC handb. chem. phys.*, CRC press, 2016.
- 42. X. Sui, H. Ding, Z. Yuan, C. F. Leong, K. Goh, W. Li, N. Yang, D. M. D'Alessandro and Y. Chen, *Carbon*, 2019, **148**, 277-289.
- 43. D. Bahamon and L. F. Vega, *Mol. Phys.*, 2019, **117**, 3703-3714.
- 44. Z. Xu, S. Wu, S. Tian, D. Huang, G. Xiong and T. Luo, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2023, **127**, 3671-3681.
- 45. A. Shadloo-Jahromi and M. K.-K. O. Bavi, *Molecular Dynamic Study of Friction Factor of Water Flowing Through Nanochannels with Different Wall Hydrophobicity*, 8th International Conference on Nanostructures, Tehran, 2020.
- 46. A. Shadloo-Jahromi, M. Kharati-Koopaee and O. Bavi, *Int. Commun. Heat Mass Transf.*, 2021, **124**, 105278.
- 47. A. Liakopoulos, F. Sofos and T. E. Karakasidis, *Microfluid. Nanofluidics*, 2016, **20**, 24.
- 48. H. Sui, F. Zhang, Z. Wang, D. Wang and Y. Wang, J. Mol. Liq., 2020, **305**, 112745.
- 49. W. Zhang, Q. Feng, Z. Jin, X. Xing and S. Wang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **245**, 116948.