

# Soft Matter

## Peptide-Polyurea Hybrids: A Platform for Tunable, Thermally-stable, and Injectable Hydrogels

Journal:	Soft Matter
Manuscript ID	SM-ART-06-2023-000780.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	03-Aug-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Thomas, Jessica; University of Delaware, Materials Science and Engineering Hinton, Zachary; University of Delaware, Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering Korley, LaShanda; University of Delaware, Materials Science and Engineering



# Peptide-Polyurea Hybrids: A Platform for Tunable, Thermally-stable, and Injectable Hydrogels

Jessica Thomas<sup>1</sup>, Zachary R. Hinton<sup>1,2</sup>, LaShanda T.J. Korley<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716 <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716

### Abstract

Drawing inspiration from natural systems, such as the highly segmented structures found in silk fibroin, is an important strategy when designing strong, yet dynamic biomaterials. Polymerpeptide hybrids aim to incorporate the benefits of hierarchical polypeptide structures into synthetic platforms that are promising materials for hydrogel systems due to aspects such as their biocompatibility and structural tunability. In this work, we demonstrated the utility of peptidepolyurea hybrids as self-assembled hydrogels. Specifically, poly(ε-carbobenzyloxy-L-lysine)-bpoly(β-benzyl-L-aspartate)-b-PEG-b-poly(β-PEG-*b*-poly(ε-carbobenzyloxy-L-lysine) and benzyl-L-aspartate) triblock copolymers were used as the soft segments in linear peptide-polyurea (PPU) hybrids. We systematically examined the effect of polypeptide secondary structure preferences and peptide segment length on hydrogelation, microstructure, and rheological properties of our PPU hydrogels. Polymers containing  $\alpha$ -helical secondary structures resulted in rapid gelation upon the addition of water, as driven by hierarchical assembly of the peptide segments. Peptide segment length dictated gel strength and resistance to deformation via complex relationships. Simulated injection experiments demonstrated that PPU hydrogels recover their original gel network within 10 s of cessation of high shear. Finally, we showed that PPU hydrogels remain solid-like within the range of 10 to 80 °C; however, a unique softening transition occurs at temperatures corresponding to slight melting of secondary structures. Overall, this bioinspired PPU hybrid platform provides opportunities to design synthetic, bioinspired polymers for hydrogels with tunable microstructure and mechanics for a wide range of thermal and injectionbased applications.

#### Introduction:

Dynamic polymer networks are of great importance in the development of advanced biomaterials. The ability of a hydrated polymer network to respond to stimuli and withstand mechanical stress is vital for hydrogel materials to succeed in desirable applications, such as in tissue scaffolds and injectable therapeutics.<sup>1,2</sup> Additionally, networks that are stable under certain environmental conditions, such as temperature, but can dissociate when exposed to a trigger, such as pH, are sought after for emerging applications, including for enzyme immobilization or stabilization of biopharmaceuticals.<sup>3</sup> However, it often is challenging to balance the requirement of material dynamics with the need for robust mechanical properties, as hydrated non-covalent networks are often weak and do not perform well under multiple deformation cycles.<sup>4</sup> New understanding of design principles for improving the mechanics of dynamic hydrogels is needed to address these shortcomings.<sup>5</sup> Towards this goal, significant effort in the field has been focused

on the development and study of non-covalent hydrogel platforms, most notably, peptide-based systems. Examples from natural systems, such as spider silk, harness hierarchical assembly through polypeptide interactions to achieve strong, yet dynamic materials.<sup>6,7,8</sup> Polymer-peptide hybrids are ideal candidates for the development of robust biomaterials, as they are biocompatible, adaptable, and can impart specific structural or mechanical properties to hydrogel systems through self-assembly.<sup>9,10,11</sup>

The most common synthetic routes toward peptide-polymer hybrid materials include solidphase peptide synthesis (SPPS) or recombinant synthesis methods that incorporate a polymer conjugation step.<sup>12</sup> While these methods often allow for precise control over peptide sequence structure, yields mostly remain limited to the laboratory scale, thereby hindering options for bulk material analysis, mechanical characterization, and eventual scale-up for applications. For example, Sarker et al. achieved silk-like PEG-polyalanine assemblies through a strategy of combining SPPS with click chemistry protocols, but only high molecular weight species displayed self-assembly of the peptide motifs, which challenges wider use as current synthetic methods inherently limit chain length.<sup>13</sup> In contrast, ring opening polymerizations of N-carboxy anhydride peptide derivatives initiated by functional synthetic polymers result in limited control over peptide sequences, but have the advantage of being fast, scalable, and accommodating to high molecular weight hybrids.<sup>14</sup>

Beyond synthetic challenges, understanding the role of supramolecular interactions in polymer-peptide hybrid systems under aqueous conditions is key to designing dynamic hydrogels while maintaining control over material performance. For example, Wang and coworkers established that the interplay between peptide secondary structures and directional hydrogen bonding pendant groups allowed for control over the extent of self-assembly in poly(lactic acid*co*-glycolic acid*-co*-ethylene oxide) copolymers, and by extension, moduli, toughness, and swelling ratios of resultant hydrogels via unique annealing protocols.<sup>15</sup> Polyurethane-peptide hybrids combine uniquely blocky structures and high molecular weights with peptide hydrogen bonding and assembly to form elegant material architectures with potential synergy from interblock interactions.<sup>16,17,18</sup> For instance, Zhang et al. leveraged these property advantages to synthesize self-healing hybrid polyurethane hydrogels that form via co-assembly of peptide secondary structures and small drug molecules.<sup>19</sup> To this end, polymers with intrinsic molecular, structural diversity enhanced by the incorporation of structure-forming peptide segments are of particular interest.

An additional class of nature-inspired materials, peptide-polyurea (PPU) hybrids, harness interactions between peptides and synthetic polymer blocks resulting in tunable mechanics and stimuli responsiveness in the solid state.<sup>20–22</sup> This PPU platform offers an opportunity to develop dynamic hydrated networks, as it is facile to achieve higher molecular weights while also incorporating peptide functionalities that result in solid-state, tunable hierarchical assembly. However, these systems are not typically utilized as hydrated biomaterials with only limited understanding of the structural factors that influence hydrogel mechanics and morphology. In this work, we report hydrogels formed from self-assembled, linear PPUs. Block copolymers containing poly(ethylene glycol) and peptidic segments were synthesized with varying peptide repeat length

within the chain. Poly( $\varepsilon$ -carbobenzyloxy-L-lysine) (PZLY) and poly( $\beta$ -benzyl-L- aspartate) (PBLA) were employed as the peptide segments to observe the effects of secondary structure on hydrogel self-assembly. Mechanical and microstructural characterizations were performed to demonstrate the tunability of this hydrogel platform for a variety of applications, including as injectable, thermally stable soft materials that rapidly gelate in water. Overall, we illustrate the applicability of polymer-peptide hybrids in forming robust hydrogels via multi-scale structures dictated by molecular design.

## Experimental

## Materials

Tetrahydrofuran (THF, Optima<sup>TM</sup> LC/MS grade), anhydrous N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMAc), deuterated chloroform, and diethyl ether were purchased from Fisher Scientific. THF was dried using a solvent purification system (Vacuum Atmosphere Company). Other solvents were used as received.  $\varepsilon$ -carbobenzyloxy-L-lysine (ZLY),  $\beta$ -benzyl-L-aspartate (BLA), triphosgene, 1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI) ( $\geq$  99%), and dibutyltin dilaurate (DBTDL), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Carbobenzyloxy-L-lysine N-carboxyanhydride (ZLY-NCA) and  $\beta$ -Benzyl-L-aspartate N-carboxyanhydride (BLA-NCA) were prepared following established literature procedures.<sup>15</sup> $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -Bis(amine)poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG diamine, 3400 g mol<sup>-1</sup>) was purchased from SINOPEG (Xiamen, P.R. China) and dried under vacuum at room temperature for 16 h and then at 60 °C for > 4 h prior to use. All glassware was thoroughly dried before use.

## Triblock Synthesis

Polyurea-peptides were constructed from precursor triblock copolymers PZLY-*b*-PEG-*b*-PZLY and PBLA-*b*-PEG-*b*-PBLA, which were synthesized via ring opening polymerization of ZLY-NCA or BLA-NCA, respectively. The peptide triblocks were initiated by PEG diamine under nitrogen atmosphere in a glovebox, as previously reported.<sup>15</sup> The ratio of NCA monomer to PEG diamine was used to target specific peptide repeat lengths (*e.g.*, *5*, 20, 40) peptide units per block). As an example: for the 20 peptide repeat length PZLY-*b*-PEG-*b*-PZLY, PEG diamine (0.277 g) was pre-dissolved in THF (7 mL) in a glass vial. In an oven-dried 50 mL single-neck round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer, ZLY-NCA (1 g, 3.3 mmol) was dissolved in 25 vol% DMAc in THF (7 mL). The PEG diamine solution was then added to the flask dropwise before capping with a Vigreux condenser. The reaction proceeded for 20 h at 22 °C under stirring. The product polymer was precipitated into diethyl ether, collected via vacuum filtration, then dried under vacuum at 22 °C overnight.

## Peptide-Polyurea Synthesis

The resulting PZLY-*b*-PEG-*b*-PZLY or PBLA-*b*-PEG-*b*-PBLA triblock copolymers were used as the soft segment in non-chain extended PPUs by reacting with PEG diamine and hexamethylene diisocyanate.<sup>15</sup> Samples are labeled with the nomenclature ZN-X, or AN-X where Z or A refer to PZLY or PBLA, respectively, N denotes the peptide repeat length, and X represents peptide weight percent in the polyurea. All polymerizations were conducted under nitrogen atmosphere in a

glovebox. The final weight percent of peptide present in the polyurea was calculated through Equation S1. As an example, the synthesis of Z20-10 is described. Hexamethylene diisocyanate (HDI) (1 mol) was added to a solution of 25 vol% DMAc in THF (10 mL) in a 50 mL single-neck round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer. Z20-*b*-PEG-*b*-Z20 (0.20 g, 0.04 mol) and PEG diamine (1.25 g, 0.96 mol) were dissolved in 10 mL of 25 vol% THF in DMAc in a glass vial, along with 5 drops of DBTDL as a catalyst. The soft segment solution was then added dropwise to the round bottom flask, before capping with a Vigreux condenser. The reaction was allowed to proceed at 60 °C for 18 hours. The polymer was precipitated into diethyl ether, collected via vacuum filtration, and dried under vacuum at 22 °C overnight. A non-peptidic control (PEG-PU) also was prepared as follows: PEG diamine (1 g) was dissolved in 25 vol% THF in DMAc (8 mL) in a glass vial, and 5 drops of DBTDL were added. Separately, a solution of HDI (46  $\mu$ L) in of 25/75 THF/DMAc (8 mL) was prepared. The PEG diamine + DBTDL solution was added dropwise to the HDI solution under stirring and then capped with a Vigreux condenser. The reaction was allowed to proceed at 60 °C for 20 h, after which the final polymer was precipitated into diethyl ether and dried under vacuum at 22 °C overnight.

#### Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (NMR)

The structures of ZLY-NCA, BLA-NCA, and triblock copolymers were confirmed via <sup>1</sup>H nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (<sup>1</sup>H-NMR). Samples were prepared in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, and spectra were collected on a Bruker AVIII 400 MHz spectrometer at room temperature. Spectra (Figures S1-S2) and peak assignments are shown in the Supporting Information. Data was processed using MNOVA software.

## *Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC)*

The average molecular weights and molecular weight distributions of PPUs were measured using a TOSOH EcoSEC Elite GPC system equipped with a refractive index detector and TSKgel columns (three SuperH and one SuperAW5000 column). 0.08 mL of sample was injected and eluted at 0.4 mL/min with 0.5 wt% LiBr in DMAc used at the mobile phase. Distributions were generated using the calibration curve constructed for six poly(methyl methacrylate) standards (Agilent) in the range of 4.76 to 675.5 kg/mol.

## Gel Fabrication

To form the hydrogels, PPUs were weighed into glass vials to which appropriate amounts of 0.01 M PBS buffer (pH ~ 7.4) were added to fabricate 5, 10, or 25 wt% solutions/gels, and mixed using an orbital shaker at 22 °C until homogenous. The resulting gels were clear and passed the gel inversion test (*i.e.*, did not flow under gravity).

## Circular Dichroism (CD) Spectroscopy

CD spectra were measured for the PPUs in dilute conditions (2 mg/mL in deionized water) using a Jasco 1500 Spectrophotometer. Samples were shaken overnight and sonicated for 1 minute in 10 second pulses to ensure full dissolution. Sample solutions were loaded into quartz cuvettes (Hellma Analytics) with a path length of 1 mm. Three accumulations were taken for each sample at a scanning speed of 50 nm/min and data pitch of 1 nm. Temperature ramps were performed from 25

°C to 80 °C and then cooled back down to 25 °C, at a heating/cooling rate of 1 °C/min. Data was processed using OriginLab software.

### Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

SEM micrographs were taken on an Aurgia 60 Crossbeam microscope with a 3 kV acceleration voltage. Hydrogel samples were frozen with liquid nitrogen, lyophilized for 72 hours, and sputter-coated with gold/palladium for one minute prior to imaging. Pore diameters were measured using ImageJ software.

## Cryogenic Transmission Electron Microscopy (Cryo-TEM)

Hydrogels were lightly touched to the surface of a 400-mesh lacey carbon grid, and then smeared by hand using filter paper to generate a thin area for imaging and then immediately plunged into liquid ethane using a Leica EMCPC. Samples were maintained at 170 °C using a Gatan Model 626 cryo-holder and imaged on a Zeiss Libra 120 transmission electron microscope operating at 120 kV using low dose imaging methods to prevent sample damage from high electron beam sensitivity. Images were acquired with a Gatan Ultrascan 1000 CCD camera. Fiber diameters were measured using ImageJ software (>100 measurements per sample).

## Rheological Characterization

The mechanical responses of the fabricated gels were measured on a stress-controlled rheometer (DHR-3, TA Instruments) using a parallel plate geometry (20 mm diameter) with Peltier heating. Samples were loaded with a spatula by placing the desired quantity on the bottom plate, which was already equilibrated at the testing temperature. The sample was then slowly squeezed to fill the gap between the two plates (nominally between 0.5 and 0.8 mm) and trimmed around the edge of the plate. The exposed edge of the sample was covered with a thin film of silicone oil to prevent evaporation of water during the measurement. Axial force and temperature were allowed to equilibrate prior to starting the experiment.

First, mechanical characterization was performed on all gel systems at 37 °C. Samples were pre-sheared for 300 s at 0.1% strain amplitude and 1 rad/s to further equilibrate potential stresses due to loading. A frequency sweep was then run between 0.1 rad/s and 100 rad/s at a strain amplitude of 0.5%. This strain was selected *a priori* to avoid breakup of the gels prior to characterization. A strain amplitude sweep was then performed to validate that the chosen amplitude was within the linear viscoelastic regime (LVR) and to observe critical phenomena (*i.e.*, breakup). This amplitude sweep was performed at 1 rad/s, between 0.01% and 1000% strain; however, in some cases the test was halted after a few points were measured beyond the critical strain to prevent significant fracture or slip from occurring. For all gels, the strain amplitudes selected for the frequency sweeps were within the LVR.

Next, injectability studies were carried out on gels after strain sweeps were complete. Although injectability is more accurately determined using a steady shear mode, edge fracture and slip of the gels between parallel plates preclude this method from measuring microstructural changes during shear.<sup>23,24</sup> Instead, oscillatory shear was used for this study, during which six segments were performed. A 30 s shear at 1 rad/s and 200% strain amplitude was applied,

immediately followed by a testing period at 1 rad/s and 0.1% strain. Moduli were observed and the next step was initiated when both moduli remained constant. These two steps were then repeated. For the last steps, deformation occurred for 60 s at the same conditions used for the previous stages, followed by the same procedure to monitor re-formation. In all cases, a 'fast sampling' procedure was used such that the stress and strain waveforms are continuously acquired. This method enables faster observation of microstructural changes to the gel; however, the applied strain amplitudes and the resulting moduli go through an induction period (*i.e.*, are not at steady state) because of start-up inertia. We expect that the initial building and overshoot of applied strain does not affect the interpretation of the resulting moduli. The strains applied during simulated breakup were above the measured critical values in all cases; however, 25 wt% Z5-10, and 10 wt% and 25 wt% Z40-10 were not subjected to injectability studies because of the higher critical strains required to break up the gel. Specifically, upon applying this strain, significant slip was observed likely due to the overshoot in amplitude at the start of the breakup cycle.

Finally, thermorheological properties were measured by changing the temperature during oscillation. The samples were cooled from 37 °C to 5 °C, heated from 5 °C to 80 °C, and cooled from 80 °C to 5 °C, all at a rate of 2 °C/min. During this thermal cycling, a discrete frequency sweep was performed at 0.1% strain and 1, 10, and 100 rad/s, such that a continuous temperature ramp at each frequency could be constructed. Although this narrow a range of frequencies would normally be insufficient for quantification of gelation phenomena, no phase transition to liquid-like behavior was observed, eliminating the need for such an analysis of frequency-independent gelation. Instead, transition temperatures were quantified by determining the intersection of two lines fit to the measured storage moduli between 20-35 °C and 65-80 °C.

#### **Results & Discussion**

#### Synthesis of Hybrid PPUs

This work draws on previous synthetic strategies to formulate PPUs with tunable secondary structure composition, mechanical properties, and morphology in the solid state.<sup>21,25</sup> To facilitate macromolecular design that dictates self-assembly, flow properties, and structure of hydrated hybrids, a series of PPUs were synthesized. NCA ring opening polymerization initiated by PEG diamine was used to form the triblock precursors (*Step 1*, **Figure 1**), as this method is scalable and produces high yields of protein-polymer conjugates in comparison to other pathways, such as solid-phase peptide synthesis or recombinant methods.<sup>12,26</sup> Peptide repeat length of each triblock precursor was confirmed via nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy end group analysis of the carbobenzoxy group (benzylic protons, peak at ~5.0 ppm) (**Figure S2**). The resulting triblocks were then used as the soft segment in non-chain extended polyureas by reacting with PEG diamine and HDI (*Step 2*, **Figure 1**). The final PPUs had molecular weights ranging from 81 – 105 kg/mol (**Table S1**), which are in the range sufficient to result in inter- and intra-chain interactions known to dictate the mechanical properties of silk fibers.<sup>24,25</sup>

To examine the effects of secondary structure and hybrid chain architecture on hydrogelation, morphology, and mechanical properties, the synthesized series of PPUs were hydrated. A PBLA-*b*-PEG-*b*-PBLA triblock precursor with a peptide repeat length of 5, and three different PZLY-*b*-PEG*b*-PZLY triblock precursors with peptide repeat lengths (5, 20, 40) were prepared to study the effect of peptide segment length on hydrogen bonding interactions between polymer chains and, by extension, hydrogel mechanics. Based on previous research, the PZLY segment length is expected to modulate the relative amount of  $\alpha$ -helix/ $\beta$ -sheet structures present in the polyurea hybrids.<sup>21,25</sup> The peptide content was maintained at 10 wt% in all PPU samples to directly target the impact of peptide secondary structure and chain length, as variations in peptide content also can influence PPU properties.<sup>20</sup> Additionally, a control polyurea (PEG-PU) was prepared without peptide in the soft segment to examine the impact of PZLY and PBLA segments on gelation behavior. The average molecular weights and molecular weight distributions of the final PPUs were determined via gel permeation chromatography (GPC) to confirm all samples were of suitable molecular weight to result in hierarchical assembly. (**Figure S3**).



Figure 1: Overall reaction scheme of PPU hybrids and polymer structure

#### Hydrogel Formation and Network Morphology

To examine the gelation behavior of the PPU hybrids at various concentrations, solutions of Z5-10, Z20-10, Z40-10, and A5-10 polymers in PBS buffer were prepared at 5, 10, or 25 wt%. Solutions were then shaken at room temperature until homogenous and subjected to a vial inversion test, resulting in the Z series rapidly forming non-covalent hydrogels. In contrast, A5-10

did not form a hydrogel at these concentrations (**Figure S3**). A PEG-PU with a comparable molecular weight and hard segment content to the PPUs also was prepared as a control, but as shown in **Figure 2**, did not form a gel at any of the prepared concentrations. These PEG-PU control results confirm that the mechanism of gelation involves peptide segments, and not simply hydrogen bonding between polymers, which is in agreement with Bilalis and co-workers.<sup>27</sup>



**Figure 2**: Vial inversion experiments of 10 wt% a. Z5-10, b. Z20-10, c. Z40-10, and d. PEG-PU polymers in 0.01 M PBS buffer. All PZLY hybrids form hydrogels, while the PEG-PU and PBLA (not shown) controls remain free-flowing solutions. SEM images of e. Z5-10, f. Z20-10, and g. Z40-10 hydrogels reveal continuous porous networks. All micrographs were taken at 2k x magnification.

The porosity of polymer hydrogels can have significant influence on the materials use in specific scenarios, such as serving as a scaffold for cell seeding or diffusion of therapeutic cargo.<sup>4</sup> To examine the morphology of non-covalent PZLY-PEG PPU gels, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was employed. In all samples, uniform porous structures were observed. Z5-10, Z20-10, and Z40-10 hydrogels displayed average pore sizes of approximately 30 µm, 7 µm, and 18 µm respectively (**Figure 2e-g**), which are in the range required for infiltration and proliferation of cell types such as fibroblasts and skin cells.<sup>28</sup> It has been frequently reported that the modulus of both covalent and non-covalent hydrogel networks is inversely proportional to pore size.<sup>29,30,31</sup> However, as will be discussed in more detail in a later section, there is no significant correlation between the observed morphology or pore size with the modulus of these PPU dynamic hydrogels. This finding may indicate that the peptide secondary structure contributes more significantly to modulus than morphology. Thus, our results suggest that the mechanical properties of our system

can be adjusted independently of pore size, which has important implications for the development of robust biomaterials without sacrificing diffusive properties.<sup>32,33</sup>



**Figure 3.** a. CD Spectra of peptide-PEG polyureas. All measurements were taken at 37 °C at a concentration of 2 mg/mL (*i.e.*, 0.2 wt%) of polymer in water. Z5-10, Z20-10, and Z40-10 all exhibit strong alpha helical patterns, with a positive cotton band present at 195 nm and characteristic negative peaks appearing at 208 and 222 nm, indicated with dashed lines. Cryo-TEM images of b. Z5-10, c. Z20-10 and d. Z40-10 hydrogels. Dense networks of fibril structures can be observed in all samples. All samples were prepared at a concentration of 10 wt% polymer in water.

#### Secondary Structure Analysis and Hydrogel Microstructure

To gain insight on molecular interactions that drive the mechanical and morphological properties of the PPU dynamic hydrogel, we probed the secondary structure of the polyurea peptides (Z5-10, Z20-10, Z40-10, and A5-10) in solution via circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy. All PZLY PPUs display negative peaks at 209 and 222 nm (Figure 3), which are characteristic of  $\alpha$ -helical conformations, whereas the non-gelating PBLA hybrid exhibits peaks indicative of  $\beta$ -turn conformations.<sup>34</sup> Thus, it is clear that hydrogel formation is driven by specific interactions derived from the peptide secondary structure, not purely amphiphilic behavior that may arise from the segmented architectures or  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking of the aromatic protecting groups.<sup>35,36</sup> Based on prior understanding of non-hydrated PZLY-PEG PPUs, we would expect a mixture of  $\alpha$ -helical and  $\beta$ -sheet secondary structures for Z5-10. A slight downturn in the CD spectrum can be seen at 215 nm, possibly indicating the presence of a small amount of  $\beta$ -sheets as a result of the short lysine segments; however, the dominating secondary structure is  $\alpha$ -helical.<sup>37,38</sup> We conclude that intramolecular  $\alpha$ -helices are the driving force for gelation in PZLY-PEG PPU hydrogels via self-assembly of lysine segments, which has been observed in amphiphilic polylysine copolymer systems.<sup>39,40,41</sup> Simultaneously, cooperative intramolecular bonding of  $\alpha$ -helical domains can

provide significant mechanical reinforcement, which has been shown to dictate properties, such as moduli and recovery of hybrid networks. <sup>27,42,43</sup>

Cryogenic transmission electron microscopy (cryo-TEM) was performed to further confirm the role of self-assembly in gelation. The micrographs, shown in Figure 3b-d, highlighted the presence of dense networks consisting of long fibrils in the PZLY-PEG PPU hydrogels. The individual fibrils display average diameters of 8 -10 nm, which, considering that the diameter of a typical  $\alpha$ -helix is about 1.2 nm, suggests multiple helices are associating to form the fibril networks driven by a non-covalent association between polymer chains.<sup>44</sup> Homopolymers of PZLY have been shown to form  $\alpha$ -helical fibrils resulting in aggregated networks, although this behavior is typically a result of significantly longer polypeptide chains than those present in our hybrid soft segments.<sup>45</sup> In the case of the PZLY-PEG PPU hybrids, it is likely that the similarity of the  $\alpha$ helical pitch from both the PZLY segments and 72 PEG promote cooperative hierarchical assembly, which has been identified as the mechanism to induce ribbon-like assemblies in PEG-PBLA block copolymers.<sup>21</sup> However, the fibrillar architectures observed in these PPU hydrogels are significantly longer and more ordered, which may be attributed to a combination of secondary structure hydrogen bonding of the peptide segment and the amphiphilic character of the PZLY and PEG blocks, resulting in packing of the nanofibers to form the hydrogel.<sup>42</sup> The assembly of PPUs into long fiber bundles exhibits similarity to structures resulting from the self-assembly of small peptide amphiphiles, where the mechanism of assembly relies first on the association of small peptide aggregates, followed by nanofiber growth and twisting into physically crosslinked hvdrogels.46,47

#### Rheological Behavior

Rheological measurements were used to assess the mechanical robustness of the noncovalent PZLY PPU gels and to investigate the effects of hybrid design and gel formulation on the mechanical response of the hydrogels at physiological temperatures. We note that salt concentration was kept at 0.01M throughout all experiments in order to correlate hydrogel mechanics with observed circular dichroism results, which requires dilute solution for analysis. Increasing salt concentration could affect peptide segment assembly, however this is outside the scope of the current study. Gels were first subjected to strain sweeps to determine the linear viscoelastic regime and the critical strain amplitude ( $\gamma_0^c$ ), at which breakup of the hydrogel is initiated. As shown by Figure 4a,  $\gamma_0^c$  monotonically decreases with increasing peptide segment length, whereas the modulus (at fixed frequency) correlates directly with the peptide segment length. This trend is consistent with an increase in the apparent number of topological constraints (e.g., aggregate junctions, physical crosslinks), or a decrease in the length of strands between junction points, according to network theory.<sup>48</sup> For the ZX series of PPU dynamic hydrogels, this relationship suggests that a significant portion of the PZLY segment is involved in the formation of supramolecular structure (e.g., aggregates, dynamically crosslinked network). In the absence of peptide segments, PEG-PUs of comparable molecular weights to the PPUs behave as liquids with relatively low viscosities (Figure S5). For all PZLY PPU hydrogels, the critical strain increased with increasing polymer concentration (Figure 5a). For both Z5-10 and Z40-10, the critical strain

steadily increases with concentration and exhibits an approximate order of magnitude increase from 10 wt% to 25 wt%; however, the critical strain plateaus at higher concentrations for Z20-10. This finding suggests that, for intermediate peptide segment lengths, increasing the peptide concentration of the solution does not increase the strain at which network breakup occurs (or the gel modulus). One explanation could be that additional peptide segments are able to aggregate such that the number of effective junctions remains constant upon increasing the polymer concentration.

Frequency sweeps of the PPU ZX hydrogels confirm that in all cases a viscoelastic network is achieved, with a slight dependence of the storage modulus (G<sup>2</sup>) on frequency ( $\omega$ ). As shown in **Figure 4**, for the 10 wt% hydrogels increasing PZLY length leads to increasing gel strength (*i.e.*, the overall magnitude of G<sup>2</sup> and the loss modulus (G<sup>2</sup>)). Interestingly, the frequency dependence can be categorized by three different qualitative behaviors, depending on both PZLY length and concentration: (i) Weak gel behavior where G<sup>2</sup> approaches G<sup>2</sup> at low  $\omega$  (*i.e.*, transition to a fluid state is imminent); (ii) typical gel behavior where G<sup>2</sup> is nearly independent of  $\omega$ , and G<sup>2</sup> goes through a minimum, and (iii) transitional gel behavior where G<sup>2</sup> approaches G<sup>2</sup> at high  $\omega$  (*i.e.*, a transitional regime is approached). Both Z5-10 and Z20-10 hydrogels exhibit moduli that follow cases i and ii with concentration dependence. For the Z40-10 gels, all three cases are observed, suggesting the formation of larger tertiary structures (*i.e.*, fibrillar aggregates) at moderate concentrations that eventually become densely packed.<sup>49</sup>



**Figure 4.** Rheological characterization of 10 wt% hydrogels with varying peptide segment length. a. Strain amplitude and b. frequency responses, wherein filled symbols indicate storage moduli (G') and open symbols indicate loss moduli (G''). c. Storage moduli during temperature ramps performed at  $\omega = 1$  rad/s, with filled and open symbols corresponding to heating and cooling steps, respectively. Full datasets for amplitude and frequency sweeps are given in **Figure S6**, and for temperature ramps in **Figures S11-13**.



**Figure 5.** Summary of the rheology of PPU hydrogels as a function of concentration and peptide segment length. a.  $G_{gel}$  (filled symbols) and  $\gamma_0^c$  (open symbols). b. Transition temperature measured under increasing temperature at a frequency of 100 rad/s.

The gel moduli  $[G_{gel}]$  defined as the complex modulus,  $G^* = (G'^2 + G''^2)^{1/2}$ , at the minimum in G''] of the hydrogels studied are summarized in **Figure 5a**. All hydrogels were of comparable stiffness to soft biological tissues, such as those found in the brain and liver.<sup>50</sup> For Z5-10,  $G_{gel}$ follows a power-law in the polymer concentration, whereas with both Z20-10 and Z40-10, a slight plateau in  $G_{gel}$  is reached at the highest concentration. This plateau is strongest for Z40-10 (*i.e.*, the smallest range of  $G_{gel}$  across concentrations is reached). The observed trend suggests that smaller peptide segments are able to form an aggregate structure that is less densely packed most likely because of the longer distance between peptide segments within the same chain and, thus, yield a stronger dependence of modulus on concentration.<sup>49</sup> With a shorter spacing between the longer PZLY segments, there is a smaller contribution from additional PPU in solution to the overall hydrogel modulus, perhaps because these segments contribute to the same aggregates without significantly increasing their volume fraction or because larger aggregates are initiated at lower concentrations with sufficient size to generate a dense fibrillar hydrogel that is insensitive to packing fraction.<sup>49</sup> This hypothesis is consistent with the cryo-TEM results in **Figure 3d**, which demonstrate a closely packed network of fibrils.



**Figure 6:** Results of simulated injection experiments. a. three injection-recovery cycles performed for 5 wt% Z20-10 hydrogel. Recovery time is defined as the experimental time (t) relative to the cessation of a non-linear oscillatory strain (ending at  $t_0$ ). The recovery period from the 3<sup>rd</sup> cycle for all 5 wt% hydrogels is shown in b., wherein filled and open symbols indicate G' and G'', respectively. Moduli have been normalized by the value of the complex modulus at full recovery (G<sup>\*</sup><sub>∞</sub>).

A key requirement for any material to be suitable for applications using injection processes is reversibility of the underlying structure (*i.e.*, liquid-like flow followed by re-gelation), as clinical injections of such biomaterials are predicted to introduce significant shear, possibly up to 10<sup>5</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> .<sup>51,52</sup> To quantify the potential of the PPU hydrogels to act as injectable biomaterials, a stepwise oscillatory experiment was performed to quantify the reformation rate of hydrogels after repeated application of non-linear strains. Figure 6 illustrates how the PPU Z series hydrogels were deformed beyond  $\gamma_0^c$  for 30 s, during which there is a transition to liquid-like behavior (i.e., G'' > G'). Under a smaller testing strain within the linear viscoelastic regime, solid-like behavior is regained in <10 s. Although injection applications are likely to impose steady shear flows. oscillation avoids unwanted edge fracture or slip at the plates while allowing for linear viscoelastic characterization. Importantly, 25 wt% Z5-10, and 10 and 25 wt% Z40-10 were not tested because overcoming  $\gamma_0^c$  required much higher strains, risking significant edge fracture. The 2<sup>nd</sup> cycle data shows the measured recovery is repeatable across multiple injections (i.e., the structure being reformed is an equilibrium state). The 3<sup>rd</sup> cycle, which shears the gel further from equilibrium using a longer deformation period, shows the order of magnitude difference in the moduli of the broken and reformed states. These results are consistent with similar experiments performed by Skoulas and coworkers, wherein amphiphilic PEG-peptide hybrid hydrogels with  $\alpha$ -helical secondary structures recovered in the same timescale and by equivalent magnitudes when subjected to the same rheological protocol.53

Shear experiments after the 3<sup>rd</sup> breakup cycle provide additional insight into the dynamics of recovery for different PPU hydrogels. As highlighted in **Figure 6b**, the time required to achieve solid-like behavior is constant for Z5-10 and Z20-10 (~10 s) and a factor of 2 larger for Z40-10. This extended recovery time is likely a function of the amount of strain applied relative to  $\gamma_0^c$ , which is comparable for Z5-10 and Z20-10 and almost a factor of 2 smaller for Z40-10. The kinetics of recovery also are polymer concentration dependent, as shown by experimental data depicted in **Figures S7-9** and summarized in **Figure S10**. The trend observed with peptide segment length holds for different PPU concentrations, that is, recovery time is inversely related to  $\gamma_0^c$ . These data highlight an important design criterion in the development of injectable hydrogels, whereby network reformation is dictated by the applied strain relative to a particular gel's breakup strain.

#### Thermal Stability of Hydrogels

A common feature of peptide-based hydrogels is thermal reversibility of structural features driven by the temperature dependence of peptidic assemblies, including gelation induced by both increasing and decreasing temperature.<sup>53,54</sup> However, it is advantageous to retain a robust gel at a wide range of temperatures to enable stability under storage or incubation conditions outside of the body. As illustrated in Figure 4c, PZLY-PEG PPU hydrogels display remarkable resilience (*i.e.*, minimal reduction in moduli) over a wide range of temperatures. Additionally, no transition to a liquid-like state (where G'' > G') is observed within the range of 10 to 80 °C (Figure S11-S13). A more rigorous analysis of frequency-independent gelation was attempted (Figure S14), but the convergence of the phase angles with temperature did not occur within the measured temperature range. This behavior suggests a potential disruption of the gel network is only theoretically possible outside of hydration. As shown in Figure 4c, hysteresis in G' is measured between the heating and cooling cycles. This hysteretic behavior is most prominent at low frequency and is increasingly prominent with lower concentration and at a shorter peptide segment length. Temperature modulated CD measurements (Figure 7) of the dilute Z20-10 PPU exhibit a similar hysteresis in the secondary structure content, suggesting that the observed thermorheological response is related to slight reorganization within self-assembled structures. Interestingly, no change to the secondary structure type (e.g., from  $\alpha$ -helix to  $\beta$ -sheet) was observed, as may have been expected based on previous studies of polylysine in hydrated conditions.<sup>55</sup> Thus, reorganization of inter-chain peptide assemblies reduces the density of effective network junctions and, therefore, modulus. Because the reorganization process presumably requires time for chains to diffuse, cooling cycles exhibit considerable hysteresis in moduli.

Interestingly, a weak thermal transition is observed in all of the measured PPU hydrogels, as most clearly indicated by an inflection in G'(T). The approximate transition temperatures ( $T_T$ , at 100 rad/s under a heating ramp) of all hydrogels are shown in **Figure 5b**. It is important to note that the observed trends are not necessarily upheld at all frequencies, suggesting that the transition occurring most strongly affects fast modes in the network structure. For the shortest peptide segments (Z5-10), thermal stability is lost with increasing concentration. The temperature modulated CD experiments showed the least thermal dependence for Z5-10, which suggests that

 $T_T$  is likely related to both polymer chain hydration, conformation, and secondary structure formation, as has been seen in lysine-PEG diblocks.<sup>56</sup> For Z20-10, negligible change to  $T_T$  occurs as a function of concentration, and the value of  $T_T$  corresponds to a sharp change to the  $\alpha$ -helix peak intensity from CD. For long peptide segments (Z40-10),  $T_T$  increases with increasing concentration indicating that additional secondary structure forming segments contribute to thermal stability, perhaps through increasing aggregation of  $\alpha$ -helices.



**Figure 7:** Temperature modulated CD spectra of Z20-10. a. Full wavelength scan shows  $\alpha$ -helical secondary structure is maintained over the entire temperature range; only very slight melting can be observed. b. Monitoring the peak intensity at 222 nm reveals hysteresis in secondary structure recovery in a heating/cooling cycle. Points are taken from the temperature scans shown in a.

It is clear that rheological behavior in the PPU hydrogels is a complex function of composition due to the hierarchical structures afforded by hybrid polymers. With the peptide secondary structure in mind, the observed mechanical properties of the networks can be attributed to a combination of polymer entanglement, inter-chain hydrogen bonding, and the  $\alpha$ -helical conformations of PZLY, where the  $\alpha$ -helices may act as entropic springs, contributing to hydrogel stiffness and recovery.<sup>40,53,57</sup> However, additional polymer configurations (*e.g.*, micelles) also may

be possible at some compositions and conditions. Furthermore, ternary strucutures (*i.e.*, fibrils) also are apparent from cryo-TEM and thermorheological studies. Overall, the the utility of our PPU hydrogels has been demonstrated as a platform to develop, control, and understand the effects of hybrid polyurea architecture on gel assembly and network dynamics.

#### Conclusions

In this work, we have shown that silk-inspired PZLY-PEG PPUs can be harnessed as a platform for dynamic, physically assembled hydrogels. These high molecular weight polymer-peptide hybrids facilitate hierarchical assembly, including the formation of  $\alpha$ -helical secondary structures and higher order fibrillar networks. Varying peptide segment length in PZLY-PEG PPUs shows little impact on secondary structure conformation but enables concentration-dependent tailoring of gel modulus and yield strain. Both the secondary structures and resultant mechanics of the hydrogels are stable up to temperatures of 80 °C. Additionally, the porosity of the PZLY-PEG PPU hydrogel networks is tunable independent of modulus, and the underlying hydrogel structure rapidly recovers after strong shear flows. Overall, these properties highlight the versatility of a PPU platform for non-covalent hydrogels with multi-scale structure, with potential applications as a stabilizing matrix for biomolecules prone to aggregation. Further studies will enable understanding of structural development of these materials, including small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) to probe multi-scale organization in these hydrogel systems. Possible opportunities to expand the usefulness of hybrid polyurea gels could include adding stimuli-responsive functionalities, bioconjugation with molecules of interest, and fitting to models of injectability to probe desired material specifications.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) PIRE: Bio-inspired Materials and Systems under grant number OISE 1844463.

The authors acknowledge the use of facilities and instrumentation supported by NSF through the University of Delaware Materials Research Science and Engineering Center DMR-2011824.

Cryo-TEM access was supported by grants from the NIH-NIGMS (P20 GM103446), the NIGMS (P20 GM139760) and the State of Delaware. We thank Shannon Modla in the DBI Bio-Imaging Center for assistance with obtaining cryo-TEM images. Access to SEM was provided by the Keck Microscopy Center at the University of Delaware.

#### References

1 S. J. Buwalda, T. Vermonden and W. E. Hennink, *Biomacromolecules*, 2017, **18**, 316–330.

- 2 O. Chaudhuri, L. Gu, D. Klumpers, M. Darnell, S. A. Bencherif, J. C. Weaver, N. Huebsch, H. P. Lee, E. Lippens, G. N. Duda and D. J. Mooney, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, **15**, 326–334.
- 3 C. M. Meis, E. E. Salzman, C. L. Maikawa, A. A. A. Smith, J. L. Mann, A. K. Grosskopf and E. A. Appel, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 2021, 7, 4221–4229.
- 4 S. Correa, A. K. Grosskopf, H. L. Hernandez, D. Chan, A. C. Yu, L. M. Stapleton and E. A. Appel, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **121**, 11385–11457.
- 5 K. Zhang, Q. Feng, Z. Fang, L. Gu and L. Bian, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 11149–11193.
- 6 H. Fan and J. P. Gong, *Macromolecules*, 2020, **53**, 2769–2782.
- 7 L. Montero De Espinosa, W. Meesorn, D. Moatsou and C. Weder, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 12851–12892.
- 8 K. Numata, *Polym. J.*, 2020, **52**, 1043–1056.
- 9 G. Ghosh, R. Barman, J. Sarkar and S. Ghosh, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2019, 123, 5909–5915.
- 10 C. Yan and D. J. Pochan, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 3528–3540.
- 11 R. Otter and P. Besenius, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2019, 17, 6719–6734.
- 12 I. W. Hamley, *Biomacromolecules*, 2014, **15**, 1543–1559.
- 13 A. Sarkar, C. Edson, D. Tian, T. D. Fink, K. Cianciotti, R. A. Gross, C. Bae and R. H. Zha, *Biomacromolecules*, 2021, **22**, 95–105.
- 14 Ö. Ucak, G. Hause and W. H. Binder, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 2023, 224, 2200344.
- 15 Q. Wang, Z. Shi, Y. Shou, K. Zhang, G. Li, P. Xia, S. Yan and J. Yin, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 2020, **6**, 1715–1726.
- 16 M. Kamaci, Eur. Polym. J., 2020, 123, 109444.
- 17 L. E. Matolyak, J. K. Keum, K. M. Van De Voorde and L. T. J. Korley, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 7607–7617.
- 18 B. D. Ulery, L. S. Nair and C. T. Laurencin, J. Polym. Sci. Part B, 2011, 49, 832–864.
- 19 F. Zhang, C. Hu, Q. Kong, R. Luo and Y. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, 37147–37155.
- 20 L. Matolyak, J. Keum and L. S. T. J. Korley, *Biomacromolecules*, 2016, 17, 3931–3939.
- 21 L. E. Matolyak, C. B. Thompson, B. Li, J. K. Keum, J. E. Cowen, R. S. Tomazin and L. S. T. J. Korley, *Biomacromolecules*, 2018, 19, 3445–3455.
- 22 D. Jang, C. B. Thompson, S. Chatterjee and L. T. J. Korley, *Mol. Syst. Des. Eng.*, 2021, 6, 1003–1015.
- 23 B. L. Walter, J. P. Pelteret, J. Kaschta, D. W. Schubert and P. Steinmann, *Polym. Test.*, 2017, **61**, 430–440.

- 24 E. J. Hemingway and S. M. Fielding, J. Rheol. (N. Y. N. Y)., 2019, 750, 735–750.
- 25 J. C. Johnson, N. D. Wanasekara and L. T. J. Korley, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2014, **2**, 2554–2561.
- A. Isidro-Llobet, M. N. Kenworthy, S. Mukherjee, M. E. Kopach, K. Wegner, F. Gallou, A. G. Smith and F. Roschangar, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **84**, 4615–4628.
- P. Bilalis, D. Skoulas, A. Karatzas, J. Marakis, A. Stamogiannos, C. Tsimblouli, E. Sereti,
  E. Stratikos, K. Dimas, D. Vlassopoulos and H. Iatrou, *Biomacromolecules*, 2018, 19, 3840–3852.
- 28 K. Whang, D. Ph, K. E. Healy, D. Ph, D. R. Elenz and E. K. Nam, *Tissue Eng.*, 1999, **5**, 35–51.
- A. Panitch, J. Chmielewski and C. M. R. Pe, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2011, **11**, 1426–1431.
- 30 M. K. Lee, M. H. Rich, K. Baek, J. Lee and H. Kong, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, 5, 1–7.
- 31 J. Li and D. J. Mooney, Nat. Rev. Mater., 2016, 1, 16071.
- 32 I. L. Chin, Z. Wei, H. Li, R. Atkin and S. Choi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, 57, 773–776.
- 33 A. E. Rowan and P. H. J. Kouwer, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9, 1–8.
- 34 N. J. Greenfield, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2007, **1**, 2876–2890.
- 35 V. Castelletto and I. W. Hamley, *Biophys. Chem.*, 2009, **141**, 169–174.
- 36 S. Santra, S. Kolay, S. Sk, D. Ghosh, A. Mishra, L. Roy, K. Sarkar and M. R. Molla, *Polym. Chem.*, 2022, **13**, 3294–3303.
- 37 C. Bonduelle, *Polym. Chem.*, 2018, **9**, 1517–1529.
- 38 J. U. Izunobi and C. L. Higginbotham, *Polym. Int.*, 2013, **62**, 1169–1178.
- 39 J. S. Lee, M. J. Kang, J. H. Lee and D. W. Lim, *Biomacromolecules*, 2022, **23**, 2051–2063.
- 40 S. C. O. Neill, Z. H. Bhuiyan and R. S. Tu, *Soft Matter*, 2017, **13**, 7521–7528.
- 41 C. Cai, J. Lin, T. Chen, X. S. Wang and S. Lin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, **19**, 2709–2711.
- 42 C. Chen, J. Lan, Y. Li, D. Liang, X. Ni and Q. Liu, Chem. Mater., 2020, 32, 1153–1161.
- 43 C. Lu, L. Jiang, W. Xu, F. Yu, W. Xia, M. Pan, W. Zhou, X. Pan, C. Wu and D. Liu, *Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces*, 2019, **182**, 110384.
- 44 R. R. Sinden, in *DNA Structure and Function*, 1994, pp. 287–335.
- 45 K. Cie, *Biochime*, 2017, **137**, 106–114.
- 46 J. D. Hartgerink, E. Beniash and S. I. Stupp, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2002, **99**, 5133–5138.
- 47 H. S. Liao, J. Lin, Y. Liu, P. Huang, A. Jin and X. Chen, Nanoscale, 2016, 8, 14814–

14820.

- 48 M. Rubinstein and R. H. Colby, *Polymer Physics*, Oxford University Press, 2003.
- 49 G. M. Conley, C. Zhang, P. Aebischer, J. L. Harden and F. Scheffold, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1–8.
- 50 I. Levental, C. Georges and P. A. Janmey, 2007, **3**, 299–306.
- 51 M. H. Chen, L. L. Wang, J. J. Chung, Y. H. Kim, P. Atluri and J. A. Burdick, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 2017, **3**, 3146–3160.
- 52 H. Lopez Hernandez, J. W. Souza and E. A. Appel, *Macromol. Biosci.*, , DOI:10.1002/mabi.202000295.
- 53 D. Skoulas, E. Stratikos, D. Vlassopoulos, H. Frielinghaus and H. Iatrou, *Macromolecules*, 2021, **54**, 10786–10800.
- 54 E. S. Gil, R. J. Spontak and S. M. Hudson, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2005, 5, 702–709.
- 55 W. Dzwolak, R. Ravindra, C. Nicolini and R. Jansen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 3762–3768.
- A. Harada, S. Cammas and K. Kataoka, *Macromolecules*, 1996, **9297**, 6183–6188.
- 57 M. Kageshima, M. A. Lantz and S. P. Jarvis, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2001, **343**, 77–82.