

# Nano-fibrillated Cellulose/Al(OH)3/Polytetrafluoroethylene Hybrid Protective Layer Enabling Dendrite Free Zn Anodes for Rechargeable Aqueous Batteries

Journal of Materials Chemistry A
TA-ART-09-2023-005655.R1
Paper
26-Nov-2023
Shayan, Mohammad; Louisiana State University Abouzeid, Ragab; Louisiana State University Xu, Wangwang; Louisiana State University System Wu, Tongyao ; Louisiana State University Wu, Qinglin; Louisiana State University, RNR

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

1	Nanofibrillated Cellulose/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /Polytetrafluoroethylene Hybrid Protective Layer
2	Enabling Dendrite Free Zn Anodes for Rechargeable Aqueous Batteries
3	Mohammad Shayan <sup>1</sup> , Ragab Abouzeid <sup>1,2</sup> , Wangwang Xu <sup>1*</sup> , Tongyao Wu <sup>3</sup> and Qinglin Wu <sup>1*</sup>
4 5	<sup>1</sup> School of Renewable Natural Resources, Louisiana State University AgCenter, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, United States
6 7	<sup>2</sup> Cellulose and Paper Department, National Research Centre, 33 Bohouth St., Dokki, Giza 12622, Egypt
8 9	<sup>3</sup> Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, United States
10	Corresponding E-mail: wuqing@lsu.edu and wxu26@lsu.edu
11	Abstract
12	Aqueous zinc ion batteries (ZIBs) are considered as promising options for energy storage
13	devices due to their high safety and abundant resources. However, Zn dendrites and short-circuit
14	pose a significant challenge. To address this issues, a hybrid protective membrane of
15	nanofibrillated cellulose (NFC)/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> / Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) was fabricated and
16	coated on the surface of zinc metal anode. The in-situ formation of Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles
17	created nano pores inside the dense NFC network, while the addition of PTFE improved the
18	adhesion of protective membrane to the surface of zinc metal. The NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE coating
19	layer restricted the active water and anions from the electrode/electrolyte interface by
20	dehydrating zinc ions, thus preventing water and anion-induced corrosions. With the
21	NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE coating layer, zinc symmetric batteries exhibited significantly improved
22	cycling performance with highly stabilized charge/discharge profiles, outperforming bare zinc
23	symmetric batteries. Furthermore, full vanadium dioxide (VO2)  NFC/ Al(OH)3/PTFE @Zn
24	batteries demonstrated a high initial specific capacity of 406.2 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> at 2 A g <sup>-1</sup> and excellent

cycling stability with a 94% retention of intial capacity after 300 cycles , and 72% after 3300
cycles, making them practical for energy storage applications.

*Keywords:* Zn ion battery, dendrite-free anode, inhibit water-induced corrosion, protective
coating layer

29 **1. Introduction** 

30 Fast depletion of unsustainable fossil fuels (i.e., coal, oil, and gas) and their detrimental impacts on the environment along with growing population have increased the demand for 31 32 alternative green energies such as solar, wind, geothermal, and biofuels<sup>1,2</sup>. With the wide 33 utilization of intermittently available solar and wind energy, there is an urgent need for the development of grid-scale energy storage devices that are safe, reliable, and low-cost <sup>3,4</sup>. Despite 34 35 the excellent performance of lithium-ion batteries in some applications, their application is 36 limited in grid-scale energy storage systems due to their safety issues and high cost <sup>5</sup>. Hence, 37 rechargeable aqueous zinc-ion batteries (ZIBs) have attracted increasing attention widely owing 38 to safety, low toxicity, and abundant raw materials <sup>4,6</sup>. Due to its low cost and the ability for 39 industrial manufacturing, Zn metal is widely employed as the anode for ZIBs. Moreover, its high 40 volumetric capacity (5854 mAh/cm<sup>3</sup>), high gravimetric capacity (820 mAh/g), and low redox 41 potential (-0.76 V vs standard hydrogen electrode) has made the Zn metal an appropriate anode for next-generation energy storage technologies <sup>4,7</sup>. However, one of the main challenges in 42 developing commercial Zn metal anodes is the formation of dendrites. When Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions are 43 44 deposited onto the surface of the anode during the plating process, they frequently build up 45 protrusions due to their high surface energy. This leads to the formation and continued growth of 46 Zn dendrites, which can penetrate through the separator, causing a short-circuit failure <sup>8,9</sup>. The 47 application of ZIBs is also hindered by the unstable Zn anode/electrolyte interface due to the

Journal of Materials Chemistry A

48	water-induced hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and chemical corrosions, decreasing the
49	Coulombic efficiency and cycling lifetime of ZIBs <sup>7,10</sup> .
50	In order to address the abovementioned problems, some strategies have been employed,
51	including using Zn alloys <sup>11</sup> , constructing artificial interfaces <sup>10,12,13</sup> , modifying electrolytes using
52	electrolyte additives <sup>14,15,16</sup> , and fabricating functional separators <sup>17,18</sup> . Among them, the addition
53	of a protective interface layer as an artificial interface is a promising approach to make the
54	surface electric field uniform and prevent the dendrite formation on the Zn anode. An artificial
55	coating layer with appropriate stability in aqueous electrolyte, good ionic conductivity, and
56	uniform porosity can regulate the deposition of Zn ions on the Zn anode surface <sup>15,19,20</sup> .
57	As natural organic polymers, cellulose nanomaterials (CNMs) are produced after the
58	chemical and physical treatment of sustainable fiber sources from trees, plants, sugarcane, and
59	other biomass. CNMs have two main forms - nanofibrillated cellulose (NFC) and cellulose
60	nanocrystals (CNCs), which can be used for different applications <sup>21,22</sup> . Favorable properties of
61	CNMs including high mechanical strength, hydrophilicity, and biodegradation rate have made
62	CNMs an appropriate material for use in energy storage devices such as ZIBs <sup>17,23,24</sup> . With
63	further development, CNMs can be used as coating suspensions and separators to inhibit dendrite
64	growth on the zinc anode of ZIBs and improve their performance <sup>17,25</sup> . Moreover, utilizing
65	cellulose-based materials as a sustainable protective layer prevents water-induced side reactions
66	such as hydrogen evolution reactions in the electrode-electrolyte interface to further improve the
67	cycling performance of ZIBs <sup>20,26,27</sup> . Liu et al. <sup>10</sup> used lignin-containing cellulose nanofiber
68	(LCNF) and MXene (LM) to enhance the stability of the zinc anode interface. Their results
69	revealed that LCNF component offers considerable mechanical strength, preventing the dendrite
70	growth due to limited diffusion. Meanwhile, MXene plays a role as a zinc gating layer,

71 facilitating the movement of zinc ions, guiding the deposition of zinc ions along the (002) plane. Guo et al.<sup>30</sup> developed a porous zinc electrode coated with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) for 72 73 improved performance in zinc-ion batteries. Their result showed that the addition of PTFE has 74 several positive effects: it suppressed the hydrogen evolution reaction, ensuring the stability of 75 the zinc metal anode; it enabled dendrite-free zinc platin, enhancing long-term battery 76 performance; and it contributed to improved cycling performances of the  $Zn||V_2O_5$  battery, 77 thanks to the artificial layer provided by the PTFE coating. Therefore, in order to investigate the 78 zinc anode dendrite suppression, active water restriction, and corrosion prevention, an ideal 79 protective layer would need to combine a sustainable porous material with an artificial protective 80 layer to suppress dendrite formation and ameliorate the cycling performance of Zn-ion battery. 81 Herein, the main objective of this study is to develop a novel nanofibrillated cellulose 82 (NFC)/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE protective layer to hinder the growth of dendrites, regulate the deposition 83 of Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions, and stabilize the Zn metal anode in ZIBs. The hydrophilic NFC layer absorbs the free water molecules and prevents water-induced corrosion reactions in electrode-electrolyte 84 85 interface. In addition, the in-situ formation of Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles increases the porosity of 86 NFC network interfacial layer and improves the electrolyte uptake. Moreover,  $Al(OH)_3$ 87 nanoparticles can effectively fill the spaces between cellulose nanofibers, resulting to a higher 88 surface area and increased formation of voids within the film structure, thereby enhancing 89 porosity. The porous structure of NFC network, as uniform channels, has a shunt effect on Zn 90 ions, which provides position selected uniform ion transportation and even electrochemical 91 deposition. The addition of PTFE to the coating suspension ameliorates the adhesion of 92 NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> suspension to the surface of Zn metal. Finally, the aforementioned mechanisms 93 prevent the dendrite growth of zinc, enhance the cycling life and stability of ZIBs.

### 94 **2. Experimental section**

### 95 2.1. Materials

96 Commercially grade NFC (15 wt.% solid concentration) was purchased from the

- 97 University of Maine (Orono, ME, USA). Ethanol (98%) and oxalic acid dihydrate (>99%) were
- 98 purchased from Fisher Scientific Co (Hampton, NH, USA). Carbon black powder (CB 60),
- 99 Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) (Condensed Liquid Binder, EQ-Lib-PTFE), and coin-type cell

100 parts (CR2032) were supplied by MTI corporation (Richmond, CA, USA).

#### 101 2.2. Preparation of NFC/Al (OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE coating suspension

102 NFC material (3.5 g) was first added to 100 ml NaOH solution (2 wt.%) and the 103 suspension was stirred for one hour. In situ synthesis of Al  $(OH)_3$  was performed by the addition 104 of 0.05 g Al foil to the as-prepared alkaline NFC suspension and the mixture was stirred for 24 h 105 at room temperature. Then, hydrochloric acid (HCl) was added to the mixture to decrease pH, 106 accelerate the hydrolysis reaction, and facilitate the conversion of sodium aluminate into 107 aluminum hydroxide. After that, the suspension was rinsed with deionized (DI) water and 108 centrifuged three times at 10000 rpm for 7 minutes. Finally, the as-obtained cake material was 109 dispersed in DI water to form the NFC/Al (OH)<sub>3</sub> suspension. The coating suspension was 110 prepared by the addition of PTFE (1:1 weight ratio based on the dried NFC) followed by stirring 111 and sonication for 1 h. Finally, the suspension was casted onto the surface of circle bare zinc foils (diameter = 0.8 cm) and dried at room temperature (25 °C) for 24 h. The mass ratio between 112 113 the protective layer and the zinc anode foil was around 0.028 and the thickness of the protective 114 layer was around 20 µm.

#### 115 **2.3. Preparation of VO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers**

The VO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers were prepared based on the method by Xu et al. <sup>19</sup>. First, oxalic acid (5 g) was dissolved and stirred in 60 mL deionized water. Then, 2.5 g divanadium pentoxide ( $V_2O_5$ ) was added into the solution. The solution was stirred at 25 °C for 1 h to obtain a transparent blue solution. The solution was then transferred to a Teflon-lined autoclave for heating at 180 °C for 12 h. Then, the precipitated material was collected with centrifugation and washed with deionized water and ethanol for three times respectively. The final product was obtained after drying at 70 °C for 24h.

## 123 **2.4. Material characterization**

124 The XRD analysis was conducted using a PANalytical Empyrean X-ray Diffractometer 125 (Malvern Panalytical Ltd., Malvern, Worcestershire, UK) equipped with a CuKa radiation source  $(\lambda = 0.15418 \text{ nm})$  at 45 kV and 40 mA with the 20 range from 5° to 90° and a scan rate of 1° per 126 127 minute to evaluate the crystal structure of hybrid coating material. The surface and cross-section 128 morphologies of coated Zn foils were observed by Quanta<sup>™</sup> 3D DualBeam<sup>™</sup> FEG FIB-SEM 129 (FEI Co, Eindhoven, Netherlands) at an acceleration voltage of 20 kV. Prior to SEM 130 observations, a sputter-coater was used to coat the samples with Pt. The morphology of Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> 131 nanoparticles and NFC was analyzed using transmission electron microscope (TEM) (JEM 1400, 132 JEOL Inc., Peabody, MA, USA) with an accelerating voltage of 120 kV. Prior to TEM imaging, 133 each suspension was diluted to 0.002 wt. % using deionized water and subjected to a 30-minute 134 ultrasonic treatment. The Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) observations were conducted using a 135 Bruker Dimension Icon XR (Bruker Nano Inc, Tucson, AZ, USA). The energy-dispersive X-ray 136 spectroscopy (EDS) was conducted to analyze the elemental compositions of the coating layer. 137 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) data were gathered by applying an AC potential 138 with an amplitude of 5 mV across a frequency range of 0.01-100 kHz. The measurement of the

139 porosity of the membranes was conducted using the following procedure. First, 3 circular 140 specimens of NFC, as control, and NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE membrane were dried in an oven set at a 141 temperature of 60°C for 24 hours and the weight of the dried samples (W<sub>drv</sub>) and their thickness 142 was measured. After that, specimens were completely submerged in ethanol for a duration of 5 143 hour. The specimens were removed from ethanol and wiped with a damp linen cloth saturated with ethanol. They were then promptly weighed in the to obtain the wet weight (W<sub>wet</sub>). The 144 145 porosity (P) of the membranes was subsequently determined by employing the following 146 equation:

147 
$$P(\%) = \frac{(W_{wet} - W_{dry})/\rho_e}{(W_{wet} - W_{dry})/\rho_e + W_{dry}/\rho_m}$$
(1)

148 where,  $W_{wet}$  and  $W_{dry}$  are the mass of the wet and the dry membranes, respectively, while  $\rho_e$  and 149  $\rho_m$  are the density of ethanol and the membrane, respectively.

# 150 **2.5.** Coin cell assembling and electrochemical measurement

151 For making full cell coin batteries, cathode was prepared by mixing a composition of as-152 prepared VO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers, carbon black, and PTFE binder with a weight ratio of (6:3:1) in 153 ethanol, followed by grinding in a mortar and pestle. Glass fiber and 3M Zn  $(CF_3SO_3)_2$  aqueous 154 solution were used as the separator and electrolyte, respectively. The symmetric coin cell 155 batteries were assembled using same bare and coated zinc foils as both anode and cathode to 156 evaluate the stripping/plating behavior and cycling stability of the batteries. The galvanostatic 157 charge-discharge (GCD) electrochemical tests were carried out on a multichannel LAND battery 158 analyzer (CT3001A, LAND Electronics Corporation, Wuhan, China) with the voltage range of 159 0.2 - 1.6 V at room temperature. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) test was conducted on an 160 electrochemical workstation (CHI 760e) in a potential range of 0.2-1.7 V.

# 161 **3. Results and Discussion**

Fig. 1 shows the schematic of the in-situ formation process of  $Al(OH)_3$  nanoparticles in the NFC and the coating process of hybrid NFC/Al (OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE as a protective interface layer on the bare zinc foil. The spacing between the cellulose molecular chains and the hydrogen bonding between Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> and NFC can facilitate the transfer of Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions through the interface layer.



167

168 **Fig.1.** Schematic illustration of (a) in-situ fabrication of Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles in NFC, and

169 coating Zn foil using the NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE suspension as a hybrid protective layer, and (b) the

- 170 membrane's ion sieving mechanism illustrating how the movement of the bulky hydrated
- 171  $CF_3SO_3^-$  anion and water molecules within the hydrated  $Zn^{2+}$  being impeded.
- 172 The cross-section and surface morphology of the NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE coated Zn is
- 173 displayed in Fig.2 (a-d). The detached coated membrane from the Zn surface after cutting shows

174	the PTFE fibers, which confirms the appropriate adhesion of hybrid membrane on the surface of
175	Zn anode. The Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles and micro/nano porous structure of coated membrane are
176	shown in Fig. 2 (b-d). The in-situ synthesis of $Al(OH)_3$ nanoparticles renders the establishment
177	of interconnected nanoscale tortuous channels inside the dense NFC. Transmission Electron
178	Microscopy (TEM) was employed to observe the distribution of nanoparticles within the NFC
179	matrix, as is shown in Fig. S1 (supporting information). TEM images illustrate the well-
180	distributed nanoparticles within the NFC matrix, showcasing a uniform dispersion throughout the
181	naofibrillated cellulose. AFM was use to provide more details of protective layer 's topographical
182	features as is shown in Fig. S2. Low heights (dark colors) in Fig. S2a represent regions of the
183	surface of protective layer that are lower in height, indicating smoother or less textured surface.
184	High heights (bright colors) represent elevated features on the sample surface such as NFC,
185	Al(OH)3 nanoparticles, or other topographical variations during the casting of the protective
186	layer. The color gradient in Fig S2b, corresponding to the force values ranging from -8.3 to 8 nN,
187	represents the varying forces between the AFM tip and the sample surface during scanning.
188	Bright areas indicate strong adhesion or interaction forces and dark areas suggest smoother,
189	reduced adhesion or minimal interaction between the tip and the surface of protective layer. The
190	results of porosity measurements revealed that the porosity of NFC/Al(OH) $_3$ /PTFE membrane is
191	around $53.1 \pm 3.6\%$ which is considerably higher than the porosity of neat NFC membrane
192	(23.1 $\pm$ 6.7 %). EDS elemental mappings as shown in Fig 2. (e-g) confirm that the elements C,
193	Al, O, and F are uniformly distributed in the coated hybrid membrane. The recorded EDS
194	spectrum of coated material is shown in Fig. 2 h. The strong signal of Al element supports the in-
195	situ synthesis of Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles inside the NFC suspension. The crystalline structure of
196	NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE protective layer was evaluated by XRD, as shown in Fig. 2j. The results

197	revealed that both NFC and NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE membrane show cellulose I $\beta$ crystalline
198	structure with different intensities, where the main characteristic peaks at $16.4^{\circ}$ (110), 22.6°
199	(200), and 34.5° (004) can be observed <sup>21</sup> . The sharp peak at around $2\theta$ =18.1° could be attributed
200	to the crystalline structure of PTFE corresponding to diffraction in the (100) plane $^{28}$ . Al(OH) <sub>3</sub>
201	nanoparticles also exhibit a dominant narrow peak around 18.1° corresponding to the (002)
202	crystal plane of monoclinic phase. Moreover, the small narrow peak at $2\theta=28.6^{\circ}$ can be ascribed
203	to the (202) plane of crystallized in-situ prepared Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles. The XRD pattern of
204	separately prepared pure Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles and PTFE is shown in Fig. S3. The pattern of the
205	Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles matches the literature values, demonstrating their high degree of
206	crystallinity <sup>29</sup> . The presence of narrow and sharp peaks further confirms the well-defined
207	crystalline structure of the Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles. Moreover, the synthesis of Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> was
208	confirmed by observing the formation of a distinct white precipitate resulting from the reaction.
209	The emergence of this white precipitate provided further evidence that Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> was successfully
210	synthesized in the process. The contact angle measurement was conducted using 3 M
211	$Zn(CF_3SO_3)_2$ electrolyte solution on the bare zinc foil and the NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE coated Zn
212	foil. As demonstrated in Fig. 2 (k,l), the contact angle of NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE @ Zn (29.7°) is
213	much lower in comparison with the contact angle of bare Zn foil (86.1°), reflecting its enhanced
214	wettability and electrolyte affinity due to the presence of abundant hydroxyl groups in the coated
215	hybrid layer. The cellulose, which possesses numerous surface functional groups such as C-OH
216	and C-COOH, is believed to undergo deprotonation in the electrolyte. This deprotonation process
217	enhances the cellulose's affinity for cations, thereby facilitating their adsorption and flux across
218	the membrane, while exhibiting the less tendency for anions. This mechanism can effectively
219	prevent the occurrence of the anion decomposition or corrosion reaction. In the $Zn(CF3SO3)_2$

220	electrolyte, each $Zn^{2+}$ ion is bound to six H <sub>2</sub> O molecules, which imparts an extremely high					
221	desolvation energy to $Zn^{2+19}$ . When using NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE protective layer, $Zn^{2+}$ initially					
222	coordinates with two C-OH terminals on the NFC backbone structure, followed by coordination					
223	with H <sub>2</sub> O molecules, leading to the desolvation process. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the protective					
224	layer can capture active water molecules and prevent them from reaching the					
225	electrolyte/electrode interface, which can facilitate improved kinetics of Zn plating/stripping.					
226	This implies that a desolvation and ion-selective membrane are advantageous for enhancing the					
227	performance of zinc metal batteries <sup>19</sup> .					
228	The Zn plating/stripping electrochemical performance was studied using symmetric					
229	Zn  Zn batteries with and without NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE coating layers. Fig. 3a demonstrates the					
230	cycling performance of symmetric bare Zn and NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE @ Zn cells at 2.5 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ,					
231	0.5 mAh/cm <sup>2</sup> . Symmetric NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE @Zn cell exhibits stable cycling and smooth					
232	voltage profile for over 260 h. However, using the bare Zn in the symmetric cell causes unstable					
233	charge/discharge cycling at the beginning and rapid short circuit after only 20 hours. The long					
234	cycling properties of symmetric bare Zn and NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE @ Zn cells at 1 mA/cm <sup>2</sup> are					





Fig. 2. Material characteristics of the NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE coating layer: (a) SEM images of
cross-section of coated Zn with NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE, (b-d) surface of the NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE
coating layer, EDS mapping (e-h), EDS spectrum of prepared NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE membrane
(i), XRD patterns of pure NFC membrane and NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE coating layer (j), contact
angles on bare zinc anode (k) and coated Zn with NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE (l).

significantly enhances the stability and cycling life of the battery for over 1000 hours.

243 Nevertheless, the battery without coating suffered from the sever fluctuations in the first 100

hours and short circuit occurred after around 500 hours. This fluctuation during the

charge/discharge and rapid short circuit failure could be attributed to the irregular deposition and

fast longitudinal growth of dendrites that penetrate through the separator. In order to understand

- 247 the effectiveness of Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles and PTFE on the cycling performance of batteries,
- 248 Zn//Zn symmetric batteries with NFC @Zn and PTFE @Zn coating layers were tested at 2.5
- 249 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> (0.5 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>), which are demonstrated in Fig. S4. The PTFE coating was applied on
- 250 the surface of zinc plates through casting of PTFE emulsion (70 µl PTFE in 10 ml DI water). The

251 results revealed that the symmetric PTFE @Zn cell leads to relatively unstable charge/discharge 252 cycling, higher overpotential, and eventually results in a short circuit within a mere 120 hours. 253 The presence of the inert and insulating PTFE coating may impact the kinetics of Zn plating and 254 stripping, leading to a higher overpotential and instability because of increased charge-transfer 255 resistance. However, the application of pure PTFE coating addresses the issues related to 256 hydrogen evolution and Zn corrosion. This synthetic PTFE layer serves multiple purposes, 257 including facilitating controlled  $Zn^{2+}$  migration, promoting uniform nucleation of Zn metal, 258 acting as a barrier against water and oxygen ingress and finally improve the cycling life of 259 batteries <sup>30</sup>. The cycling of NFC @Zn symmetric batteries showed relatively more stable 260 charge/discharge behavior and slightly higher cycling life around 150 cycles. The improved 261 cycling life and stability could be attributed to the restriction of the access of active water and 262 anions to the electrode/electrolyte interface, which effectively inhibits corrosion induced by 263 water and anions. However, hybrid NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE coating, shows a prolonged and smooth 264 cycling lifespan (Fig. 3a), which could be attributed to the combined effect of uniform and slow 265 deposition of Zn<sup>+2</sup> ions and lower probability of side reactions such as hydrogen evolution 266 reaction (HER). On the other hand, the abundance of hydroxyl groups on the surface of NFC can 267 promote the even deposition of  $Zn^{2+}$  on the anode (Q. Li et al., 2022; Z. Li et al., 2023). Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> 268 nanoparticles create nano channels inside the dense structure of NFC network and its dual function as water-gating and zinc-sieving improves the uniform penetration of Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions into the 269 270 coated layer, resulting in evenly deposition of  $Zn^{2+}$  ions on the anode surface and prevent the 271 growth of Zn dendrites. Moreover, NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE coating may also act as a kind of gel 272 polymer electrolyte which can decrease the fast transfer of  $Zn^{2+}$  ions and prevent the rapid 273 growth of dendrites <sup>31</sup>. The hybrid coating layer, as a solid-electrolyte interface, prevents the

274	direct contact of H <sub>2</sub> O molecules and Zn anode surface and inhibits hydrogen evolution reaction
275	<sup>30,32</sup> . In spite of improved cycling life and stability of the battery with coated Zn anode, the
276	potential of symmetric NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE @Zn is only slightly higher than the symmetric bare
277	Zn cell. The increased polarization potential can be ascribed to the sluggish behavior of $Zn^{2+}$
278	desolvation on the NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE hybrid membrane because of the interactions between
279	$Zn[(H2O)_6]^{+2}$ complex, Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles and abundant hydroxyl groups of NFC.
280	Consequently, the Zn <sup>2+</sup> ions cannot easily reach to the surface of anode, electron tunneling is
281	reduced, and it needs more energy to break the $Zn^{2+}$ solvation sheath <sup>33</sup> . In order to determine
282	the optimal thickness for the protective layer, impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was conducted to
283	assess the transfer impedance of $Zn^{+2}$ at thicknesses of 10, 20, and 30 $\mu$ m (Fig. S5a). The results
284	revealed an increase in thickness corresponded to higher resistance, indicative of reduced ionic
285	conductivity. Subsequently, the cycling stability of symmetric batteries was tested with
286	protective layers of varying thicknesses at a current density of 2.5 mA/cm2 (0.5 mAh/cm2). as is
287	shown in Fig. S5b. While the protective layer with a thickness of 10 $\mu$ m demonstrated favorable
288	ionic conductivity, as indicated by the EIS results, its cycling stability proved inadequate.
289	Additionally, it did not effectively inhibit dendrite formation, leading to a short circuit.
290	Conversely, the thickest protective layer exhibited low ionic conductivity, resulting in increased
291	internal resistance within the battery. This increased resistance contributes to higher
292	overpotentials during charge/discharge, leading to unstable charge/discharge and reduced cycling
293	life. Furthermore, the low ionic conductivity hampers the transport of ions between the
294	electrodes, impacting the kinetics of electrochemical reactions. This sluggish ion transport can
295	manifest in slower charge/discharge rates and an overall decrease in the performance of

- batteries<sup>33</sup>. These results highlighted that the 20 µm thickness exhibited an extended cycling life
- 297 with acceptable ion transportation, representing the optimized thickness.



Fig. 3. Electrochemical behaviors of symmetric cells with bare Zn and NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE
@Zn: (a) cycling performance at different current densities of 2.5 mAcm<sup>-2</sup>, (b) 1.0 mAcm<sup>-2</sup>, and
(c) rate performance at various current densities of 1, 2, 3, 5, 3, 2, and 1 mAcm<sup>-2</sup> at a fixed
capacity of 1 mAhcm<sup>-2</sup>.

Fig. 3c shows the rate performance of the Zn symmetric batteries with and without NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE coating layers at a fixed capacity of 1 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>. The results clearly show that the batteries with NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE coated Zn anodes demonstrate a flat and stable voltage plateau at each current density. While the coating of the Zn anode, as a solid-electrolyte interface, regulates the deposition of  $Zn^{2+}$  ions and suppresses the parasitic side reaction, the sluggish  $Zn^{2+}$  ions transfer can increase the polarization potential of batteries at all current densities.

310 In order to investigate how the NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE coating layer affects the behavior of 311 Zn plating, the morphology of Zn foils was examined with and without the coated layer after 312 being plated for 1 hour at 1mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. As illustrated in Fig. 4a-b, the bare zinc anode displayed 313 significant spike shaped dendrites formation and distributed piles of Zn protrusions on its 314 surface. The morphology of the Zn metal with the NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE coating layer, after 315 partially removing the coated layer from the Zn anode, is displayed in Fig. 4c-d. The images 316 demonstrate a flat and smooth deposition of Zn ions among NFC network and glass fibers, 317 indicating that the deposition behavior of Zn on the NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE@Zn anode is distinct 318 from that on its bare counterpart. Fig.4e provides a visual comparison of zinc deposition 319 mechanisms, highlighting the differences between bare zinc and zinc coated with the 320 NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE protective layer. It illustrates the distinct behaviors observed during zinc 321 plating, emphasizing the impact of coating on deposition processes. 322



323

324 Fig. 4. Surface morphology of bare Zn anode (a, b) and NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE @Zn anode (c, d) after Zn plating for 1 hour at current density of 1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. (e) Illustrative Schematics Unveiling

326 the Zn Plating Behavior of bare Zn and coated Zn. Electrochemical behaviors of full cell

- batteries with bare Zn and NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE @Zn: (f) galvanostatic cycling performance at 327
- 328 current density of 2.0 A/g, and (g) charge/discharge curves at current density of 2.0 A/g.
- 329

330 The Coulombic efficiencies (CEs) of Zn plating and stripping, which are crucial indicators of 331 redox reversibility, were examined using Zn//Cu coin cell configuration (Fig. S6). The voltage 332 profiles of the asymmetric cells at specific cycles at areal specific capacity of 1 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup> are 333 illustrated in Fig. S7. The Cu//NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE @Zn asymmetric batteries demonstrated 334 exceptional stability and consistently maintained an average Coulombic efficiency (CE) around 335 100.5% over an extended period of more than 400 cycles at a fixed plating capacity of 1 336 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>. In stark contrast, in the absence of protective layers, the CE value of the bare Zn//Cu 337 asymmetric cells deteriorates over approximately 180 cycles, demonstrating the intrinsic 338 instability in zinc striping and plating behaviors on the copper substrate. The substantial 339 enhancement in CE performance of can be ascribed to the presence of the NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE 340 hybrid protective layers, which effectively mitigate rapid formation of zinc dendrites, while 341 concurrently inhibiting detrimental side reactions. 342 The performance of NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE coated Zn was evaluated in practical 343 applications by assembling full cells using NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE @Zn as the anode and the 344 synthesized VO<sub>2</sub> nanofibers as the cathode. Fig. 4f and g show the cycling performance and

346 current density of 2 A/g, respectively. The results reveal that the VO<sub>2</sub>  $\parallel$  NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE

charge/discharge curves of the batteries with bare Zn anode and NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE@ Zn at the

345

347 (*a*/Zn batteries deliver a high initial specific capacity of 406.2 mAh/g, and stabilizes at 381.6

348 mAh/g after 300 cycles, retenting 94% of the initial capacity. The utilization efficiency of the

349 prepared battery after 300 cycles was approximately 1% and N/P ratio was 130.02 . The cycling

350 continued untill 3300 cycles with the specific capacity of 292.2 mAh/g and 72% retention of

initial capacity, indicating an effectual long-term permanence with 100% coulombic efficiency.

352 The improved cycling life, higher specific capacity, and enhanced capacity retention could be

353	due to the improved wettability by the NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE coating layer, which regulated the
354	deposition of Zn <sup>2+</sup> ions on the Zn anode surface, and inhibition of parasitic side reactions.
355	Moreover, the presence of PTFE layer on the Zn anode surface can reduce the electrode
356	corrosion. However, the initial specific capacity of $VO_2 \parallel$ bare Zn batteries was 398.4 mAh/g and
357	it dropped to 321 mAh/g after 300 cycles, with the capacity retention of 80.5%. For the batteries
358	with the bare Zn anode, the short circuit and failure happend after around 2000 hours with the
359	specific capacity of 265.6 mAh/g and 66.6% retention of initial specific capacity. This failure
360	probably results from the corrosion of the bare Zn anode, rapid growth of Zn dendrites, and the
361	associated side reactions such as hydrogen evolution.
362	The rate performance of $VO_2 \parallel$ bare Zn and $VO_2 \parallel$ NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE @Zn cells from 1
363	to 10 A/g is demonstrated in Fig. 5a. The results reveal that the specific capacity of $VO_2 \parallel$
364	NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE cell returned to the initial values after the cycling, showing appropriate
365	cycle stability and rate endurability. However, at 10 A/g the value of specific capacity of the
366	battery with coated Zn was slightly lower than that of the battery with bare Zn anode. The
367	slightly weaker performance of the VO <sub>2</sub> $\parallel$ NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE @Zn can be attributed to the
368	slower $Zn^{2+}$ ion transfer through the NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE protective layer. As a result, when
369	designing the thickness and formulation of hybrid coating suspension, a balance between cycling
370	lifespan and rate performance needs to be considered. Fig. 5b illustrates the Galvanostatic
371	Charge-Discharge (GCD) behavior of the VO2    NFC/Al(OH)3/PTFE @Zn cell across different
372	current densities. The enhanced performance exhibited by full batteries utilizing
373	NFC/Al(OH)3/PTFE @Zn anode are notably in line with the aforementioned observations, thus
374	reaffirming the efficacy of this strategies.

375	Fig. 5c illustrates the first three cycles of CV curves of VO <sub>2</sub> $\parallel$ NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE @Zn						
376	battery at a scan rate of 0.2 mV/s. The multi-step reversible insertion of $Zn^{2+}$ ions into the $VO_2$						
377	cathode led to the occurrence multiple anode and cathode peaks <sup>34</sup> . The overlapped CV curves						
378	indicate that coating the Zn anode with NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE led to a highly reversible reaction of						
379	the $VO_2$ cathode in the full cell battery. In order to evaluate the electrochemical reaction kinetics						
380	of the full cell battery with NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE @Zn, CV curves at 0.1, 0.2, and 0.5 mV/s were						
381	measured. As shown in Fig. 5d, two pairs of redox peaks can be observed, related to the redox						
382	reactions during charging/discharging process. The following equation was employed to						
383	investigate the relationship between peak currents (i) and scan rate ( $\vartheta$ ) <sup>34</sup> :						
384	$i = a \vartheta^b$						
201							
385	(2)						
386	where i represents the current, $\vartheta$ is the scan rate, and <b>a</b> and <b>b</b> are adjustable parameters. The b						
387	values of 0.5 and 1 show the reactions of diffusion control and capacitance control, respectively						
388	<sup>35</sup> . The log ( <i>i</i> ) versus log ( $\vartheta$ ) plot in Fig. 5e shows that the obtained <i>b</i> values corresponding to the						
389	peak 1 and peak 2 are 0.68 and 0.76, respectively, indicating that the electrochemical reactions						
390	responsible for these peaks are likely influenced by a combination of diffusion and capacitance						
391	effects Moreover as illustrated in Fig. 5e, the byslues of neak 3 and neak 4 are equal to 0.08						
	effects. Woreover, as mustrated in Fig. 5c, the 6 values of peak 5 and peak 4 are equal to 0.96						
392	and 0.99, respectively which indicates that the oxidation reactions are controlled by the						
392 393	and 0.99, respectively which indicates that the oxidation reactions are controlled by the capacitance reaction. It means that the rate of the reaction is determined by the charge transfer						
392 393 394	and 0.99, respectively which indicates that the oxidation reactions are controlled by the capacitance reaction. It means that the rate of the reaction is determined by the charge transfer kinetics at the electrode-electrolyte interface. In other words, the electrochemical process occurs						
<ol> <li>392</li> <li>393</li> <li>394</li> <li>395</li> </ol>	and 0.99, respectively which indicates that the oxidation reactions are controlled by the capacitance reaction. It means that the rate of the reaction is determined by the charge transfer kinetics at the electrode-electrolyte interface. In other words, the electrochemical process occurs quickly because electrons can easily transfer between the electrode and the electroactive species						
<ol> <li>392</li> <li>393</li> <li>394</li> <li>395</li> <li>396</li> </ol>	and 0.99, respectively which indicates that the oxidation reactions are controlled by the capacitance reaction. It means that the rate of the reaction is determined by the charge transfer kinetics at the electrode-electrolyte interface. In other words, the electrochemical process occurs quickly because electrons can easily transfer between the electrode and the electroactive species in the electrolyte <sup>36</sup> .						

397 Cycling tests at 50°C with a current density of 2.5 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> (0.5 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>) was conducted to 398 assess the performance of symmetric bare Zn and NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE@Zn batteries at higher 399 temperature. The results have been included in Fig. S8. The findings indicate that the 400 performance of bare Zn was unfavorable, with rapid short-circuit occurrences. However, the 401 application of the protective layer significantly improved the cycling life of the battery. Despite 402 the challenges posed by high temperatures, where increased kinetic energy and accelerated ion 403 transportation may expedite dendrite formation, the coated layer demonstrated enhanced battery 404 performance. 405 Table 1 presents a comparative analysis of the electrochemical performance of the batteries

prepared in this study in relation to recently published papers that investigated zinc-ion batteries
featuring various zinc protective layers. The findings in this table highlight that the utilization of
an NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE protective layer on the zinc anode, as implemented in this study,

409 demonstrated notable efficiency, particularly at elevated cycling numbers.



- 411 Fig. 5. Electrochemical performances of VO2||NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE @Zn batteries: (a) Rate
- 412 performance at the current densities of 1, 2, 5, 10, 5, 2, and 1 A/g, (b) Charge/discharge profiles
- 413 at various currents of the full cell with NFC/Al(OH)3/PTFE @Zn, (d) Cyclic voltammetry curve
- 414 at 0.2 mV s<sup>-1</sup> (c), cyclic voltammetry plots scanned at 0.1, 0.2, and 0.5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, and (e) log i
- 415 versus log scan rate plots of VO2||NFC/Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>/PTFE @Zn cells.

416 **Table 1.** Electrochemical properties of zinc-ion batteries featuring various protective layers,

417	investigated in the pres	ent study ar	nd some of re	cent publication	ons		
-	Protective layer	Cathode	CD (A/g)	Specific Capacity (mAh/g)	Cycles	Efficiency (%)	Ref.
	CNF/MXene	$VO_2$	2	357	500	93.3	19
	PAN	MnVO	0.5	255	500	~60	37
	PTFE/Porous Zn	MnV <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	1	289.2	500	~37	30
	LCNF/MXene	MnO <sub>2</sub>	1	175.4	1000	50	10
	NFC/Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> /PTFE	$VO_2$	2	406.2	3300	72	This study

#### 419 **4. Conclusion**

420 In this work, in-situ synthesis of Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> nanoparticle was carried out in the NFC 421 network. PTFE was added to the NFC/ Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> suspension to produce a hybrid protective layer 422 on the Zn anode surface, which regulates the zinc ion plating and stripping processes. The dense, 423 hydrophilic, and porous structure of the coated layer modulated the diffusion and transport of 424  $Zn^{2+}$  ions on the zinc anode through active water restriction /zinc-sieving and reduced the 425 corrosion and parasitic side reactions in the electrode/electrolyte interface. By taking advantage 426 of the high reversibility, Zn//Zn symmetric cells maintained steady charge-discharge processes 427 for more than 1000 hours at a current density of 1 mAcm<sup>-2</sup>. The full battery with a VO<sub>2</sub> cathode 428 showed excellent electrochemical performance, achieving high specific capacity (406.2 mAh/g at 429 2 A  $g^{-1}$ ), acceptable rate performance, and long cycling stability for more than 3300 cycles. The 430 proposed method and the detailed understanding of the mechanism presented in this study

- 431 represent a significant advancement in mitigating zinc dendrite formation, and can help inspire
- 432 further research and development in the field of zinc-metal anodes and other aqueous metal-ion
- 433 batteries.
- 434 Author information
- 435 Corresponding Authors
- 436 Corresponding emails: <u>wuqing@lsu.edu</u> and <u>wxu26@lsu.edu</u>

## 437 Author Contributions

- 438 The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval
- 439 to the final version of the manuscript.

## 440 **Conflicts of interest**

441 There are no conflicts to declare.

# 442 Acknowledgments

- 443 The authors acknowledge the financial support USDA Forest Service/US Endowment (21-
- 444 00157), Louisiana Board of Regents [LEQSF(2020-23)-RD-B-02] and National Science
- 445 Foundation (2120640).
- 446 **References**
- 447 1 A. Dutta, S. Mitra, M. Basak and T. Banerjee, Energy Storage, 2023, 5, e339.
- 448 2 K. Mensah-Darkwa, C. Zequine, P. K. Kahol and R. K. Gupta, Sustainability, 2019, 11,
  449 414.
- 450 3 R. K. Emmett and M. E. Roberts, J. Power Sources, 2021, 506, 230087.
- 451 4 W. Liu, M.-S. Song, B. Kong and Y. Cui, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1603436.

- 452 5 D. Larcher and J.-M. Tarascon, Nat. Chem., 2015, 7, 19–29.
- 453 6 Y. Lv, Y. Xiao, L. Ma, C. Zhi and S. Chen, Adv. Mater., 2022, 34, 2106409.
- 454 7 S. Zhang, N. Yu, S. Zeng, S. Zhou, M. Chen, J. Di and Q. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6,
  455 12237–12243.
- 456 8 H. Jia, Z. Wang, B. Tawiah, Y. Wang, C.-Y. Chan, B. Fei and F. Pan, Nano Energy, 2020,
  457 70, 104523.
- 458 9 Q. Yang, G. Liang, Y. Guo, Z. Liu, B. Yan, D. Wang, Z. Huang, X. Li, J. Fan and C. Zhi,
  459 Adv. Mater., 2019, 31, 1903778.
- 460 10 C. Liu, Z. Li, X. Zhang, W. Xu, W. Chen, K. Zhao, Y. Wang, S. Hong, Q. Wu, M. Li and
- 461 C. Mei, Adv. Sci., 2022, 9, 2202380.
- 462 11 Z. Cai, Y. Ou, J. Wang, R. Xiao, L. Fu, Z. Yuan, R. Zhan and Y. Sun, Energy Storage
  463 Mater., 2020, 27, 205–211.
- 464 12 C. Ma, X. Wang, W. Lu, C. Wang, H. Yue, G. Sun, D. Zhang and F. Du, Chem. Eng. J.,
  465 2022, 429, 132576.
- 466 13 J. Yan, M. Ye, Y. Zhang, Y. Tang and C. C. Li, Chem. Eng. J., 2022, 432, 134227.
- 467 14 W. Han, H. Lee, Y. Liu, Y. Kim, H. Chu, G. Liu and W. Yang, Chem. Eng. J., 2023, 452,
  468 139090.
- 469 15 Q. Song, J. Liang, S. Liu, Y. Zhang, J. Zhu and C. Zhu, Nano Res., 2022, 1–8.
- 470 16 W. Xu, K. Zhao, W. Huo, Y. Wang, G. Yao, X. Gu, H. Cheng, L. Mai, C. Hu and X. Wang,
  471 Nano Energy, 2019, 62, 275–281.
- 472 17 Z. Li, L. Ye, G. Zhou, W. Xu, K. Zhao, X. Zhang, S. Hong, T. Ma, M.-C. Li and C. Liu,
- 473 Chem. Eng. J., 2023, 457, 141160.

- 474 18 Y. Liang, D. Ma, N. Zhao, Y. Wang, M. Yang, J. Ruan, G. Yang, H. Mi, C. He and P.
- 475 Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2022, 32, 2112936.
- 476 19 W. Xu, X. Liao, W. Xu, K. Zhao, G. Yao and Q. Wu, Adv. Energy Mater., 2023, 2300283.
- 477 20 W. Zhou, M. Chen, Q. Tian, J. Chen, X. Xu and C.-P. Wong, Energy Storage Mater., 2022,
  478 44, 57–65.
- 479 21 M. Shayan, J. Gwon, M. S. Koo, D. Lee, A. Adhikari and Q. Wu, Cellulose, 2022, 29,
  480 9731–9751.
- 481 22 Q. Wu, C. Mei, J. Han, Y. Yue and X. Xu, J. For. Eng., 2018, 3, 1–9.
- 482 23 X. Wang, C. Yao, F. Wang and Z. Li, Small, 2017, 13, 1702240.
- 483 24 X. Yue, Q. Wang, K. Ao, J. Shi, X. Zhang, H. Zhao, K. Uyanga, Y. Yang and W. A.
  484 Daoud, J. Power Sources, 2023, 557, 232553.
- 485 25 J. Fu, H. Wang, P. Xiao, C. Zeng, Q. Sun and H. Li, Energy Storage Mater., 2022, 48, 191.
- 486 26 X. Du, Z. Zhang, W. Liu and Y. Deng, Nano Energy, 2017, 35, 299–320.
- 487 27 X. Zhang, J. Li, D. Liu, M. Liu, T. Zhou, K. Qi, L. Shi, Y. Zhu and Y. Qian, Energy
  488 Environ. Sci., 2021, 14, 3120–3129.
- 489 28 J. Si, R. Ma, Y. Wu, Y. Dong and K. Yao, J. Mater. Sci., 2022, 57, 8154–8166.
- 490 29 M. Goudarzi, D. Ghanbari, M. Salavati-Niasari and A. Ahmadi, J. Clust. Sci., 2016, 27, 25–
  491 38.
- W. Guo, X. Bai, Z. Cong, C. Pan, L. Wang, L. Li, C. Chang, W. Hu and X. Pu, ACS Appl.
  Mater. Interfaces, 2022, 14, 41988–41996.
- 494 31 J. Cui, J. Liu, C. He, J. Li and X. Wu, J. Membr. Sci., 2017, 541, 661–667.
- 495 32 M. Liu, L. Yang, H. Liu, A. Amine, Q. Zhao, Y. Song, J. Yang, K. Wang and F. Pan, ACS
- 496 Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 32046–32051.

- 497 33 A. Pei, G. Zheng, F. Shi, Y. Li and Y. Cui, Nano Lett., 2017, 17, 1132–1139.
- 498 34 Y. Fang, X. Xie, B. Zhang, Y. Chai, B. Lu, M. Liu, J. Zhou and S. Liang, Adv. Funct.
- 499 Mater., 2022, 32, 2109671.
- 500 35 Q. Pang, C. Sun, Y. Yu, K. Zhao, Z. Zhang, P. M. Voyles, G. Chen, Y. Wei and X. Wang,
- 501 Adv. Energy Mater., 2018, 8, 1800144.
- 502 36 G. Fang, C. Zhu, M. Chen, J. Zhou, B. Tang, X. Cao, X. Zheng, A. Pan and S. Liang, Adv.
  503 Funct. Mater., 2019, 29, 1808375.
- 504 37 P. Chen, X. Yuan, Y. Xia, Y. Zhang, L. Fu, L. Liu, N. Yu, Q. Huang, B. Wang, X. Hu, Y.
- 505 Wu and T. Van Ree, Adv. Sci., 2021, 8, 2100309.