# RSC Advances



# REVIEW

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 36816

# A timely update on  $q - C_3N_4$ -based photocatalysts towards the remediation of Cr(vi) in aqueous streams

Sambhu Prasad Pattnaik, Upali Aparajita Mohanty and Kulamani Parida <sup>1</sup>

Hexavalent chromium (Cr(vi)) is a prominent carcinogen. In environmental engineering, the elimination of hexavalent chromium from aqueous media is a noteworthy field of study. In this regard, nanoparticle science and technology have contributed significantly to the photocatalytic reduction of Cr(vI). In this review, a methodical search was undertaken to discover the most recent advancements in the field of photocatalytic reduction of Cr(vi) utilizing  $g-C_3N_4$  and composites derived from it. This paper deals with the advancements and applications of  $g-C_3N_4$  and its composites in the Cr(vi) remediation of waterborne pollutants. Different intriguing systems, suggested by various researcher groups, have been discussed. Different characterization techniques often conducted on photocatalysts based on  $q$ -C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> have also been highlighted so as to gain an understanding of the  $Cr(w)$  removal process. Lastly, the future scope of the  $q-C_3N_4$ -derived photocatalysts, present challenges, and the viability of employing these photocatalysts in an extensive treatment plant have been discussed. **EXAMPLE MEXANDE SET AND A SET AND A SET AND SET AND** 

Received 13th October 2024 Accepted 30th October 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra07350a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## Introduction

Aquatic heavy metal pollution in recent decades has remained challenging for the industrialized world. Effluents from steel production, electroplating and leather tanning industries carrying chromium-containing wastewater find their way into the water bodies. Among the various existing oxidation states of chromium, hexavalent chromium is the most toxic and wellknown for its carcinogenicity, teratogenicity, and mutagenicity.<sup>1</sup>–<sup>3</sup> In the list of various toxic substances compiled by the ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry) of the United States Department of Health and Human Services,  $Cr(v)$  occupies a significant place at 17th.<sup>4</sup> If  $Cr(v)$ comes in contact with blood, it has the potential to form a stable Cr-hemoglobin complex and can stay in the bloodstream for about 120 days,<sup>5,6</sup> impacting health. Because of its toxicity, the threshold value of  $Cr(v)$  concentration in wastewater prior to discharge outside the plant boundary is fixed below 0.05 mg  $L^{-1}$ by regulatory authorities in many countries.<sup>7</sup> This statutory requirement necessitates the treatment of wastewater until the limit of Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) concentration is maintained below 0.05 mg L<sup>-1</sup> before releasing it to the water bodies.  $Cr(v)$  is not biodegradable, and therefore, the necessity for its degradation is quite thought-provoking from an environmental point of view. Various methods have been investigated regarding the

eradication of Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) present in wastewater, with the preferred method being adsorption. However, the ability of various adsorbents is hindered by their limited adsorption and many more challenges, like the cost associated with chemicals and release of secondary pollutants. Currently, there is a shift of attention towards an eco-friendly method for reducing hexavalent chromium via the utilization of semiconducting photocatalysts. The graph presented in Fig. 1 displays the number of research papers published in recent years in the arena of photocatalytic hexavalent chromium reductions.



Fig. 1 Schematic showing the number of published articles on photocatalytic chromium(vi) reduction in the last twenty-four years.

Centre for Nano Science and Nano Technology, Institute of Technical Education and Research, Siksha 'O' Anusandhan (Deemed to be University), Bhubaneswar, 751030, India. E-mail: kulamaniparida@soa.ac.in; sp1pattnaik@gmail.com; Tel: +919776645909; +919437647766

Photocatalysts that convert renewable solar energy into chemical energy are widely used in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction,  $H<sub>2</sub>$  generation, nitrogen fixation, chromium reduction,<sup>8-12</sup> organic compound degradation and sterilization of potable water.<sup>13</sup>  $Cr(v)$  reduction through photocatalysis has garnered profound attention as photocatalysis employs sunlight as the energy source and abundant  $H_2O$  and  $O_2$  as raw materials without generating any harmful by-products. Prior studies have extensively demonstrated profuse photocatalytic semiconductor materials in terms of Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) reductions such as  $(TiO_2, g-C_3N_4$  and other photocatalysts). Among the extensively studied and popular photocatalysts towards  $Cr(w)$  remediation, it is found that while  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  offers more energetic UV-driven photocatalysis along with high catalyst surface area, it suffers from demerits like wide bandgaps, low absorption of visible-light spectrum and significant charge carrier recombination. Similarly, another photocatalyst that works well with both UV and visible radiation is ZnO, with the limitation of charge carrier recombination and surface defects affecting performance.  $Ag_3PO_4$  exhibits high photocatalytic efficiency but is prone to photo corrosion and impaired by its limited visible light absorption.  $Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub>$  shows good activity for  $Cr(v)$  reduction but has issues like low visible light absorption and possible reactive catalyst surfaces. CdS, as an effective visible-light photocatalyst, demonstrated low visible-light absorption, higher electron–hole recombination and toxicity concerns. On the other hand, versatile  $g - C_3N_4$ demonstrated unique selectivity, visible-light absorption and no toxicity issues. Some demerits remain with the pristine material, like low specific surface area, low quantum efficiency and charge carrier recombination, all of which could be taken care of with appropriate strategies like compositing with other materials. Compared to other photocatalysts,  $g - C_3N_4$  has merits in terms of photon wavelengths of approximately 460 nm conforming to its bandgap energy, making it well matched to visible light response, well represented in Fig. 2. Review Protocotalysis that convert renewable solar energy into the monotone of the monotone of

In addition, its grid structure containing heptazine and striazine rings with delocalised  $\pi$  electrons is favourable for electron and hole transport.  $g - C_3N_4$ , being an organic semiconductor, has room for easy modification and alteration of energy levels by incorporating heteroatoms. Since the discovery of g-C3N4's capability to catalyse water decomposition in 2009 by Wang and coworkers,<sup>15</sup> it has grabbed wide attention for its



Fig. 3 Schematic illustration of the content of the review article.

excellent photocatalytic efficiencies. As a result,  $g - C_3N_4$ -derived composites have found substantial application in photocatalysis, including chromium $(v<sub>l</sub>)$  reduction.

So far as  $Cr(v)$  reduction in aqueous media by photocatalysis is concerned, there exists space for improvement in targeted chromium reduction efficiency essential for real-world applications, and consistent efforts need to be dedicated to the modification and development of PC. As the assessment of g- $C_3N_4$  continues to advance in a fast-paced manner, inclusive reviews and surveys are vital for staying abreast of the newest improvements across various aspects of this material, indispensable for the future. In favour of this, there is a demand for a timely and comprehensive review concerning the latest advances of  $g - C_3N_4$  functionalization strategies towards photocatalytic reduction of Cr(v<sub>I</sub>). Herein, a run-through on  $g - C_3N_4$ modified photocatalytic nano-heterostructures for the photocatalytic  $Cr(v)$  reduction is well served. The review presents insights into the potential toxicity of  $Cr(v)$  invasion in wastewater and follows it with the impressive photocatalytic properties of  $g - C_3N_4$  and various modifications for dealing with critical edge chromium(vi) reduction. Furthermore, this review intends to provide the know-how in the area of  $g - C_3N_4$  modification



Fig. 2 Schematic exhibiting the maximum wavelength of light absorption of  $g - C_3N_4$ . Reproduced with permission from ref. 14, Copyright 2023, Elsevier.

strategies and motivate the researchers to attempt new alteration routes for  $g - C_3N_4$  for efficient photoreduction of Cr(v<sub>I</sub>). The schematic representation of the content of the review is presented in Fig. 3.

# Principle of photocatalytic Cr(vi) reduction

### Exposure to humans and health issues linked to  $Cr(v)$

Hexavalent chromium has been considered to be in a heightened toxic oxidation state compared to its trivalent counterpart, owing primarily to the excellent capability of easy cellular membrane permeability.<sup>16</sup> In this case, two oxyanions are predominantly formed in water in the presence of protons, CrO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and CrO<sub>7</sub><sup>2-</sup>, which undergo reversible reactions [eqn  $(1)$ ].

$$
2CrO_4^{2-} + 2H_3O^+ \leftrightarrow CrO_7^{2-} + 3H_2O \tag{1}
$$

 $Cr(v)$  seamlessly undergoes reduction to the  $Cr(m)$  state just after entering the cell membrane, which leads to complex formation with intracellular macromolecules, including genetic materials.<sup>17</sup> Cr( $vi$ ) easily permeates through the cell membranes by forming free radicals, which cause DNA alterations and can subsequently lead to birth defects and reduced reproductive health (Chen et al., 2019).<sup>18</sup> The intracellular Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) reduction can interact with DNA, producing genotoxic effects, altered immunological responses, and interrupted signalling pathways.<sup>19</sup> Additionally,  $Cr(v)$  is recognised as a deadly carcinogen and teratogen causing skin abnormalities.<sup>20</sup> Potential threats to plants are also caused by the presence of  $Cr(v)$ , which interferes in the process of photosynthesis by decreasing nutrient uptake.<sup>21</sup> Various biochemical processes are also affected, generating reactive oxygen species in plant tissues and causing toxicity like chlorosis and necrosis.<sup>22</sup>

#### Kinetics of the  $Cr(v)$  reduction process

Investigating the kinetics governing the reaction mechanism during the degradation of contaminants helps in our understanding of pollution abetment. The photocatalytic  $Cr(v)$ reduction reaction invariably starts with the adsorption of  $Cr(v)$ on the photocatalyst (PC) surface, after which it undergoes photoreduction. In order to comprehend the photocatalytic efficiency of  $Cr(v)$  reduction, the frequently employed method is the Langmuir Hinshelwood pseudo 1st order model. The adsorption rate as a function of different factors is presented in [eqn (2)].

$$
R = K'\theta = -\frac{\mathrm{d}c}{\mathrm{d}r} = k\left(\frac{kc}{1+kc}\right) \tag{2}
$$

Here,  $R$  represents the rate of reduction,  $k$  represents the reduction rate constant, c represents the concentration of the contaminants,  $K^{'}$  is the adsorption coefficient and  $\theta$  represents the reactant site coverage. Additionally, for the lower concentration solution, the L–H model follows pseudo 1st order rate kinetics by eqn (3) and (4).

$$
-\frac{\mathrm{d}C}{\mathrm{d}t} = -K_1 C \tag{3}
$$

$$
\ln\frac{C}{C_0} = -K_1 t \tag{4}
$$

 $K_1$  is the pseudo-first-order rate constant,  $t$  represents the time of reaction, and  $C$  and  $C_0$  are the final and initial concentrations of the contaminant, in that order.

When light falls on a semiconducting material, it absorbs light and if the energy of the absorbed photon is more than the semiconductor bandgap, then the photocatalytic mechanism is initiated. Consequently, electrons in the semiconductor's valence band get excited to its conduction band, creating holes in the valence band. The photocatalytic reduction of  $Cr(v)$  is caused by photoinduced electrons on the conduction band  $(C_B)$ of the semiconductor. The feasibility of  $Cr(v)$  reduction is dictated by a condition that the conduction band edge has to be at a higher negative potential than the  $Cr(w)/Cr(m)/s$  redox potential. This requirement is normally fulfilled by the chosen semiconductor. As  $Cr(m)/Cr(0)$  demonstrates a higher negative redox potential, the reduction of  $Cr(v)$  to the metallic state is not possible, i.e.,  $(Cr(0))$  by photocatalysis. The photogenerated electrons may move directly to the adsorbed  $Cr(v)$  or to the photocatalyst's surface boundary. The movement of electrons from  $C_B$  to Cr(vI) can happen in two possible ways.<sup>23,24</sup> It is possible that  $Cr(v)$  could be directly reduced to  $Cr(m)$  by a single-step transfer involving three electrons from the  $C_B$  of the photocatalyst as per eqn (5). This mechanism appears to be more probable when there are hole scavenger molecules available in the medium, enhancing the charge separation of electrons and holes and leading to more electrons being available for the reaction.<sup>25</sup> On the other hand,  $Cr(v)$  is photoreduced through three sequential single electron transfers and obviously a very slow process as per eqn (6), producing intermediates of  $Cr(v)$  and  $Cr(w)$ .<sup>26,27</sup> As seen in eqn (5), the photoreduction of  $Cr(v)$  utilizes H<sup>+</sup> ions, preferring an acidic medium. The possibility of unwanted reverse reactions, *i.e.*,  $Cr(m)$  oxidizing to  $Cr(v)$  by oxidative positive holes and reactive oxidative species (ROS) on the surface of the photocatalyst, cannot be ruled out as per eqn (7). It is always preferred to add hole scavenger molecules in the aqueous medium so that they can react with oxidative species like positive holes and ROSs.<sup>28</sup> The effectiveness of the photocatalytic reduction process depends on the properties of hole scavenger molecules used.<sup>29,30</sup> **PSC** Advances Articles. The researches to attempt new alter-<br>
The normal of  $\frac{1}{2}C$  = -K. (1)<br>
This normal of the common of the commo

$$
Cr_2O_7^{2-} + 14H^+ + 6e^- \rightarrow 2Cr^{3+} + 7H_2O
$$
 (5)

$$
Cr(vI) + e^- \rightarrow Cr(v) + e^- \rightarrow Cr(v) + e^- \rightarrow Cr(u) \tag{6}
$$

$$
Cr(\text{III}) + ROSS \rightarrow Cr(\text{VI}) \tag{7}
$$

$$
O_2 + e^- \rightarrow *O_2 \tag{8}
$$

$$
^*O_2^- + Cr(vI) \rightarrow Cr(v) + O_2 \tag{9}
$$

$$
HCrO4- + 7H+ + 3e- = Cr3+ + 4H2O
$$
 (10)



Fig. 4 Schematic showing the photochemical chromium $(v_i)$  reduction process

$$
CrO42- + 4H2O + 3e- = Cr(OH)3 + 5OH-
$$
 (11)

In a pure aqueous medium, free of hole scavenger molecules, the photogenerated \*O $_2^-$  on the C<sub>B</sub> can go for the reduction of  $Cr(v)$  to  $Cr(v)$  in eqn  $(8)-(11)$ .<sup>31,32</sup> However, in real-life situations, chrome-laden aqueous streams do contain organic contaminants that work as hole scavengers. A pictorial illustration of the photocatalytic reduction of hexavalent chromium procedures using semiconducting photocatalysis is displayed in Fig. 4.

### Influence of reaction parameters

Factors influencing  $Cr(v)$  photocatalytic reduction include types of light sources and intensities thereof, presence of hole scavengers, initial Cr(vI) concentration, pH of the medium and catalyst dosage. The source of light governs the light intensity and frequencies of emitted radiations, i.e., the quality and quantities of photons released. The photon should be capable of knocking off electrons in the  $V_B$  of the semiconductor PC to its conduction band. The more energetic the photon, the better it is. Photons with lesser energy than PC's band gap are of no use. Similarly, a higher number of useful photons from intense beams commits a higher number of photoinduced electrons for participation in photocatalysis.

While electrons in the  $C_B$  participated in photocatalysis to provide meaningful reaction products, at the same time, holes, a highly oxidising species in the  $V_B$ , remain in the absence of a scavenger. In order to drive the reaction forward unhindered, the holes need to be consumed in the overall reaction or scavenged out by using a hole scavenger, failing which will hinder the overall photocatalytic process. Thus, we can see that the hole scavenger impacts the photocatalytic process considerably. The initial Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) concentration denoted by  $C_0$  is a critical parameter. The photocatalytic reaction efficiency depends on it when other conditions remain unaltered. At lower  $C_0$ , the PC effect is more pronounced. The solution pH is a crucial factor for  $Cr(w)$  reduction as the reaction is facilitated by lower pH. The PC concentration impacts the photocatalytic reaction. For

a given photocatalyst, say in this case,  $g - C_3N_4$  composite and initial chromium concentration of  $C_0$ , the catalyst dose requires to be optimised for a given light source of particular intensity as more catalyst dosage beyond a certain limit (to be determined experimentally) may cause decrease in the photocatalytic degradation rate due to increase in the turbidity of the reaction medium and consequent decrease in light intensity in the reaction medium, which in turn causes lower light absorption by the photocatalyst.

The presence of organic materials in rejected water streams plays a crucial role in the photocatalytic degradation of  $Cr(v)$ . In fact, the  $Cr(v)$  bearing wastewater streams, in reality, contain organic matter as well. At times, these materials are oxidized on the catalyst surface, consuming photogenerated holes and acting as a scavenger for holes that help boost the photocatalytic degradation of  $Cr(w)$ . That is why many research publications describe  $Cr(v)$  photocatalytic reduction and simultaneous oxidation of the organic matter together.

### Solution pH and its impact

The pH of the aqueous medium is a very important aspect in deciding the rate of the photocatalytic reduction of  $Cr(v)$  as  $H^+$ ions participate in the overall reaction process. Due to electrostatic interactions, the protons also help pull the photoinduced electrons from the  $C_B$  of PC to the media containing Cr(v<sub>I</sub>). Hence, an acidic medium always favours the photocatalytic reduction of  $Cr(v)$  and is almost negligible at an alkaline pH.<sup>29,33</sup>

# Physicochemical propertied of  $g - C_3N_4$

Over the years,  $g - C_3N_4$ , owing to its 2-D  $\pi$  conjugated polymeric, narrow band gap, and metal-free semiconductor characteristics, has emerged as an eye-catching material for various applications in the fields of sensors, supercapacitors, adsorptions and photocatalytic redox reactions. The physicochemical characteristics of  $g - C_3N_4$  play a pivotal in the photocatalysis avenue, while another essential property of  $g - C_3N_4$  is stability.  $g - C_3N_4$ ,

with significant thermal stability, allows this material to be utilized in high-temperature reactions. In addition to its thermal stability up to 600 °C,  $g-C_3N_4$  also possesses good chemical stability across a varied pH range, i.e., immune to acids and alkalis.<sup>34,35</sup> The higher negative displacements of zeta potential provide better stability in aqueous solutions debarring agglomerations. The easy-to-exfoliate layered structure of g- $C_3N_4$ , along with various functional amino and imino groups, enhances its dispersibility, providing enhanced active sites for interactions during the reactions. Among a plethora of sustainable next-gen photocatalysts, nanostructured  $g - C_3N_4$ occupies a prominent place. This polymeric, metal-free photocatalyst has a graphitic planar structure consisting of conjugated tris-s-triazine rings in abundance. Its structure provides sufficient  $\pi$  bonded electrons excitable on absorbing visible radiation. Due to this, it exhibits sensitivity to the visible range of solar light thanks to its visible light-driven band gap and appropriate band edges.<sup>36–38</sup> g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> is easy to prepare at low cost from precursors rich in earth-abundant materials like nitrogen and carbon. It can be prepared from precursors rich in contents of carbon and nitrogen, such as urea,<sup>39-44</sup> melamine<sup>45-55</sup>, cyanamide,<sup>56-59</sup> dicyandiamide,<sup>60-63</sup> ammonium thiocyanate,<sup>64</sup> and thiourea.<sup>65–67</sup> As the band gap of  $g - C_3N_4$  is 2.7 eV, it can effectively absorb visible radiation of less than 450 nm wavelength, implying future prospects in solar energy utilization applications. Besides these, it is nontoxic in nature eliminating the need of handling issues. The photocatalyst is easy to separate and amenable to recycle and reuse. RSC Advances<br>
united in high-turn-pure cluster and the material on the compact of the case of the cas

In spite of the advantages of  $g - C_3N_4$  as a photocatalyst, certain inherent bottlenecks<sup>68</sup> hinder the performance of pristine  $g - C_3N_4$  towards practical use to date. This is partly because standard synthesis methods of thermal poly-condensation of precursors produce relatively thick materials consisting of stacked s-triazine layers. The stacking of s-triazine layers creates bulk  $g - C_3N_4$  materials with low specific surface areas. Lower SSA leads to fewer available reaction sites, impeding the photocatalytic reaction kinetics. Other bottlenecks in the photocatalytic activity of  $g - C_3N_4$  include different factors, such as fast photogenerated charge carrier recombination,<sup>69</sup> poor crystallinity and surface defects. Researchers, to this day, have been working on optimizing synthesis techniques of  $g - C_3N_4$  to modify its physicochemical properties and photocatalytic efficiency. The design and fabrication of  $g - C_3N_4$  composites of suitable band structure could improve the charge separation efficacy, thereby enhancing the photocatalytic performance. Hence, the majority of work in this field of research is devoted to the construction of suitable  $g-C_3N_4$  derived composites with suitable band structure, increased porosity and surface area, enhancing its overall photocatalytic performance. A summarized representation of all the properties of  $g - C_3N_4$  is given in Fig. 5.

Exfoliation of  $g - C_3N_4$  is carried out to obtain nanosheets, which improve the SSA. The high energy conversion efficiency of  $g - C_3N_4$  as a photocatalyst may be achieved by stimulating the efficient parting and migration of photoinduced charge carriers, expanding the spectral response range and increasing its specific surface area. All of these shortcomings of  $g-C_3N_4$ 



Fig. 5 Schematic displaying the properties of  $g - C_3N_4$ .

could be alleviated to some extent by doping foreign materials into the  $g - C_3N_4$  matrix using copolymerization and other techniques to change the electronic and band arrangement of g- $C_3N_4$ . The heterojunctions made on  $g-C_3N_4$  are an outcome of effective strategies $70,71$  to design and fabricate amalgamated photocatalysts. The  $g-C_3N_4$  heterostructures distinctly enhance the photocatalytic performance of  $g - C_3N_4$  by improving the parting and transfer of photoinduced charges, broadening the light absorption range, and widening redox potentials, attributable to the existence of both built-in electric fields at the g- $C_3N_4$  interface and other material-making composites and the complementarity between the  $g - C_3N_4$  electronic structure and that of the constituent material. Doping with impurities such as metals, nonmetals or nanoparticles also helps lower the recombination efficiency of photoinduced e<sup>-</sup>–h<sup>+</sup> pairs.

# Modification of  $g - C_3N_4$  for promoting Cr(VI) reduction

### Heterojunction formation

The  $g - C_3N_4$  heterojunction catalyst is synthesized by combining  $g-C_3N_4$  layers with layers of other materials like semiconductors so that an interface between the two layers may be created. The  $g-C_3N_4$  heterojunction is always beneficial compared to pristine  $g - C_3N_4$  in photocatalytic applications. The heterojunction reduces the recombination of charge carriers on the interface and thereby improves the photocatalytic activity of a PC.

Nguyen et al. reported<sup>72</sup> that the  $g - C_3N_4$  and n-p type ZnO/ BiOBr heterojunction resembling a flower was synthesized using a hydrothermal method, with the potential for  $Cr(v)$ removal in aqueous media. They determined the phase structures and catalyst purity from the XRD patterns of pristine ZnO, pristine BiOBr, pristine  $g - C_3N_4$ , and the BiOBr/ZnO heterojunction, as shown in Fig. 6a. The optimal PC with catalyst



Fig. 6 (a) XRD analysis of ZnO, BiOBr, BiOBr/ZnO and g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> samples. (b) Schematic depicting the energy band structure of PCs after the formation of the ZnO/BiOBr heterojunction and probable mechanism of photocatalysis. Reproduced with permission from ref. 72 Copyright 2023, Elsevier. (c) Photocurrent response of pristine g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, and 2% g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (d) photocatalytic efficacy of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, and 2% g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>: reduction of Cr(vi) exposed to visible radiation. Reproduced with permission from ref. 73, Copyright 2022, Avanti Publishers. (e) Schematic of the Ag<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> composite with S-scheme heterojunction (f) transient photocurrent responses (g) time-resolved PL decay spectra reproduced with permission from ref. 74 Copyright 2022, FJIRSM, CAS, Fuzhou (h) Cr(vi) reduction rate over the prepared photocatalysts, (i) EIS curves for the fabricated photocatalysts Reproduced with permission from ref. 75 Copyright 2022, Springer Nature.

amounts of 0.05 g g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and 0.4 g ZnO/BiOBr-2 (molar ratio Bi/  $Zn = 2$ ) were seen to accomplish 96% of Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) elimination in 180 min with measured rate constant =  $0.0105$  min<sup>-1</sup> under simulated UV light. The experiments were conducted with a  $C_0$  $= 10$  mg L<sup>-1</sup> of Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) with a pH value of 2. The PC could be reused without loss of efficiency for four consecutive cycles, indicating its good photocatalytic stability. The improvement in performance was attributed to fewer recombining charge carriers at the boundary and a rise in the range of absorption of UV light. The well-presented schematic illustration of the reaction mechanism is presented in Fig. 6b.

Ding et al. synthesized  $Bi_2S_3@g-C_3N_4$  Z-scheme heterojunctions<sup>73</sup> using the hydrothermal method. The optimal PC of 2% g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> could reduce 10 mg L<sup>-1</sup> Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) solution to the tune of 93.4% in 120 min under simulated solar radiation, as displayed in Fig. 6c. The improved photocatalytic activity of PC was ascribed to a better segregation and movement of the

charge carriers, and its optimum band structure helped increase the range of light absorption.

The current trend of PC exposed to visible light reveals the separation efficiency of photoinduced charge carriers. The photocurrent responses of pristine  $g-C_3N_4$ ,  $Bi_2S_3$ , and 2%  $g C_3N_4/Bi_2S_3$  are shown in Fig. 6d, where the photocurrent rises as mentioned: pristine  $g-C_3N_4 < Bi_2S_3 < 2\% g-C_3N_4/Bi_2S_3$ , of which the photocurrent of optimal PC 2% g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> is considerably more than that of pure  $g - C_3N_4$  and  $Bi_2S_3$ . It was shown that the composite of  $g - C_3N_4$  and  $Bi_2S_3$  radically improved the separation rate of photoinduced charge carriers, leading to the enhancement of the photocatalytic redox rate of  $g - C_3N_4/Bi_2S_3$ composites.

Yang et al. created an  $\text{Ag}_3\text{PO}_4/\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$  heterojunction composite<sup>74</sup> by coupling Ag<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> particles with g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> hollow spheres via an in situ precipitation method. The S-scheme heterojunction amidst  $Ag_3PO_4$  and  $g-C_3N_4$  could hasten the charge segregation and improve the photoreduction ability, as can be predicted from the transient photo current response shown in Fig. 6e. The highest transient photocurrent response came from optimized PC APCN5, implying the best expected photocatalytic response, as displayed in Fig. 6e. Time-resolved PL decay spectra in Fig. 6f also corroborated the expectation from PC as the extended fluorescence lifetime of APCN5 implied boosted disassociation of photogenerated e<sup>-</sup>–h<sup>+</sup> pairs. The said g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> hollow sphere structure could accommodate a higher number of active sites in the photocatalytic process, resulting in an 87.9% reduction of a 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup> initial Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) solution in 75 min under visible light using the optimized composite denoted as APCN5 having 5% carbon spheres.

Mousavi et al. fabricated a heterojunction<sup>75</sup> in which ZnMoO<sub>4</sub> was loaded on  $g-C_3N_4$  nanosheets by a calcininghydrothermal method and the resultant composite was shown to reduce Cr(v1) in 120 min with the rate constant of 284  $\mathrm{min}^{-1},$ as presented in Fig. 6g. The EIS measurements revealed the separation efficiency and interfacial charge transfer resistance of PCs (Fig. 6h). The Nyquist plot of  $g-C_3N_4$ -NS/ZnMoO<sub>4</sub> possessed the smallest semicircle, implying the fastest movement of charge pairs, least recombination and predicted capability of more photoactivity for  $g - C_3N_4 - NS/ZnMoO_4$ . The improved overall photocatalytic ability was credited to the

boosted visible-radiation absorbance and low rate of charge recombination. The PC could be used for four consecutive cycles.

Bankole et al. prepared Ag<sub>2</sub>O-Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> p-n/n-n dual heterojunctions denoted by AAG.<sup>76</sup> AAG utilized the mediation of atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and could achieve >99.5% reduction of 10 ppm Cr(vi) solution at a catalyst concentration of 0.1 g L<sup>-1</sup> in the presence of oxalic acid within 30 min irradiation to visible light, as shown in Fig. 7a. Upon exposure to visible light, electrons are evicted from the  $V_B$  of the semiconductors (Ag included) and transferred to their respective  $C_B$  edges, and simultaneously, the holes with positive charges are created at the  $V_B$  edges. It is obvious from the band structures that the photoinduced electrons moved from high negative  $C_B$  edges of  $p$ -Ag<sub>2</sub>O, n-g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and Ag to the least negative C<sub>B</sub> of n-Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The highly reductive electrons accumulated on the  $C_B$  of n-Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and were captured by HCrO<sub>4</sub><sup> $-1$ </sup> (at lower pH values of  $O(A)$  and reduced to  $Cr(m)$ . Oxalic acid in the reaction medium used up the accumulated holes and hydroxyl radicals at the  $V_B$ of p-Ag2O to generate highly reductive anion radicals of  ${^{\circ}}\mathrm{CO_2}^{-},$  stopping the chances of the recombination of e $^{-}/\mathrm{h}^{+}$  pairs and also reduced  $Cr(w)$  to  $Cr(m)$  augmenting the overall



Fig. 7 (a) Photocatalytic Cr(vi) reduction to Cr(III) using AAG with OA, AA with OA,  $q$ -C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> with OA, OA and without catalyst and photolysis only (b) energy band structures of the AAG (n-Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, p-Ag<sub>2</sub>O and n-g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>) composite after band alignments to form p-n/n-n dual heterostructures for Cr(VI) photocatalytic reduction. Reproduced with permission from ref. 76. Copyright 2022, Elsevier (c) XPS spectra of Cr 2p on CCG-20 after reduction reaction, (d) EIS spectra of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/CoS<sub>2</sub> and CCG-20 and the inset exhibits the high-resolution EIS plots for g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/ CoS<sub>2</sub> and CCG-20 (e) schematic depicting the charge transfer process in CCG-20 and Cr(vi) photocatalytic reduction. Reproduced with permission from ref. 77. Copyright 2020, Elsevier.

photocatalytic process, as shown in Fig. 7b. The PC could be used for five consecutive cycles.

Wang et al. fabricated the  $\text{CoS}_2/\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$  heterostructure junction backed by rGO through a one-pot solvothermal method.<sup>77</sup> The improved photocatalysts could affect >99.8% removal efficiency for 20 mg  $L^{-1}$  Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) and a PC concentration of 500 mg  $L^{-1}$  under 120 min at low pH of 2 and still exhibit greater than 98% reduction efficiency, following five cycles in the same state. From the EIS plots, it is obvious that the charge transfer efficiency of the ternary nanocomposite  $\cos_2/g \cdot C_3N_4$ rGO could be the best, indicating its excellent photocatalytic performance presented in Fig. 7c. The increased photocatalytic activity of the  $\cos_2/g$ -C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-rGO ternary nanocomposite could be attributed to the increased utilization of visible light because of the coupling effect in the hybrid nanocomposite and increased SSA with additional exposed active sites of  $\cos_2$  scattered across rGO and the formed heterojunction of  $\cos_2/g \cdot C_3N_4$  along with the improved separation of photogenerated charge carriers. The  $e^-$  and superoxide radicals  $({^1O_2}^-)$  were probable primary dynamic species during the reduction of  $Cr(v)$  (Fig. 7d). Highresolution XPS spectra of  $Cr(m)$  2p on the optimised photocatalyst surface CCG-20 after completion of the reduction reaction indicated Cr(vi) reduction to Cr( $m$ ) (Fig. 7e). Review Waterstein Photocalableic process, as shown in Fig. 7b. The Procedure on the photocalaple of every consider as a most one with the photocalaple of the creative proposition of the creative proposition of the creativ

Reddy et al. synthesized  $g-C_3N_4$  operationalized yttriumdoped ZrO<sub>2</sub> hybrid heterostructured (g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>YZr) nanoparticles<sup>78</sup> for photocatalytic Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) reduction. When  $g - C_3N_4$  was doped with a small amount of  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>$ , the light adsorption ability was markedly improved because of the thin band gap. The unique arrangement of  $g - C_3N_4YZr$  displayed a better reduction of photocatalytic Cr(VI) because of its large surface area, lowered charge carrier recombination rate and revealed better photocatalytic activity after being exposed to sunlight for 90 minutes. The PC could withstand four repeatability tests, signifying its structural stability.

Mishra et al.<sup>79</sup> fabricated  $Bi_4O_5I_2/g$ -C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, p-n type direct Zscheme heterojunction photocatalysts (BOCNs) with hierarchical 3D/2D architectures by a two-step solvothermalcalcination method. The optimised photocatalyst designated as BOCN3 exhibited Cr( $v<sub>I</sub>$ ) removal efficiency of 90.3% at a pH of 2.2 within 60 min, catalyst dose 0.4 g L<sup>-1</sup> and an initial Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) concentration of 40 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. The photocatalyst could be tested up to the fifth successive cycle without much change in photocatalytic activity, which indicated its improved stability and reusability.

Zhao et al.<sup>80</sup> synthesized the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/C/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> photocatalyst by securing  $g - C_3N_4$  nanosheets onto  $C/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  prepared using collagen fiber from biochar. The PC displayed improved Cr(vI) removal efficiency than pristine  $g-C_3N_4$ , and with the rise of Fe quantity in the  $g - C_3N_4/C/Fe_2O_3$  photocatalyst,  $Cr(w)$  reduction efficiency increased. The improved photocatalytic activity was credited to the indirect Z-scheme heterojunction formed amongst  $g-C_3N_4$  and  $C/Fe_2O_3$  that improved the separation efficiency of the light-induced charge carriers. The lightgenerated electrons (e−) were found to be the driving force for Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) removal.

Huo et al. fabricated the  $g - C_3N_4/BiFeO_3/carbon$  nanotube ternary composite<sup>81</sup> using a hydrothermal synthesis method.

When the  $g - C_3N_4/BiFeO_3/CNT$  composite was utilized as a PC, the photocatalytic performance improved substantially by reducing the rate of recombination of  $e^-/h^+$  pairs throughout the photocatalytic reduction reaction of  $Cr(v)$ . The extra CNTs served as conduits to speed up the electron transfer procedure. Because of the high surface area, there were more active sites, which improved the photocatalytic activity. The work's findings may offer a workable foundation for treating wastewater that includes heavy metals and organic contaminants. A 93% reduction efficiency could be achieved in the case of a 5 mg  $L^{-1}$ of Cr(VI) solution in 5 h under visible irradiation.

Niu et al. fabricated  $Ag_{32}NCs/g-C_3N_4$  and  $Ag_9NCs/g-C_3N_4$  and hybrid nanocomposites<sup>82</sup> by loading  $Ag_{32}(MPG)_{19}$  and  $Ag_9$ . H2MSA)<sub>7</sub> nanoclusters (NCs) onto  $g-C_3N_4$  utilizing a basic penetration technique to form  $Ag_9$ -NCs/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and  $Ag_{32}$ NCs/g- $C_3N_4$  hybrid nanocomposites. These photocatalysts could reduce 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup> 100% Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) solution after 30 min and 50 min respectively under exposure to visible light. The PCs were stable enough to be recycled five times.

Chen et al. fabricated 3D  $g-C_3N_4$ @cellulose aerogels improved by cross-linked polyester fibers.<sup>83</sup> Cellulose aerogels (CAs) with high permeabilities and SSA were used as a carrier for  $g-C_3N_4$ . As CA does not have sufficient strength and is susceptible to damage under the application of a slight force, particularly in water; therefore, the same was reinforced by blended polyester fibers (B-PET). In this case, CA with  $g-C_3N_4$  nanosheet was supported by blended polyester fibers (B-PET), which increased the tensile strength of pure CA. 200 mg of PC could reduce Cr(v1) (2  $\times$  10<sup>-4</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup>) to the extent of 91% in 120 min under sunlight.

#### Morphological modification

The morphology of nanostructured  $g-C_3N_4$  and its derivative is an important parameter from the photocatalytic standpoint. Morphology control of the  $g-C_3N_4$  nanostructure appears to be a good idea as nanostructured  $g - C_3N_4$  shows increased photocatalytic performance than bulk  $g-C_3N_4$ . Morphology alone can make a big difference to the photocatalytic activity of  $g - C_3N_4$ and compounds derived from  $g - C_3N_4$  as it controls the available redox reaction sites on the PC surface.  $g - C_3N_4$  provides twodimensional (2D) sheets on exfoliation, which is comprised of tri-s-triazine structures mutually connected via tertiary amines; other nanostructures like nanotubes and nanoflowers are also widely studied. Because of these types of structures, it is possible to fabricate  $g-C_3N_4$ -based hybrid nanocomposites by joining  $g - C_3N_4$  with other components. The nanocomposites based on g- $C_3N_4$  have clear advantages over the pure g- $C_3N_4$ , such as outsized surface area, more separation time, more efficiency of transportation and adequate visible light absorption. The increase in the SSA and other characteristics improves the photocatalytic ability of PC by making available more surface area to adsorb the reactants, lower charge recombination rate and the consequent higher photoreduction of Cr(vI).

Yu et al. synthesized CA-g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, i.e., petal-like g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> embedded with citric acid (CA).<sup>84</sup> This treatment with CA changed the morphology and structure of the composite photocatalyst, enabling a more porous microstructure with a higher specific surface area and larger pore size, resulting in a rise in the number of active sites on its surface. The region of interaction between the photocatalyst and  $Cr(v)$  was improved, causing the Cr(VI) degradation rate to 93% compared to 48% of pristine  $g - C_3N_4$  under comparable circumstances (Fig. 8a). The morphology control of  $g - C_3N_4$  could improve its photocatalytic activity, and the SEM image of  $CA-g-C_3N_4$  is presented in Fig. 8b. The PC was stable enough to be recycled three times. The citric acid treatment effectively dispersed  $g - C_3N_4$ , increased the SSA of the photocatalyst, enhanced the number of active sites on the photocatalyst surface and thus enhanced the photocatalytic performance of the catalyst.

Jiménez-Flores et al. synthesized a g- $C_3N_4$ /HAP composite by thermal condensation of melamine mixed with hydroxyapatite.<sup>85</sup> As seen from the SEM image, the morphology of the g-

 $C_3N_4$ /HAp combination exhibited a layered and piled structure common to  $g - C_3N_4$  materials (Fig. 8c). The insert in the figure depicts the presence of nitrogen (65.63%), carbon (29.54%), phosphorus (0.59%), oxygen (2.39%), sodium (0.29%) and calcium (23.16%) in the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/HAP composite. A mixture of heterogeneous phases maintaining the lamellar structure typical of pristine hydroxyapatite could be seen. Fig. 8d presents the simulated image of hydroxyapatite. The upsurge in the photocatalytic activity could be ascribed to a positive collaborative outcome created by the interaction between components, *i.e.*,  $g - C_3N_4$  and hydroxyapatite impacting the surface morphology leading to improved photocatalytic behavior, as reflected in the bar chart in Fig. 8e displaying the kinetic rate constant values for  $g - C_3N_4$ , hydroxyapatite and  $g - C_3N_4$ /HAp composite under exposure to visible light. The 100% reduction of 40 ppm  $Cr(w)$  solution was achieved after 25 and 210 min



Fig. 8 (a) Cr(vi) removal rate on g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and CA-g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> (b) SEM image after the reaction of CA-g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>. Reproduced with permission from ref. 84, Copyright 2023, MDPI (c) SEM image of the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/HAp composite (d) structure simulation by using a zone axis [010] of hydroxyapatite  $Ca<sub>5</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(OH)$  (e) bar graph of reaction rate constant values for g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, hydroxyapatite, and g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/HAp composite under exposure to visible radiation. Reproduced with permission from ref. 85, Copyright 2020, Elsevier (f) Proposed mechanism for photocatalytic Cr(vi) remediation over UCN (g) rate of photocatalytic remediation of Cr(vI) by UCN. Reproduced with permission from ref. 86, Copyright 2021, MDPI (h) ESP surface distribution of optimized UCN and HUCN model (i) XPS spectrum of Cr 2p adsorbed on the 3.0-HUCN after photocatalytic reduction of Cr(VI). Reproduced with permission from ref. 87 Copyright 2020, Elsevier.

exposure in case of UV and visible light in that order. The composite was stable enough to be tested for 8 consecutive cycles.

Sun et al. synthesized alkali-modified  $g - C_3N_4$  (cOH-CN) and acid-modified g- $C_3N_4$  (cH-CN)<sup>88</sup> and reported 100% Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) could be removed in 60 min under visible radiation even though it merely demonstrated 30% in the case of the pure  $g - C_3N_4$ . The surface altered  $g - C_3N_4$  by acid–base regulation demonstrated a larger surface area, increased pore structure abundance, a greater spectrum of visible radiation absorption, more band gap energy values, and greater ability to separate electron–hole pairs leading to efficient photocatalysis for  $Cr(v)$  remediation.

Liang *et al.* developed a synthesis technique for  $g - C_3N_4$ photocatalysts using urea, thiourea, dicyandiamide, and melamine as precursors.<sup>86</sup> The varying band structure of  $g - C_3N_4$  with separate morphologies derived from different precursors may be seen (Fig. 8f). The  $g - C_3N_4$  derived from urea with nanosheet morphology, higher SSA, and more occupancy of surface amine groups demonstrated better than before photocatalytic activity. The morphology of the nanosheet and high surface area made it easier for charges to be separated and transmitted, which was beneficial for  $Cr(v)$  reduction when exposed to white light irradiation. Photocatalytic remediation of  $Cr(v)$  was made possible to the extent of 99.5% within 60 min using the urea-g- $\rm{C_3N_4}$  experiment: Cr(v1) concentration at 50 mg  $\rm{L}^{-1},$  urea-g-C $\rm{_3N_4}$ amount = 50 mg, volume = 150 mL, citric acid =  $0.9$  mM at pH  $=$  3 when exposed to white light.

Wang et al. fabricated an interconnected open network of hydroxyl-altered g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, *i.e.*, (HUCN)<sup>87</sup> using the hydrolysis of urea-derived  $g - C_3N_4$ , *i.e.*, (UCN) in aqueous NaOH solution, after which self-assembly occurs *via* a dialysis process. In contrast to bulk g-C3N4, i.e., (UCN), numerous exposed active sites, a quick rate of separation of photoinduced  $e^-/h^+$  pairs and a greater negative conduction band edge potential are just a few of HUCN's many advantages. These benefits give the HUCN, in comparison to UCN, a noticeably better capacity for photocatalytic remediation of aqueous  $Cr(v)$  under exposure to artificial sunlight. These observations are in line with the theoretical prediction from DFT studies. The ESP distribution obtained from the DFT calculation for the optimized models of UCN and HUCN shows a realistic pathway for electron movement at the edge of PC. As displayed in Fig. 8g and h, the blue and red colors in ESP maps denote low-electron (positive potentials) and the electron opulent (negative potentials) regions, respectively. The electron-rich areas of UCN are situated at the bridged tertiary nitrogen atoms and triangular edge nitrogen atoms in melem units. On the other hand, the electron-deprived areas remain at the other chunks of melem units (Fig. 8g). During the course of hydrolysis, the –OH groups are hosted at the HUCN edges and receive holes, sparing more electrons found at the triangular edge N atoms of melem units (Fig. 8h). It is inferred that the reduction spots have a huge shi from the internal flat part of UCN to the HUCN edges. Considering the above findings, it is concluded that progressively diminished electrochemical impedance or increased photocurrent density indicates faster photoinduced e<sup>−/h+</sup> parting and migration capability of the HUCNs compared to

UCN. This is because of the availability of –OH groups at the edges of UCNs. These –OH groups can act as  $h^+$  scavengers to boost the separation of the photoinduced e<sup> $-$ </sup>/h<sup>+</sup> pairs. Moreover, a narrow nanofiber with a width of  $\leq$  50 nm will be advantageous for the electrons to migrate to the surface and increase the availability of active spots. The optimized PC could reduce 99.8% Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) compared to 30.8% of the pristine  $g-C_3N_4$  catalyst under identical experimental conditions, such as the PC amount of 100 mg,  $C_0 = 20$  mg  $L^{-1}$ , volume  $= 100$  mL, pH  $= 2.3$ under artificial solar radiation. The reasons behind this exceptional photocatalytic reduction activity were as follows: The hydroxyl groups added to the HUCN's edges enhanced the contact between  $Cr(v)$  and the HUCNs, helped to separate the charge carriers, and improved the accessibility of the active sites. Additionally, the HUCN's constructed nanostructure facilitated electron transfer to the PC surface, and the upward  $C_B$  edge potential gave the photoinduced electrons better reduction capability. The XPS spectrum of Cr 2p adsorbed on the 3.0-HUCN after the photocatalytic  $Cr(v)$  remediation showed the presence of  $Cr(m)$ , implying the conversion of  $Cr(w)$ , as shown in Fig. 8i. Review Wextre onter the cost of the state of the sta

Wei et al. subjected  $g-C_3N_4$  to hydrothermal treatment in aqueous  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  solutions to modify the  $g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>$  morphology.<sup>89</sup> The optimised PC showed improved reduction efficiency in comparison to untreated  $g-C_3N_4$  towards the photocatalytic remediation of  $Cr(v)$ . The greater photocatalytic  $Cr(v)$  remediation activity of the hydrothermally treated  $g-C_3N_4$  was mainly due to their increased SSAs, smaller particle sizes and positive surface charges, causing boosted adsorption for  $Cr(w)$ . Other reasons were the more effective separation of photoinduced e−/  $h<sup>+</sup>$  pairs as well as the change in photocatalytic Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) reduction mechanisms. The photocatalytic  $Cr(v)$  reduction over untreated g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> was primarily *via* a two-step 'O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> mediated indirect reduction mechanism. On the other hand, the same reaction over the hydrothermally treated  $g - C_3N_4$  was through a one-step direct e− reduction mechanism. The hydrothermal treatment appears to be a facile and useful way to enhance the  $Cr(v)$ adsorption and photocatalytic remediation of  $g-C_3N_4$ .

Alam et al. fabricated an ACF-supported CoNiWO<sub>4</sub>-g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> composite.<sup>90</sup> Spectroscopic analytical methods were used to validate the creation of the Z-scheme-based CNW-g- $C_3N_4$  heterostructure on the ACF substrate. Under visible light irradiation, 98.2% degradation efficiency of  $Cr(v)$  reduction at a concentration level of 200 mg  $L^{-1}$  in 150 min, with a dose of 1 g L<sup>-1</sup> CNW-g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/ACF, could be achieved. The maximum reduction rate of CNW-g-C3N4/ACF is ascribed to the shared role of adsorption and photoreduction, in which the extensive absorption of visible light and improved charge separation efficiency played a crucial role. Five repeated cycles of use showed the catalyst stability.

Wang et al. constructed  $2D/2D$   $MoS<sub>2</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>$  heterostructures<sup>91</sup> for photo-remediation of Cr(v<sub>I</sub>). From the TEM image in Fig. 9a, it may be seen that small flaky pieces of  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$ are evenly raised on the surface of large flaky  $g-C_3N_4$ . With an optimal composition denoted by  $MCN_{0.25}$ ,  $Cr(v<sub>i</sub>)$  could be totally reduced to  $Cr(m)$  (100%) within 30 min under exposure to visible light at neutral pH (photocatalysts removal capacity 45



Fig. 9 (a) TEM image of the MCN<sub>0.25</sub> sample (b) variation curves of Cr(vI) concentration against time for MCN (c) photocatalytic Cr(vI) reduction rate for MCN (d) schematic of the mechanism of Cr(vi) photodegradation. Reproduced with permission from ref. 91 Copyright 2021, The American Chemical Society. (e) SEM images of the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> nanotube/Sb<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>6</sub> (f) Cr(vi) removal rate over g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> nanotube/Sb<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>6</sub> (g) schematic for the mechanism of pollutant degradation of fabricated photocatalysts. Reproduced with permission from ref. 92, Copyright 2021, Elsevier.

mg g $^{-1}$ ), as shown in Fig. 9b. The rate constant of MoS2/g-C3N<sub>4</sub> composites and pristine  $g - C_3N_4$  during photoreduction of Cr(vi) are shown in the bar graph, which indicates the rate constant of  $MCN<sub>0.25</sub>$  composite is about 1000 times more than pristine g- $C_3N_4$ , as shown in Fig. 9c. This superior performance of PC was attributed to the chemical adsorption and photocatalytic reduction synergistically working together. Fig. 9d shows the reaction mechanism of  $Cr(v)$  remediation from aqueous solution exposed to visible light helped by adsorption. Initially,  $Cr(w)$ , under chemisorption with MoS<sub>2</sub> grids, is attached to the surface of MCN. A type II heterojunction is created between  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  with more positive conduction band potential compared to  $g - C_3N_4$ . The photogenerated electrons may be seen to migrate from the  $C_B$  of g- $C_3N_4$  to MoS<sub>2</sub>, and holes moving from the V<sub>B</sub> of  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$  to g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>. The electrons on the C<sub>B</sub> of MoS<sub>2</sub> then attack adsorbed  $Cr(v)$  to convert to  $Cr(m)$  efficiently.

Hemmati-Eslamlu et al. synthesized n–n heterojunctions fabricated from  $Sb_2MOO_6$  and  $g-C_3N_4$  nanotube.<sup>92</sup> The SEM image of the nanotube formation is shown in Fig. 9e. The optimised photocatalyst  $g - C_3N_4$  nanotube/Sb<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>6</sub> (30%) sample was used for the photoreduction of  $Cr(v)$  and the PC exhibited degradation efficiency 21.8 and 3.63-times more than that of bulk  $g - C_3N_4$  and  $g - C_3N_4$  nanotube samples, as shown in Fig. 9f. The probable charge migration route was suggested through the n-n heterojunction fabricated amid  $Sb_2MoO_6$  and  $g - C_3N_4$  nanotube, as shown in Fig. 9g. These remarkable photocatalytic improvements were credited to the boosted visible radiation absorption, fast separation of  $e^-/h^+$  pairs and extended specific surface area.

Yuan *et al.* fabricated graphitic  $C_3N_4$  nanosheets  $(g-C_3N_4)/$ ZnO amalgamated photocatalysts.<sup>93</sup> The rate of photocatalytic remediation of aqueous  $Cr(v)$  was 18% and 34% for

unadulterated  $g - C_3N_4$  and ZnO, respectively, under visible light for 240 min. The optimized PC could affect the photocatalytic reduction by 70% in 240 min while exposed to visible light. The PC could be recycled up to 5 times. The increased photoreduction of the  $g - C_3N_4/ZnO$  photocatalyst was attributed to the improved visible radiation absorption and actual split-up of photogenerated charge carriers at the interface and their transfer for the reaction.

Abdel-Moniem et al. synthesized nanosheets of  $(Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@gr)$  $C_3N_4$ ) by ultrasonication.<sup>94</sup> The heterostructures of Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>@g- $C_3N_4$  NCs were checked for remediation of hexavalent chromium while exposed to visible light. The optimized photocatalyst  $Bi_2S_3@g-C_3N_4$  displayed the best photoreduction activity, reaching 97% of  $Cr(v)$  removal after 180 min under simulated solar light in the case of a 20 ppm  $Cr(v)$  solution with 0.2 g L<sup>-1</sup> of the photocatalyst. The high efficiency of the photocatalytic  $Cr(w)$  reduction was attributed to the low combination rate of photogenerated charge carriers. The possibility of charge carrier recombination at both the surface and the bulk traps was reduced in  $Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>(Qg-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>)$  nanosheets, improving the light utilization rate and increasing photocatalytic activity.

Chen *et al.* prepared porous nanosheets of  $g - C_3N_4$  (PCN) with enhanced spacing<sup>95</sup> between layers and more SSA using a thermal polymerization method assisted by nickel. The surface of nanosheets had more exposed active sites, and its porosity helped in the movement of photons inside the lamellar structure, and therefore, enhanced efficacy in the absorption of visible radiation. The PCN thus obtained had a higher efficiency of photocatalytic  $Cr(v)$ reduction than pristine g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>. The value of  $k$ , *i.e.*, the reaction rate constant of PCN  $(0.013 \text{ min}^{-1})$ , was nearly two times that of pristine  $g$ -C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> (0.007 min<sup>-1</sup>). The difference in the photocatalytic performance between PCN and  $g-C_3N_4$  could be ascribed to higher SSA and the pores that increased absorption of visible light and a quicker path for transfer of photo-induced charges. The photoinduced e<sup> $-$ </sup>was seen to be primarily responsible for Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) photocatalytic reduction. The PC could be recycled three times.

Chen et al. prepared ultrathin  $g - C_3N_4$  nanosheets by exfoliation of raw  $g - C_3N_4$  using edible glucose syrup.<sup>96</sup> Compared to raw graphitic carbon nitride, the synthesized thin layers of g- $C_3N_4$  displayed an 18-fold improvement towards  $Cr(v)$  reduction, attributable to their greater SSA and more exposed active sites. Patnaik et al. synthesized bimetallic alloyed Au/Pd nanoparticles embedded on nanosheets of  $g-C_3N_4$  modified by mesoporous silica with a not-so-complicated one-pot calcination method.<sup>97</sup> The optimized CNM-AP nanocomposite could reduce Cr(v1) C $_0$  = 20 mg L $^{-1}$ , catalyst concentration = 1 g mL $^{-1}$ to an extent of 56% under 2 h of visible light irradiation. As reallife wastewater streams contain organic materials that act as hole scavengers throughout the photocatalysis of  $Cr(v)$  and boost the reduction reaction, the paper describes the remediation of  $Cr(v)$  in the presence of phenol. The catalyst was found to be stable enough to be recycled four times.

#### Element doping engineering

Ajiboye et al. fabricated silver functionalized  $g-C_3N_4$  by calcinating  $g-C_3N_4$  with  $AgNO_3.^{98}$  The TEM analysis displayed

a flower-like morphology for the Ag-doped  $g-C_3N_4$ , as shown in Fig. 10a. The composite reduced 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) at a low pH of value 2 to the extent of 35.3% in 120 min when exposed to visible light from a 28 W LED light, with a catalyst dosage of 50 mg. The degradation percentage was almost thrice as matched to pristine  $g-C_3N_4$  (13.4%) (Fig. 10b). Under similar conditions, the PC could reduce 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup> Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) to the extent of 67% in 120 min under visible light irradiation. The heightened photocatalytic activity of PC might be attributed to the decreasing rate of recombination of photogenerated e<sup>−/h+</sup> pairs.

Xuan *et al.* prepared ruthenium-doped  $g - C_3N_4$ .<sup>100</sup> The 5 percent  $Ru/g-C_3N_4$  composite showed maximum photocatalytic activity. From the XPS analysis in Fig. 10c, the presence of Ru doping was evident with the Ru  $3p_{3/2}$  peak values at 463.3 eV and 485.5 eV. At different concentrations of  $Cr(v)(15-100$  ppm) at a starting solution pH of 2.0 and catalyst concentration of 0.1 g L<sup>-1</sup> with a reaction duration of 120 min, the photocatalytic efficacy of the  $Ru/g-C_3N_4$  catalyst in the Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) reduction was studied. Up to 96.81% of available  $Cr(v)$  could be reduced after 2 hours, which was twice better than the numbers for pristine g- $C_3N_4$  (50.1%). The PC could be recycled three times without loss of efficiency. The decrease in the photoinduced charge carrier recombination was identified as the cause of the increased efficacy of the Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) reduction using  $C_B$  electrons, which is schematically presented in Fig. 10d. Review Wardow Species Article Computer (and the state of the properties are computer and the properties are computer and the common access Article is light with a creative Common and the CC-30 article is common and the CC

Masoumi Sangani et al.<sup>101</sup> reported the synthesis of  $g-C_3N_4$ modified with sulfanilic acid and loaded on chitosan beads (CS-GCN-S). The optimised photocatalyst of S-doped  $g$ -C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> nanosheets could display  $Cr(v)$  reduction efficiency of greater than 90% within 180 min with catalyst quantity: 0.2  $g L^{-1}$ , pH: 5,  $Cr$ (v<sub>I</sub>) initial concentration: 10 mgL<sup>-1</sup>. The photocatalyst could be examined up to the fifth successive cycle without significant change in photocatalytic activity, implying its better stability and reusability.

Andreou et al. synthesized 2D/3D hybrid heterojunctions consisting of P-doped g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> nanosheets ( $\sim$ 50–60 nm in adjacent size) and pint-sized Ni2P nanoparticles of ∼5–6 nm in radius<sup>99</sup> and determined their noticeable performance in the photocatalytic  $Cr(v)$  remediation. From the EIS studies, the excellent performance of PC could be ascribed to the  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$ alteration and P doping of the graphitic carbon nitride that improved the  $e^-/h^+$  pair migration and spatial split-up through the boundary of  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P/P-doped g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> junctions (smallest arc)$ diameter) (Fig. 10e). Due to these alterations, the optimised PC having 15 wt% Ni<sub>2</sub>P displayed better photocatalytic action in the remediation of aqueous effluents containing  $Cr(v)$  under exposure to UV-visible radiation with 12.5% apparent quantum yield at 410 nm in the absence of sacrificial additives. PC stability was tested for three consecutive cycles. The PC could almost completely remove (>99%) (50 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) Cr(v1) solution in 50 min (PC concentration of 0.8 g L<sup>-1</sup> at pH 1). In contrast, it took 3 h for ~44% and ~41% Cr(vi) remediation over g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and P-g- $C_3N_4$  under identical conditions. The schematic in Fig. 10f shows the possible mechanism, depicting the migration of photoinduced electrons from the  $C_B$  of graphitic carbon nitride to  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P$  because of the inherent electric field created at



Fig. 10 (a) TEM image (inset HRTEM image) of Ag/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> (b) bar graph displaying Cr(vi) reduction percentage at pH 2 under irradiation from a 28 W LED light. Reproduced with permission from ref. 98, Copyright 2022, Elsevier (c) XPS spectra of the Ru 3p scan of Ru/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> (d) schematic of the charge-migration mechanism of the photocatalytic Cr(vi) remediation using ruthenium doped g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>. Reproduced with permission from ref. 100, Copyright 2023, MDPI (e) suggested mechanism for the UV-vis generated photocatalytic Cr(vi) remediation over Ni<sub>2</sub>P/P-g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> catalysts (f) Nyquist diagrams (inset: Randles equivalent circuit model). Reproduced with permission from ref. 99, Copyright 2023, MDPI.

the  $Ni<sub>2</sub>P/P-g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>$  Schottky junctions, where the Cr(vi) manifested as  $HCrO_4^-$  was effectively converted to Cr(m).

Jing Zhang et al.<sup>102</sup> fabricated iron-doped graphitic carbon nitride loaded with modified dispersed diatomite. The optimal PC of 10% Fe composite with an EB dose of 30 kGy displayed improved remedial efficacy with 98.3% of available  $Cr(v)$  in 100 min, which was nearly 16.92 times more than that of pristine  $g - C_3N_4$ . The PC displayed good stability even after using four times. The grand performance of PC could be attributed to Fe doping, which hindered the charge carrier recombination of photoinduced e<sup>−</sup>/h+ pairs and the capture of photoinduced carriers.

Shi et al.<sup>103</sup> prepared a photocatalytic coating of carbon nanotubes/sulphur doped carbon nitride composite sample (CNT/SCN) by a two-step solvothermal method. The prepared CNT/SCN coating demonstrated a better photocatalytic reduction efficacy of 84.7% for Cr(v1) (10  ${\rm mg\, L}^{-1})$  within 8 hours under flowing water conditions. The reduction capability could surpass 75% even after three cycles of experimentation. Furthermore various other  $g - C_3N_4$  modified photocatalytic systems engaged for  $Cr(v)$  removal are summeried in table (Table 1).

## Future prospective and conclusions

Photocatalytic Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) reduction is considered an effective strategy compared to conventional methods of redemption. g-C3N4, being a non-metallic photocatalytic semiconductor

material, possesses wide application prospects in the photocatalytic arena owing to non-toxicity, high photocatalytic behavior, adequate electronic structure, visible light absorption capability, abundantly available precursors and costeffectiveness. This comprehensive review particularly focuses on the recent trend of photocatalytic  $Cr(v)$  reduction using g- $C_3N_4$  along with the reaction mechanism. Although various modifications on  $g - C_3N_4$  enhance chromium reduction remarkably, some lacunas still need to be addressed before being used in practical applications. The knowledge behind the reaction mechanism involved in photocatalytic chromium reduction is still insufficient. In order to explain the reaction mechanism taking place during the process of photocatalysis, free radical capturing experiments, RDE and ESR studies are usually preferred. The methods reported herein provide a routine understanding of the reactions and kinetics of the reactants that lack in-depth understanding. Therefore, new techniques like TPV, in situ XAS, and TPC can systematically elucidate the reaction procedures of  $Cr(v)$  reduction at the molecular level.

While it is easier to augment the performance of the photocatalyst, designing active sites on it is quite tough. It is very difficult to control the atom vacancies, quantity of heteroatoms and emending active sites at the time of synthesis. Therefore, studies on developing advanced synthesis approaches should be prioritized for the preparation of  $g-C_3N_4$ , which can address the above-mentioned issues. The  $g - C_3N_4$  production through the normal methods is still quite challenging and not

Table 1 Summary of the numerous investigations on the removal of Cr(vI) using various  $g$ -C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-based nanocomposites



Table 1 (Contd.)



recommended for various functionalizations because of the absence of abundant active sites required for surface chemical reactions. As there are a variety of strategies in the fabrication procedure of graphitic carbon nitride, with each approach altering the feature of the prepared  $g - C_3N_4$ , a pressing need to improvise these techniques via eco-friendly methods is essential. Doping Strategies like Elemental Doping that involve incorporating heteroatoms (such as N, B, S, P) into the  $g - C_3N_4$ lattice can create active sites by changing the electronic structure. These dopants are capable of enhancing charge transfer and promoting specific surface reactions. Metal Doping: introducing metal atoms (e.g., Co, Ni, and Pt) onto the  $g - C_3N_4$  surface can create catalytically active centers. These metals can serve as electron sinks on the catalyst surface, helping charge separation and supporting redox reactions. Defect Engineering: controlled introduction of defects (such as vacancies or edge sites) can create active sites. Defects modify the nearby electronic environment, making them more favorable for adsorption followed by the reaction. Edge Sites modification: increasing the edge-tobasal plane ratio exposes more active sites. Strategies like

exfoliation or edge-functionalization are capable of enhancing edge site density. Surface Functionalization: covalently attaching functional groups (e.g., -NH<sub>2</sub>, -OH) to the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> surface can create active sites. These groups can participate in surface reactions.

 $Cr(v)$  reduction through three-electron oxygen reduction generally has high selectivity and the one-electron oxygen reduction path possesses a quicker rate of  $Cr(v)$  reduction. Basically, both pathways are highly dependent on the capability of the photocatalyst to efficiently transfer  $e^-$  to  $O_2$ . Introducing carbon vacancies for better absorption of  $O<sub>2</sub>$  by doping alkali metals for the generation of Lewis acid sites is quite helpful. However, there is no clear evidence about which modification is more favorable for  $Cr(w)$  reduction and which functional group is helpful for participating in the reaction. Additionally, the perfect interpretation of the mechanistic approach can only be achieved based on theoretical calculations.

One of the prerequisites for the practical application of photocatalytic  $Cr(v)$  remediation is the long-term stability of the photocatalyst. All the studies analyzing cycling plus aging tests



Fig. 11 Schematic depicting the future perspective of  $g - C_3N_4$ -based photocatalysts towards chrome remediation.

on photocatalysts and XRD, XPS, TEM with other characterizations for used catalysts refer to their good stability, but the test duration is comparatively short. The short-term stability of the photocatalyst may be due to its deactivation with several test runs. Therefore, it is highly essential to pump the cycling ability as long as it can be. Specially, in the case of  $Cr(v)$  remediation, higher efficiency can be attained by varying experimental conditions such as solution pH, catalyst amount, light intensity, temperature, irradiation time, sacrificial agent amount,  $Cr(v)$ ion concentration and photocatalyst stability.

Another concept of the surface plasmon effect in  $g - C_3N_4$  can also play a necessary role in enhancing photocatalytic  $Cr(v)$ reduction. The phenomenon of collective oscillation of free electrons upon light illumination could drastically increase the reaction processes along with better light absorption and charge separation. Hence, more studies may be conducted in this context to augment photocatalytic Cr(vi) reduction via g- $C_3N_4$ . This includes investigation of other materials like noble metals, metal oxides with tailored LSPR properties, exploration of hybrid systems (e.g., metal–semiconductor composites) to synergize LSPR with existing photocatalysts, optimization of the nanostructure design (size, shape, composition) to maximize LSPR effects, understanding the interplay between LSPR and charge carrier dynamics, studying LSPR-induced hot electrons and their role in redox reactions, and consideration of realworld applications, such as water purification or pollutant degradation.

This review is centered on work carried out so far using newage novel graphitic carbon nitride-based nanocomposites for  $Cr(v)$  remediation using visible light. The conversation makes it abundantly evident that the majority of these photocatalyst materials are still in the early stages of investigation in the lab. These graphitic carbon nitride-based nanocomposites are yet to be used for practical purposes. Therefore, further research is required for real-life situations using actual wastewater containing Cr(VI) in different scales and continuous operation modes. In order to advance research towards a commercialscale ETP, more studies are required to address the large-scale synthesis of nanomaterials, develop photo reactors of the proper size, and optimize the economy for processes based on g- $C_3N_4$  nanomaterials. As real-world applications involve complex matrices, raw samples from polluted sites are required to be used in exploring field trials with  $g - C_3N_4$  photocatalyst in a small solar pond. The results of the optimization study of trial runs in the pond should generate enough engineering data needed to design a pilot plant-scale reactor. Thereafter, the studies in pilot-scale plants are essential for validating catalyst performance based on which actual largescale plants may be designed and used. A summary regarding the highly required future perspective is presented in Fig. 11.

# Abbreviations



# Data availability

Data will be available upon reasonable request.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

# Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the S 'O' A (Deemed to be University) management for their constant support and motivation. Upali Aparajita Mohanty is grateful to UGC for the award of the SJSGC fellowship (UGCES-22-GE-ORI-F-SJSGC-5637).

# References

- 1 L. J. D. Moreira, E. B. da Silva, M. P. F. Fontes, X. Liu and L. Q. Ma, Environ. Pollut., 2018, 239, 384–391.
- 2 K. Nakamuro, K. Yoshikawa, Y. Sayato and H. Kurata, Mutat. Res. Genet. Toxicol., 1978, 58, 175–181.
- 3 A. Zhitkovich, Chem. Res. Toxicol., 2011, 24, 1617–1629.
- 4 Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, ATSDR's substance priority list, available from: [https://](https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/) [www.atsdr.cdc.gov/](https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/).
- 5 D. Paustenbach, B. Finley, F. Mowat and B. Kerger, J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Part A, 2003, 66(14), 1295–1339.
- 6 Z. Zhao, H. An, J. Lin, M. Feng, V. Murugadoss, T. Ding, H. Liu, Q. Shao, X. Mai, N. Wang, H. Gu, S. Angaiah and Z. Guo, Chem. Rec., 2019, 19, 873–882.
- 7 M. Kumari, C. U. Pittman Jr and D. Mohan, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2015, 442(15), 120–132.
- 8 D. K. Padhi, A. Baral, K. Parida, S. K. Singh and M. K. Ghosh, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2017, 121(11), 6039–6049.
- 9 D. Kandi, S. Martha, A. Thirumurugan and K. M. Parida, ACS Omega, 2017, 2(12), 9040–9056.
- 10 R. Acharya, B. Naik and K. Parida, Beilstein J. Nanotechnol., 2018, 9, 1448–1470.
- 11 P. Babu, S. Mohanty, B. Naik and K. Parida, Inorg. Chem., 2019, 58(18), 12480–12490.
- 12 K. K. Das, S. Patnaik, B. Nanda, A. C. Pradhan and K. Parida, ChemistrySelect, 2019, 4(5), 1806–1819.
- 13 L. Sun, P. Li, Z. Shen, Y. Pang, X. Ma, D. Qu, L. An and Z. Sun, Adv. Energy Sustainability Res., 2023, 4(11), 2300090.
- 14 R. Xue, C. Huang, J. Deng, L. Yang, L. Li and X. Fan, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2022, 10, 3771–3781.
- 15 X. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanabe, G. Xin, J. M. Carlsson, K. Domen and M. Antonietti, Nat. Mater., 2009, 8(1), 76–80.
- 16 F. P. Filice, J. D. Henderson, M. S. M. Li and Z. Ding, ACS Omega, 2019, 4(1), 2142–2151.
- 17 S. S. Wise and J. P. Wise, Sr, Mutat. Res., 2012, 733(1), 78–82.
- 18 Q. Y. Chen, A. Murphy, H. Sun and M. Costa, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol., 2019, 377, 114636.
- 19 K. P. Nickens, S. R. Patierono and S. Ceryak, Chem. Biol. Interact., 2010, 188(2), 276–288.
- 20 A. W. Parvaze, J. A. Wani and S. Wahid, J. Environ. Chem. Eng., 2018, 6(4), 3798–3807.
- 21 S. Ali, R. A. Mir, A. Tyagi, N. Manzar, A. S. Kashyap, M. Mushtaq, A. Raina, S. Park, S. Sharma, A. M. Zahoor, S. A. Lone, A. A. Bhat, U. Baba, H. Mahmoudi and H. Bae, Plants, 2023, 12(7), 1502. **PSC Advances Article 2024.** Published on 18 novembre 2024. The state of  $\theta$ ,  $\theta$ 
	- 22 R. Jobby, P. Jha, A. K. Yadav and N. Desai, J. Plant Interact., 2018, 207, 255–266.
	- 23 A. Bhati, S. R. Anand, D. Saini and S. K. Sonkar, npj Clean Water, 2019, 2, 1–9.
	- 24 Y. Zhang, M. Xu, H. Li, H. Ge and Z. Bian, Appl. Catal., B, 2018, 226, 213–219.
	- 25 R. Djellabi, P. Su, E. A. Elimian, V. Poliukhova, S. Nouacer, I. A. Abdelhafeez, N. Abderrahim, D. Aboagye, V. V. Andhalkar, W. Nabgan, S. Rtimi and S. Contreras, J. Water Process Eng., 2022, 50, 103301.
	- 26 J. J. Testa, M. A. Grela and M. I. Litter, Langmuir, 2001, 17, 3515–3517.
	- 27 J. J. Testa, M. A. Grela and M. I. Litter, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2004, 38, 1589–1594.
	- 28 J. Djellabi, J. Ali, M. Yang, R. Haider, P. Su, C. L. Bianchi and X. Zhao, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2020, 250, 116954.
	- 29 R. Djellabi and M. F. Ghorab, Desalin. Water Treat., 2015, 55, 1900–1907.
	- 30 B. A. Marinho, R. O. Cristóvão, R. Djellabi, J. M. Loureiro, R. A. Boaventura and V. J. P. Vilar, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 203, 18–30.
	- 31 D.-S. An, H.-Y. Zeng, G. F. Xiao, J. Xiong, C.-R. Chen and G. Hu, J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng., 2020, 117, 133–143.
	- 32 V. Hasija, P. Raizada, P. Singh, N. Verma, A. Khan, A. Singh, R. Selvasembian, S. Y. Kim, C. Hussain, V.-H. Nguyen and Q. Le, Process Saf. Environ. Prot., 2021, 152, 663–678.
	- 33 M. Valari, A. Antoniadis, D. Mantzavinos and I. Poulios, Catal. Today, 2015, 252, 190–194.
	- 34 Y. Jinghai, W. Xingtong, L. Xuefei, L. Yang, G. Ming, L. Xiaoyan, K. Lingnan and Y. Suyang, Appl. Phys. A, 2011, 105(1), 161–166.
	- 35 E. G. Gillan, Chem. Mater., 2000, 12(12), 3906–3912.
	- 36 Y. Zhang and M. Antonietti, Chem. Asian J., 2010, 5(6), 1307– 1311.
	- 37 G. Dong, Y. Zhang, Q. Pan and J. Qiu, J. Photochem. Photobiol. C Photochem. Rev., 2014, 20, 33–50.
	- 38 A. Thomas, A. Fischer, F. Goettmann, M. Antonietti, J.-O. Müller, R. Schlögl and J. M. Carlsson, J. Mater. Chem., 2008, 18(41), 4893–4908.
	- 39 F. Dong, L. Wu, Y. Sun, M. Fu, Z. Wu and S. C. Lee, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 15171–15174.
	- 40 Y. Zhang, J. Liu, G. Wu and W. Chen, Nanoscale, 2012, 4(17), 5300–5303.
	- 41 L. Stradella and M. Argentero, Thermochim. Acta, 1993, 219(27), 315–323.
	- 42 S. P. Pattnaik, A. Behera, S. Martha, R. Acharya and K. M. Parida, J. Mater. Sci., 2019, 54(7), 5726–5742.
	- 43 S. P. Pattnaik, A. Behera, R. Acharya and K. M. Parida, J. Environ. Chem. Eng., 2019, 7(6), 103456.
	- 44 S. Patnaik, K. K. Das, A. Mohanty and K. M. Parida, Catal. Today, 2018, 315, 52–66.
- 45 K. K. Das, S. Patnaik, S. Mansingh, A. Behera, A. Mohanty, C. Acharya and K. M. Parida, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2020, 561, 551–567.
- 46 S. Patnaik, D. P. Sahoo and K. M. Parida, Mater. Today Proc., 2021, 35, 247–251.
- 47 P. Babu, S. Mohanty, B. Naik and K. M. Parida, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2018, 1(11), 5936–5947.
- 48 S. Nayak and K. M. Parida, ACS Omega, 2018, 3(7), 7324– 7343.
- 49 S. Patnaik, S. Martha, G. Madras and K. M. Parida, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 28502–28514.
- 50 A. Sattler, S. Pagano, M. Zeuner, A. Zurawski, D. Gunzelmann, J. Senker, K. Müller-Buschbaum and W. Schnick, Chem.–Eur. J., 2009, 15(47), 13161–13170.
- 51 S. C Yan, Z. S. Li and Z. G. Zou, Langmuir, 2009, 25(17), 10397–10401.
- 52 X. Li, J. Zhang, L. Shen, Y. Ma, W. Lei, Q. Cui and G. Zou, Appl. Phys. A, 2009, 94, 387–392.
- 53 G. Dong, W. Ho, Y. Li and L. Zhang, Appl. Catal., B, 2015, 174–175, 477–485.
- 54 J. Fang, H. Fan, M. Li and C. Long, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 13819–13826.
- 55 M. Sahoo, P. Babu, C. P. Singh, S. Krishnamurthy and K. M. Parida, J. Alloys Compd., 2022, 898, 162853.
- 56 L. Ge, F. Zuo, J. Liu, Q. Ma, C. Wang, D. Sun, L. Bartels and P. Feng, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116(25), 13708–213714.
- 57 H. Lan, L. Li, X. An, F. Liu, C. Chen, H. Liu and J. Qu, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 204, 49–57.
- 58 K. Maeda, X. Wang, Y. Nishihara, D. Lu, M. Antonietti and K. Domen, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 4940–4947.
- 59 J. Liu, H. Wang, Z. P. Chen, H. Moehwald, S. Fiechter, R. vande Krol, L. Wen, L. Jiang and M. Antonietti, Adv. Mater., 2015, 27, 712–718.
- 60 T. Tyborski, C. Merschjann, S. Orthmann, F. Yang, M. C. Lux-Steiner and T. Schedel-Niedrig, J. Phys.: Condens.Matter, 2012, 24(16), 162201.
- 61 Q. Han, B. Wang, J. Gao, Z. Cheng, Y. Zhao, Z. Zhang and L. Qu, ACS Nano, 2016, 10, 2745–2751.
- 62 P. Yang, H. Ou, Y. Fang and X. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 3992–3996.
- 63 J. Oh, R. J. Yoo, S. Y. Kim, Y. J. Lee, D. W. Kim and S. Park, Chem. Eur J., 2015, 21(16), 6241–6246.
- 64 Y. Su, Y. Zhang, X. Zhuang, S. Li, D. Wu, F. Zhang and X. Feng, Carbon, 2013, 62, 296–301.
- 65 X. Li, J. Zhang, Y. Huo, K. Dai, S. Li and S. Chen, Appl. Catal., B, 2021, 280, 119452.
- 66 S. Patnaik, G. Swain and K. M. Parida, Nanoscale, 2018, 10, 5950–5964.
- 67 J. Yu, K. Wang, W. Xiao and B. Cheng, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2014, 16, 11492-11501.
- 68 H. Yu, R. Shi, Y. Zhao, T. Bian, Y. Zhao, C. Zhou, G. I. N. Waterhouse, L.-Z. Wu, C. H. Tung and T. Zhang, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1605148.
- 69 Y. Zheng, Z. Zhang and C. Li, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2016, 423, 463–471.
- 70 B. Rhimi, C. Wang and D. W. Bahnemann, J. Phys.: Energy, 2020, 042003.
- 71 J. Zhang, Y. Hu, X. Jiang, S. Chen, S. Meng and X. Fu, J. Hazard. Mater., 2014, 280, 713–722.
- 72 T. X. Q. Nguyen, S.-S. Chen, M. Pasawan and H.-M. Chang, Environ. Technol. Innovat., 2023, 103154.
- 73 L. Ding, Y. Deng, X. Liu, L. Liu, J. Ding and F. Deng, J. Chem. Eng. Res. Updates, 2022, 9, 1–12.
- 74 T. Yang, P. Deng, L. Wang, J. Hu, Q. Liu and H. Tang, Chin. J. Struct. Chem., 2022, 41(6), 2206023–2206030.
- 75 M. Mousavi, S. Moradian, P. Pourhakkak, G. Zhang, M. M. Habibi, M. Madadi and J. Ghasemi, Mater. Sci., 2022, 57, 9145–9163.
- 76 O. M. Bankole, K. I. Ojubola, O. S. Adanlawo, K. A. Oluwafemi, O. Adeola, A. O. Adedapo, M. A. Adeyemo, S. E. Olaseni, N. A. Oladoja, E. J. Olivier, E. E. Ferg and A. S. Ogunlaja, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2022, 427, 113800. Review Watch S. K. Das, S. Norming, A. Dabrary, A. Dabrary, A. Dabrary, A. Dabrary, A. Dabrass Articles. Published on 2024. The Case, 2024. The Creative Commons Articles. Published and The S. Norman H. A. Change Commons A
	- 77 Y. Wanga, S. Baoa, Y. Liub, W. Yanga, Y. Yua, M. Fengc and K. Lid, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2020, 510, 145495.
	- 78 C. V. Reddy, K. R. Reddy, R. R. Zairov, B. Cheolho and J. Shim, J. Environ. Manage., 2022, 315, 115120.
	- 79 S. Mishra, L. Acharya, B. Marandi, K. Sanjay and R. Acharya, Diam. Relat. Mater., 2024, 142, 110834.
	- 80 L. Zhao, L. Guo, Y. Tang, J. Zhou and B. Shi, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2021, 60(37), 13594–13603.
	- 81 H. Huo, X. Hu, H. Wang, J. Li, G. Xie, X. Tan, Q. Jin, D. Zhou, C. Li, G. Qiu and Y. Liu, Int. J. Environ. Res. Publ. Health, 2019, 16(17), 321.
	- 82 X. Niu, J. Dong, X. Wang and Y. Yao, Environ. Sci.: Nano, 2020, 7, 2823.
	- 83 S. Chen, W. Lu, J. Han, H. Zhong, T. Xu, G. Wang and W. Chen, Chem. Eng. J., 2019, 359, 119–129.
	- 84 H. Yu, Q. Ma, C. Gao, S. Liao, Y. Zhang, H. Quan and R. Zhai, Catalysts, 2023, 13, 641.
	- 85 Y. Jiménez-Floresa, K. Jiménez-Rangela, J. E. Samaniego-Beníteza, L. Lartundo- Rojasd, H. A. Calderóne, R. Gómezb and A. Mantillaa, Catal. Today, 2022, 388-389, 1168–1175.
	- 86 J. Liang, C. Jing, J. Wang and Y. Men, Molecules, 2021, 26(22), 7054.
	- 87 X. Wang, L. Li, J. Meng, P. Xia, Y. Yang and Y. Guo, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2020, 506, 144181.
	- 88 H. Sun, L. Wang, Y. Liu, Z. Cheng, Y. Zhao, H. Guo, G. Qu, T. Wang and X. Yin, J. Environ. Manage., 2022, 15(324), 116431.
	- 89 H. Wei, Q. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Z. Yang, A. Zhu and D. D. Dionysiou, Appl. Catal., A, 2016, 521, 9–18.
	- 90 U. Alam, K. Pandey and N. Verma, Chemosphere, 2022, 297, 134119.
	- 91 C. Wang, G. Yang, W. Shi, K. Matras-Postolek and P. Yang, Langmuir, 2021, 37, 6337–6346.
	- 92 P. Hemmati-Eslamlu, A. Habibi-Yangjeh, S. Asadzadeh-Khaneghah, H. Chand and V. Krishnan, Adv. Powder Technol., 2021, 32, 2322–2335.
	- 93 X. Yuan, C. Zhou, Q. Jing, Q. Tang, Y. Mu and A. Du, Nanomaterials, 2016, 6(9), 173.
	- 94 S. M. Abdel-Moniem, M. A. El-Liethy, H. S. Ibrahim and M. E. M. Ali, Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf., 2021, 226, 112808.
- 95 X. Chen, Y. Zhang, D. Yuan, W. Huang, J. Ding, H. Wan, W.-L. Dai and G. Guan, J. Mater. Sci. Technol., 2021, 71(30), 211–220.
- 96 K. Chen, P. Ye, Y. Zhang, Y. Yuanyue, Y. Wu, Y. Cheng, S. Gong, S. Zhou and K. Xiao, Nanotechnology, 2021, 32(46), 465603.
- 97 S. Patnaik, D. P. Sahoo and K. M. Parida, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2020, 560, 519–535.
- 98 T. O. Ajiboye, E. E. Imade, O. A. Oyewo and D. C. Onwudiwe, J. Photochem. Photobiol., 2022, 432, 114107.
- 99 E. K. Andreou, E. D. Koutsouroubi, I. Vamvasakis, S. Gerasimos and G. S. Armatas, Catalysts, 2023, 13, 437.
- 100 T. N. Xuan, D. N. Thi, T. N. Ngoc, K. D. Quoc, M. Németh, S. Shoaib Mukhtar and O. Horváth, Catalysts, 2023, 13(6), 964.
- 101 Md. M. Sangani, Md. S. Shahin, Md A. Yavari, M. Faghihinezhad and M. Baghdadi, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 2023, 130, 412–424.
- 102 J. Zhang, X. Zhang, T. Zhou, Y. Huang, L. Zhao, Z. Ren, X. Liu, L. Chen, Y. Shao, X. Ma, X. Xie and Z. Wu, J. Clean. Prod., 2021, 315, 128219.
- 103 Z. Shi, L. Rao, P. Wang and Y. Yu, Multilevel Immobilized Cnt/Scn Composite Photocatalytic Coating and its Efficient Adsorption and Reduction Over  $Cr(v)$  Under Flowing Water Condition. **PSC Advances** Articles. Published on 18 Novembre 2024. Downloaded on 2024. Downloaded on 2024. Distribution 2024. Downloaded on 2024. This article is liken and Creative Commons Articles. The Creative Commons Article is li
	- 104 Z. Jiang, H. Zhu, W. Guo, Q. Ren, Y. Ding, S. Chen, J. Chen and X. Jia, RSC Adv., 2022, 12, 7671–7679.
	- 105 T. O. Ajiboye, O. A. Oyewo, R. Marzouki and D. C. Onwudiwe, Catalysts, 2022, 12(10), 1075.
	- 106 L. Yang, J. Zhao, Z. Wang, L. Wang, Z. Zhao, S. Li, G. Li and Z. Cai, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2022, 276(5), 121184.
	- 107 D. Saha, T. J. Hoinkis and S. E. Van Bramer, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2020, 575, 433–442.
	- 108 H. Huo, X. Hu, H. Wang, J. Li, G. Xie, X. Tan, Q. Jin, D. Zhou, C. Li, G. Qiu and Y. Liu, Int. J. Environ. Res. Publ. Health, 2019, 16(17), 3219.
	- 109 J.-H. Wu, F.-Q. Shao, X.-Q. Luo, H.-J. Xu and A.-J. Wang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2019, 471, 935–942.
	- 110 O. M. Bankole, D. T. Olorunsola and S. A. Ogunlaja, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2021, 405, 112934.
	- 111 G. Li, Y. Wu, M. Zhang, B. Chu, W. Huang, M. Fan, L. Dong and B. Li, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2019, 58, 8979–8989.