Volume 15 Number 48 28 December 2024 Pages 20075–20592

Chemical Science

rsc.li/chemical-science

ISSN 2041-6539

EDGE ARTICLE

Zihao Guo, Jieping Wang, Wenbin Yi *et al.* Direct synthesis of *N*-perfluoro-*tert*-butyl secondary amines from *N*-trifluoromethyl secondary amines

Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2024, 15, 20171

C All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 19th September 2024 Accepted 30th October 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4sc06335

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Fluorine chemistry has seen significant advancements in recent years, with applications emerging in biomedicine^{1,2} and materials science.3,4 Synthetic methods for mono-, di-, and tri fluoromethylation are now well-established and highly sophisticated.⁵ Alongside these developments, short-chain perfluoroalkylation methodologies have garnered increasing attention due to the unique properties of perfluoroalkylcontaining compounds,⁶⁻¹⁰ which hold considerable promise in therapeutic and diagnostic applications.¹¹⁻¹³ Perfluoro-tertbutyl (PFtB) is particularly valuable in this context, playing a crucial role in ¹⁹F-labeled NMR^{9,14} and MRI probes,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ as well as 19F MRI contrast agents.18,19 PFtB offers a distinct and singular $19F$ signal, providing significant advantages in imaging. Not only does ¹⁹F sensitivity rival that of ¹H, but its signal originates solely from the imaging agent, eliminating interference from background signals. Evidently, the growing

Direct synthesis of N-perfluoro-tert-butyl secondary amines from N-trifluoromethyl secondary amines†

Leibing Wang, ^{Da} Zhongyu Feng,^a Zhen Luo,^a Zihao Guo, D^{*a} Jieping Wang^{*a} and Wenb[i](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4606-7668)n Yi^{D*ab}

N-Perfluoro-tert-butyl (N-PFtB) secondary amines, harboring a unique ¹⁹F-reporting moiety linked directly to nitrogen, are highly attractive due to their diverse potential applications. However, their mild and facile synthesis remains a significant challenge. Herein, we present a safe and efficient strategy for the direct synthesis of N-perfluoro-tert-butyl secondary amines from readily available N-trifluoromethyl secondary amines. Experiments and theoretical calculations demonstrate that this novel protocol encompasses three main processes: the elimination of hydrogen fluoride from the N-trifluoromethyl precursor, consecutive addition–elimination conversion of difluoromethyl imine $(R-N=CF_2)$ to hexafluoropropyl imine (R–N=C(CF₃)₂), and final addition of R–N=C(CF₃)₂ with the in situ generated fluoroform (HCF₃). Key advantages of this reaction include the utilization of a single trifluoromethyl source and the Ntrifluoromethyl starting material itself as the hydrogen source. Notably, the elimination of hydrogen fluoride, facilitated by CsF, is critical for the success of this approach. This method is compatible with a broad range of functional groups, including heterocyclic compounds. ¹⁹F MRI experiments suggest promising prospects for PFtB-labeled secondary amines as ¹⁹F MRI contrast agents. EDGE ARTICLE

(a) Check for undates
 $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{1}{2}$

demand for perfluorinated compounds in these fields underscores the importance of methodological studies for PFtB group synthesis.

Given the ubiquitous role of nitrogen in drug molecules, compounds combining nitrogen with the PFtB group, particularly N-PFtB secondary amines, are highly attractive and hold significant promise. However, the lack of a general synthesis method has resulted in limited reports of such compounds to date. The existing route developed by Petrov involves obtaining R-NHC($CF₃$)₃ from the hexafluoropropyl imine intermediate $(R-N=C(CF_3)_2)$ following addition and hydrochloric acid treatment.²⁰ This intermediate is typically derived from conventional reactions involving gaseous hexafluoroacetone with aromatic primary amines or isocyanates (Fig. 1A). $21,22$ However, these procedures suffer from some drawbacks, including the use of highly toxic gases, multiple steps, and demanding operations, $23-25$ which significantly hinder the exploration and application of these compounds. Therefore, overcoming these challenges and developing a general strategy for the safe and efficient construction of N-PFtB secondary amines is critical.

To the best of our knowledge, the conversion from difluoromethyl imine $(R-N=CF_2)$ to hexafluoropropyl imine $(R-N=CF_1)$ $C(CF_3)_2$) remains unreported. We propose this conversion as a significantly safer and more efficient approach for constructing multi- CF_3 amines, eliminating the need for highly toxic gases. Our strategy leverages a readily available N-CF₃ secondary

[&]quot;School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China. E-mail: zihao_guo@njust.edu.cn; jieping. wang@njust.edu.cn; yiwb@njust.edu.cn

^bKey Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai Institute Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Further details of the experimental procedure, ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{13}C(^{1}H)$ and ${}^{19}F(^{1}H)$ NMR, HPLC spectra, X-ray crystallographic data for 11. CCDC 2256453. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4sc06335j>

Fig. 1 Previous work and reaction design. (A) Traditional approaches to synthesizing N-PFtB secondary amines; (B) our designed strategy for the construction of N-PFtB secondary amines.

Results and discussion

To evaluate the proposed reaction pathway, we employed 4 cyanophenyl N-trifluoromethyl secondary amine as a model substrate. The substrate was treated with 8.0 equivalents of $TMSCF₃$ and 1.0 equivalent of KF initiator in THF at room temperature for 6 hours. The desired product (compound 1) was isolated in a 33% yield (Table 1, entry 1). Subsequent attempts to improve the yield by individually or concurrently increasing the amounts of $TMSCF_3$ or KF resulted in only modest improvement. Similarly, the addition of CuCl exhibited a negligible effect on the yield (entries 2–6). Notably, the absence of KF or its substitution with NaF or TBAF (tetrabutylammonium fluoride) completely ablated product formation (entries 7-9). Further investigation revealed that cesium fluoride (CsF) was crucial for this reaction system. When 8.0 equivalents of $TMSCF₃$ were combined with 1.0 equivalent of CsF in the presence of the substrate, the yield remarkably increased to 65% (entry 10). Employing 1.5 equivalents of CsF led to a further enhancement in product yield (entry 11). However, increasing the CsF amount to 3.0 equivalents resulted in an inhibitory effect (increase in unknown by-products, entry 12). Likewise,

Table 1 Survey of reaction conditions⁶

		Table 1 Survey of reaction conditions ^{a}			
A Typical methods for the synthesis of N-perfluoro-tert-butyl secondary amines					
1. CsCF: or 2. H^+ (aq) ● toxic gas NCO- • special handling \bullet two CF ₃ sources • multi-step			TMSCF ₃ , additive solvent, rt, 6 h NC	1	
B This work mild conditions \bullet single CF ₃ source	Entry	$TMSCF_3$ (equiv.)	Additive (equiv.)	Solvent	Yield b (%)
easy operation	1	8	KF(1)	THF	33
	$\overline{2}$	8	KF(3)	THF	38
HF in situ HCF addition elimination	3	8	$KF(5)$, CuCl (1.0)	THF	54
TMSCF ₃	4	8	KF(3), CuCl(1.0)	THF	47
	5	10	KF(5)	THF	43
addition-elimination	6	10	KF(3)	THF	42
	$\overline{7}$	8		THF	$\boldsymbol{0}$
Fig. 1 Previous work and reaction design. (A) Traditional approaches	8	8	NaF(3.0)	THF	$\bf{0}$
to synthesizing N-PFtB secondary amines; (B) our designed strategy	9	8	TBAF(3.0)	THF	$\boldsymbol{0}$
for the construction of N-PFtB secondary amines.	10	8	CsF(1.0)	THF	65
	11	8	CsF(1.5)	THF	73
	12	8	CSF(3.0)	THF	67
	13	8	CsF(0.5)	THF	60
amine as the starting material. ²⁶ This amine undergoes elimi-	14	8	CsF(1.5)	DCM	23
nation of HF to generate the highly reactive difluoromethyl	15	8	CSF(1.5)	MeCN	41
imine. Subsequently, in the presence of a CF ₃ source, this imine	16	6	CsF(1.5)	THF	76
undergoes two consecutive addition-elimination processes to	17	10	CSF(3.0)	THF	57
form hexafluoropropyl imine. Finally, the target N-PFtB secondary amine is obtained through the addition reaction of hexafluoropropyl imine with trifluoromethyl and hydrogen		solvent (4.0 mL). b Isolated yields.	a Reaction conditions: N -CF ₃ secondary amine (0.8 mmol, 1.0 equiv.),		
(Fig. 1B). Experimental and theoretical calculations support the					
notion that the eliminated HF reacts further with TMSCF ₃ , in			decreasing the CsF quantity to 0.5 equivalents yielded a slightly		
situ producing HCF ₃ which subsequently participates in the			lower product formation (generation of a dimer, entry 13) (see		
addition reaction with hexafluoropropyl imine. The key advan-			ESI, p. S4 [†]). These observations collectively suggest that the		
tages of this novel strategy lie in its reliance on a single CF ₃			liberated F^- anion, after being displaced by CF_3^- during the		
source and the starting material N-CF ₃ secondary amine as the			reaction, participates again by reacting with TMSCF ₃ to release		
hydrogen source, enabling a one-step reaction under mild			another CF_3 ⁻ . Among the tested solvents, THF provided the		
conditions.			most favorable outcome (entries 14-15). Finally, the optimal		
			reaction conditions were established by finetuning the ratio of		

Reaction conditions: N -CF₃ secondary amine (0.8 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), solvent (4.0 mL). b Isolated yields.</sup>

decreasing the CsF quantity to 0.5 equivalents yielded a slightly lower product formation (generation of a dimer, entry 13) (see ESI, p. S4†). These observations collectively suggest that the liberated F[−] anion, after being displaced by CF_3^- during the reaction, participates again by reacting with $TMSCF₃$ to release another CF_3^- . Among the tested solvents, THF provided the most favorable outcome (entries 14–15). Finally, the optimal reaction conditions were established by finetuning the ratio of TMSCF₃ and CsF (entry 16).

To elucidate the reaction mechanism, we initially employed the N-CH₃ analog of the starting substrate under standard conditions (Fig. 2A). This experiment aimed to preliminarily

Fig. 2 Preliminary mechanistic investigations.

determine the reaction pathway. Notably, no signal corresponding to the anticipated product was detected by GC-MS or ¹⁹F NMR analysis. This observation suggests that the N-H bond in the N -CF₃ secondary amine plays a critical role in the reaction, and a simple conversion of the three C–F bonds to C – $CF₃$ bonds is not the operative mechanism. Secondly, the presence of a fluoroform $(HCF_3)^{27}$ signal was identified in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum after 30 minutes of reaction time (Fig. 2B), although a very small amount of $HCF₃$ is formed in the absence of substrate (see ESI, p. $57\dagger$). This finding implies the potential involvement of HF elimination during the reaction. Finally, we investigated the reaction under conditions employing a catalytic amount of CsF (0.2 equivalents) and 2.0 equivalents of TMSCF3 (Fig. 2C). Using GC-MS analysis, we observed a mixture of mono-, di-, and tri-substituted trifluoromethylated products (see ESI, p. S9†).

Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations were employed to investigate the reaction mechanism (detailed in the ESI, p. S12†). The mechanism can be broadly divided into three key processes: firstly, CsF facilitates the removal of hydrogen fluoride (HF), forming CsHF₂; secondly, two sequential additionelimination processes occur, transforming C–F bonds into C– $CF₃$ bonds; and finally, the resulting intermediate reacts with the *in situ* generated fluoroform (HCF₃) at the N=C double bond, restoring the hydrogen atom to its original position. The reaction energy barrier diagram is presented in Fig. 3. The DFT calculations reveal that CsF promotes the initial HF elimination process by forming intermediate **IM-1** $(-12.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$ with

a significantly lower energy barrier compared to direct HF elimination (kinetic barrier $\Delta G_{IM1}^{\ddagger} = 47.3$ kcal mol⁻¹, thermodynamic barrier $\Delta G_{\text{IM1}}^{\circ} = 5.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$). Additionally, CsF exhibits a more favorable free energy change $(\Delta G_{\text{CSF}}^{\circ} = -12.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) for intermediate formation compared to that of the generation of the intermediate IM-1 via KF $(\Delta G_{\text{KF}}^{\circ} = -11.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$, underscoring its superior performance in the reaction. CsF reacts with $TMSCF₃$ to form $CsCF₃$, which then attacks **IM-1** and undergoes an addition reaction to form **IM-2** $(-51.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$. Subsequently, **IM-2** undergoes β -fluoride elimination, losing one molecule of CsF to give IM-3 (-49.3 kcal mol⁻¹), introducing the first CF₃ group. Double trifluoromethyl-substituted **IM-5** $(-82.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$ is formed after two consecutive processes. Notably, the $CSHF_2$ formed during the generation of **IM-1** reacts with $TMSCF₃$ to afford HCF₃ ($\Delta G_{\text{Fluoroform}}^{\circ} = -18.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$), contributing to the exothermic free energy change in the reaction. Finally, the addition reaction of **IM-5** with HCF₃ produces the target product 1. Importantly, the calculations show that directly eliminating one HF using two CsF equivalents is energetically unfavorable. Edge Article Chemine the ranction pathway. Notably, no signal corres are significantly lower energy barrier compared to different articles. The measurement of α of the state of the state of the state of the state of th

We evaluated the applicability of this method to various substrates under the optimized conditions (Table 2). The results reveal a clear influence of the electronic nature of the aryl ring on reaction efficiency. N-Trifluoromethyl secondary amines bearing electron-withdrawing groups, similar to the template substrate, yielded the corresponding trifluoromethylated products in good yields $(e.g.,$ trifluoromethyl (2) , nitro (3) , ester (4)).

Fig. 3 DFT study on the reaction mechanism.

 a Reaction conditions: N-CF₃ secondary amine (0.8 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), TMSCF₃ (4.8 mmol, 6.0 equiv.), CsF (1.2 mmol, 1.5 equiv.), THF (4.0 mL), r.t., 6 h. Isolated yields are given. b Determined by $^{19}{\rm F}$ NMR spectroscopy using trifluoromethoxybenzene as an internal standard. c Yield of two steps.

Conversely, electron-rich aromatic rings exhibited lower tolerance. Substrates with tert-butyl (5), methoxy (6, 7), methyl (8), and isopropyl (9) groups afforded N-PFtB secondary amines in lower to moderate yields. Unexpectedly, the ortho-ethoxysubstituted compound (10) produced the resulting product in a 61% yield. The naphthalene ring was also compatible, although α -naphthalene (12) displayed lower reactivity compared to β -naphthalene (13), while tetrahydronaphthalene (14) showed reactivity akin to that of α -naphthalene, possibly due to steric hindrance from the PFtB group. Notably, the presence of fluorine (15) , chlorine (16) , bromine (17) , and iodine (18) substituents on the benzene ring did not affect the reaction. These halogens remained intact, as confirmed by the absence of additional trifluoromethyl signals in GC-MS and ^{19}F NMR spectra. This compatibility is advantageous for downstream derivatization reactions. It's important to note that highly fluorinated molecules have a nonpolar character and an extremely low polarizability, inducing only weak intra- and intermolecular interactions.²⁸ Consequently, substrates 2 and 15, which contain fluorine and trifluoromethyl groups on the

benzene ring, exhibit lower boiling points compared to the other substrates, making it difficult to isolate compounds 2 and 15 under reduced pressure for extended periods. Moderate yields were obtained for ketone substrates (20, 21) and the sulfone substrate (22). Perfluoro-tert-butylation of thiophene (23) and protected nitrogen compounds (24, 25) also proceeded successfully. However, pyridine and thiazole starting materials did not convert to PFtB amines under standard conditions, potentially due to the instability of their N -CF₃ secondary amine precursors in the solvent-free environment. Optimized conditions were developed for a one-pot conversion of isothiocyanates to N-PFtB pyridine derivatives (27, 28) in THF (details in ESI, p. S27†). Similarly, trifluoromethylated thiazole (29) and isoquinoline (30) precursors, synthesized in MeCN but THF instead of $Et₂O$ in the purification procedure, were successfully transformed to corresponding compounds. The synthesis of bis-NHC(CF_3)₃-substituted substrates (31–33) yielded low product quantities. Notably, the standard protocol is not suitable for aliphatic substrates. The structure of N-PFtB secondary amine 11 was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic

 a Derivatization and applications of N-PFtB secondary amines. (A) Synthesis of drug-like molecules (for details, see ESI). (B) Amine (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), iodide (5.0 equiv.), NaH (10.0 equiv.), THF, r.t., 12 h. (C) MR imaging of ¹⁹F at ultra-high field.

analysis. Compound 1 exhibited stability under acidic (aqueous HCl, pH 0, 36 hours) conditions, with no degradation observed by ¹H NMR (phenyl region). However, minimal decomposition was detected under basic conditions (aqueous NaOH, pH 14, 36 hours) (details in ESI, p. $S42\dagger$). The predicted Log P value of compound 1 (Log $P = 4.85$) is significantly higher than its N-tertbutyl counterpart (Log $P = 2.27$), indicating a substantial increase in lipophilicity due to the CF_3 groups.²⁹

To assess the utility of this method for incorporating PFtB groups into drug-like molecules, we targeted ibuprofen (antipyretic/analgesic) and probenecid (antigout). Since N -CF₃ secondary amines bearing an unprotected NH₂ group were not accessible using our previously reported method,²⁶ it is more feasible to obtain such an active precursor (34) by reducing a NO₂ group containing N-PFtB secondary amine (3) . Following the reduction of compound 3 and subsequent condensation, the PFtB group was successfully introduced into these drugs with excellent yields (ibuprofen: 36, 97%; probenecid: 37, 81%). Notably, the PFtB group remained intact during ester deprotection. Furthermore, N-PFtB derivatives of procaine (local anesthetic, 38) and prucalopride (constipation treatment, 39) were obtained via smooth condensation with the amino or hydroxyl groups (Table 3A). These examples demonstrate the compatibility of N-PFtB secondary amines with various derivatization reactions. Capitalizing on the stability of the N–H bond, we achieved N-methylation under strong basic conditions (NaH) using multiple substrates. Naphthalene (41), cyano (40), benzyl (42), ester (43), halogen (44, 45), and Boc-protected amino (46)

substituted N-PFtB amines yielded the corresponding methylated products in high yields. Interestingly, allyl iodide reacted with compounds 1 and 4 to afford products 47 and 48 (Table 3B), while iodoethane, iodopropane, and iodobutane were unreactive. Additionally, the acylation (49) reaction of N–H bond in N-PFtB secondary amine was successfully performed. Finally, we explored the potential of PFtB-labeled molecules for ¹⁹F MRI applications using compound 36. Compared to negative (H_2O) and positive (CF₄) controls, compound 36 exhibited clear imaging sensitivity at various concentrations (25, 50, 75, 100, and 125 mM). Notably, a concentration of 75 mM displayed a high level of discrimination (Table 3C). These findings suggest promising prospects for PFtB-labeled secondary amines as 19 F MRI contrast agents.

Conclusions

In summary, this study describes a novel and efficient one-step method for the synthesis of aromatic N-PFtB secondary amines from readily available N -CF₃ secondary amine precursors. The reaction involves the strategic elimination of HF from starting materials, rapid conversion of C–F bonds to $C-CF_3$ groups, and incorporation of the in situ generated fluoroform. This method is compatible with a broad range of functional groups, including complex compounds. Experimental results and DFT calculations confirm the involvement of intermediates in the reaction process. This approach not only offers new insights into the reactivity of N -CF₃ secondary amines but also provides a valuable pathway for the development of N-PFtB-containing drugs, imaging probes, and contrast agents.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

Author contributions

W. Y. and L. W. conceived the research. L. W. and J. W. carried out all the experiments and data analysis. Z. F. and Z. L. carried out synthesis of various starting materials. Z. G. performed DFT calculations. L. W., J. W. and W. Y. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22378205, 22078161), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (30922010403), Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, the Center for Advanced Materials and Technology in Nanjing University of Science and Technology for financial support. Chemical Science
 Solid Access Article is a consequent of NFPLD-consulting to H. Mang, L. Work, 202, L. H., H. Den de Y. Zhan, Op. Lett.

The data soupporting this article have been included as part of \sim K. Magnetic.

Notes and references

- 1 J. Wang, M. Sanchez-Rosello, J. L. Acena, C. del Pozo, A. E. Sorochinsky, S. Fustero, V. A. Soloshonok and H. Liu, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 2432–2506.
- 2 Y. Zhou, J. Wang, Z. Gu, S. Wang, W. Zhu, J. L. Acena, V. A. Soloshonok, K. Izawa and H. Liu, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 422–518.
- 3 R. Berger, G. Resnati, P. Metrangolo, E. Weber and J. Hulliger, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 3496–3508.
- 4 A. Vitale, R. Bongiovanni and B. Ameduri, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 8835–8866.
- 5 X. Yang, T. Wu, R. J. Phipps and F. D. Toste, Chem. Rev., 2014, 115, 826–870.
- 6 J.-A. Ma and D. Cahard, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, PR1–PR43.
- 7 C. L. Tong, X. H. Xu and F. L. Qing, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2021, 60, 22915–22924.
- 8 Q. Wang, Q. Tao, H. Dong, C. Ni, X. Xie and J. Hu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2021, 60, 27318–27323.
- 9 Z. Wei, L. Wen, K. Zhu, Q. Wang, Y. Zhao and J. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2022, 144, 22281–22288.
- 10 H. Meng, L. Wen, Z. Xu, Y. Li, J. Hao and Y. Zhao, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 5206–5210.
- 11 C. Zhang, K. Yan, C. Fu, H. Peng, C. J. Hawker and A. K. Whittaker, Chem. Rev., 2022, 122, 167–208.
- 12 E. Hequet, C. Henoumont, R. N. Muller and S. Laurent, Future Med. Chem., 2019, 11, 1157–1175.
- 13 I. Tirotta, V. Dichiarante, C. Pigliacelli, G. Cavallo, G. Terraneo, F. B. Bombelli, P. Metrangolo and G. Resnati, Chem. Rev., 2014, 115, 1106–1129.
- 14 K. Tanabe, H. Harada, M. Narazaki, K. Tanaka, K. Inafuku, H. Komatsu, T. Ito, H. Yamada, Y. Chujo, T. Matsuda, M. Hiraoka and S. Nishimoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 15982–15983.
- 15 A. Li, X. Luo, D. Chen, L. Li, H. Lin and J. Gao, Anal. Chem., 2023, 95, 70–82.
- 16 B. L. Bona, O. Koshkina, C. Chirizzi, V. Dichiarante, P. Metrangolo and F. Baldelli Bombelli, Acc. Mater. Res., 2022, 4, 71–85.
- 17 B. Meng, S. L. Grage, O. Babii, M. Takamiya, N. MacKinnon, T. Schober, I. Hutskalov, O. Nassar, S. Afonin, S. Koniev, I. V. Komarov, J. G. Korvink, U. Strähle and A. S. Ulrich, Small, 2022, 18, 2107308.
- 18 K. Akazawa, F. Sugihara, T. Nakamura, H. Matsushita, H. Mukai, R. Akimoto, M. Minoshima, S. Mizukami and K. Kikuchi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 16742–16747.
- 19 N. G. Taylor, S. H. Chung, A. L. Kwansa, R. R. Johnson, A. J. Teator, N. J. B. Milliken, K. M. Koshlap, Y. G. Yingling, Y. Z. Lee and F. A. Leibfarth, Chem. Eur. J., 2020, 26, 9982–9990.
- 20 V. A. Petrov, Tetrahedron Lett., 2000, 41, 6959–6963.
- 21 W. J. Middleton and C. G. Krespan, J. Org. Chem., 1965, 30, 1398–1402.
- 22 R. J. D. Pasquale, J. Fluorine Chem., 1976, 8, 311–322.
- 23 Y. Guo, K. Fujiwara and K. Uneyama, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 827– 829.
- 24 J. F. Kögel, L. H. Finger, N. Frank and J. Sundermeyer, Inorg. Chem., 2014, 53, 3839–3846.
- 25 Y. L. Yagupolskii and K. I. Petko, J. Fluorine Chem., 2015, 176, 9–13.
- 26 L. Wang, J. Wang, S. Ye, B. Jiang, Z. Guo, Y. Mumtaz and W. Yi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2022, 61, e202212115.
- 27 J. X. Xiang, Y. Ouyang, X. H. Xu and F. L. Qing, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 10320–10324.
- 28 J. P. Bégué and D. Bonnet-Delpon, Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry of Fluorine, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, 2008.
- 29 T. Cheng, Y. Zhao, X. Li, F. Lin, Y. Xu, X. Zhang, Y. Li and R. Wang, J. Chem. Inf. Model., 2007, 47, 2140–2148.