# Faraday Discussions

Cite this: Faraday Discuss., 2021, 231, 66

## ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

# PAPER

# On the completeness of three-dimensional electron diffraction data for structural analysis of metal–organic frameworks†

Meng Ge[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8485-4676)  $\mathbf{D}^a$  Taimin Yang,<sup>a</sup> Yanzhi Wang,<sup>b</sup> Francesco Carraro,  $\mathbf{D}^c$ Weibin Liang,<sup>d</sup> C[hris](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3869-4055)tian Doonan[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2822-0956) D<sup>d</sup> [P](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6748-6656)aolo Falcaro, D<sup>e</sup> Haoquan Zheng,  $\mathbb{D}^{\mathsf{b}}$  Xiaodong Zou  $\mathbb{D}^{\mathsf{a}}$  and Zhehao Huang  $\mathbb{D}^{\star\mathsf{a}}$ 

Received 19th February 2021, Accepted 4th May 2021 DOI: 10.1039/d1fd00020a

Three-dimensional electron diffraction (3DED) has been proven as an effective and accurate method for structure determination of nano-sized crystals. In the past decade, the crystal structures of various new complex metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) have been revealed by 3DED, which has been the key to understand their properties. However, due to the design of transmission electron microscopes (TEMs), one drawback of 3DED experiments is the limited tilt range of goniometers, which often leads to incomplete 3DED data, particularly when the crystal symmetry is low. This drawback can be overcome by high throughput data collection using continuous rotation electron diffraction (cRED), where data from a large number of crystals can be collected and merged. Here, we investigate the effects of improving completeness on structural analysis of MOFs. We use ZIF-EC1, a zeolitic imidazolate framework (ZIF), as an example. ZIF-EC1 crystallizes in a monoclinic system with a plate-like morphology. cRED data of ZIF-EC1 with different completeness and resolution were analyzed. The data completeness increased to 92.0% by merging ten datasets. Although the structures could be solved from individual datasets with a completeness as low as 44.5% and refined to a high precision (better than 0.04 Å), we demonstrate that a high data completeness could improve the structural model, especially on the electrostatic potential map. We further discuss the strategy adopted during data merging. We also **PAPER**<br> **Solution of the completeness of three-dimension<br>
Solution of the completeness of three-dimension<br>
Solution since the completeness of three-dimension<br>
analysis of metal-organic frameworks;<br>
Meng Ge,**  $\bullet$  **a Taimin** 

a Department of Materials and Environmental Chemistry, Stockholm University, Stockholm SE-106 91, Sweden. E-mail: zhehao.huang@mmk.su.se

b Key Laboratory of Applied Surface and Colloid Chemistry, Ministry of Education, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an 710119, China

c Institute of Physical and Theoretical Chemistry, Graz University of Technology, Stremayrgasse 9, 8010 Graz, Austria

d Department of Chemistry and the Centre for Advanced Nanomaterials, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, 5005 South Australia, Australia

<sup>†</sup> CCDC The crystallographic data for the datasets 1, M\_A1, M\_A2, M\_A3, 5, M\_B, 7, M\_C, M\_BC, M\_ABC and M ABCD have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) under deposition number CCDC 2063943–2063953. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1fd00020a

show that ZIF-EC1 doped with cobalt can act as an efficient electrocatalyst for oxygen reduction reactions.

## Introduction

During the past two decades, three-dimensional electron diffraction (3DED) has been steadily developed as a complementary technique to single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) for structural elucidation of nanocrystals.<sup>1-4</sup> The early development of 3DED techniques such as ADT/PEDT,<sup>5,6</sup> RED,<sup>7,8</sup> and EDT<sup>9</sup> utilize stepwise rotation, where a crystal is tilted along an arbitrary axis in a transmission electron microscope (TEM), and electron diffraction (ED) patterns are collected at each angle. Recently, new protocols of 3DED, such as continuous rotation electron diffraction (cRED),<sup>10</sup> fast ADT/EDT,<sup>11,12</sup> microED,<sup>13</sup> and rotation electron diffraction,<sup>14</sup> have been developed. They are based on continuous data acquisition where the goniometer continuously rotates at a constant speed and the detector records a movie of ED patterns. The rapid development of 3DED has made large impacts on structural characterization of new materials, such as zeolites, $15-20$ metal oxides $21-24$  and quasicrystal approximants.<sup>25</sup> **Paper**<br> **Faccess Article is the Sacce Article is an efficient electrocatalyst for oxygen<br>
reduction reactions.<br>
<b>Introduction**<br> **During the past two decades, three-dimensional electron diffraction (3DED) has<br>
diffraction** 

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) or porous coordination polymers (PCPs) are a class of hybrid materials linking inorganic metal building units and organic ligands.<sup>26,27</sup> The almost unlimited combination of inorganic and organic components has led to an ever-expanding family of MOFs with versatile structures and properties.<sup>28</sup> However, due to the reversible coordination bonds, MOFs are sensitive to radiation damage by electron beam, which hampers their structural analysis using 3DED. This challenge has been tackled by the development of continuous rotation data collection, using one means a 3DED dataset can be acquired in less than a few minutes, with a dose rate lower than 0.1 e s $^{-1}$  Å $^{-2}$ . The fast data collection minimizes loss of crystallinity due to beam damage, and consequently the quality of 3DED data, such as resolution, has been improved significantly.

Benetting from continuous rotation electron diffraction, a growing number of MOF structures have been determined, with their unique properties revealed.<sup>29-38</sup> However, the geometric constraints in a TEM impose a physical limitation on the tilt range on the goniometer. Even using a specialized tomography sample holder, 3DED data can be acquired only from  $-70^{\circ}$  to  $+70^{\circ}$ . The angular coverage of a maximum  $140^\circ$  represents ca. 78% sampling volume of reciprocal space, where the remaining 22% of reciprocal space is not accessible. In practice, the tilt range is lower than the maximum value due to the movement of the target crystal, and also overlaps the target crystal with other crystals or the TEM grid. The limited sampling therefore leads to incomplete 3DED data, known as the missing cone problem. The incomplete data could hinder an accurate structure determination, which is more severe for MOF crystals with low symmetry.

To solve this problem, Gruene et al. developed a specialized 3D TEM grid for 3DED data collection.<sup>39</sup> As the data processing and structure determination using 3DED data are similar to those using SCXRD data, we present a strategy to improve data quality, particularly data completeness, by merging data from different individual crystals. We use ZIF-EC1 as an example to study the missing data problem. ZIF-EC1 was first discovered as a minor phase in a MOF mixture by continuous

rotation electron diffraction (cRED). It crystallizes in a monoclinic space group  $P2<sub>1</sub>/c$ with  $a = 13.462(2)$   $\AA$ ,  $b = 14.659(3)$   $\AA$ ,  $c = 14.449(2)$   $\AA$ ,  $\beta = 118.12(1)$ °. The structure of ZIF-EC1 was first solved and refined using a single cRED data point obtained from a phase mixture, and then using merged data from nine crystals of its pure phase.<sup>40</sup> Here we present a systematic study of the influence of data completeness on the structural analysis of ZIF-EC1. We show that data completeness can be improved after data merging. As each crystal has a different orientation and particle size, we further discuss the use of correlations of cRED intensity among different datasets, to choose the best combination to improve data quality. Moreover, merging of 3DED data is performed using different algorithms adapted from X-ray crystallography. We show that the structures could be solved and refined with high precision from individual datasets with a completeness as low as 44.5%. With increased data completeness, the structural model of ZIF-EC1 could be further improved, and peaks corresponding to atoms in the electrostatic potential map have well-defined isotropic shapes. By knowing the atomic structure and the underlying property, ZIF-EC1 was doped with cobalt and utilized as an electrocatalyst for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR). Faraday Discussions<br>
view Article points<br>
view of 2CIF-BC1 was first solved and refined using a single CRED data point obtained<br>
on  $\alpha$  Passe mixture of ZIF-BC1 was first solved and refined using a single CRED data point

## Experimental section

## Synthesis

In a typical synthesis of ZIF-EC1, 0.125 mL of a 3.84 M aqueous solution of 2 methylimidazole (HmIM, TCI Chemicals) was mixed with 1.875 mL of deionized water. 1 mL of 0.24 M aqueous solution of  $Zn(OAc)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)$  (EMSURE, Merck) was added into the above solution under vigorous stirring. The stirring condition was then maintained for at least 4 h at RT. The products were washed in deionized water at least six times. After centrifugation, the products were re-suspended in deionized water. ZIF-EC1(Zn,Co) was synthesized in a modified condition. Instead of using 0.24 M aqueous solution of  $\text{Zn}(\text{OAc})_2 \cdot 2(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ , a mixture of 0.16 M  $\text{Zn}(\text{OAc})_2 \cdot 2(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ and 0.08 M  $Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6(H<sub>2</sub>O)$  was used, with a Zn : Co molar ratio of 2 : 1.

## cRED data collection

The samples for cRED data collection were crushed in a mortar and dispersed in deionized water. A droplet was then taken from the suspension, put on a copper grid covered with lacey carbon, and dried in air at room temperature. cRED data were collected on a JEOL JEM 2100 microscope operated at 200 kV (Cs 1.0 mm, point resolution 0.23 nm). cRED data collection was controlled using the data





acquisition software Instamatic, $10,41$  and the electron diffraction (ED) patterns were recorded by a Timepix hybrid detector QTPX-262k (512  $\times$  512 pixels, pixel size 55 µm, Amsterdam Sci. Ins.). A tomography holder was used for the data collection, which could tilt from  $-70^{\circ}$  to  $+70^{\circ}$  in the TEM. The area used for cRED data collection was about 1.0  $\mu$ m in diameter, as defined by a selected area aperture. To minimize electron beam damage of the crystal and maximize the data quality, data was collected at a low electron dose condition. Ten cRED datasets were collected on the ZIF-EC1 crystals, covering a tilt range from  $42.34^{\circ}$  to  $122.71^\circ$ . Two different rotation speeds were used for the datasets, and the data collection time ranges from 77.7 s to 239.5 s. The detailed conditions for acquiring each dataset can be found in Table 1.

### cRED data processing and structural analysis

The X-ray crystallography software package XDS<sup>42</sup> was used for data processing to determine the unit cell and space group, index the diffraction spots and estimate integrated diffraction intensities. XSCALE, which is part of the XDS package, was then applied for data merging. The SHELX software package<sup>43</sup> was used for structural analysis, where SHELXT was used for structure solution and SHELXL for structure refinement. Atomic scattering factors for electrons based on neutral atoms were used. All atoms were refined anisotropically. While the model obtained from each individual dataset could be refined, for easy comparison, the same starting SHELXL input file was used for all the structure refinements presented here. EADP were applied on two carbon atoms (C5 and C20). Planarity (FLAT) and distance restraints (DFIX and DANG) were applied to the 2-methyl $imidazolate$  ( $mIm^-$ ) groups to maintain a reasonable geometry. At the end, the extinction parameter EXTI<sup>44</sup> was applied in the refinement. The crystallographic details can be found in Table 2. Faces Article 2021. The SHET MINT of the CHI main and the electron (EPI) patterns are exceeded by a Timepix hybrid detector OTPX-262k (512  $\times$  512 pixels, pixel size 55 µm, Amsterdam Sei. Ims.). A tomography holder was us

## Electrochemical application

All electrochemical tests are evaluated by a three-electrode system (the counter electrode and the reference electrode are graphite rods and saturated Ag/AgCl electrodes, respectively) on the CHI 660E electrochemical workstation at 30 C. The working electrode is a rotating disk electrode (RDE) with a diameter of 5 mm (0.196 cm<sup>2</sup>). The CV test is carried out in 0.1 M KOH saturated with Ar or O<sub>2</sub> (scan rate is 50 mV s $^{-1}$ ). The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) test is carried out in 0.1 M KOH saturated with O<sub>2</sub> (scan rate is 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>). The catalyst ink for the ORR tests was prepared as follows: 4 mg ZIF-EC1(Zn,Co) and 1 mg carbon nanotubes was weighed and dispersed in the mixed solution containing 450 µL isopropanol, 40  $\mu$ L deionized water and 10  $\mu$ L Nafion D520 aqueous solution (5 wt%). The mixed solution was treated by ultrasound for 1 h to form a homogeneous suspension. Then 15 µL catalyst solution was taken from the pipette and uniformly dripped onto the rotating disc electrode (RDE). After drying, it was used for electrocatalytic ORR performance evaluation.

## Results and discussion

ZIF-EC1 crystallizes in a monoclinic system with a plate-like morphology (Fig. 1a). With chemical formula  $Zn_3(mIm)_5(OH)$ , ZIF-EC1 is composed of  $ZnN_4$ 





Paper Faraday Discussions



Fig. 1 (a) SEM image of ZIF-EC1 crystals. (b) The structural model of ZIF-EC1. Cyan tetrahedra: Zn atoms; red spheres: O atoms; blue spheres: N atoms; grey spheres: C atoms.

mononuclear clusters and binuclear  $\text{Zn}_2\text{N}_6(\text{OH})$  clusters, where  $\text{Zn}(\text{n})$  cations link to  $\text{mIm}^-$  ions (Fig. 1b). Ten cRED datasets collected on the ZIF-EC1 crystals were chosen for this study (Table 1), among which eight (no. 1–8) are good datasets with high resolution  $(0.70-0.93 \text{ Å})$  and another two  $(no. 9-10)$  with lower resolution  $(1.00-1.21 \text{ Å})$ . The 3D reciprocal lattices reconstructed from datasets 1–8 are presented in Fig. 2, where the missing cones appear at different locations. As ZIF-EC1 is crystallized in a monoclinic system, the individual 3DED datasets have completeness ranging from 24.1-71.6% after the reflections were indexed using X-ray crystallography software package XDS<sup> $42$ </sup> (Table 1). The structure could be solved and refined from each of the datasets 1-8. Paper<br>
Fartaday Discussions<br>
Fartaday Discussions<br>
Fartaday Discussions<br>
Fartaday Discussions<br>
Fartaday Discussions<br>
The 11.10 STM mag of 21<sup>2</sup>F-CL cyans.<br>
The structural model of 21<sup>2</sup>F-CL cyans<br>
terms are presented in Fi

To improve the overall data quality, XSCALE was applied for data merging. We performed systematic studies by merging a different number of datasets to study the influence of data completeness on the structural analysis of ZIF-EC1. We



Fig. 2 3D reciprocal lattices of ZIF-EC1 reconstructed from datasets  $1-8$ , (a–h) respectively. They are viewed along the same direction, with data completeness of 44.5%, 47.1%, 55.0%, 58.3%, 52.9%, 71.6%, 62.9%, and 32.5%, respectively. The different data cover different parts of the reciprocal space.

divided the ten datasets into four groups, collected under different tilting rates and/or with different resolutions. Group A includes four datasets 1–4 collected using a high tilting rate  $(1.13^{\circ} \text{ s}^{-1})$ , all with high resolution  $(0.70$ -0.78 Å). The remaining datasets 5–10 were collected using a low tilting rate  $(0.45^{\circ} \text{ s}^{-1})$ . The groups were in accordance with the data resolution: datasets  $5-6$  with 0.76-0.78 Å resolution belong to group **B**, datasets 7–8 with 0.92–0.93  $\AA$  resolution to group **C**, and datasets 9–10 with 1.00–1.21  $\AA$  resolution to group **D**. We first merged datasets in group A, which has completeness ranging from 44.5% to 58.3%. The data completeness was improved steadily from 44.5% of the single dataset 1 to 71.5, 75.5, and 81.4% for combining two  $(M A1, m)$  merged dataset A1), three (M\_A2), and four datasets (M\_A3), respectively (Table 2). To investigate the relationship between data completeness and the structural model, we refined the structural model of ZIF-EC1 against the data merged from different numbers of cRED datasets. While the number of unique reflections increased significantly, from 2189 for a single dataset 1 to 4154 by merging four datasets, the  $R_1$  values are very similar, varying between 0.204 and 0.213. This indicates that all datasets have similar degrees in consistence with the structural models. The improvement can Faraday Discussions<br>
divided on ten dates into four groups, collected under different itlang rates<br>
and down the different resolutions. Group A includes four datasets 1–4 collected<br>
using a high tilting rate (1.13° s<sup>-1</sup>),



Fig. 3 Electrostatic potential maps calculated based on datasets (a and k) 1, (b and l)  $MA_1$ , (c and m)  $MA_2$ , (d and n)  $MA_3$ , (e and o)  $M_ABC$ , (f and p) 5, (g and q)  $M_-B$ , (h and r) 7, (i and s)  $M_C$ , and (j and t)  $M_B$ C, viewed along (a–j) the a-axis and (k–t) the c-axis. The refined structural model is superimposed in the map and used for calculating the structure factor phases. Missing peaks are observed on the maps constructed from data with low completeness. Peaks are more spherical with the increase of completeness. All electrostatic potential maps are drawn at the same contour level, which is the  $2\sigma$  level of M\_ABC dataset, and is equal to an isosurface level  $d_{\text{iso}} = 0.811$ . Atom color codes: grey, C; blue, N; red, O; cyan, Zn.

be easily visualized in the corresponding electrostatic potential maps (Fig. 3a– d and k–n). For those with high data completeness (75.5% for **M\_A2** and 81.4% for MA\_3), the peaks appear more spherical with similar peak heights for the same atom types (Fig. 3d and n). In contrast, data with low completeness (44.5% for 1 and 62.6% for  $M$  A1) resulted in either missing peaks with large variations in peak heights (Fig. 3a and k) or severe peak elongation (Fig. 3a, b, k and l). Because the electrostatic potential map is the basis of a structural model, distortion of the map leads to reduced reliability and accuracy of the atom coordinates and atomic displacement parameters. Therefore, even though a structural model can be obtained from a single dataset, it is vital to improve data completeness.

Furthermore, we applied data merging on datasets collected using a low tilting rate (groups  $\bf{B}$  and  $\bf{C}$ ). The completeness increased significantly from 53.5% for single dataset 5 to 85.0% upon merging datasets 5 and  $6(M_B)$ . However, there is little increase in data completeness for group C, when datasets 7 and 8 are merged (from 62.9% for 7 to 63.3% for  $M$  B). The electrostatic potential maps present a significant improvement from single dataset  $5$  to  $M$   $B$  as the completeness is increased from 53.5 to 85.0% (Fig. 3f, g, p, and q). On the contrary, there is very limited improvement in the electrostatic potential maps from single dataset 7 to M C, because the completeness was only increased by 0.4% (Fig. 3h, i, r, and s). Notably, merging the four datasets  $5-8$  in groups **B** and  $C(M\_BC)$  led to improved completeness (87.0%), and the electrostatic potential map (Fig. 3j and t). Further merging all the aforementioned datasets 1–8 (M\_ABC) resulted in the highest completeness (89.1%), and thus the best electrostatic potential map with most spherical peaks (Fig. 3e and o). The structural model refined against the dataset M\_ABC is therefore used as a reference model, to which the atomic coordinates from the structural models obtained from datasets  $M$   $A3$ ,  $M$   $B$ ,  $M$   $C$  and  $M$   $BC$ were compared. It shows that with a low completeness, e.g. 63.3% for M\_C, the corresponding structural model resulted in higher deviations on atomic positions, compared to those from other datasets with high completeness (Table 3). We further calculated the average deviation from reference atoms (ADRA)<sup>45</sup> values to compare the different models (Table 2). The ADRA values decreased **Fractal conditions Fractal conditions be castive solution** and CHE case and AL-B). For those with high data completeness (75.5% for **M.A** and 81.4% for **MA** a), the peaks appear more spherical with insmitar peak heigh



Fig. 4 The effect of (a) data completeness and (b) number of total unique reflections on the ADRA values. The structural model refined against the merged data from the eight  $c$ RED datasets with the highest  $CC<sub>I</sub>$  was used as the reference model. The ADRA values are reduced with the increase of the data completeness.

successively from 0.035 Å to 0.015 Å by merging more and more datasets in group  $A(M \text{ A}3)$ . Improving completeness from 53.5 to 85.0% in group  $B(M \text{ B})$  also led to decreasing ADRA values from 0.038 Å to 0.015 Å. On the other hand, the ADRA values showed little improvement by merging datasets 7 and 8 in group  $C(M, C)$ , varying from 0.036 to 0.034 Å, with a completeness of 62.9 and 63.3%, respectively. Moreover, merging the four datasets 5–8 in groups B and C (87.0%, M\_BC) led to a reduced ADRA value  $(0.010 \text{ Å})$ . Fig. 4 shows a clear trend that the ADRA values are reduced with the increase of the data completeness as well as the increase in the number of unique reflections.

During data merging, correlation coefficients of the common reflection intensities  $(CC<sub>l</sub>)$  between two datasets are calculated by XSCALE, which indicate the degree of intensity similarity between the datasets (Table 4). The correlation among the datasets 1–8 are very high, as indicated by the  $CC_I$  values (>0.95). In contrast, datasets 9 and 10 are rather poorly correlated with the other datasets













Fig. 5 Electrostatic potential maps calculated based on datasets (a and c) M\_ABC, and (b and d) M\_ABCD viewed along (a and b) the a-axis and (c and d) the c-axis. The refined structural model is superimposed in the map and used for calculating the structure factor phases. All electrostatic potential maps are drawn at the same contour level, which is the  $2\sigma$  level of the M\_ABC dataset, and is equal to an isosurface level  $d_{iso} = 0.811$ . Atom color codes: grey, C; blue, N; red, O; cyan, Zn.

with the  $CC<sub>I</sub>$  values are mostly below 0.80. Combining the additional datasets 9 and 10 to the merged dataset M\_ABC increased the data completeness from 89.1% to 92.0%. However, this led to a significant increase of the  $R_1$  values for all reflections, from  $0.193$  to  $0.250$ . On the other hand, very little change is observed in the electrostatic potential maps (Fig. 5), and the ADRA remains at a low value. This indicates that the structural models are very similar, and the increased  $R_1$ value mainly results from merging poorly correlated datasets. Therefore, there would be little benefit to add more datasets with low intensity correlations, after reasonable data completeness has already been reached.

Due to the development of a continuous rotation setup for collecting cRED data, software for X-ray crystallography can be easily used to process 3DED data. Thus, we investigate different algorithms of X-ray crystallography for data merging and evaluate the data quality. XSCALE, XPREP<sup>46</sup> and AIMLESS<sup>47</sup> are among the other programs that are commonly applied for merging datasets. We chose datasets 1–8 for merging because they have high correlation between each other. Using different algorithms for scaling and merging data, XSCALE, XPREP, and AIMLESS resulted in slightly different completeness of 89.1, 85.2, and 90.4%, respectively (Table 5). The  $R_1$  values are also similar (0.192–0.213), and the electrostatic potential maps exhibit well defined and spherical peaks (Fig. 6). Although using kinematical assumption, this indicates that different merging algorithms in X-ray crystallography programs can be used to process cRED data for high data completeness. Faraday Discussions Wew Article on 04 magnitude article. Published on 2021. However, this led to a significant increase of the  $R_1$  values for all redectrostatic poetness are mostly below. The other hand, very little chan

As 3DED revealed the accurate structure of ZIF-EC1, ZIF-EC1 has been utilized as a precursor to generate carbon materials for catalyzing electrochemical reactions due to its high density of metal ions.<sup>40</sup> Here, we added  $Co(\Pi)$  ions in the synthesis to further take advantage of the highly dense structure of ZIF-EC1, and use its pristine form for electrocatalytic oxygen reduction reaction (ORR). The electroactivity of ZIF-EC1(Zn,Co) was tested in 0.1 M KOH solution using the rotating disk electrode (RDE). The cyclic voltammetry (CV) data of ZIF-EC1(Zn,Co) showed that a strong electrocatalytic oxygen reduction peak near 0.74 V was observed in  $O<sub>2</sub>$ -saturated solution, while there was no reduction peak in an Arsaturated solution (Fig. 7). Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) further confirmed the good catalytic performance of ZIF-EC1, showing an onset potential  $(E_{onset})$  of 0.85 V and a half-wave potential  $(E_{1/2})$  of 0.78 V. In addition, the electrocatalytic ORR limiting current density can reach 4.7 mA  $cm^{-2}$ . The efficiency of ZIF-EC1(Zn,Co) for ORR is among one of the best MOF electrocatalysts in comparison with the others.<sup>48-51</sup>



 $T$ able 5 Refinement details for merginant details for merginals  $1$  –8 using different methods different methods

76 | Faraday Discuss., 2021, 231, 66-80 This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

Paper Faraday Discussions



Fig. 6 Electrostatic potential maps calculated based on datasets 1–8 merged by (a and d) XSCALE, (b and e XPREP, and (c and f) AIMLESS. The refined structural model is superimposed in the map and used for calculating the structure factor phases. All electrostatic potential maps are drawn at the same contour level, which is the  $2\sigma$  level of M\_ABC dataset, and equals to an isosurface level  $d_{\text{iso}} = 0.811$ . Atom color codes: grey: C; blue: N; red: O; cyan: Zn.



Fig. 7 (a) CVs of ZIF-EC1(Zn,Co) catalyst in 0.1 M KOH in an Ar/O<sub>2</sub>-saturated solution. (b) LSV curves of ZIF-EC1(Zn,Co) catalyst in 0.1 M KOH in an O<sub>2</sub>-saturated solution at 1600 rpm.

## **Conclusions**

From the studies of the influence of data completeness on the structural analysis of ZIF-EC1, we show that the structure could be solved and refined to a high precision from cRED data with different completeness, even as low as 44.5% collected at various resolutions  $(0.70-0.93 \text{ Å})$ . Importantly, we demonstrate that the completeness of 3DED data can be improved by merging data obtained from

a series of individual crystals. The increased data completeness led to an improved structural model. In addition, the increased data completeness also resulted in decreasing of the ADRA values. More importantly, peaks corresponding to atom positions appear more spherical in the electrostatic potential maps, with similar peak heights for the same atom types. On the other hand, a low data completeness results in a large uncertainty and variations in peak heights and elongation of the peaks. A completeness of >75% already gave a low ADRA value  $\left($  <0.018 Å) and good electrostatic potential maps. The structural model may be further improved by merging more datasets, but only when the datasets with high intensity correlations (i.e. high  $CC_I$  values) are used. Data merging using different algorithms implemented in X-ray crystallographic software XSCALE, XPREP, and AIMLESS gave similar refinement results, showing the high quality of cRED data. Finally, we show that  $ZIF-EC1(Zn, Co)$  is an efficient electrocatalyst for ORR. With improved data quality, we anticipate that more structural details can be uncovered, which could promote discovery of novel properties of MOFs. Faraday Discussions<br>
a series of individual crystals. The increased data completeness also<br>
improved structural model. In addition, the increased data completeness also<br>
responding to atom positions appear none spherical i

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council (VR, 2016-04625, Z. H and 2017-04321, X. Z.), the Swedish Research Council Formas (2020-00831, Z. H.), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21975148, and 21601118).

## Notes and references

- 1 M. Gemmi, E. Mugnaioli, T. E. Gorelik, U. Kolb, L. Palatinus, P. Boullay, S. Hovmöller and J. P. Abrahams, ACS Cent. Sci., 2019, 5, 1315-1329.
- 2 Z. Huang, E. S. Grape, J. Li, A. K. Inge and X. Zou, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2021, 427, 213583.
- 3 Z. Huang, T. Willhammar and X. Zou, Chem. Sci., 2021, 12, 1206–1219.
- 4 Z. Huang, M. Ge, F. Carraro, C. Doonan, P. Falcaro and X. Zou, Faraday Discuss., 2021, 225, 118–132.
- 5 U. Kolb, T. Gorelik, C. Kübel, M. T. Otten and D. Hubert, Ultramicroscopy, 2007, 107, 507–513.
- 6 P. Boullay, L. Palatinus and N. Barrier, Inorg. Chem., 2013, 52, 6127–6135.
- 7 D. Zhang, P. Oleynikov, S. Hovmöller and X. Zou, Z. Kristallogr., 2010, 225, 94-102.
- 8 W. Wan, J. Sun, J. Su, S. Hovmöller and X. Zou, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2013, 46, 1863–1873.
- 9 M. Gemmi and P. Oleynikov, Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater., 2013, 228, 51–58.
- 10 M. O. Cichocka, J. Angström, B. Wang, X. Zou and S. Smeets, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2018, 51, 1652–1661.
- 11 M. Gemmi, M. G. I. La Placa, A. S. Galanis, E. F. Rauch and S. Nicolopoulos, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2015, 48, 718–727.
- 
- 12 S. Plana-Ruiz, Y. Krysiak, J. Portillo, E. Alig, S. Estradé, F. Peiró and U. Kolb, Ultramicroscopy, 2020, 211, 112951.
- 13 B. L. Nannenga, D. Shi, A. G. W. Leslie and T. Gonen, Nat. Methods, 2014, 11, 927–930.
- 14 I. Nederlof, E. van Genderen, Y.-W. Li and J. P. Abrahams, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr., 2013, 69, 1223–1230.
- 15 J. Jiang, J. L. Jorda, J. Yu, L. A. Baumes, E. Mugnaioli, M. J. Diaz-Cabanas, U. Kolb and A. Corma, Science, 2011, 333, 1131–1134.
- 16 T. Willhammar, A. W. Burton, Y. Yun, J. Sun, M. Afeworki, K. G. Strohmaier, H. Vroman and X. Zou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 13570–13573.
- 17 J. Simancas, R. Simancas, P. J. Bereciartua, J. L. Jorda, F. Rey, A. Corma, S. Nicolopoulos, P. Pratim Das, M. Gemmi and E. Mugnaioli, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 10116–10119. Face 12. Plann-Ruiz, Y. Krysiak, J. Portillo, E. Alig, S. Estradé, F. Peiró and U. Kolls,<br>
13. D. Namenga, D. Shi, A. G. W. Leslie and T. Gonen, *Nat. Methods*, 2014, 11.<br>
14 I. Nederlof, E. van Genderen, Y.-W. Li and J. P
	- 18 P. Guo, J. Shin, A. G. Greenaway, J. G. Min, J. Su, H. J. Choi, L. Liu, P. A. Cox, S. B. Hong, P. A. Wright and X. Zou, Nature, 2015, 524, 74–78.
	- 19 L. A. Villaescusa, J. Li, Z. Gao, J. Sun and M. A. Camblor, Angew. Chem., 2020, 132, 11379–11382.
	- 20 Z. Huang, S. Seo, J. Shin, B. Wang, R. G. Bell, S. B. Hong and X. Zou, Nat. Commun., 2020, 11, 3762.
	- 21 Y. Yun, W. Wan, F. Rabbani, J. Su, H. Xu, S. Hovmöller, M. Johnsson and X. Zou, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2014, 47, 2048–2054.
	- 22 E. Buixaderas, M. Kempa, V. Bovtun, C. Kadlec, M. Savinov, F. Borodavka, P. Vaněk, G. Steciuk, L. Palatinus and J. Dec, Phys. Rev. Mater., 2018, 2, 124402.
	- 23 A. E. Lanza, M. Gemmi, L. Bindi, E. Mugnaioli and W. H. Paar, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci., Cryst. Eng. Mater., 2019, 75, 711–716.
	- 24 J. Li, C. Lin, Y. Min, Y. Yuan, G. Li, S. Yang, P. Manuel, J. Lin and J. Sun, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 4990–4996.
	- 25 S. Samuha, E. Mugnaioli, B. Grushko, U. Kolb and L. Meshi, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci., Cryst. Eng. Mater., 2014, 70, 999–1005.
	- 26 O. M. Yaghi, M. O'Keeffe, N. W. Ockwig, H. K. Chae, M. Eddaoudi and J. Kim, Nature, 2003, 423, 705–714.
	- 27 S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura and S. Noro, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 2334– 2375.
	- 28 C. R. Groom, I. J. Bruno, M. P. Lightfoot and S. C. Ward, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci., Cryst. Eng. Mater., 2016, 72, 171–179.
	- 29 M. Feyand, E. Mugnaioli, F. Vermoortele, B. Bueken, J. M. Dieterich, T. Reimer, U. Kolb, D. de Vos and N. Stock, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 10373–10376.
	- 30 N. Portolés-Gil, A. Lanza, N. Aliaga-Alcalde, J. A. Ayllón, M. Gemmi, E. Mugnaioli, A. M. López-Periago and C. Domingo, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 12309–12319.
	- 31 S. Yuan, J.-S. Qin, H.-Q. Xu, J. Su, D. Rossi, Y. Chen, L. Zhang, C. Lollar, Q. Wang, H.-L. Jiang, D. H. Son, H. Xu, Z. Huang, X. Zou and H.-C. Zhou, ACS Cent. Sci., 2018, 4, 105–111.
	- 32 T. Rhauderwiek, H. Zhao, P. Hirschle, M. Döblinger, B. Bueken, H. Reinsch, D. D. Vos, S. Wuttke, U. Kolb and N. Stock, Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 5467–5478.
	- 33 B. Wang, T. Rhauderwiek, A. K. Inge, H. Xu, T. Yang, Z. Huang, N. Stock and X. Zou, Chem.–Eur. J., 2018, 24, 17429–17433.
	- 34 E. S. Grape, J. G. Flores, T. Hidalgo, E. Martínez-Ahumada, A. Gutiérrez-Alejandre, A. Hautier, D. R. Williams, M. O'Keeffe, L. Öhrström,

T. Willhammar, P. Horcajada, I. A. Ibarra and A. K. Inge, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 16795–16804.

- 35 T. He, Z. Huang, S. Yuan, X.-L. Lv, X.-J. Kong, X. Zou, H.-C. Zhou and J.-R. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 13491–13499.
- 36 M. O. Cichocka, Z. Liang, D. Feng, S. Back, S. Siahrostami, X. Wang, L. Samperisi, Y. Sun, H. Xu, N. Hedin, H. Zheng, X. Zou, H.-C. Zhou and Z. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 15386–15395.
- 37 F. Carraro, M. d. J. Velásquez-Hernández, E. Astria, W. Liang, L. Twight, C. Parise, M. Ge, Z. Huang, R. Ricco, X. Zou, L. Villanova, C. O. Kappe, C. Doonan and P. Falcaro, Chem. Sci., 2020, 11, 3397–3404.
- 38 J.-H. Dou, M. Q. Arguilla, Y. Luo, J. Li, W. Zhang, L. Sun, J. L. Mancuso, L. Yang, T. Chen, L. R. Parent, G. Skorupskii, N. J. Libretto, C. Sun, M. C. Yang, P. V. Dip, E. J. Brignole, J. T. Miller, J. Kong, C. H. Hendon, J. Sun and M. Dincă, Nat. Mater., 2021, 20, 222-228.
- 39 J. T. C. Wennmacher, C. Zaubitzer, T. Li, Y. K. Bahk, J. Wang, J. A. van Bokhoven and T. Gruene, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 3316.
- 40 M. Ge, Y. Wang, F. Carraro, W. Liang, M. Roostaeinia, S. Siahrostami, D. M. Proserpio, C. Doonan, P. Falcaro, H. Zheng, X. Zou and Z. Huang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2021, 60, 11391–11397.
- 41 S. Smeets and W. Wan, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2017, 50, 885–892.
- 42 W. Kabsch, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr., 2010, 66, 125–132.
- 43 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr., 2008, 64, 112– 122.
- 44 A. C. Larson, Crystallography Computing, ed. F. R. Ahmed, Copenhagen, 1970.
- 45 L. Palatinus, C. A. Corrêa, G. Steciuk, D. Jacob, P. Roussel, P. Boullay, M. Klementová, M. Gemmi, J. Kopeček, M. C. Domeneghetti, F. Cámara and V. Petříček, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci., Cryst. Eng. Mater., 2015, 71, 740–751. Franciay Discussions<br>
T. Willhammar, P. Horcajada, I. A. Ibarra and A. K. Inge, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*<br>
35 T. He, Z. Huang, S. Yuan, X.-L. Lv, X.-J. Kong, X. Zou, H.-C. Zhou and J.-R. Li, Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 1338-13395
	- 46 G. M. Sheldrick, XPREP, Version 2008/2, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, USA, 2008.
	- 47 P. R. Evans and G. N. Murshudov, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr., 2013, 69, 1204–1214.
	- 48 E. M. Miner, T. Fukushima, D. Sheberla, L. Sun, Y. Surendranath and M. Dincă, Nat. Commun., 2016, 7, 10942.
	- 49 W. Cheng, X. Zhao, H. Su, F. Tang, W. Che, H. Zhang and Q. Liu, Nat. Energy, 2019, 4, 115–122.
	- 50 E. M. Miner, S. Gul, N. D. Ricke, E. Pastor, J. Yano, V. K. Yachandra, T. Van Voorhis and M. Dincă, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 7726-7731.
	- 51 H. Zhong, K. H. Ly, M. Wang, Y. Krupskaya, X. Han, J. Zhang, J. Zhang, V. Kataev, B. Buchner, I. M. Weidinger, S. Kaskel, P. Liu, M. Chen, R. Dong ¨ and X. Feng, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 10677–10682.

80 | Faraday Discuss., 2021, 231, 66-80 This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021