

# Polymer Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: E. Tinajero Diaz, E. C. Zamora and A. Martínez de Ilarduya, *Polym. Chem.*, 2025, DOI: 10.1039/D5PY00594A.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

## ARTICLE

**Bio-based poly(1,3-trimethyleneglycol-co-lactide) triblock copolymers: a promising platform for biomedical applications**Ernesto Tinajero Díaz,<sup>†a</sup> Eduard Carles Zamora<sup>†a</sup> and Antxon Martínez de Ilarduya<sup>\*a</sup>Received 00th January 20xx,  
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

A series of bio-based poly(lactide)-*b*-poly(1,3-trimethylene glycol)-*b*-poly(lactide) copolymers covering the whole range of compositions was synthesized by ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of *L*- or *D,L*-lactide initiated by bio-based poly(1,3-trimethylene glycol). The copolymers were obtained by bulk polymerizations carried out at 180 °C, using stannous octoate as the catalyst. The triblock structure was confirmed by both <sup>1</sup>H NMR and SEC analyses. It was observed that molecular weights increased while dispersities decreased with the increasing content of lactide units in the copolymer. Copolymers prepared with *L*-lactide exhibited crystallinity with melting points and enthalpies increasing with the length of lactide blocks in the copolymers. In contrast, copolymers based on *rac*-lactide were fully amorphous, regardless of composition. All copolymers displayed a single glass transition temperature (*T<sub>g</sub>*), which increased *quasi*-linearly with increased lactide content, indicating good miscibility between polyether and polyester blocks. Furthermore, they demonstrated thermal stability up to approximately 250 °C, and exhibited a two-step decomposition process, corresponding to the degradation of polyester and polyether segments. Finally, the amphiphilic nature of these copolymers was confirmed, as all of them were capable to self-assemble in water, forming spherical nanoparticles with  $\zeta$ -average diameters ranging from 95 to 158 nm.

**Introduction**

In the global transition to achieve a sustainable and circular economy, the introduction of innovative materials for packaging and biomedical applications from bioresource stocks is highly appreciated.<sup>1–3</sup> Poly(trimethylene glycol) (PO3G<sub>n</sub>), for instance, is a fully bio-based polyether obtained by condensation of 1,3-propanediol (PDO), which is directly produced from a fermentation glucose process.<sup>4, 5</sup> Interestingly, the chemical structure of PO3G<sub>n</sub> resembles to that of poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) with one additional methylene in the repeating unit. Typical molecular weights range from approximately 250 to 2,700 g·mol<sup>−1</sup> and dispersities between 1.5 and 2.0.<sup>6</sup> Thus, the polyol can serve as a promising alternative to design novel polymer architectures. It has been used for the synthesis of renewable elastomeric thermoplastics polyesters,<sup>7</sup> polyamides<sup>8</sup> and polyurethanes.<sup>6, 9–12</sup> On the other hand, in the biomedical field, the use of PEG in various pharmaceutical formulations, including cosmetics and soaps, among others, has been shown to induce the formation of anti-PEG antibodies, which can reduce the efficacy of PEGylated drugs.<sup>13, 14</sup> Therefore, its replacement with PO3G<sub>n</sub> for the different formulations could represent a promising alternative approach, although a lower degree of hydrophilicity can be expected. This polyol exhibits both lower critical solution

temperature (LCST) and upper critical solution temperature (UCST) in a range of temperatures between 30–80 °C, which can be used for the preparation of thermosensitive nanomaterials.<sup>5, 15</sup>

Poly(lactic acid) (PLA) is another interesting bio-based, biodegradable and biocompatible aliphatic polymer, obtained by ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of lactide (cyclic dimer of lactic acid).<sup>16–18</sup> The crystallinity of this polyester can be tuned by the enantiomeric composition of lactides used in the feed.<sup>19, 20</sup> This polyester finds applications in the fields of packaging<sup>21, 22</sup> and biomedicine.<sup>16, 23</sup>

The integration of PO3G<sub>n</sub> and PLA presents a versatile platform for the development of fully biobased polymers with finely tunable properties. Owing to the presence of two terminal hydroxyl groups, PO3G<sub>n</sub> can act as an efficient macroinitiator for the ROP of lactide, leading to the formation of amphiphilic copolymers capable of self-assembling in aqueous environments.

This paper describes a protocol for the synthesis and characterization of poly(lactide-*b*-polytrimethylene glycol-*b*-lactide) triblock copolymers, abbreviated as PLA<sub>m</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>n</sub>-*b*-PLA<sub>m</sub>, through bulk ROP of either *L*- or *rac*-lactide covering a wide range of compositions. The polymerization was initiated by PO3G<sub>n</sub> macroinitiators of three different molecular weights ranging from 500 g·mol<sup>−1</sup> to 2,400 g·mol<sup>−1</sup>. For each macroinitiator three different triblock copolymers containing either *L*-lactide or *rac*-lactide were produced varying the PO3G<sub>n</sub>/lactide molar feed ratio. By precisely controlling the copolymer architecture, including composition, stereochemistry, and molecular weight, it was possible to modulate key material attributes such as thermal properties

<sup>a</sup> Department d'Enginyeria Química, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, ETSEIB, Diagonal 647, 8028 Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: [anton.martinez.de.ilarduya@upc.edu](mailto:anton.martinez.de.ilarduya@upc.edu)

<sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally.

<sup>†</sup> Supplementary Information available: See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x



and stability. Finally, and as a proof-of-concept, we explored the ability of these copolymers to self-assemble in water using the emulsion/solvent-evaporation method. The biomedical field demands an expansion of available materials for drug delivery, especially for addressing challenges for an efficient delivery of therapeutic agents to the target site. PO3G<sub>n</sub>, for example, can be an eco-friendly alternative to PEG, the gold-standard polymer used in drug delivery due to its 'stealth' properties, although already mentioned, it has proven to be less immunologically inert than originally anticipated.<sup>24, 25</sup>

This strategy paves the way for the design of high-performance, sustainable materials tailored to meet the requirements of advanced technological and biomedical applications.

## Experimental

### Materials

Poly(trimethylene glycol) (PO3G<sub>n</sub>, MW=500 g·mol<sup>-1</sup>, 1,000 g·mol<sup>-1</sup> and 2,400 g·mol<sup>-1</sup>) was gifted by Allessa GmbH (Frankfurt, Germany). *L*-rac-lactide (>99.0%) was donated by Corbion (Amsterdam, The Netherlands) and was stored in silica gel and vacuum at -10 °C prior to use. Tin-2-ethylhexanoate (Sn(Oct)<sub>2</sub> 92.5-100%) was acquired from Sigma Aldrich and used as received. Poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA, *M<sub>w</sub>*=3000 g·mol<sup>-1</sup>, 88% hydrolysed) was obtained from Scientific Polymer Products. Solvents, chloroform (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, ≥99.8%) and dichloromethane (DCM, ≥99.8%), were used as received.

### General methods

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AMX-300 spectrometer at 25 °C, operating at 300.1 and 75.5 MHz, respectively. Compounds were dissolved in deuterated chloroform (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and spectra were internally referenced to tetramethylsilane (TMS). About 10 and 50 mg of sample in 1 mL of solvent were used for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, respectively. Sixty-four scans were recorded for <sup>1</sup>H, and between 5,000 and 20,000 for <sup>13</sup>C NMR.

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC). Molecular weights were determined using chloroform as eluent in a Waters equipment (Foster City, CA, USA), provided with RI and UV detectors and HR5E and HR2 Waters linear Styragel columns (7.8 mm × 300 mm). Of the sample solution, 0.1 mL (0.1% w/v) was injected and chromatographed with a flow rate of 0.5 mL·min<sup>-1</sup>. The molar mass averages and distributions were calibrated against PMMA standards. Fourier transform infrared spectra (FT-IR) were acquired using a Perkin-Elmer Frontier FT-IR spectrometer (Waltham, MA, USA), provided with a universal-attenuated total reflectance (ATR) accessory. Infrared spectra were recorded in the 4,000-650 cm<sup>-1</sup> range at a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and 16 scans were collected.

The thermal behaviour of polymers was examined by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) using a Perkin-Elmer DSC 8000 apparatus. The thermograms were obtained from 4-6 mg samples at heating and cooling rates of 10 °C·min<sup>-1</sup> under a nitrogen flow of 20 mL·min<sup>-1</sup>. Indium and zinc were used as standards for temperature and enthalpy calibration. The glass transition temperature (*T<sub>g</sub>*) was extracted from the inflection point of the heating traces recorded at

20 °C·min<sup>-1</sup> from melt-quenched samples, and the melting temperature was taken as the maximum of the endothermic peak arising on the heating traces recorded from samples crystallized from the melt.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on a Mettler-Toledo TGA/DSC 1 Star System under a nitrogen flow of 20 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> at a heating rate of 10 °C·min<sup>-1</sup> and within a temperature range of 30-600 °C.

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements used for particle hydrodynamic size and ζ-potential determinations were performed with the Malvern Nano ZS instrument equipped with a 4 mW He-Ne laser operated at 632.8 nm. Particles were suspended in deionized water at 25 °C and placed in glass cuvettes. The non-invasive back-scatter optic arrangement was used in order to collect the light scattered by particles at an angle of 173°.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were taken with a field-emission JEOL JSM-7001F (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) from platinum/palladium coated samples. Different dilutions were assayed to observe free individual nanoparticles. Images were edited using the ImageJ software.

### Synthesis of PLA<sub>m</sub>-PO3G<sub>n</sub>-PLA<sub>m</sub> triblock copolymers

Poly(*L*-lactide)<sub>m</sub>-*b*-poly(trimethylene glycol)<sub>n</sub>-*b*-poly(*L*-lactide)<sub>m</sub> (PLLA<sub>m</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>n</sub>-*b*-PLLA<sub>m</sub>). As an example, for the synthesis of PLLA<sub>82</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-*b*-PLLA<sub>82</sub> triblock copolymer with a [PO3G]:[*L*-LA] = [1]:[4] feed molar ratio, in a 25 mL three-necked, round-bottom flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer, a nitrogen inlet and a vacuum distillation outlet, PO3G<sub>41</sub> (MW=2400, 0.5 g, 8.6 mmol of repeating units) and *L*-lactide (2.48 g, 17.2 mmol) were added. The flask was then immersed in an oil bath under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 5 min for purging the reaction system. Subsequently, the flask was heated to 120 °C and kept under vacuum for 5 additional min. Thereafter, the reaction flask was further heated to 180 °C, and polymerization began after the addition of Sn(Oct)<sub>2</sub> (1.2 mg, 3.06 μmol, 0.05% by weight relative to *L*-lactide) from a stock solution in chloroform. The reaction flask was left under stirring for ~1.5 h until *L*-lactide was fully consumed, as monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. The mixture was then dissolved in DCM and precipitated into an excess of hexane. The copolymer was recovered as a white powder. Prior to characterization, the copolymer was dried at 50 °C under vacuum.

PLLA<sub>82</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-*b*-PLLA<sub>82</sub>: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ, ppm): 5.17 (q), 4.35 (q), 4.23 (m), 3.48 (t), 1.82 (qu), 1.58 (d). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ, ppm): 169.5, 69.0, 67.8, 66.8, 30.1, 16.6.

PLLA<sub>m</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>9</sub>-*b*-PLLA<sub>m</sub> and PLLA<sub>m</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>17</sub>-*b*-PLLA<sub>m</sub> triblock copolymers. The synthesis of these copolymers was carried out in a similar fashion using the procedure described for the PLLA<sub>m</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-*b*-PLLA<sub>m</sub> copolymers using PO3G<sub>n</sub> macroinitiators having MW=500 and 1000 g·mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Poly(*rac*-lactide)<sub>m</sub>-*b*-poly(trimethylene glycol)<sub>n</sub>-*b*-poly(*rac*-lactide)<sub>m</sub> (PrLA<sub>m</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>n</sub>-*b*-PrLA<sub>m</sub>) copolymers were obtained using the same procedure using *rac*-lactide (a mixture 50:50 of *D*-:*L*-lactide) instead of *L*-Lactide and PO3G<sub>n</sub> macroinitiators having MW=500 and 1000 and 2400 g·mol<sup>-1</sup>.



Some copolymers were recovered either as a white powder or as a viscous oil, depending on the length of the macroinitiator used or the enantiomeric composition of the lactide used in the synthesis.

### Preparation of nanoparticles


Nanoparticles were prepared using the oil-in-water single emulsion technique with minor modifications. Specifically, 10 mg of the  $\text{PLA}_m\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_n\text{-}b\text{-PLA}_m$  triblock copolymer were dissolved in 2 mL of DCM and the solution was added to 10 mL of 1% (w/w) PVA aqueous solution. The mixture was sonicated using a Hielscher Ultrasonic Processor UP200St ( $E=3,200$  J,  $c=100$ ,  $A=20\%$ ) to yield a homogeneous oil-in-water emulsion. This emulsion was immediately poured into 10 mL of the 0.3% w/w PVA solution, and the mixture was magnetically stirred in an open beaker at room temperature for 3 h. The nanoparticles formed during the gradual evaporation of DCM. For SEM analysis, nanoparticles were collected by centrifugation at 9,500 r.p.m. for 15 min and washed three times with distilled water to remove the PVA emulsifier.

## Results and discussion


The synthetic route for the synthesis of  $\text{PLA}_m\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_n\text{-}b\text{-PLA}_m$  triblock copolymers using  $\text{Sn}(\text{Oct})_2$  as catalyst is shown in Scheme 1. Three  $\text{PO3G}_n$  macroinitiators were used differing in the molecular weights. For each macroinitiator three series of triblock copolymers containing *L*- or *rac*-lactide were obtained varying the  $\text{PO3G}_n$ /lactide ratios. The results of these syntheses including molar feed ratio, compositions and molecular weights for the whole library of copolymers are collected in Table 1.  $\text{PO3G}_n$  macroinitiator displays two hydroxyl-end groups, which can trigger the ROP of cyclic esters, e.g., *L*/*rac*-lactide, when assisted by a suitable catalyst.

We selected  $\text{Sn}(\text{Oct})_2$  because this catalyst is approved by the FDA for use in food and medical applications and for its high activity in the synthesis of PLA.<sup>17</sup> The reaction took place in bulk at 180 °C and was easily followed by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR as the methine signals from the lactide monomer (CH, 5.03 ppm) and polymer (CH, 5.17 ppm) appeared

well-resolved (Figure 1a and Figure S1 of electronic supporting information (ESI)). By comparing both signals, it is possible to quantify the unreacted monomer. We first explored the evolution of the ROP of *L*-lactide initiated by  $\text{PO3G}_{41}$  ( $M_n=2,400$  g·mol<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 1b). A burst in the early stage of the ROP is observed, with 91% of *L*-lactide reacting after 30 min. Subsequently, the polymerization proceeded steadily until the equilibrium was reached and approximately 96% of the monomer was consumed in nearly 1.5 h. Three different concentrations of  $\text{Sn}(\text{Oct})_2$  catalyst were tested, and based on both the conversion over time results and the catalyst amount (Figure 1b), the intermediate concentration (0.05% by weight of lactide) was ultimately selected. The ROP of *L*-lactide proceeded satisfactorily in a relative short time; nonetheless, species other than the selected macroinitiator, i.e., water, can indeed interfere in the polymerization and parallelly compete for a site in the final polymer architecture. As we will show later, this side reaction was not observed during the synthesis of these copolymers, as the ratio of reacted macroinitiator end groups to triblock copolymer end groups was close to one.



**Scheme 1** Synthetic pathway for the preparation of bio-based poly(ether-ester)s triblock copolymers from  $\text{PO3G}_n$  and a) *L*-lactide or b) *rac*-lactide.



**Figure 1** (a)  $^1\text{H}$ -NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ ) spectra of the  $\text{PLLA}_{21}\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{41}\text{-}b\text{-PLA}_{21}$  copolymer at different reaction times using 0.05%  $\text{Sn}(\text{Oct})_2$  catalyst and, (b) conversion of *L*-lactide in the ROP initiated by the  $\text{PO3G}_{41}$  macroinitiator at different catalyst concentrations.






**Table 1** ROP of *L*/*rac*-lactide initiated by PO3G<sub>n</sub> macroinitiator mediated by Sn(Oct)<sub>2</sub> catalyst (0.05% w/w).


Entry	Macroinitiator	Copolymer <sup>a</sup>	Feed <sup>b</sup>		Experimental <sup>c</sup>		SEC	
			[PO3G <sub>n</sub> ]/[PLA]	[PO3G <sub>n</sub> ]/[PLA]	<i>M<sub>n</sub></i> (g·mol <sup>-1</sup> )	<i>M<sub>n</sub></i> (g·mol <sup>-1</sup> )	<i>M<sub>w</sub></i> (g·mol <sup>-1</sup> )	<i>Đ</i>
1	PO3G <sub>9</sub>				560	1,030	1,690	1.7
2		PLLA <sub>4.5</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>4.5</sub>	50/50	56/44	1,030	1,680	2,260	1.4
3		PLLA <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>9</sub>	33/67	38/62	1,560	2,540	3,230	1.3
4		PLLA <sub>18</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>18</sub>	20/80	22/78	2,730	4,630	5,510	1.2
5		PrLA <sub>4.5</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>4.5</sub>	50/50	57/43	920	1,670	2,230	1.3
6		PrLA <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>9</sub>	33/67	38/62	1,650	2,510	3,130	1.3
7		PrLA <sub>18</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>18</sub>	20/80	22/78	2,930	4,430	5,380	1.2
8	PO3G <sub>17</sub>				1,140	1,690	3,530	2.1
9		PLLA <sub>8.5</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>8.5</sub>	50/50	61/39	2,050	2,440	4,360	1.8
10		PLLA <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>17</sub>	25/75	39/61	3,900	5,530	6,805	1.2
11		PLLA <sub>34</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>34</sub>	20/80	22/78	6,030	10,010	11,770	1.2
12		PrLA <sub>8.5</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>8.5</sub>	50/50	58/42	2,290	3,740	5,280	1.4
13		PrLA <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>17</sub>	33/67	37/63	3,830	5,660	7,120	1.3
14		PrLA <sub>34</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>34</sub>	20/80	22/78	5,230	7,760	9,510	1.2
15	PO3G <sub>41</sub>				2,510	4,490	9,270	2.1
16		PLLA <sub>21</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>21</sub>	50/50	59/41	3,920	7,880	11,150	1.4
17		PLLA <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>41</sub>	33/67	43/57	5,240	9,230	12,410	1.3
18		PLLA <sub>82</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>82</sub>	20/80	23/77	11,710	19,030	23,080	1.2
19		PrLA <sub>21</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>21</sub>	50/50	61/39	3,850	6,830	10,250	1.5
20		PrLA <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>41</sub>	33/67	45/55	5,070	7,760	11,050	1.4
21		PrLA <sub>82</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>82</sub>	20/80	22/78	13,830	16,730	21,600	1.3

<sup>a</sup> Subscripts correspond to the degree of polymerization (DP) of each block used in the feed. <sup>b</sup> Molar feed ratio of PO3G<sub>n</sub> and PLA repeating units. <sup>c</sup> Experimental composition and *M<sub>n</sub>*, calculated from OCH/OCH<sub>2</sub> signals and end groups analysis, respectively by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

After purification, we took samples of the PO3G<sub>n</sub> macroinitiator and their block copolymers, to determine the molecular weights distributions (MWDs) by SEC analysis. Table 1 collects the SEC data for the macroinitiators and triblock copolymers. As expected, triblock copolymers displayed higher molecular weights than their respective macroinitiators, and increased with the content of lactide in the copolymers. The dispersity (*Đ*) of the PO3G<sub>n</sub> macroinitiators ranged from 1.7 to 2.1. On the other hand, in all series, *Đ* was observed to decrease as the molecular weight or the content of lactide units in the copolymer increased. *Đ* of 1.2-1.3 were obtained for the copolymers with higher content of lactide. Monomodal and narrow MWDs were obtained, indicating excellent control over the polymerization (Figure 2). The results suggest that Sn(Oct)<sub>2</sub> serves as an efficient catalyst for the ROP of lactide, initiated by the macroinitiator, with significantly reduced interchain transesterification. Thus, SEC traces provided evidence that the -OH end groups in PO3G<sub>n</sub> were the sole species that initiated the ROP of lactide. The constitution and composition of the copoly(ether-ester)s were ascertained by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy, which clearly discriminates between methylene and methine protons from PO3G<sub>n</sub> and *L*-lactide units, respectively. As an example, Figure 3 shows representative NMR spectra recorded for the PLLA<sub>82</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-*b*-PLLA<sub>82</sub> copolymer. Compositions were determined by integration of the CH signal of lactide units (signal c) and the first methylene protons of PO3G<sub>n</sub> units (signal e). The composition for all series were close to those of PO3G<sub>n</sub> and *L*-lactide used in the feed and exhibit

acceptable consistency, with small deviations due to partial volatilization of lactide during ROP. On the other hand, the signal corresponding to the methylene protons of PO3G<sub>n</sub> attached to the first lactide unit (signal d), which appeared as a multiplet, showed an integral twice that of the CHOH end groups (signal b). This further

**Figure 2** SEC traces of PO3G<sub>41</sub> macroinitiator and the PLA<sub>m</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-*b*-PLA<sub>m</sub> triblock copolymers.



**Figure 3** (a)  $^1\text{H}$ - and (b)  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR spectrum in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  of  $\text{PLLA}_{82}\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{41}\text{-PLLA}_{82}$  copolymer


confirmed the triblock structure of the copolymers and the absence of any PLA chains initiated by other species, such as water. Spectra recorded for  $\text{PrLA}_{82}\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{41}\text{-}b\text{-PrLA}_{82}$  and two selected  $\text{PLLA}_m\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{41}\text{-}b\text{-PLLA}_m$  and  $\text{PrLA}_m\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{41}\text{-}b\text{-PrLA}_m$  series can be found in Figures S2-S6 of the ESI. The spectra of triblock copolymers obtained using *rac*-lactide instead of *L*-lactide showed broader signals in the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and splitting in the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR peaks due to the presence of different stereosequences in the PLA blocks (Figure S2).<sup>26</sup>

In addition, FT-IR further corroborated the chemical structure of the obtained copolymers (Figure 4). The infrared spectrum of  $\text{PO3G}_{41}$  displayed a strong absorption band at  $1,102\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , corresponding to the C–O–C asymmetric stretching vibration of the ether groups. The incorporation of lactide units at the end groups of  $\text{PO3G}_n$  to form the

triblock copolymer was confirmed by the presence of the C=O carbonyl band at  $1,751\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and the C(=O)–O stretching band at  $1,181\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which are characteristics of the ester groups of the lactide units. These absorptions increased in intensity as the lactide content increased in the  $\text{PLLA}_m\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{41}\text{-}b\text{-PLLA}_m$  copolymer series. Furthermore, signals attributed to  $\text{CH}_2$  stretching ( $2,859\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) decreased, while those related to  $\text{CH}_3$  bending ( $1,453\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) increased, as the copolymer was enriched in lactide units.

#### Thermal properties of triblock copolymers

To investigate the thermal stability and transitions of these copolymers, TGA and DSC analyses were performed. The data collected by these analyses for each series of  $\text{PLA}_m\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_n\text{-}b\text{-PLA}_m$  triblock copolymers, as well as for the  $\text{PO3G}_n$  macroinitiators, are displayed in Table 2. The TGA traces recorded for the  $\text{PLA}_m\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{41}\text{-}b\text{-PLA}_m$  series and their derivatives curves are shown in Figure 5. For the rest of the series, both TGA traces and derivatives curves are accessible in Figures S7 and S8 of the ESI File. The onset temperature ( $^\circ T_d$ ) of  $\text{PO3G}_{41}$  decreased in the copolymers as polylactide blocks were incorporated at its ends; in contrast, the derivative curve splits into two main events: the maximum decomposition rate ( $^{\text{max}}T_d$ ) exhibited two maxima at temperatures close to their parent polymers: the former found in the  $273\text{--}289\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  range, that was ascribed to the degradation of PLA blocks, and the latter ranging from  $408\text{--}428\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , attributed to the degradation of the more thermally stable  $\text{PO3G}_{41}$  block. It is noteworthy to highlight that there was no more than 1% residue left at temperatures far from  $450\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , and in several cases, there was no residue at all.



**Figure 4** FT-IR spectra of  $\text{PO3G}_{41}$  macroinitiator and  $\text{PLLA}_m\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{41}\text{-}b\text{-PLLA}_m$  triblock copolymers.




**Table 2** Thermal properties of the PLA<sub>m</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>n</sub>-*b*-PLA<sub>m</sub> triblock copolymers.

Polymer	TGA <sup>a</sup>				DSC <sup>b</sup>						
	$^{\circ}T_d$ ( $^{\circ}C$ )	$maxT_d$ ( $^{\circ}C$ )	$R_w$ (%)	[PO3G]/ [PLA]	Cooling			Second heating			
					$T_g$ ( $^{\circ}C$ )	$T_c$ ( $^{\circ}C$ )	$\Delta H_c$ (J·g <sup>-1</sup> )	$T_{cc}$ ( $^{\circ}C$ )	$\Delta H_{cc}$ (J·g <sup>-1</sup> )	$T_m$ ( $^{\circ}C$ )	$\Delta H_m$ (J·g <sup>-1</sup> )
PO3G <sub>9</sub>	248	398	0	100/0	-82	-	-	-40	-60	10	70
PLLA <sub>4.5</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>4.5</sub>	247	282/410	1	42/58	-41	-	-	-	-	-	-
PLLA <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>9</sub>	250	281/408	0	25/75	-11	-	-	-	-	-	-
PLLA <sub>18</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>18</sub>	246	273/391	1	13/87	15	-	-	80	-9	107	13
PrLA <sub>4.5</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>4.5</sub>	261	282/404	1	45/55	-43	-	-	-	-	-	-
PrLA <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>9</sub>	273	301/401	1	25/75	-17	-	-	-	-	-	-
PrLA <sub>18</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>9</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>18</sub>	260	289/395	1	14/86	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
PO3G <sub>17</sub>	335	410	1	100/0	-77	-	-	-40	-64	13	93
PLLA <sub>8.5</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>8.5</sub>	246	269/425	1	49/51	-44	-	-	-	-	-	-
PLLA <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>17</sub>	238	286/417	0	32/68	-6	-	-	33/68	-11/-15	100	15
PLLA <sub>34</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>34</sub>	247	270/411	0	18/82	26	94	-36	-	-	144	38
PrLA <sub>8.5</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>8.5</sub>	242	266/424	1	49/51	-41	-	-	-	-	-	-
PrLA <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>17</sub>	246	268/407	2	31/69	-13	-	-	-	-	-	-
PrLA <sub>34</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>17</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>34</sub>	246	268/407	1	19/81	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
PO3G <sub>41</sub>	306	381	0	100/0	-75	-	-	-40	-57	17	80
PLLA <sub>21</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>21</sub>	261	273/428	0	51/49	-33	57/23	-7/-2	65	-3	100	4
PLLA <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>41</sub>	272	289/428	0	37/63	-14	77	-26	-	-	126	26
PLLA <sub>82</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PLLA <sub>82</sub>	252	275/408	0	18/82	32	95	-36	-	-	156	41
PrLA <sub>21</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>21</sub>	241	254/428	0	54/46	-49	-	-	-	-	-	-
PrLA <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>41</sub>	251	286/408	1	38/62	-16	-	-	-	-	-	-
PrLA <sub>82</sub> - <i>b</i> -PO3G <sub>41</sub> - <i>b</i> -PrLA <sub>82</sub>	260	315/412	1	18/82	26	-	-	-	-	-	-
PLLA <sub>68</sub>	255	338	0	100/0	47	101	-40	88	-10	152	47
PrLA <sub>68</sub>	287	354	0	100/0	43	-	-	-	-	-	-


<sup>a</sup> Onset temperature for 10% of weight loss ( $^{\circ}T_d$ ), maximum rate ( $maxT_d$ ) decomposition temperatures and remaining weight ( $R_w$ ) and weight-% composition ([PO3G]/[PLA]) after heating at 600  $^{\circ}C$ . <sup>b</sup> Glass transition ( $T_g$ ), crystallization ( $T_c$  and  $\Delta H_c$ ) and melting ( $T_m$  and  $\Delta H_m$ ) temperatures and enthalpies measured by DSC.

Minor differences in the thermal analysis were observed for the PLA<sub>m</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>9</sub>-*b*-PLA<sub>m</sub> and PLA<sub>m</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>17</sub>-*b*-PLA<sub>m</sub> series, with deviations hardly surpassing 10% in some cases (Figures S7 and S8 of the ESI). The TGA analysis, additionally, provided valuable information on the chemical constitution. Since the derivative curve

exhibited two well-defined and resolved decomposition steps, the composition of each copolymer could be ascertained by integration of these curves. Figure 5b, for instance, displays the derivative curve of the PLLA<sub>21</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-*b*-PLLA<sub>21</sub> copolymer: the areas under each curve reveal direct composition by weight of the copolymers. The



**Figure 5** (a) TGA traces of the PO3G<sub>41</sub> and PLA<sub>m</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-*b*-PLA<sub>m</sub> copolymers and (b) derivative curve of the PLLA<sub>21</sub>-*b*-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-*b*-PLLA<sub>21</sub> copolymer



**Figure 6** (a) DSC second heating and cooling traces of the PLA<sub>m</sub>-b-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-b-PLA<sub>m</sub> triblock copolymers, and (b)  $T_g$  vs molar composition of PLA<sub>m</sub>-b-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-b-PLA<sub>m</sub> copolymers.

extracted values for each series (Table 2) are close with small variations to those obtained by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Table 1). To explore the influence of copolymer composition on crystallinity and melting temperatures, DSC was used to investigate their thermal behavior. In Figure 6a, we present a comparative view of the recorded DSC traces from cooling scans and second heating for the PLLA<sub>m</sub>-b-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-b-PLLA<sub>m</sub> and PrLA<sub>m</sub>-b-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-b-PrLA<sub>m</sub> copolymer series as well as their parent macroinitiator. PO3G<sub>41</sub> displays an endothermic peak at 17 °C due to the melting process of the macroinitiator crystalline domains after a cold crystallization process that was observed to happen at -40 °C. On all copolymer series, no peak due to melting or crystallization of the inner macroinitiator was observed. This phenomenon can be attributed to the PLA segments attached to the end PO3G<sub>n</sub> end groups that restrict the chain mobility.<sup>27, 28</sup> On the other hand, there was a clear trend in the melting process attributed to the PLA segments, situated in the 95-155 °C range. As the composition or the length of the L-lactide blocks in the copolymers increase, the melting temperature shifts to that of the one observed for its parent homopolymer, i.e., PLLA<sub>68</sub>. This shift toward higher temperatures, approaching to the melting point of PLLA, is directly correlated with the degree of polymerization (DP) of the lactide blocks. In other words, as the DP of the PLA segments increases, the melting temperature of the copolymers also rises. This behavior can be attributed to an increase in lamellar thickness, as longer chains are capable of forming more stable and thicker crystalline lamellae. When the copolymers are cooled from the melt, a crystallization event appears and follows a similar trend as the copolymer composition changes. As it was expected, PrLA<sub>m</sub>-b-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-b-PrLA<sub>m</sub> copolymers did not exhibit any (endo/exo) thermic peak. Similar behavior was observed for the PLA<sub>m</sub>-b-PO3G<sub>9/34</sub>-b-PLA<sub>m</sub> copolymers, whose thermograms are accessible in Figures S9-S11 of the ESI file. All of the copolymers exhibited a single, second-order glass transition temperature ( $T_g$ ), which increased almost linearly with the lactide content in all the series of copolymers studied. Figure 6b shows the  $T_g$  versus composition for the PLA<sub>m</sub>-b-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-b-PLA<sub>m</sub> series. As illustrated, the copolymer  $T_g$  values fall between -49 °C and 32 °C,

intermediate to those of PO3G<sub>41</sub> and PLA<sub>68</sub> homopolymers (Figure S12), confirming the full miscibility between the PLA and PO3G<sub>n</sub> blocks.<sup>10, 29</sup>

#### Self-assembling in aqueous media


In the search for innovative nanomaterials, we explored the ability of the PLA<sub>m</sub>-b-PO3G<sub>n</sub>-b-PLA<sub>m</sub> amphiphilic triblock copolymers to self-assemble in water. We used the well-established emulsion-evaporation method for obtaining the nanoparticles due to the good solubility of copolymers in DCM,<sup>30, 31</sup> enabling the fabrication of a library of nanomaterials. As representative examples, Table 3 collects sizes, polydispersity indexes (PDI's) and zeta potentials ( $\zeta$ ) acquired by DLS measurements for the PLA<sub>m</sub>-b-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-b-PLA<sub>m</sub> copolymer series. Unimodal distributions evidenced the occurrence of a self-assembling event, while Z-average diameters oscillating between 95 and 171 nm and narrow PDI's, confirmed the presence of nanometric aggregates (Figure 7a-c). The correlation coefficients, whose values were close to 1 (inset in DLS profiles), strengthened the reliability and

**Table 3** Z-average diameter (nm), PDI and zeta potential ( $\zeta$ ) of the nanoparticles obtained from PLA<sub>m</sub>-b-PO3G<sub>41</sub>-b-PLA<sub>m</sub> triblock copolymers.

Copolymer	Size (nm)	PDI	$\zeta$ (mV)
PLLA <sub>21</sub> -b-PO3G <sub>41</sub> -b-PLLA <sub>21</sub>	171	0.09	-5
PLLA <sub>41</sub> -b-PO3G <sub>41</sub> -b-PLLA <sub>41</sub>	170	0.08	-9
PLLA <sub>82</sub> -b-PO3G <sub>41</sub> -b-PLLA <sub>82</sub>	124	0.12	-4
PrLA <sub>21</sub> -b-PO3G <sub>41</sub> -b-PrLA <sub>21</sub>	104	0.17	-10
PrLA <sub>41</sub> -b-PO3G <sub>41</sub> -b-PrLA <sub>41</sub>	95	0.24	-8
PrLA <sub>82</sub> -b-PO3G <sub>41</sub> -b-PrLA <sub>82</sub>	109	0.13	-9







**Figure 7** (Top) DLS profiles (inset: correlation coefficient) and (bottom) SEM images of (a)  $\text{PrLA}_{82}\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{41}\text{-}b\text{-PrLA}_{82}$  (b)  $\text{PrLA}_{41}\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{41}\text{-}b\text{-PrLA}_{41}$  and (c)  $\text{PLLA}_{21}\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{41}\text{-}b\text{-PLLA}_{21}$  triblock copolymers. Scale bar = 500 nm.

quality of the DLS data. Interestingly, NPs from  $\text{PrLA}_m\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{41}\text{-}b\text{-PrLA}_m$  copolymers displayed smaller sizes than  $\text{PLLA}_m\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{41}\text{-}b\text{-PLLA}_m$ , a fact that could be a direct consequence of the amorphous or semicrystalline structure adopted by the hydrophobic core in the nanoparticle. The amorphous PLA blocks can pack more efficiently than semicrystalline blocks in core of the nanoparticle allowing the nanoparticle self-assemble with reduced dimensions.<sup>32</sup> DSC scans of two types of selected nanoparticles revealed two key observations (Figure S13 of ESI). First, the  $T_g$  of the self-assembled nanoparticles were found to be 23 and 26 °C higher than that of the corresponding bulk materials. This suggests that during the self-assembly process, the PLA and  $\text{PO}_3\text{G}_n$  blocks rearrange according to their affinity for water, forming a core-shell nanostructure with well-defined nanoscale phase segregation. Second, the  $T_g$  of nanoparticles derived from *rac*-lactide showed enthalpic relaxation phenomena, indicating efficient packing and compaction of the amorphous chains. In all cases, nanoparticles displayed negative zeta potentials, with values hardly distant between them across the entire series. DLS data for the  $\text{PLA}_m\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{9/17}\text{-}b\text{-PLA}_m$  series can be found in Table S1 of the ESI file. Z-average diameters between 102 and 158 nm and negative z-potentials ranging from -4 to -20 were obtained for the  $\text{PLA}_m\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_9\text{-}b\text{-PLA}_m$  copolymers. The  $\text{PLA}_m\text{-}b\text{-PO3G}_{17}\text{-}b\text{-PLA}_m$  exhibited z-average diameters of 115-144 nm with negative z-potentials in the -2 to -20 range. To further comprehend the adopted morphology of these nanoaggregates, SEM shed light on their shape. Illustrative images from selected copolymers are shown in Figure 7, bottom. To our delight, we observed well-delineated-spherical nanoobjects, which sizes matched in good consistency to those obtained by DLS.

## Conclusions

A bio-based polyol derived from 1,3-propanediol was employed to prepare triblock copoly(ether-ester)s. The two hydroxyl end groups of the  $\text{PO3G}_n$  polyol serve as efficient initiators for the ROP of *L*-lactide or *rac*-lactide. Using solventless ROP, we generated a library of BAB-type copolymers with predictable degrees of polymerization, whose compositions were readily tuned by varying the  $[\text{PO3G}]/[\text{lactide}]$  feed ratio. Thermal analysis showed these copolymers to be stable up to approximately 250 °C, undergoing decomposition in a two-step process. Crystallinity could be adjusted by selecting the lactide stereochemistry and tailoring the triblock composition. Since PLA and  $\text{PO3G}_n$  segments are fully miscible, the glass transition temperature ( $T_g$ ) of the entire series can be modulated simply by altering the  $[\text{PO3G}]/[\text{lactide}]$  ratio, enabling precise control over material properties. These novel, fully bio-based polymers exhibit *pseudo*-amphiphilic behaviour in aqueous solution. As a proof-of-concept, dynamic light scattering (DLS) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) confirmed that the poly(ether-ester) copolymers self-assembled into nanometric particles in water. We anticipate that this protocol will pave the way for designing innovative nanodevices that could be used for biomedical applications *via* ROP of different cyclic esters using  $\text{PO3G}_n$  as macroinitiator.

## Author contributions

A. M. de I. conceived the idea and proposed the strategy. E. T. D. and E. C. Z. and A. M. de I. designed the experiments, carry out the



experimental work, evaluated the data, and wrote the manuscript together. All participated in data analysis. All the authors proofread the manuscript.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Data availability

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its ESI.† Raw experimental data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## Acknowledgements

We want to thank the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades of Spain (MCIU/AEI/FEDER, UE) (Project RTI2018-095041-B-C33) and the Technological University of Catalonia (UPC) (AGRUPS R-02520) for their financial support. The authors would also like to acknowledge Dr. Judit Canadell (TotalEnergies-Corbion) for the *L*- and *rac*-lactide monomers and Dr. Peter Talbiersky from Allessa for the PO3G<sub>n</sub> samples kindly provided for this study. E. T. D. acknowledges the postdoctoral grant Margarita Salas awarded in 2021 by Spanish government.

## Notes and references

- (1) Rosenboom, J.-G.; Langer, R.; Traverso, G. Bioplastics for a circular economy. *Nature Reviews Materials* **2022**, *7* (2), 117-137. DOI: 10.1038/s41578-021-00407-8.
- (2) von Vacano, B.; Mangold, H.; Vandermeulen, G. W. M.; Battagliarin, G.; Hofmann, M.; Bean, J.; Künkel, A. Sustainable Design of Structural and Functional Polymers for a Circular Economy. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2023**, *62* (12), e202210823. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202210823>.
- (3) Sardon, H.; Mecerreyes, D.; Basterretxea, A.; Avérous, L.; Jehanno, C. From Lab to Market: Current Strategies for the Production of Biobased Polyols. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering* **2021**, *9* (32), 10664-10677. DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.1c02361.
- (4) Harmer, M. A.; Confer, D. C.; Hoffman, C. K.; Jackson, S. C.; Liauw, A. Y.; Minter, A. R.; Murphy, E. R.; Spence, R. E.; Sunkara, H. B. Renewably sourced poly(trimethylene ether) glycol by superacid catalyzed condensation of 1,3-propanediol. *Green Chemistry* **2010**, *12* (8), 1410-1416, 10.1039/C002443K. DOI: 10.1039/c002443k.
- (5) Lee, H.-N.; Rosen, B. M.; Fenyvesi, G.; Sunkara, H. B. UCST and LCST phase behavior of poly(trimethylene ether) glycol in water. *Journal of Polymer Science Part A: Polymer Chemistry* **2012**, *50* (20), 4311-4315. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/pola.26242>.
- (6) Delavarde, A.; Savin, G.; Derkenne, P.; Boursier, M.; Morales-Cerrada, R.; Nottelet, B.; Pinaud, J.; Caillol, S. Sustainable polyurethanes: toward new cutting-edge opportunities. *Progress in Polymer Science* **2024**, *151*, 101805. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2024.101805>.
- (7) Li, Y.; Liu, Y.; Liu, A.; Xu, C.; Zhang, C.; Yu, J.; Yuan, R.; Li, F. Poly(trimethylene terephthalate-*b*-poly(trimethylene ether) glycol)

copolymers: From bio-based thermoplastic elastomers to elastic fibers for apparel. *European Polymer Journal* **2025**, *225*, 113706. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2024.113706>.

- (8) Jiang, J.; Tang, Q.; Pan, X.; Xi, Z.; Zhao, L.; Yuan, W. Structure and Morphology of Thermoplastic Polyamide Elastomer Based on Long-Chain Polyamide 1212 and Renewable Poly(trimethylene glycol). *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **2020**, *59* (39), 17502-17512. DOI: 10.1021/acs.iecr.0c01334.
- (9) Kasprzyk, P.; Głowińska, E.; Parcheta-Szwindowska, P.; Rohde, K.; Datta, J. Green TPUs from Prepolymer Mixtures Designed by Controlling the Chemical Structure of Flexible Segments. In *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 2021; Vol. 22.
- (10) Petchsuk, A.; Klinsukhon, W.; Sirikittikul, D.; Prahsarn, C. Parameters affecting transition temperatures of poly(lactic acid-co-polydiols) copolymer-based polyester urethanes and their shape memory behavior. *Polymers for Advanced Technologies* **2012**, *23* (8), 1166-1173. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/pat.2017>.
- (11) Ugarte, L.; Saralegi, A.; Fernández, R.; Martín, L.; Corcuera, M. A.; Eceiza, A. Flexible polyurethane foams based on 100% renewably sourced polyols. *Industrial Crops and Products* **2014**, *62*, 545-551. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2014.09.028>.
- (12) Jung, Y.-S.; Lee, S.; Park, J.; Shin, E.-J. One-Shot Synthesis of Thermoplastic Polyurethane Based on Bio-Polyol (Poly(trimethylene Ether Glycol) and Characterization of Micro-Phase Separation. *Polymers* **2022**, *14* (20), 4269.
- (13) Wang, H.; Wang, Y.; Yuan, C.; Xu, X.; Zhou, W.; Huang, Y.; Lu, H.; Zheng, Y.; Luo, G.; Shang, J.; et al. Polyethylene glycol (PEG)-associated immune responses triggered by clinically relevant lipid nanoparticles in rats. *npj Vaccines* **2023**, *8* (1), 169. DOI: 10.1038/s41541-023-00766-z.
- (14) Kozma, G. T.; Shimizu, T.; Ishida, T.; Szebeni, J. Anti-PEG antibodies: Properties, formation, testing and role in adverse immune reactions to PEGylated nano-biopharmaceuticals. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* **2020**, *154-155*, 163-175. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2020.07.024>.
- (15) Zhang, Y.; Zhang, A. Y.; Feng, Z. G.; Ye, L.; Xu, R. X. Synthesis and characterization of polyether esters elastomer based on polyethylene glycol and poly(butylene terephthalate) - Influence of different hard segment length on polymer properties. *Acta Polymerica Sinica* **2002**, (2), 167-172.
- (16) Khouri, N. G.; Bahú, J. O.; Blanco-Llamero, C.; Severino, P.; Concha, V. O. C.; Souto, E. B. Polylactic acid (PLA): Properties, synthesis, and biomedical applications – A review of the literature. *Journal of Molecular Structure* **2024**, *1309*, 138243. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2024.138243>.
- (17) Masutani, K.; Kimura, Y.; Chemistry, R. S. o. PLA Synthesis. From the Monomer to the Polymer. In *Poly(lactic acid) Science and Technology: Processing, Properties, Additives and Applications*, Jiménez, A., Peltzer, M., Ruseckaite, R. Eds.; The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2014; p 0.
- (18) Murariu, M.; Dubois, P. PLA composites: From production to properties. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* **2016**, *107*, 17-46. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2016.04.003>.
- (19) Saeidlou, S.; Huneault, M. A.; Li, H.; Park, C. B. Poly(lactic acid) crystallization. *Progress in Polymer Science* **2012**, *37* (12), 1657-1677. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2012.07.005>.
- (20) Müller, A. J.; Ávila, M.; Saenz, G.; Salazar, J.; Chemistry, R. S. o. Crystallization of PLA-based Materials. In *Poly(lactic acid) Science and Technology: Processing, Properties, Additives and Applications*, Jiménez, A., Peltzer, M., Ruseckaite, R. Eds.; The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2014; p 0.



- (21) De Luca, S.; Milanese, D.; Gallichi-Nottiani, D.; Cavazza, A.; Sciancalepore, C. Poly(lactic acid) and Its Blends for Packaging Application: A Review. In *Clean Technologies*, 2023; Vol. 5, pp 1304-1343.
- (22) Swetha, T. A.; Bora, A.; Mohanrasu, K.; Balaji, P.; Raja, R.; Ponnuchamy, K.; Muthusamy, G.; Arun, A. A comprehensive review on polylactic acid (PLA) – Synthesis, processing and application in food packaging. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* **2023**, *234*, 123715. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2023.123715>.
- (23) da Silva, D.; Kaduri, M.; Poley, M.; Adir, O.; Krinsky, N.; Shainsky-Roitman, J.; Schroeder, A. Biocompatibility, biodegradation and excretion of polylactic acid (PLA) in medical implants and theranostic systems. *Chem Eng J* **2018**, *340*, 9-14. DOI: 10.1016/j.cej.2018.01.010 From NLM.
- (24) Suk, J. S.; Xu, Q.; Kim, N.; Hanes, J.; Ensign, L. M. PEGylation as a strategy for improving nanoparticle-based drug and gene delivery. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* **2016**, *99*, 28-51. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2015.09.012>.
- (25) Shi, D.; Beasock, D.; Fessler, A.; Szebeni, J.; Ljubimova, J. Y.; Afonin, K. A.; Dobrovolskaia, M. A. To PEGylate or not to PEGylate: Immunological properties of nanomedicine's most popular component, polyethylene glycol and its alternatives. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* **2022**, *180*, 114079. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2021.114079>.
- (26) Thakur, K. A. M.; Kean, R. T.; Hall, E. S.; Kolstad, J. J.; Lindgren, T. A.; Doscotch, M. A.; Siepmann, J. I.; Munson, E. J. High-Resolution <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H Solution NMR Study of Poly(lactide). *Macromolecules* **1997**, *30* (8), 2422-2428. DOI: 10.1021/ma9615967.
- (27) Ding, Y.; Feng, W.; Lu, B.; Wang, P.; Wang, G.; Ji, J. PLA-PEG-PLA tri-block copolymers: Effective compatibilizers for promotion of the interfacial structure and mechanical properties of PLA/PBAT blends. *Polymer* **2018**, *146*, 179-187. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2018.05.037>.
- (28) Bao, J.; Dong, X.; Chen, S.; Lu, W.; Zhang, X.; Chen, W. Confined crystallization, melting behavior and morphology in PEG-b-PLA diblock copolymers: Amorphous versus crystalline PLA. *Journal of Polymer Science* **2020**, *58* (3), 455-465. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/pol.20190077>.
- (29) Olabisi, O.; Robeson, L. M. S. M. T. *Polymer-polymer miscibility*; Academic Press, 1979.
- (30) Staff, R. H.; Landfester, K.; Crespy, D. Recent Advances in the Emulsion Solvent Evaporation Technique for the Preparation of Nanoparticles and Nanocapsules. In *Hierarchical Macromolecular Structures: 60 Years after the Staudinger Nobel Prize*; Percec, V. Ed.; Springer International Publishing, 2013; pp 329-344.
- (31) Tinajero-Díaz, E.; Martínez de Ilarduya, A.; Muñoz-Guerra, S. Synthesis and properties of diblock copolymers of  $\omega$ -pentadecalactone and  $\alpha$ -amino acids. *European Polymer Journal* **2019**, *116*, 169-179. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2019.04.009>.
- (32) Panyam, J.; Labhasetwar, V. Biodegradable nanoparticles for drug and gene delivery to cells and tissue. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* **2003**, *55* (3), 329-347. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X\(02\)00228-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X(02)00228-4).



**Data availability**

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its ESI.† Raw experimental data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

