



Fig. 4 Improved bulk MHP stability of PSCs with barrier layer X-ray diffraction plots in q -space at incidence angles 0.3° (representing the top surface) and 5° (representing the bulk) (a–c) unaged PSCs, and (d–f) PSCs subjected to 50°C for 120 h. (a and d) Control PSC, (b and e) SnO_2 PSC, and (c and f) $\text{O}_3\text{-SnO}_2$ PSC.

after the introduction of the barrier layers in the device structure.

In addition to the dark I - V measurements, a full set of measurements were performed in the light and complemented by temperature-dependent EQE for the $\text{O}_3\text{-SnO}_2$ PSC (showing a minor increase in bandgap with temperature as in line with previous reporting for PSCs with similar compositions³²) as shown in Fig. S12 and S13.[†] Control PSCs exhibited a rapid performance degradation with an increase in temperature, whereas $\text{O}_3\text{-SnO}_2$ PSCs exhibited much better thermal stability. There was a continuous drop in power conversion efficiency (PCE) from 14.5% to 6.6% for control PSC, whereas the PCE dropped from 16% to 12% for $\text{O}_3\text{-SnO}_2$ PSCs when the devices were exposed to heat from 300 K to 450 K. The factors contributing to the drop in PCE of control PSCs are a drop in V_{OC} and fill factor, both of which showed better stability for $\text{O}_3\text{-SnO}_2$ PSCs. Hence the improvement in N_0 and the associated enhancement in the $\text{O}_3\text{-SnO}_2$ PSCs in comparison to control

PSCs is complemented by better stability under extreme operational conditions (Fig. S14[†]) and is on par with the best reported thermal stability of PSCs, which required the use of a metal-free top contact structure comprising ITO and an ALD nanolaminate³⁰ on top of the completed device. Our device structure shows that the reactions from a highly reactive metal (Ag) can be mitigated by preventing ion diffusion through the use of an ultra-thin, dense, and well-designed built-in barrier layer.

The activation energy (E_A) of the PSCs was also determined using *in situ* ionic conductivity across a range of temperatures, a measurement that has been used in several other reports for ion-specific activation mechanisms.^{33–35} As plotted in Fig. S15 and S16,[†] the E_A of the control PSC was 0.346 eV, the E_A of the SAM-based PSC was 0.410 eV, and the E_A of the $\text{O}_3\text{-SnO}_2$ PSC was 0.503 eV. This value is much higher than the E_A of triple halide PSCs with a similar architecture to the control PSC in this study and without any barrier layer (0.14 eV) from previous



- Evaluating Radiation Tolerance and Elemental Migration in Perovskites. in *2024 IEEE 52nd Photovoltaic Specialist Conference (PVSC)*. 2024. pp. 496–498.
- 38 M. Mayer, *SIMNRA User's Guide*. 1997, <https://mam.home.ipp.mpg.de/ReportIPP9-113.pdf>.
- 39 J. F. Ziegler, M. D. Ziegler and J. P. Biersack, SRIM – The stopping and range of ions in matter (2010), *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B*, 2010, **268**(11), 1818–1823. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168583X10001862>.
- 40 T. F. Silva, C. L. Rodrigues, M. Mayer, M. V. Moro, G. F. Trindade, F. R. Aguirre, *et al.*, MultiSIMNRA: A computational tool for self-consistent ion beam analysis using SIMNRA, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B*, 2016, **371**, 86–89. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168583X15010459>.
- 41 J. A. Steele, E. Solano, D. Hardy, D. Dayton, D. Ladd, K. White, *et al.*, How to GIWAXS: Grazing Incidence Wide Angle X-Ray Scattering Applied to Metal Halide Perovskite Thin Films, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2023, **13**(27), 2300760, DOI: **10.1002/aenm.202300760**.
- 42 G. Ashiotis, A. Deschildre, Z. Nawaz, J. P. Wright, D. Karkoulis, F. E. Picca, *et al.*, The fast azimuthal integration Python library: pyFAI, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2015, **48**(2), 510–519, DOI: **10.1107/S1600576715004306**.

