

This article is part of the

Organocatalysis

web themed issue

Guest editors: Professors Keiji Maruoka, Hisashi Yamamoto, Liu-Zhu Gong and Benjamin List

All articles in this issue will be gathered together online at
www.rsc.org/organocatalysis



Design, synthesis, and application of tartaric acid derived *N*-spiro quaternary ammonium salts as chiral phase-transfer catalysts†‡

Mario Waser,* Katharina Gratzner, Richard Herchl and Norbert Müller

Received 14th September 2011, Accepted 14th October 2011

DOI: 10.1039/c1ob06573d

A novel class of tartaric acid-derived *N*-spiro quaternary ammonium salts was synthesised starting from known TADDOLs. These compounds were found to catalyse the asymmetric α -alkylation of glycine Schiff bases with high enantioselectivities and in good yields.

The use of chiral phase-transfer catalysts (PTCs) for asymmetric reactions has attracted considerable interest over the last three decades.¹ Among the different catalytically active structural motives like crown ethers, ammonium salts, and phosphonium salts, chiral quaternary ammonium salts have found the most widespread applications.¹ Following the seminal reports of Wynberg² and a group of Merck scientists³ describing the successful use of chiral quaternary ammonium salts for asymmetric epoxide formation² or methylation of a phenylindanone derivative,³ cinchona-based PTCs have been thoroughly investigated in the 1990s.^{4–6} Due to their high catalytic potential and broad application scope, these catalysts still belong to the most commonly employed and most powerful PTCs as shown in several recent reports.^{7,8}


In 1999, Maruoka *et al.* introduced C_2 -symmetric binaphthyl-based spiro ammonium salts as chiral PTCs.⁹ These Maruoka catalysts proved to be very efficient even at low catalyst loadings (<1 mol%) for a variety of asymmetric transformations.^{1,9,10} In addition, also Shibasaki's tartaric acid-derived bidentate PTCs¹¹ and Lygo's spirocyclic catalysts¹² were found to be highly efficient in asymmetric catalysis.

However, considering the fact that asymmetric phase-transfer catalysis using quaternary ammonium salts has been investigated for three decades now, it is somewhat surprising that besides the already mentioned privileged catalyst structures only a few other, (most of them significantly less powerful) ammonium salt-based PTCs have been reported so far.^{13,14}

One of the main demands for novel catalysts is easy accessibility from sufficiently available chiral starting materials. Tartaric acid (**1**) has obtained a prominent position in asymmetric catalysis

as both enantiomers are readily available in ample quantities. Although Shibasaki *et al.* have demonstrated the high potential of tartaric acid-derived bidentate PTCs,¹¹ others were less successful in their attempts to synthesize powerful tartaric acid-derived catalytically active quaternary ammonium salts.¹³

Considering the high potential of tartaric acid-derived TADDOLs (**2**) as chiral ligands in (transition-) metal catalysis,¹⁵ we targeted the use of TADDOLs as starting materials for the synthesis of a new class of quaternary ammonium salts. Inspired by Maruoka's success using axially-chiral C_2 -symmetric binaphthyl based *N*-spiro quaternary ammonium ions,^{1,9,10} and keeping in mind that TADDOLs represent a unique moiety with electronic and steric properties different from other chiral motives, we inferred that the centro-chiral C_2 -symmetric *N*-spiro ammonium compounds **3** would be interesting targets with respect to the development of novel chiral PTCs (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1 Targeted tartaric acid-based C_2 -symmetric PTCs.

Catalyst syntheses


The syntheses of TADDOL derivatives were carefully investigated and described in the past, especially by the Seebach group.^{15,16} While different aryl substituents have to be introduced early in the synthesis from **1**, modifications of the hydroxyl groups are most commonly achieved *via* conversion of **2** to dichloro compounds **4**, which can then be reacted with different nucleophiles.^{15–17}

Initial experiments to develop a suitable synthesis route were carried out using the dichloride **4a** (Ar = Ph)^{16a} as the starting material. Subsequent carbon-chain elongation could be achieved using TMSCN (2.5 eq.) in the presence of catalytic amounts of SnCl_4 (25%).¹⁸ With the dinitrile **5a** in hand, conversion into the *sec*-amine **6a** was thought to be just a matter of standard functional group manipulations. However, neither attempts to saponify **6a**, nor conversion into the corresponding dialdehyde upon treatment

Institute of Organic Chemistry, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Altenbergerstraße 69, 4040 Linz, Austria. E-mail: Mario.waser@jku.at; Fax: +43 732 2468 8747; Tel: +43 732 2468 8748

† This article is part of the joint ChemComm–Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry 'Organocatalysis' web themed issue.

‡ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and characterisation of new compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/c1ob06573d

Table 1 Identification of the most active catalyst for the asymmetric α -alkylation of **7** and of the optimum reaction conditions



Entry	Cat.	Ar	Y ^a	Solvent	Base	T/°C	Yield ^b (Conv.) ^c (%)	ee (%) ^d (Conf.) ^e
1	3aa	Ph	A ^a	PhMe	KOH (50%)	rt	70 (~90)	34 (S)
2						-20	55 (~70)	45 (S)
3	3ba	4- <i>t</i> Bu-Ph					30 (~50)	61 (S)
4	3ca	4-F-Ph					35 (~50)	34 (S)
5	3da	3-Me-Ph					77 (~90)	55 (S)
6	3ea	3,5-Me ₂ -Ph					47 (~60)	5 (S)
7	3fa	<i>m</i> -Biphenyl					45 (~60)	7 (S)
8	3ga	<i>p</i> -Biphenyl					65 (~80)	79 (S)
9	3gb		B ^a				57 (~80)	51 (S)
10	3gc		C ^a				21 (~30)	25 (R)
11	3ga	<i>p</i> -Biphenyl	A ^a	PhMe	CsOH·H ₂ O	-70	54 (~70)	39 (S)
12				CH ₂ Cl ₂		-70	35 (~50)	38 (R)
13					KOH (50%)	-20	53 (~70)	28 (R)
14				THF			71 (~90)	7 (S)
15				PhF			82 (>90)	68 (S)
16				benzene : PhMe (2 : 1)			69 (~80)	82 (S)
17 ^f							37 (~50)	57 (S)
18					NaOH (50%)		33 (~50)	19 (S)
19					CsOH (50%)		24 (~40)	65 (S)
20				benzene : PhMe (1 : 1)	KOH (50%)	-35	61 (~75)	84 (S)
21				PhMe			54 (~70)	87 (S)
22 ^g							81 (quant)	87 (S)

^a See Scheme 2. ^b Isolated yields. ^c Judged by ¹H NMR or TLC of the crude product. ^d Determined by HPLC using a chiral stationary phase. ^e Determined by comparison of the HPLC retention time and optical rotation with literature^{6,9} values. ^f Using 1% catalyst. ^g Using 3 eq. **8**.

with DIBAL-H were feasible due to the low reactivity of **5a** (under harsher conditions partial decomposition but no product formation was observed). Using LiAlH₄ at room temperature in different ethereal solvents also did not affect the cyano groups, whereas a partial decomposition was observed at higher temperatures. In addition, using other hydride donors (combined with different additives) did not give any products either.

Surprisingly, upon refluxing **5a** with an excess of LiAlH₄ (10 eq.) in toluene for 2 h, full consumption of **5a** was observed. Besides mainly unidentified decomposition products also 10% of the *sec*-amine **6a** could be isolated. After careful optimization of the reaction conditions, it was found that refluxing a mixture of **5a** and 20 eq. LiAlH₄ in mesitylene for 30–45 min gave access to **6a** in 37% isolated yield. Although only modest in yield, the reaction was found to be scalable to several grams, giving the key-intermediate **6a** in sufficient quantities for further elaborations. The final quaternarization could be achieved upon refluxing with 1,4-dibromobutane (CH₃CN, K₂CO₃).

As depicted in Scheme 2, a variety of different aryl substituents was introduced successfully. Noteworthy, whereas TADDOLs with aryl groups having electronic properties similar to a phenyl group were easily converted into **6**, more electron-rich as well as more electron-poor aryl group containing ones failed as the corresponding dichlorides **4** could not be obtained. The different amines **6** showed similar quaternarization behaviour as **6a**, giving



Scheme 2 Syntheses of azepane-based PTCs **3**. a) SOCl₂, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C b) TMSCN, SnCl₄, 25 °C, 47–63% (over two steps) c) LiAlH₄, mesitylene, reflux, 29–43% d) Br-Y-Br, K₂CO₃, CH₃CN, reflux, 49–69%.

access to a small set of different quaternary ammonium bromides **3** in reasonable yields.¹⁹

Application in asymmetric phase-transfer catalysis

Having developed a reliable route for the syntheses of C₂-symmetric *N*-spiro ammonium salts **3**, we next evaluated the

catalytic potential of these compounds in the asymmetric α -alkylation of the glycine ester benzophenone Schiff base **7** with benzylbromide (**8**).

Using the *L*-1 derived ammonium bromides **3aa–3ga** the best-suited aryl substituent was identified first (Table 1, entries 1–8). All reactions were carried out for 20 h under biphasic conditions using 10 mol% catalyst, 25 eq. KOH, and similar dilution. As expected, the choice of the aryl group was crucial not only with respect to enantioselectivity, but also with respect to the reaction rate. Having identified the *p*-biphenyl containing **3ga** as the best among the tested catalysts (entry 8), we next investigated the ammonium bromides **3gb** and **3gc** (entries 9 and 10). Whereas the *o*-xylene-derived catalyst **3gb** gave a reduced enantiomeric excess of 51%, the naphthyl-based **3gc** was found to favour the oppositely configured (*R*)-**9**, albeit in low yield and low ee only.

With the ammonium bromide **3ga** as the most promising among the so far synthesized PTCs we next screened different reaction conditions (entries 11–22 give a representative overview about the most significant results obtained hereby). Interestingly, the use of CH_2Cl_2 gave (*R*)-**9** predominantly (entries 12, 13), whereas no stereodifferentiation could be achieved in THF (entry 14). In addition, liquid–liquid biphasic conditions were found to be superior over liquid–solid ones (entry 8 vs. 11). Besides a strong solvent effect, the choice of the base was also found to be crucial (entries 18,19). Lowering the catalyst amount to 1 mol% resulted in a reduced ee and yield (entry 17). Finally, we found that carrying out the reaction with an excess of electrophile in the presence of 10 mol% **3ga** at -35°C presents a good compromise to obtain (*S*)-**9** in good yield and satisfactory enantioselectivity in a reasonably short reaction time (entry 22). In addition, the catalyst could be recovered (>85%) and reused several times without negatively affecting its efficiency.

Having identified the most active catalyst and the optimum reaction conditions for the asymmetric α -alkylation of **7** with

benzylbromide (**8**) we next carried out a short screening of different electrophiles to examine the scope of this protocol. We were glad to find that other electrophiles were tolerated well, giving the corresponding products in good yields and reasonable enantioselectivities (Table 2).






In conclusion, a series of novel C_2 -symmetric tartaric acid-derived *N*-spiro quaternary ammonium salts has been successfully synthesized. The catalytic potential of these compounds in the asymmetric α -alkylation of glycine Schiff base strongly depends on their aryl substituent and on the reaction conditions. Under optimised conditions, the α -alkylated products could be obtained in good yields and up to 93% ee. Further optimizations of the catalyst structures are currently undertaken and will be reported in due course.

This work was supported by the Austrian Science Funds (FWF) Project No. P22508-N17. The NMR-spectrometers used were co-financed by the European Union in the context of the project RERI-uasb, EFRE RU2-EU-124/100-2010 (ETC Austria-Czech Republic 2007-2013).

Notes and references

- For reviews about asymmetric phase-transfer catalysis: (a) K. Maruoka, *Asymmetric Phase Transfer Catalysis*, WILEY-VCH, Weinheim, 2008; (b) T. Shioiri, in *Handbook of Phase-Transfer Catalysis*, (Eds: Y. Sasson, R. Neumann), Blackie Academic & Professional, London, 1997, pp. 462–479; (c) M. J. O'Donnell, in *Catalytic Asymmetric Syntheses*, 2nd ed. (Ed: I. Ojima), WILEY-VCH, New York, 2000, pp. 727–755; (d) M. J. O'Donnell, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2004, **37**, 506; (e) T. Hashimoto and K. Maruoka, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 5656.
- R. Helder, J. C. Hummelen, R. W. P. M. Laane, J. S. Wiering and H. Wynberg, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1976, **17**, 1831.
- U. H. Dolling, P. Davis and E. J. J. Grabowski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1984, **106**, 446.
- (a) M. J. O'Donnell, W. D. Bennett and S. Wu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 2353; (b) M. J. O'Donnell, S. Wu and J. C. Huffman, *Tetrahedron*, 1994, **50**, 4507.
- (a) B. Lygo and P. G. Wainwright, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 8595; (b) B. Lygo, J. Crosby and J. A. Peterson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 1385.
- E. J. Corey, F. Xu and M. C. Noe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 12414.
- (a) Y. Liu, B. A. Provencher, K. J. Bartelson and L. Deng, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, **2**, 1301; (b) E. E. Maciver, S. Thompson and M. D. Smith, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 9979; (c) L. Bernardi, E. Indrigo, S. Pollicino and A. Ricci, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, DOI: 10.1039/c2cc05777k.
- (a) T. B. Poulsen, L. Bernardi, M. Bell and K. A. Jørgensen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 6551; (b) T. B. Poulsen, L. Bernardi, J. Aleman, J. Overgaard and K. A. Jørgensen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 441.
- T. Ooi, M. Kameda and K. Maruoka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 6519.
- (a) S. Shirakawa, K. Liu, H. Ito and K. Maruoka, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 1515; (b) T. Kano, A. Yamamoto, S. Song and K. Maruoka, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 4358; (c) Q. Lan, X. Wang, S. Shirakawa and K. Maruoka, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2010, **14**, 684.
- (a) T. Shibuguchi, Y. Fukuta, Y. Akachi, A. Sekine, T. Ohshima and M. Shibasaki, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 9539; (b) A. Okada, T. Shibuguchi, T. Ohshima, H. Masu, K. Yamaguchi and M. Shibasaki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 4564.
- B. Lygo, B. Allbutta and S. R. James, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2003, **44**, 5629.
- (a) S. Arai, R. Tsuji and A. Nishida, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 9535; (b) W. E. Kowtoniuk, D. K. MacFarland and G. N. Grover, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2005, **46**, 5703.
- (a) S. Kumar and U. Ramachandram, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, **61**, 4141; (b) S. E. Denmark, N. D. Gould and L. M. Wolf, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 4260–4336; (c) S. E. Denmark, N. D. Gould and L. M. Wolf, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 4337.
- (a) D. Seebach, A. B. Keck and A. Heckel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 92; (b) H. Pellissier, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, **64**, 10279.

Table 2 Scope of the α -alkylation of **7** using different electrophiles

$\text{7} + \text{RBr (3 eq.)} \xrightarrow[\text{20 h, } -35^\circ\text{C}]{\text{(S,S)-3ga (10 mol\%) / toluene / KOH (50\%)}} \text{Ph-CH(R)-CH(Ph)-CO}_2\text{tBu}$					
Entry	RBr	Electrophile	Product	Yield ^a (%)	ee (%) ^b (Conf.) ^c
1	PhCH ₂ Br	8	9	81	87 (<i>S</i>)
2		10	11	83	76 (<i>S</i>)
3		12	13	80	85 (<i>S</i>)
4		14	15	79	80 (<i>S</i>)
5		16	17	79	93 (<i>S</i>)
6		18	19	71	78 (<i>S</i>)

^a Isolated yields ^b Determined by HPLC using a chiral stationary phase.

^c Determined by comparison of the HPLC retention time and optical rotation with literature values.^{6,9,20}

-
- 16 (a) D. Seebach, A. K. Beck, M. Hayakawa, G. Jaeschke, F. N. M. Kühnle, I. Nageli, A. B. Pinkerton, P. B. Rheiner, R. O. Duthaler, P. M. Rothe, W. Weigand, R. Wunsch, S. Dick, R. Nesper, M. Wörle and V. Gramlich, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 1997, **134**, 315; (b) D. Seebach, M. Hayakawa, J. Sakaki and W. B. Schweizer, *Tetrahedron*, 1993, **49**, 1711.
- 17 M. Waser, M. Haunschmidt and M. Himmelsbach, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 2010, **141**, 1347.
- 18 M. T. Reetz, I. Chatzhosifidis, H. Künzer and H. Müller-Starke, *Tetrahedron*, 1983, **39**, 961.
- 19 A detailed discussion of our efforts to synthesise these PTCs as well as scope and limitations of the developed synthesis route will be reported in a full paper in due course.
- 20 J. H. Lee, M. S. Yoo, J. H. Jung, S. Jew, H. Park and B. S. Jeong, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, **63**, 7906.