Chemical Science



12 15

EDGE ARTICLE

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 5805

Visible light-promoted ring-opening functionalization of unstrained cycloalkanols *via* inert C-C bond scission†‡

Received 18th April 2018 Accepted 8th June 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8sc01763h

rsc.li/chemical-science

Described herein is a novel, useful, visible light-promoted ring-opening functionalization of unstrained cycloalkanols. Upon scission of an inert cyclic C–C σ -bond, a set of medium- and large-sized rings are readily brominated under mild reaction conditions to afford the corresponding distal bromo-substituted alkyl ketones that are hard to synthesize otherwise. The products are versatile building blocks, which are easily converted to other valuable molecules in one-step operation. This protocol is also applicable to the unprecedented ring-opening cyanation and alkynylation of unstrained cycloalkanols.

A. Ring strain energy

accomplished in this work

Ir cat.

thiopheno

DCM

Ring Size

(kcal/mol)

ОН

R = electron-rich arene

Introduction

C-C bond activation is always a challenging issue in synthetic chemistry. Over the past few decades, this area has received great attention relying on the cleavage of cyclic C-C bonds.1 Strained cycloalkanols such as cyclopropanols and cyclobutanols have emerged as privileged precursors for the preparation of β - and γ -substituted ketones through radical-mediated ring-opening functionalization.2,3 Recently, we have consecutively reported the ring-opening functionalization of cyclobutanols to construct various chemical bonds, e.g., C-F, C-Cl, C-N, C-S, C-C, etc., based on silver or manganese catalysis.4 However, the opening of unstrained rings (in particular, 5-7 and 12-15 membered rings) is always confronted with a formidable challenge. It is mainly attributed to the significantly decreased ring-strain energy (Scheme 1A).5 During our research, it was also found that the intramolecular dehydration took place in competition with a ring-opening pathway to suppress the reaction outcome. Therefore, an efficient catalytic protocol should be sought for the ring opening of unstrained cycloalkanols.

Photoredox catalysis has proven to be a powerful tool for the mild generation of an alkyloxy radical that triggers the subsequent ring-opening reactions or other transformations.⁶

Strain energy 27.5 26.3 6.2 0.1 6.2 9.7 12.6 12.4 11.3

B. Intramolecular PCET-enabled ring opening (Knowles, ref. 7)

D. Ring-opening bromination of unstrained cycloalkanols (this work)

Advantages:

1. Opening of medium/ large-sized rings

Mild reaction conditions

3. Broad functionality tolerance

Recently, Knowles *et al.* applied intramolecular proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) to the photocatalytic ring opening of unstrained cycloalkanols.⁷ In this remarkable process, however, electron-rich tertiary alcohols were required to generate the arene radical cation, a key intermediate for the intramolecular PCET process. This somewhat limited the practicality of the protocol (Scheme 1B). Later, Zuo *et al.* disclosed an elegant

^{4.} Useful and versatile products

Scheme 1 Ring-opening functionalization of unstrained cycloalkanols.

[&]quot;Key Laboratory of Organic Synthesis of Jiangsu Province, College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Soochow University, 199 Ren-Ai Road, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, China. E-mail: chzhu@suda.edu.cn

^bKey Laboratory of Synthetic Chemistry of Natural Substances, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China

^cState Key Laboratory of Oil and Gas Reservoir Geology and Exploitation, Southwest Petroleum University, Chengdu 610500, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8sc01763h

 $[\]ddagger$ Dedicated to Professor Takahiko Akiyama on the occasion of his 60^{th} birthday.

photocatalytic ring-opening amination of unstrained cycloalkanols using a cerium chloride complex (Scheme 1C).8 Beyond this, other types of ring-opening functionalization of unstrained cycloalkanols are still anticipated.

Alkyl bromides are versatile building blocks in synthetic chemistry, which can be easily converted to other valuable molecules through nucleophilic substitution or cross coupling reactions. We considered that the ring-opening bromination of unstrained cycloalkanols would give rise to distally bromosubstituted alkyl ketones which are synthetically valuable but hard to synthesize otherwise. Herein, we provide support for this hypothesis (Scheme 1D). A set of medium- and large-sized rings are readily brominated through the mild cleavage of an inert cyclic C–C σ -bond with the assistance of visible-light irradiation. The newly formed C–Br bonds can function as privileged precursors for many other useful chemical bonds. Moreover, this protocol is also applicable to the unprecedented ring-opening cyanation and alkynylation of unstrained cycloalkanols.

Results and discussion

At the outset, we implemented the reaction parameter survey with the less strained 1-phenylcyclopentanol 1a as a model substrate and NBS as a bromine source (Table 1). It was found that the use of biphasic solvents was crucial to the reaction. In the presence of a photoredox catalyst (PC) and a hypervalent iodine reagent, the ring-opening bromination readily proceeded under visible-light irradiation, affording the desired δ-bromo alkyl ketone 2a. A brief evaluation of solvents indicated that CCl₄/H₂O delivered the best yield (entry 4), while the use of PhCF₃/H₂O also resulted in a comparable yield (entry 9). The yield was slightly improved to 76% by using PC 2 instead of PC 1 (entry 13). Other than PIDA, hypervalent iodine reagents such as BI-OH, IBX, and DMP were also suitable for the reaction, but led to lower yields (entries 16-18). The amount of H₂O was important to the reaction outcome. Increasing the volume of H2O in biphasic solvents decreased the yield (entry 19), whereas using anhydrous CCl₄ only led to trace amounts of product 2a (entry 20). Both PC and PIDA were not indispensable; removing either one still afforded the product in modest yields (entries 21 and 22). It might be suggested that the overall ring-opening process was the outcome of two different pathways. The reaction did not proceed in the absence of both PC and PIDA or in the dark (entries 23 and 24). Finally, the yield of 2a was further improved to 78% with the use of PhCF₃/H₂O as the mixed solvent (entry 25).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we set out to investigate the generality of the protocol (Scheme 2). First, a variety of cyclopentanols were tested. Generally, electron-deficient aryl substituents were preferred for the reaction, leading to δ -bromo alkyl ketones in synthetically useful yields. Halides (2b-2d), in particular bromides (2d), were well tolerated, providing a platform for the late-stage product manipulation. Positional change (para-, meta-, and ortho-) of substituents did not impede the transformation (2g-2i). Although highly electron-rich aryl compounds such as anisole

Table 1 Reaction parameter survey^a

PC 1: [lr(dF(CF₃)ppy)₂(dtbbpy)]PF₆; PC 2: [lr(ppy)₂(dtbbpy)]PF₆; PC 3: fac-lr(ppy)₃; PC 4: Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂•6H₂O

		Photoredox	Hypervalent	
Entry	Solvent (v/v 20/1)	catalyst	iodine	Yield (%)
1	DCM/H ₂ O	PC 1	PIDA	22
2	DCE/H ₂ O	PC 1	PIDA	21
3	CHCl ₃ /H ₂ O	PC 1	PIDA	15
4	CCl ₄ /H ₂ O	PC 1	PIDA	74
5	DMF/H ₂ O	PC 1	PIDA	0
6	DMSO/H ₂ O	PC 1	PIDA	0
7	Acetone/H ₂ O	PC 1	PIDA	<5
8	Toluene/H ₂ O	PC 1	PIDA	<5
9	PhCF ₃ /H ₂ O	PC 1	PIDA	68
10	CH ₃ CN/H ₂ O	PC 1	PIDA	35
11	THF/H ₂ O	PC 1	PIDA	0
12	MeOH/H ₂ O	PC 1	PIDA	0
13	CCl ₄ /H ₂ O	PC 2	PIDA	76
14	CCl ₄ /H ₂ O	PC 3	PIDA	59
15	CCl ₄ /H ₂ O	PC 4	PIDA	24
16	CCl ₄ /H ₂ O	PC 2	BI-OH	70
17	CCl ₄ /H ₂ O	PC 2	IBX	55
18	CCl ₄ /H ₂ O	PC 2	DMP	65
19 ^c	CCl ₄ /H ₂ O	PC 2	PIDA	70
20	CCl_4	PC 2	PIDA	<5
21	CCl ₄ /H ₂ O	PC 2	_	53
22	CCl ₄ /H ₂ O	_	PIDA	45
23	CCl_4/H_2O	_	_	0
24^d	CCl ₄ /H ₂ O	PC 2	PIDA	0
25	PhCF ₃ /H ₂ O	PC 2	PIDA	78

^a 1a (0.2 mmol), NBS (0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv.), hypervalent iodine (0.4 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), and PC (0.006 mmol, 3 mol%) in mixed solvents (2.0 mL/0.1 mL) at rt, 14 W blue LED irradiation. ^b Yields of isolated products. ^c CCl₄/H₂O (2.0 mL/0.2 mL). ^d In the dark.

were not suitable due to the electrophilic bromination of the aryl group, it could be overcome by mounting an additional electron-withdrawing group (2h). This method also allowed for the preparation of secondary bromides (2j). The ring opening of unsymmetric cycloalkanols proceeded in a regioselective manner. However, the synthesis of tertiary bromides failed under the current reaction conditions. The scope of cyclohexanols was investigated more extensively than that of cyclopentanols. Besides the functional groups already examined, other groups such as cyano (2q and 2t) and ester (2z) were also compatible to the reaction conditions. In addition to arylsubstituted cyclohexanols, alkyl-substituted cyclohexanols were apt to furnish the elusive distal-bromo dialkyl ketones (2w and 2x). Various substituents could be incorporated into the alkyl chain by using the functionalized cycloalkanol precursors (2y-2ab). In the presence of excess NBS, three-fold bromination took place on the toluene-substituted cycloalkanol to give product 2ac which was brominated at both benzylic and distal

2ag, R = CI,

2ah. R = Br

2ai, R = CN

54%, 44 h

48%, 85 h

52%, 72 h

Edge Article [Ir(ppy)2(dtbbpy)]PF6 NBS. PIDA Condition a: PhCF₃/ H₂O Condition b: CCI₄/ H₂O n = 1, 2, 3, 8, 11 Cyclopentanols (n = 1, Condition a) 2g, 62%, 38 h a 2h, 65%, 65 h 2a, R = H, 78%, 12 h 2b. R = F. 74%, 17 h 2c, R = CI, 70%, 38 h ^a 2d, R = Br, 66%, 17 h 2e, R = CF₃ 71%, 64 h ^a **2i**, 75%, 22 h ^a **2j**, 42%, 90 h ^a **2f**, $R = OCF_3$, 67%, 18 h Cyclohexanols (n = 2, Condition b) ÓМе 2s, R = CF₃, 2t, R = CN, 56%, 95 h 2r, 79%, 47 h 2k R = H 83%, 18 h 64%, 85 h 21, R = F, 76%, 22 h b 2m, R = Cl, 80%, 47 h 2n. R = Br. 82%, 18 h 20, R = CF₃, 71%, 91 h **2p**, R = OCF₃, 66%, 93 h b 2q. R = CN. 75%, 46 h 2u, 52%, 90 h 2v, 70%, 67 h 60%, 61 h 2y, R = Me, 55%, 71 h 2aa, R = Me, 70%, 65 h 2w, R = Me, 34%, 90 h 2z, R = COOMe, 35%, 46 h 2ac, 50%, 35 h c unstable 2ad, 53%, 21 h S.M Cycloheptanols (n = 3, Condition b) Br 2ae, R = H. 60%, 17 h 2af, R = F, 51%, 85 h 2ak, 51%, 83 h 2aj, 46%, 72 h

2al, R = H, 53%, 17 h 2am, R = F, 46%, 85 h 2an, R = Cl, 53%, 44 h 2ao, R = Br, 62%, 67 h

Cyclododecanols (n = 8, Condition b) & Cyclopentadecanols (n = 11, Condition b)


Scheme 2 Scope of unstrained cycloalkanols. Reaction conditions: 1 (0.2 mmol), NBS (0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv.), PIDA (0.4 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), and PC (0.006 mmol, 3 mol%) in mixed solvents (2.0 mL/0.1 mL) at rt, 14 W blue LED irradiation. Yields of isolated products are given. a With condition b. b [Irr(dF(CF₃)ppy)₂(dtbbpy)]PF₆ as PC. c NBS (0.8 mmol, 4.0 equiv.).

positions. The reaction with the cyclohexanol derived from 1,4-cyclohexandione afforded the unsaturated 1,4-diketone *via* dehydrobromination (2ad). Likewise, the ring-opening bromination of cycloheptanols bearing various functional groups also readily proceeded, affording the corresponding distal-bromo alkyl ketones in useful yields (2ae–2ak). Unfortunately, the ring opening of eight- and ten-membered cycloalkanols failed, leading to complex mixtures. The reason is unclear so far. Remarkably, the opening of large-sized rings such as cyclododecanols and cyclopentadecanols occurred efficiently, yielding a variety of distally brominated alkyl ketones that are hard to synthesize otherwise (2al–2ar).

To manifest the utility of the protocol, the products were converted to other valuable molecules *via* nucleophilic substitution or cross-coupling in one or two steps (Scheme 3). First, the bromide in **2a** was easily replaced by azide and iodide, forming new C-N₃ and C-I bonds in good yields (3 and **4**). Then, the ketone in **2a** was reduced to alcohol that intramolecularly attacked the alkyl bromide, leading to tetrahydropyran 5 in two steps with high yield. Alternatively, the C-Br bond was readily converted to C-B and C-C bonds *via* transition-metal catalyzed cross-coupling reactions, affording synthetically useful building blocks or molecules (**6** and **7**). The products were converted to the product of the produ

Haloperidol is a marketed antipsychotic drug extensively used to treat schizophrenia and Tourette's syndrome. After gaining a portfolio of distal-bromo alkyl bromides as precursors, we accomplished the preparation of haloperidol analogues in high yields (8a–8d, Scheme 4).¹² Testing of their biological activities is ongoing.

According to the experimental results, the plausible mechanism is depicted (Scheme 5, top). Although the detailed process for the generation of cycloalkoxy radical **I** is not fully understood, the radical **I** might be formed *via* two pathways. First, Stern–Volmer studies disclose that the excited state of Ir^{III} catalyst **PC 2** could be oxidatively quenched by NBS to generate the Ir^{IV} complex (see the ESI†). However, the oxidation potential of this Ir^{IV} complex ($E_{1/2}^{\text{IV/III}} = 1.21 \text{ V}$ in MeCN νs . SCE) is insufficient to oxidize cycloalkanol **1** ($E_{\text{P/2}} > 2.0 \text{ V}$ in MeCN νs . SCE) to alkoxy radical **I** νia the SET process (for the cyclic



Scheme 3 Transformation of products to other useful molecules.

Chemical Science Edge Article

Scheme 4 Production of haloperidol analogues.

Scheme 5 Plausible pathways of ring-opening bromination.

voltammetry studies, see the ESI†). Thus, we postulate an intermolecular proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) process for the generation of alkoxy radical I in the presence of an Ir^{IV} complex and weak base such as a succinimide anion (path a).¹³ Alternatively, homolysis of the O–I bond *in situ* formed by the reaction of cycloalkanol 1 with PIDA might also lead to the alkoxy radical I (path b).¹⁴ In either way, the visible-light irradiation is indispensable. The subsequent β -C scission of I leads to the ring-opened alkyl radical II, which is intercepted by NBS to give the final product 2. Notably, CBr_4 is also a competent bromine source *in lieu* of NBS to afford the same brominated product, suggesting the formation of intermediate II during the reaction (Scheme 5, bottom).

This protocol is also applicable to the challenging ringopening cyanation and alkynylation of unstrained cycloalkanols. ¹⁵ Under the similar reaction conditions, 1-phenyl cyclododecanol was readily converted to the distally cyano- or alkynyl-substituted alkyl ketones in synthetically useful yields (9 and 10), providing a non-trivial approach to construct remote C–C bonds (Scheme 6). A. Ring-opening cyanation of large-sized cycloalkanol

B. Ring-opening alkynylation of large-sized cycloalkanol



Scheme 6 Ring-opening cyanation and alkynylation of unstrained cycloalkanols.

Conclusions

We have described a novel and efficient visible light-enabled ring-opening functionalization of unstrained cycloalkanols *via* the mild cleavage of cyclic C–C σ-bonds. Ring opening of a variety of cyclopentanols, cyclohexanols, cycloheptanols, cyclododecanols, and cyclopentadecanols readily proceeded to furnish the distally brominated alkyl ketones that often function as precursors of complex molecules in organic and medicinal synthesis. As shown above, this method provides important feedstocks for the production of haloperidol analogues. The newly formed C–Br bonds in products are easily transformed into other valuable chemical bonds, thus illustrating the utility of this method. This protocol is also applicable to the elusive ring-opening cyanation and alkynylation of unstrained cycloalkanols, providing an unusual strategy for the construction of remote C–C bonds.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

C. Z. is grateful for the financial support from Soochow University, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 21722205), the Project of Scientific and Technologic Infrastructure of Suzhou (SZS201708), and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD).

Notes and references

1 For selected reviews, see:(*a*) A. K. Sadan, R. K. Saini and W. E. Billups, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 1539; (*b*) T. Seiser and N. Cramer, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2009, 7, 2835; (*c*) T. Seiser,

Edge Article

T. Saget, D. N. Tran and N. Cramer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 7740; (d) A. Flores-Gaspar and R. Martin, *Synthesis*, 2013, **45**, 563; (e) L. Souillart, E. Parker and N. Cramer, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2014, **346**, 163; (f) T. Xu, A. Dermenci and G. B. Dong, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2014, **346**, 233; (g) I. Marek, A. Masarwa, P. O. Delaye and M. Leibeling, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 414; (h) L. Souillart and N. Cramer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 9410.

- 2 For special reviews on radical-mediated ring opening of cycloalkanols, see:(*a*) R. Ren and C. Zhu, *Synlett*, 2016, 27, 1139; (*b*) X. Wu and C. Zhu, *Chem. Rec.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1002/tcr.201700090.
- 3 For selected examples, see:(a) J. Rocek and A. E. Radkowsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 2986; (b) K. Meyer and J. Rocek, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 1209; (c) S. Tsunoi, I. Ryu, Y. Tamura, S. Yamasaki and N. Sonoda, Synlett, 1994, 1009; (d) N. I. Kapustina, L. L. Sokova, V. D. Makhaev, L. A. Petrava and G. I. Nikishin, Russ. Chem. Bull., 1999, 48, 2080; (e) B. M. Casey, C. A. Eakin and R. A. Flowers II, Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, 50, 1264; (f) Y.-F. Wang and S. Chiba, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 12570; (g) S. Wang, L. N. Guo, H. Wang and X. H. Duan, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 4798; (h) S. Bloom, D. D. Bume, C. R. Pitts and T. Lectka, Chem.-Eur. J., 2015, 21, 8060; (i) S. Ren, C. Feng and T.-P. Loh, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 5105; (j) N. Ishida, S. Okumura, Y. Nakanishi and M. Murakami, Chem. Lett., 2015, 44, 821; (k) F.-Q. Huang, J. Xie, J.-G. Sun, Y.-W. Wang, X. Dong, L.-W. Qi and B. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2016, **18**, 684; (*l*) K. Jia, F. Zhang, H. Huang and Y. Chen, *J.* Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 1514; (m) Q. Tian, B. Chen and G. Zhang, Green Chem., 2016, 18, 6236; (n) R. Zhao, Y. Yao, D. Zhu, D. Chang, Y. Liu and L. Shi, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 1228.
- 4 (a) H. Zhao, X. Fan, J. Yu and C. Zhu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 3490; (b) X. Fan, H. Zhao and C. Zhu, Acta Chim. Sin., 2015, 73, 979; (c) H. Yan and C. Zhu, Sci. China: Chem., 2017, 60, 214; (d) J. Yu, H. Zhao, S. Liang, X. Bao and C. Zhu, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 7924; (e) X. Fan, H. Zhao, J. Yu, X. Bao and C. Zhu, Org. Chem. Front., 2016, 3, 227; (f) L. Huan and C. Zhu, Org. Chem. Front., 2016, 3, 1467; (g) R. Ren, H. Zhao, L. Huan and C. Zhu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 12692; (h) D. Wang, R. Ren and C. Zhu, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 8043; (i) R. Ren, Z. Wu, Y. Xu and C. Zhu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 2866; (j)

- R. Ren, Z. Wu and C. Zhu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 8160; (*k*) M. Wang, Z. Wu and C. Zhu, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **4**, 427.
- 5 P. R. Khoury, J. D. Goddard and W. Tam, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, 60, 8103.
- 6 For selected reviews on photoredox catalysis, see:(a) T. P. Yoon, M. A. Ischay and J. Du, Nat. Chem., 2010, 2, 527; (b) J. M. R. Narayanam and C. R. J. Stephenson, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 102; (c) J. Xuan and W.-J. Xiao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 6828; (d) C. K. Prier, D. A. Rankic and D. W. C. MacMillan, Chem. Rev., 2013, 113, 5322; (e) J. Xuan, Z. G. Zhang and W.-J. Xiao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 15632.
- 7 H. G. Yayla, H. Wang, K. T. Tarantino, H. S. Orbe and R. R. Knowles, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 10794.
- 8 J.-J. Guo, A. Hu, Y. Chen, J. Sun, H. Tang and Z. Zuo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 15319.
- 9 K. Ngu, D. S. Weinstein, W. Liu, C. Langevine, D. W. Combs, S. Zhuang, X. Chen, C. S. Madsen, T. W. Harper, S. Ahmad and J. A. Robl, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, 21, 4141.
- 10 R. Mansueto, V. Mallardo, F. M. Perna, A. Salomone and V. Capriati, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 10160.
- 11 (a) Z.-L. Shen, K. K. K. Goh, C. H. A. Wong, Y.-S. Yang, Y.-C. Lai, H.-L. Cheong and T.-P. Loh, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 4778; (b) M.-Y. Liu, S.-B. Hong, W. Zhang and W. Deng, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2015, 26, 373.
- 12 M. A. Iorio, T. P. Reymer and V. Frigeni, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1987, **30**, 1906.
- 13 (a) J. J. Warren, T. A. Tronic and J. M. Mayer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6961; (b) D. R. Weinberg, C. J. Gagliardi, J. F. Hull, C. F. Murphy, C. A. Kent, B. C. Westlake, A. Paul, D. H. Ess, D. G. McCafferty and T. J. Meyer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 4016.
- 14 (a) J. I. Concepción, C. G. Francisco, R. Hernández, J. A. Salazar and E. Suárez, Tetrahedron Lett., 1984, 25, 1953; (b) A. Martín, J. A. Salazar and E. Suárez, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 4489; (c) A. Martín, J. A. Salazar and E. Suárez, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 3999; (d) A. Martín, I. Pérez-Martín and E. Suárez, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 2027.
- 15 The ring-opening cyanation and alkynylation of cyclobutanols have been reported in ref. 3g, 3l, and 4i. However, the reaction with unstrained medium- or largesized cycloalkanols remains unknown.