

would be interesting and necessary to investigate the adsorption and sensing behaviors of the Rh-doped MoSe₂ (Rh-MoSe₂) monolayer towards toxic gases to exploit the novel material for toxic gas detection or removal. The results indicate that the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer possesses quite strong adsorption behavior towards CO, NO and NO₂ molecules that gives rise to chemisorption in these systems, while physisorption could be determined for the Rh-MoSe₂/SO₂ system. Through adsorption behavior comparison with other 2D adsorbents and desorption behavior analysis, we assume that Rh-MoSe₂ is a desirable adsorbent for CO, NO and NO₂ storage or removal while being a good sensing material for SO₂ detection. To the best of our knowledge, this would be the first report investigating the potential application of Rh-MoSe₂ for the removal of four toxic species in a theoretical manner.

2. Computational details

In this work, spin-polarized calculations were implemented in the Dmol³ package³⁶ of Materials Studio. The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional with a generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was employed to deal with the electron exchange and correlation,³⁷ and to obtain the optimized structures. The Grimme method was employed³⁸ for better understanding the van der Waals interaction. We selected double numerical plus polarization (DNP) as the atomic orbital basis set,²⁶ while the DFT semi-core pseudopotential (DSSP) method was employed to dissolve the relativistic effect of the TM atom.³⁹ The *k*-point sample of the Monkhorst–Pack grid was sampled to 5 × 5 × 1 of the Brillouin zone for geometry optimization and to 7 × 7 × 1 for electronic structure calculations.⁴⁰ The energy tolerance accuracy, maximum force, and displacement were selected as 10^{−5} Ha, 2 × 10^{−3} Ha Å^{−1}, and 5 × 10^{−3} Å,⁴¹ respectively. For static electronic structure calculations, a self-consistent loop energy of 10^{−6} Ha, global orbital cut-off radius of 5.0 Å and smearing of 0.005 Ha were employed to ensure the accurate results of total energy.⁴² For basis set superposition errors (BSSE), little impact could be caused in the Dmol³ package,⁴³ and thus we would not analyze it in the following part.

A 4 × 4 × 1 MoSe₂ monolayer supercell including 16 Mo and 32 Se atoms with a vacuum region of 15 Å was established and relaxed to its most stable configuration. A previous report has proved that a 4 × 4 supercell would be large enough to conduct the gas adsorption process while a 15 Å slab would be appropriate to prevent the interaction between adjacent units.⁴⁴ The lattice constant calculated here was 3.30 Å, which is in agreement with other theoretical studies (3.31 Å (ref. 45)).

The adsorption energy (E_{ad}) of each gas adsorption process was calculated by the following equation:²³

$$E_{\text{ad}} = E_{\text{Rh-MoSe}_2/\text{gas}} - E_{\text{Rh-MoSe}_2} - E_{\text{gas}} \quad (1)$$

where the $E_{\text{Rh-MoSe}_2/\text{gas}}$, $E_{\text{Rh-MoSe}_2}$ and E_{gas} represent energies of the adsorbed system, isolated Rh-MoSe₂ and gas molecule, respectively. To analyze the charge transfer (Q_{T}) between the target molecule and adsorbent surface, the Mulliken population

analysis was considered, characterized by the carried electron value by gas molecules after adsorption. Only the most favorable configuration for gas adsorption would be plotted and analyzed in the following parts.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Geometric and electronic structure of Rh-MoSe₂

We determined Rh atom adsorption onto a bare MoSe₂ monolayer Rh-MoSe₂, where four possible sites were considered, traced as T_H (above the center of the hexagonal ring of MoSe₂), T_{Mo} (at the top of the Mo atom), T_{Se} (at the top of the Se atom) and B_{S-S} (the bridge site between two Se atoms), respectively. The binding energy (E_{b}) for Rh adsorption onto the most favorable doping site is determined through the formula:

$$E_{\text{d}} = E_{\text{Rh-MoSe}_2} - E_{\text{Rh}} - E_{\text{MoSe}_2} \quad (2)$$

where $E_{\text{Rh-MoSe}_2}$, E_{Rh} , and E_{MoSe_2} represent the energies of the Rh-MoSe₂, Rh atom and pure MoSe₂, respectively.

After optimization, the most stable configuration of Rh-MoSe₂ in line with relevant deformation charge density (DCD) is shown in Fig. 1. One can see that the Rh atom tends to be adsorbed onto the MoSe₂ monolayer through the T_{Mo} site, with three Rh–Se bond lengths of 2.383, 2.383 and 2.354 Å, respectively. The geometry of MoSe₂ undergoes a little deformation after Rh doping due to the binding force of Rh–Se bonds.⁴⁶ The Q_{T} between the Rh dopant and the MoSe₂ monolayer is found to be −0.239 e, indicating that the Rh dopant acts as an electron acceptor while the MoSe₂ monolayer acts as a donor. This is in line with the strong electron withdrawing properties of the Rh atom,³³ thereby leading to high electron localization around the Rh atom. In addition, we can find that the Mo atoms are mainly the electron accepting centers while the Se atoms are the electron donating centers for the optimized Rh-MoSe₂ system.




Fig. 1 Geometric structures (a) and (b) and DCD (c) of the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer. The black values are the atom-to-atom distance while the red value is the electron amount carried by Rh dopant.



To further elucidate the electronic behavior of the Rh doping effect on the MoSe₂ monolayer compared with its pure counterpart, density of states (DOS) analysis is performed, as depicted in Fig. 2. It can be seen in the total DOS distribution of Fig. 2(a) that there is a big band gap near the Fermi level in the DOS curve of intrinsic MoSe₂, confirming its semiconducting properties well. With the doping of the Rh atom, the gap gets narrowed obviously due to the upward shifted Fermi level which was originally identified as the valence band maximum in the Dmol³ package, caused by the Rh contribution. It is interesting to note that the DOS spin up and down of the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer are shown to be asymmetric in comparison with those in the pure MoSe₂ system that shows good symmetry, which could be ascribed to the total magnetic moment of 1.0 μ_B in this system induced by the Rh dopant. Moreover, due to the electron-donating behavior of the MoSe₂ monolayer that results in an improved effective coulombic potential,⁴⁷ the DOS curve of the Rh-MoSe₂ system is found to left shift towards a lower region consequently compared with that of its intrinsic counterpart. In Fig. 1(b), the DOS of the Rh 4d orbital is largely overlapped with that of the Se 4p orbital, demonstrating strong hybridization of the Rh atom onto the MoSe₂ monolayer. Apart from that, it reveals that the highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMOs) are mainly located at the Se atom while the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals are at the Rh atom, which confirms the results of DCD that charge is accumulated around the Rh dopant.⁴⁸

3.2 CO adsorption

Fig. 3 shows the most stable configuration and related DCD for CO adsorption on the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer. One can see that the C atom is trapped by the Rh dopant with a Rh-C bond length of 1.892 Å after the CO molecule is adsorbed on the




Fig. 3 Adsorption configuration (a) and (b) and DCD (c) of the Rh-MoSe₂/CO system.

surface. It would be worth noting that such a value of atom-to-atom distance is even shorter than the sum of the corresponding covalent radii (2.00 Å for Rh-C⁴⁹) indicating some chemisorption in this system.⁵⁰ In fact, the large enough E_{ad} of -2.00 eV here could not only confirm the strong adsorption performance of Rh-MoSe₂ towards the CO molecule, but also indicate its chemical nature with strong spontaneity for this interaction. In the meanwhile, three Rh-Se bonds, after CO adsorption, are elongated to 2.432, 2.448 and 2.399 Å, respectively, and the C-O bond of the CO molecule is prolonged to 1.164 Å from 1.142 Å in an isolated molecule. These findings suggest the activation behavior for the CO molecule when interacted with the




Fig. 2 DOS distribution of Rh-MoSe₂. The dashed line is the Fermi level.



monolayer. The DCD shows that the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer acting as an electron acceptor withdraws 0.131 e from the adsorbed CO molecule. This, combined with the Mulliken population analysis for the Rh dopant that carries 0.467 e after adsorption, indicates that the Rh dopant is an electron localization center accepting charge from both gas molecules and the MoSe₂ surface.

Fig. 4 shows the total and partial DOS distributions for the CO adsorption system. Based on the comparison between the total DOS for the pure Rh-MoSe₂ system and the one for the adsorbed system, we can find that they are basically overlapped except for the area near the Fermi levels where the Rh dopant contributes a lot due to its strong electron activity, and the areas at 6.5 and 8.5 eV where the adsorbed CO molecule makes a great contribution for the DOS of the whole system. Besides, the deformations for the DOS between the isolated CO molecule and the adsorbed one confirm that the CO molecule is activated during gas adsorption. Moreover, the large overlaps between DOS of Rh 4d and C 2p orbitals manifest strong hybridization between Rh and C atoms, which would explain the strong binding force of the Rh-C bond that leads to the short atom-to-atom distance.

3.3 NO adsorption

The most stable adsorption configuration and related DCD for the Rh-MoSe₂/NO system are exhibited in Fig. 5. One can observe that the structure for NO adsorption onto the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer, with N atoms captured, is somewhat similar to that of the CO system. However, the largely elongated Rh-Se bonds with lengths of 2.445, 2.453 and 2.449 Å in the NO system




Fig. 5 Adsorption configuration (a) and (b) and DCD (c) of the Rh-MoSe₂/NO system.

suggest a stronger binding force of Rh on the NO molecule than the CO molecule. Similarly, the elongated N-O bond of 1.178 Å in the adsorbed NO molecule in comparison with its isolated counterpart (1.164 Å) indicates the activation behavior of the NO molecule for interaction with the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer.³⁵ The shorter length of the Rh-N bond (1.911 Å) compared with the sum of relevant covalent radii (1.96 Å for Rh-N⁴⁹) shows the nature of chemisorption. In fact, all these results could be well supported by the large E_{ad} of -2.56 eV and Q_T of -0.322 e calculated in this system as they are large enough to confirm the strong interaction between gas molecules and the adsorbent surface.⁵¹

From the DOS distribution for the NO system as portrayed in Fig. 6, the electronic behavior for NO adsorption on the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer could be elucidated clearly. In the total DOS distribution, we can see that the DOS of the NO system transforms slightly above the Fermi level compared with that of the pure Rh-MoSe₂ system. In detail, two novel peaks appear at around -7.5 and -8.5 eV while one peak at the Fermi level disappears after gas adsorption, which could be attributed to the DOS deformation of the adsorbed NO molecule. It could be seen that the DOS peak of the isolated NO molecule at the Fermi level splits into two small peaks after NO adsorption that weakens the contribution to the total DOS of the whole system, while the slightly weakened peak at -8.5 eV and the enhanced peak at -7.5 eV accord with the novel peaks in DOS for the adsorbed system. Based on the partial DOS analysis, we could see that every peak of the N 2p orbital is overlapped with that of the Rh 4d orbital, implying that the N atom is strongly hybridized with the Rh dopant, thus giving rise to a strong binding force for the Rh-N bond.

3.4 NO₂ adsorption

In terms of NO₂ adsorption onto the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer, one can see from Fig. 7 that the NO₂ molecule prefers to adsorb two




Fig. 4 DOS distribution of the Rh-MoSe₂/CO system. The dashed line is the Fermi level. In the gas DOS, the red value shows the orbitals of isolated molecules while the green one shows the orbitals of adsorbed molecules.






Fig. 9 Adsorption configuration (a) and (b) and DCD (c) of the Rh-MoSe₂/SO₂ system.

the Rh-MoSe₂/SO₂ system. The tiny prolongation for Rh–Se bonds inner Rh-MoSe₂ to 2.423, 2.404 and 2.392 Å, along with S–O bond inner SO₂ to 1.550 Å by 0.019 Å can confirm the weak binding force between the Rh dopant and the SO₂ molecule as well. The E_{ad} obtained here is -0.89 eV and the Mulliken population analysis shows a Q_{T} of 0.285 e from the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer to the SO₂ molecule. All these findings suggest physisorption for SO₂ adsorption on the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer.⁵¹

DOS distributions shown in Fig. 10 could give a clear explanation for the electronic behavior in this system. The novel peaks around -11.5 and -7 and the Fermi level in total DOS of the adsorbed system are from the contribution of the adsorbed SO₂ molecule that is somewhat activated after adsorption. At the same time, the overlaps between DOS of Rh 4d and O 2p orbitals, although not as large as the NO₂ system, prove the orbital interaction, to some extent, between the Rh dopant and the SO₂ molecule.

3.6 Application of Rh-MoSe₂ to toxic gas scavenging

We first investigated the potential application of Rh-MoSe₂ as a resistance-type sensor for these gases. According to our previous analysis, it could be found that the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer has quite strong adsorption performance for three species namely CO, NO and NO₂ molecules, giving rise to chemisorption in these systems, while having relatively weaker performance towards SO₂ adsorption that gives rise to physisorption instead. In other words, it would be difficult for these three gas molecules to desorb from the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer once they are adsorbed onto the surface, except for the SO₂ molecule which may be desorbed through annealing at high temperature or irradiation with ultraviolet light.^{50,54}

To confirm this assumption, the recovery time (τ) analysis based on transition state theory and van't-Hoff-Arrhenius expression⁵⁵ was implemented, and expressed as:




Fig. 10 DOS distribution of the Rh-MoSe₂/SO₂ system. The dashed line is the Fermi level.

$$\tau = A^{-1}e^{(-E_a/K_B T)} \quad (3)$$

where A is the attempt frequency determined as 10^{12} s^{-1} according to a previous report,⁵⁶ T is the temperature and K_B is the Boltzmann constant ($8.318 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kJ (mol K)}^{-1}$). Given the inverse processes between adsorption and desorption, we assume the value of E_{ad} as the potential barrier (E_a) for desorption. It would be obvious that a larger E_{ad} would lead to a harder process for gas desorption, and the increase of temperature can accelerate that process effectively. According to the obtained E_{ad} in our calculations as shown in Table 1, we plotted the recovery time for the desorption of various gases at various temperatures as portrayed in Fig. 11. One can conclude that CO, NO and NO₂ desorption from the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer would be extremely unrealistic at room temperature, and even at 798 K, it would take more than 4 hours for NO desorption from the surface. Although remarkably enhanced behavior for CO and NO₂ desorption could be achieved at 798 K, the considerable heat loss and the safety of the devices would be another issue. Therefore, it would be inappropriate to use the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer as the sensing material for CO, NO or NO₂ detection, because the one-off operation for gas sensors would be a waste of money and reduce work-efficiency. On the other hand, we assume that Rh-MoSe₂ is suitable for SO₂ sensing given its good adsorption performance for detection and desorption performance with appropriate recovery time at ambient temperature for recycle use. Moreover, the sensing mechanism of the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer towards SO₂ would be dependent on the increased conductivity due to the narrowed energy gap from 1.033 eV for the isolated Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer to 0.227 eV for the SO₂ system⁵⁷ as seen in Table 1.



Table 1 Adsorption parameters for various Rh-MoSe₂/gas systems

Gas systems	E_{ad} (eV)	D (Å)	Q_{T} (e)	E_{g} (eV)
CO	-2.00	1.892	-0.131	0.202
NO	-2.56	1.911	0.322	1.210
NO ₂	-1.88	2.113	-0.361	0.520
SO ₂	-0.89	2.275	0.285	0.227





Fig. 11 Recovery time for four species at various temperatures.

Second, the large E_{ad} in CO, NO and NO₂ systems allows the excellent performance of Rh-MoSe₂ as a gas adsorbent for their storage or removal from specific environments. For this purpose, we summarize in Fig. 12 the calculated E_{ad} values of recently reported 2D adsorbents for four gases, in order to find out whether Rh-MoSe₂ could be an alternative for scavenging toxic gases. From the figure, we can find that the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer possesses better adsorption performance for CO, NO and NO₂ molecules compared with the pure MoSe₂ monolayer,²³ the pure MoSe₂ monolayer,⁵⁸ pure InN,¹⁹ pure C₃N,⁵⁷ pure penta-graphene,⁵⁹ and some other TM-doped MoS₂ monolayers.^{22,40,60,61} That is to say, the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer has strong potential to be an adsorbent candidate for CO, NO or NO₂ storage and removal. Conversely, it is not a desirable SO₂ adsorbent due to its weaker performance than pure InN,¹⁹ penta-graphene,⁵⁹ the Au-MoS₂ monolayer,⁴⁰ the Pt-MoS₂ monolayer⁴⁰ and the Ni-MoS₂ monolayer,⁶⁰ although it has better performance than the pure MoS₂ monolayer,²³ the pure MoSe₂ monolayer⁵⁸ and pure C₃N,⁵⁷ except for the Cu-MoS₂ monolayer which is not studied for SO₂ adsorption in ref. 22 and 61. In addition, we would introduce the application of borophene as a potential SO₂ adsorbent given its strong ability and capacity whereby up to seven SO₂ molecules could be chemisorbed on its one side, with a weight percentage of 82.88%, for SO₂ adsorption.⁶²

Fig. 12 E_{ad} comparison of Rh-MoSe₂ for four species with recent reports.

4. Conclusions

In this work, we implemented a first-principles theory to study the adsorption performance of the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer towards four toxic gases, including CO, NO, NO₂ and SO₂. Desorption behavior analysis and adsorption behavior comparison with other 2D materials towards these four species were conducted in order to exploit the potential application of our proposed monolayer. The results indicated that the Rh-MoSe₂ monolayer possesses quite strong adsorption behavior towards CO, NO and NO₂ molecules that gives rise to chemisorption in these systems, while physisorption could be determined for the Rh-MoSe₂/SO₂ system. In that regard, based on the adsorption behavior comparison with other adsorbents and desorption behavior analysis, we assume that Rh-MoSe₂ is a desirable adsorbent for CO, NO and NO₂ storage or removal while it is a good sensing material for SO₂ detection. Our theoretical calculation would provide a first insight into the TM-doping effect on the structural and electronic properties of the MoSe₂ monolayer, and shed light on the application of Rh-MoSe₂ for the sensing or disposal of common toxic gases.

Author contributions

Xiaoxing Zhang conceived and designed the research, Hao Cui performed the research and wrote this manuscript while Guozhi Zhang and Ju Tang helped analyze the data.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the financial support from the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central University (No. 2018CDYJSY0055), the China Scholarship Council (No. 201806050143) and the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51537009).



