

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, 15, 7568

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 10th February 2024

Accepted 13th April 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4sc00990h

rsc.li/chemical-science

# Carborane–arene fused boracyclic analogues of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons accessed by intramolecular borylation†

Yijie Li, ‡ Masilamani Tamizmani, ‡ Manjur O. Akram and Caleb D. Martin\* †

Arenes are 2D aromatics while dicarbadodecaborane clusters are branded as 3D aromatic molecules. In this work we prepare molecules that feature fused 2D/3D aromatic systems that represent boron-doped analogues of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. The electron withdrawing nature of the *ortho*-carborane substituent enables swift arene borylation on boron bromide or hydride precursors to furnish five- and six-membered boracycles in conjugation with the arene. The mechanism was modeled by DFT computations implying a concerted transition state and analyzing the photophysical properties revealed high quantum yields in the six-membered systems.

## Introduction

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) have garnered attention in electronic materials as the extended conjugation enables electron transport.<sup>1</sup> Traditional PAHs are composed of 2D aromatic  $\pi$ -systems and imbedding a tricoordinate boron center in the network can alter the energetics of the frontier molecular orbitals to influence the photophysical, electronic, and magnetic properties.<sup>2</sup> Icosahedral dicarbadodecaborane clusters ( $C_2B_{10}H_{12}$ ) are considered 3D aromatics and are emerging as attractive motifs to incorporate into extended networks due to their high stability and electron withdrawing effects when C-bound.<sup>3</sup> The incorporation of carboranes into

extended  $\pi$ -systems is rare and presents an opportunity to expand chemical space beyond purely 2D PAHs.

In unifying 2D aromatics with 3D aromatics, there are examples that feature fully carbon based arenes in conjugation,<sup>3*ij*,4</sup> however, PAH systems that include a boracycle are limited to two examples. Siebert, Nie, and coworkers prepared a variant of anthracene with boron atoms linking a benzene and *ortho*-carborane (A) by reaction of dilithio-*ortho*-carborane with 1,2- $C_6H_4(^iPr_2N)B_2Cl_2$ .<sup>5</sup> Marder, Braunschweig, and coworkers reported the intramolecular isomerization of 1,2-bis-borafluorenyl-*ortho*-carborane to the hybrid borole/arene carborane-fused PAH B (Fig. 1).<sup>6</sup> Both A and B feature the  $B_2C_4$  ring that share the carborane and arene system, but the  $B_2C_4$  motif is the only boracycle reported to link 2D and 3D aromatics.

The intramolecular C–H borylation of arenes has been leveraged to access conjugated boracycles, exemplified in the elegant work by Yamaguchi,<sup>7</sup> Hatakeyama,<sup>8</sup> Ingleson,<sup>9</sup> Feng,<sup>10</sup> and others.<sup>11</sup> A highly electrophilic boron center is crucial for these transformations and often requires harsh reaction conditions or external activators.<sup>11*e*,12</sup> An additional challenge is selectivity in discriminating the C–H bonds of the arene. In the pursuit of carborane-fused polyaromatic frameworks that feature  $BC_4$  and  $BC_5$  rings, we surmised that boranes featuring an arene-substituted carborane could undergo intramolecular arene borylations under mild conditions *via* C–H activation to access carborane-fused PAHs.




Fig. 1 Prototypical 2D aromatic and 3D aromatic systems and known fused 2D/3D variants A and B. The unlabelled vertices on the cluster represent carbon.

Baylor University, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, One Bear Place #97348, Waco, TX 76798, USA. E-mail: caleb\_d\_martin@baylor.edu

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, NMR spectra, computational details, and X-ray crystallographic data. CCDC 2331150–2331155. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4sc00990h>

‡ Contributed equally to this work.

## Results and discussion

Organoboron halides and hydrides are reagents effective in intramolecular arene borylation. Given that a borane bearing a halide and carborane can be accessed in a single step from an *ortho*-carborane precursor, we targeted  $BrB^{Ph}oCb_2$ . Lithiation of 1-phenyl-*o*-carborane ( $^{Ph}oCb$ ) with *n*-BuLi and subsequent



Fig. 2 Synthesis of hybrid carborane–arene borafluorenes **1** (a) and **2** (b), structures of borafluorenes **C** and **D**, 3D analogue **E** (c).

reaction with half an equivalent of  $\text{BBr}_3$  provided  $\text{BrB}^{\text{Ph}}\text{oCb}_2$  and the identity was confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction studies (Fig. 2a). Upon heating  $\text{BrB}^{\text{Ph}}\text{oCb}_2$  at 110 °C in toluene for 36 hours, intramolecular arene borylation of the pendant phenyl group on one of the  $\text{Ph}^{\text{oCb}}$  substituents occurred to furnish the five-membered boracycle **1**, as identified by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 3). This compound represents a hybrid 2D/3D aromatic analogue of 9-borafluorene. The 2D analogue, 9-borafluorene, was discovered in 1963 (**C**)<sup>2n,13</sup> with variants bearing an *ortho*-carborane on the boron (**D**)<sup>14</sup> disclosed last year and variants of the 3D analogue featuring *ortho*-carboranes have been reported in the past 5 years (**E**, Fig. 2c).<sup>15</sup>


It has been reported that the reactive  $\text{HBr}$  byproduct in dehydrobrominative borylations can degrade the boracycle to result in mixtures and lowers the yield.<sup>7a,8b</sup> Additionally, high temperatures and long reaction times are typically required. Compound **1** was isolated in 60% yield from the

dehydrobromination pathway that required heating to 110 °C for 36 hours. Hydride reagents are also competent for intramolecular arene borylation and often occur under milder conditions and with a more benign byproduct,  $\text{H}_2$ .<sup>16</sup> In an attempt to prepare the requisite hydride  $\text{HB}^{\text{Ph}}\text{oCb}_2$  for borylation to access **1**,  $\text{BrB}^{\text{Ph}}\text{oCb}_2$  was reduced by triethylsilane that resulted in spontaneous dehydrogenative arene borylation to give **1** in a higher isolated yield of 81%. Conducting the reaction in  $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$  and analyzing by *in situ*  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy reveals the generation of  $\text{H}_2$  (4.47 ppm) and  $\text{BrSiEt}_3$  (0.68 ppm, qd; and 0.90 ppm, t), implying  $\text{BrB}^{\text{Ph}}\text{oCb}_2$  was reduced to  $\text{HB}^{\text{Ph}}\text{oCb}_2$  that undergoes a tandem intramolecular electrophilic arene borylation (Fig. S28†).<sup>11e,17</sup>

It is known that extending conjugation can alter the properties of PAHs. Hybrid borafluorene analogue **1** features a carborane,  $\text{BC}_4$  ring, and benzene linked. We were curious if a variant of **1** with extended conjugation could be accessed by



Fig. 3 Solid-state structures of  $\text{BrB}^{\text{Ph}}\text{oCb}_2$ ,  $\text{BrB}^{2^{\text{Np}}}\text{oCb}_2$ , **1**, and **2** (from left to right). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity and thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of six-membered boracycles **3** and **4** by dehydrobromination.




Fig. 4 Solid-state structures of **3** (left) and **4** (right). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity and thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

the borylation route and if the reaction would be selective if two distinct C–H bonds are available for borylation. For this, 1-(2-naphthyl)-*o*-carborane ( $^{2Np}oCb$ ) was installed on boron by the analogous lithiation route to generate  $BrB^{2Np}oCb_2$  in 70% yield with the structure confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 3). Treatment with triethylsilane triggered the borylation to furnish the naphthyl-fused PAH variant of **1** in 80% yield (Fig. 2b) with the identity determined by an X-ray diffraction study. In **2** there are two *ortho*-hydrogen atoms on the 2-naphthyl group that could undergo C–H borylation to give two different isomers, but surprisingly only the less encumbered position was borylated. For **1**, the  $^{11}B\{^1H\}$  NMR resonance for the non-cluster boron atom is in the tricoordinate region and is similar to the bromide precursor ( $BrB^{Ph}oCb_2$ : 64.1 ppm, **1**: 62.7 ppm). The

corresponding tricoordinate boron signal for  $BrB^{2Np}oCb_2$  is at 64.5 ppm, but we were not able to observe the signal for **2** attributed to the low solubility and peak broadening.

The selective borylation to generate borafluorene PAH analogue **2** prompted us to investigate whether 5- or 6-membered rings would be generated if from an arene with two distinct C–H bonds poised to generate either ring size. Additionally, the second spectator carborane ligand on boron may not be essential for borylation to occur, thus we prepared precursors with only one carborane. Boranes featuring 1-naphthyl- and 9-phenanthryl-*ortho*-carborane ( $^{1Np}oCb$  and  $^{Phen}oCb$ , respectively) were synthesized by deprotonation of the carborane reagent followed by reaction with  $PhBBR_2$ . Dehydrobrominative borylation of the putative bromo-borane occurred spontaneously at 23 °C for both species. Single crystal X-ray diffraction studies revealed that the reactions furnished 6-membered boracycles **3** and **4** with no evidence of the five-membered product indicating that formation of the  $BC_5$  ring system is preferred over the  $BC_4$  system (Scheme 1, Fig. 4). This is also in line with the mild reaction conditions of the dehydrobromination to the 6-membered ring products **3** and **4** in comparison to the five-membered products **1** and **2** requiring heating (>110 °C). Diagnostic tricoordinate  $^{11}B\{^1H\}$  NMR signals were detected at 64.0 ppm (**3**) and 63.7 ppm (**4**).

Density functional theory calculations (DFT) were carried out using a B3LYP-D hybrid functional with 6-311+G(d,p) basis set to shed light on the reaction mechanisms and observed selectivity. In the formation of **1**, the dehydrogenation pathway from  $HB^{Ph}oCb_2$  is both kinetically and thermodynamically favored over dehydrobromination of  $BrB^{Ph}oCb_2$  ( $HB^{Ph}oCb_2$   $\Delta G^\ddagger = 63.5$  kJ mol $^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta G^\circ = -99.6$  kJ mol $^{-1}$  *c.f.*  $BrB^{Ph}oCb_2$   $\Delta G^\ddagger = 96.0$  kJ mol $^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta G^\circ = -50.9$  kJ mol $^{-1}$ , Fig. 5). Both pathways proceed *via* a concerted transition state by a  $\sigma$ -bond metathesis between the arene C–H and the B–Br or B–H moiety. The results are in line with the experimental results with dehydrogenation of  $HB^{Ph}oCb_2$  occurring spontaneously while the dehydrobromination of  $BrB^{Ph}oCb_2$  required elevated temperature.

The selectivity of forming five-membered boracycle **3'** over the possible six-membered product **3** was also investigated as there are two possible C–H bonds available for borylation of the proposed





Fig. 5 Energy profile calculated for the conversion of (a)  $HB^{Ph}oCb_2$  and  $BrB^{Ph}oCb_2$  to **1**, and (b)  $Br(Ph)B^{Np}oCb$  to **3** and **3'** (in kJ mol $^{-1}$ ).

**Table 1** Lewis acidity of **1–4** measured by Gutmann–Beckett method compared with  $\text{BoCb}_3$  and  $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ .  $\Delta\delta = \delta\text{Et}_3\text{PO} \cdot \text{LA} - \delta\text{Et}_3\text{PO}$  by  $^{31}\text{P}$  ( $^1\text{H}$ ) NMR spectroscopy (LA = Lewis acid)

	Solvent	1	2	3	4	$\text{BoCb}_3$	$\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$
$\Delta\delta$	$\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$	31.4	30.8	27.3	26.9	34.1	29.7
	$\text{CDCl}_3$	24.4	24.6	21.2	21.3	27.5	23.5



**Fig. 6** LUMO images of **1–4** (from left to right, isovalue:  $\pm 0.03$ ).

**Table 2** Summary of photophysical properties of **1–4**

	$\lambda_{\text{Exp.abs}}^a$ (nm)	$\lambda_{\text{Cal.abs}}^b$ (nm)	$\epsilon$	$\lambda_{\text{em}}$ (nm)	Stokes shift ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )	$\phi_n^d$
1	307	305	$1.2 \times 10^4$	—	—	—
2	345	356	$4.2 \times 10^4$	—	—	—
3	345	320	$2.4 \times 10^4$	397	3796	0.74 <sup>e</sup>
4	355	331	$4.5 \times 10^4$	452	6041	0.31 <sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Experimental absorption maximum. <sup>b</sup> Calculated absorption maximum. <sup>c</sup>  $\epsilon$  is the molar extinction coefficient in  $\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ . <sup>d</sup>  $\phi_n$  is the fluorescence quantum yield. <sup>e</sup>  $\phi_5$  and  $\phi_6$  are calculated in toluene using quinine sulfate (quantum yield 0.546 in 0.5 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ) as a reference. No significant emission was observed for **1** or **2**.

$\text{Br}(\text{Ph})^{1\text{Np}}\text{OCb-borane}$  intermediate (Fig. 5b). Within this  $\text{H}^a$  is the hydrogen that if activated would generate the six-membered boracycle and  $\text{H}^b$  is the hydrogen that would generate the five-membered boracycle. From the calculations, the  $\text{H}^a$  activation barrier is lower than that of  $\text{H}^b$  ( $\Delta G^\ddagger \text{H}^a = 48.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  cf.  $\Delta G^\ddagger \text{H}^b = 57.9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) with both proceeding through a concerted  $\sigma$ -bond metathesis pathway. In addition to the kinetic preference for **3**, the 5-membered product is also thermodynamically favored (**3**:  $\Delta G^\circ = -70.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  cf. **3'**:  $\Delta G^\circ = -56.1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) with the DFT studies in support of the observed experimental results.

Crystallographic data reveals  $\pi$ -stacking interactions the molecules with extended  $\pi$ -systems, **2** and **4**, with interplanar distances being  $= 3.511(3) \text{ \AA}$  and  $3.423(2) \text{ \AA}$ , respectively (Fig. S37<sup>†</sup>). No  $\pi$ -stacking interactions are present in **1** and **3** presumably due to the shorter  $\pi$ -system and bulky of the carborane cage. Short contacts between the carborane cage and PAH moiety are observed in **1**, **2**, and **4** (Fig. S38<sup>†</sup>).

The electrochemical properties of **1–4** were investigated by cyclic voltammetry. For **1** and **2**, a two electron reduction close to  $-2.0 \text{ V}$  followed by two one electron oxidation events were observed that are similar to the reported redox activity of 1,2-substituted *ortho*-carborane species (Fig. S39<sup>†</sup>).<sup>3c,e,18</sup> For **3**, an irreversible reduction at  $-1.39 \text{ V}$  and two irreversible oxidations at  $1.26 \text{ V}$  and  $1.47 \text{ V}$  were observed. In the cyclic voltammogram of **4**, the same pattern was observed with a reduction at  $-0.27 \text{ V}$  and oxidations at  $1.39 \text{ V}$  and  $1.63 \text{ V}$  (Fig. S39<sup>†</sup>).

Carborane substituents on boron serve as powerful electron withdrawing groups when C-bound that enhance Lewis acidity at boron. The Lewis acidities of **1–4** were evaluated by the Gutmann–Beckett method revealing that **1** and **2** are more acidic than  $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ , but less acidic than tris(*ortho*-carboranyl) borane ( $\text{BoCb}_3$ , Table 1).<sup>3k,19</sup> The compounds with only one carborane bound to boron, **3** and **4**, are less Lewis acidic than  $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$ . This is in line with the additive effect of additional carboranes bound to the boron that has been demonstrated by computations.<sup>3l</sup>

The frontier molecular orbitals of **1–4** as well as the fully 2D analogues that replace the *o*-carborane with a benzene ring were computed by DFT methods. The LUMOs of **1–4** have the greatest contribution on the tricoordinate central boron atom and have lower energies than that of their corresponding 2D analogues (Fig. 6). The HOMOs of **1–4** are delocalized on the arene  $\pi$  conjugated system (see ESI<sup>†</sup>). In comparing six membered boracyclic systems **3** and **4** to the reported 1-boraphenalenenes by Ingleson,<sup>9c</sup> the LUMOs of **3**, **4**, and 1-boraphenylene have similar contributions within the boraphenylene moiety. The LUMO energies of **3** and **4** ( $-2.79 \text{ eV}$  and  $-2.67 \text{ eV}$ ) are in range of the reported 1-boraphenalenenes ( $-3.07$  to  $-2.55 \text{ eV}$ ).

The photophysical properties of **3** and **4** are listed in Table 2. No appreciable emission was observed for **1** or **2**. Compound **3** exhibits an absorption maximum at  $345 \text{ nm}$  and emission maximum at  $397 \text{ nm}$  with a Stokes shift of  $3796 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and good quantum yield of 0.74. The absorption maximum and emission maximum are blue shifted in comparison to 1-hydroxy-1-boraphenylene ( $\lambda_{(\text{abs})\text{max}} = 374 \text{ nm}$ ,  $\lambda_{(\text{em})} = 489 \text{ nm}$ ,  $\Phi = 0.27$ ) by Würthner<sup>11d</sup> and the reported carbene stabilized boraphenalenenes ( $\lambda_{(\text{abs})\text{max}} = \sim 360\text{--}450 \text{ nm}$ ,  $\lambda_{(\text{em})} = 540\text{--}563 \text{ nm}$ ,  $\Phi = 0.11\text{--}0.43$ ) by Gilliard,<sup>20</sup> while the quantum yield is higher. The absorption maximum of **4** ( $355 \text{ nm}$ ) and emission maximum ( $452 \text{ nm}$ ) are red shifted compared to those of **3**, but blue shifted compared to the aforementioned boraphenalenenes, with a larger Stokes shift ( $6041 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and a quantum yield of 0.31, indicating **4** is a blue emitter.

To obtain more insight into the electronic properties of **1–4**, TD-DFT calculations were carried out to determine the absorption spectra and the energies of the first excited singlet at the CAM-B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level of theory using toluene as a solvent.<sup>6</sup> The relevant frontier molecular orbitals of **1–4** and their energies are shown in Fig. S47, S49, S51, and S53, respectively (see ESI<sup>†</sup>). The calculated values for the lower energy  $\text{S}_0 \rightarrow \text{S}_1$  absorptions for **1–4** are in close agreement with the experimental values as the largest difference is  $25 \text{ nm}$  for **3** and the smallest is  $2 \text{ nm}$  for **1** (Table 2). The  $\text{S}_0 \rightarrow \text{S}_1$  transition in **1** and **2** display low oscillator strengths (**1**:  $f = 0.002$ , **2**:  $f = 0.036$ ), categorizing them as weak transitions. The  $\text{S}_0 \rightarrow \text{S}_1$  transition for compounds **3** and **4** display high oscillator strengths (**3**:  $f = 0.401$ ; **4**:  $f = 0.474$ ), classifying them as strong transitions.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, we reveal that selective intramolecular C–H borylation reactions are a facile route to generate rare 2D/3D fused





analogues of PAHs. Through this route we accessed unsaturated five- and six-membered boracycles that link an arene and an *ortho*-carborane. The five-membered species represent analogues of 9-borafluorene and six-membered systems analogues of borabenzanthracene and borabenzotetraphene. Both dehydrobromination and dehydrogenation pathways were effective in the borafluorene variants with the dehydrogenation pathway occurring spontaneously. The reaction barrier is lower for the 6-membered boracycles with dehydrobromination occurring spontaneously. Computations support the experimental reaction conditions with pathway proceeding *via* a concerted  $\sigma$ -bond metathesis transition state. The intramolecular borylation of aryl-carboranyl boranes is demonstrated to be an effective method to incorporate carboranes into extended  $\pi$ -systems and presents opportunities to expand chemical space beyond purely 2D PAHs.

## Experimental

### General considerations

All manipulations were performed under an inert atmosphere in a nitrogen filled MBraun Unilab glovebox or using standard Schlenk techniques. Chloroform- $d$  and benzene- $d_6$  for NMR spectroscopy were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc., dried by stirring for over  $\text{CaH}_2$ , distilled, and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves. All other solvents were purchased from commercial sources as anhydrous grade, dried further using a JC Meyer Solvent System with dual columns packed with solvent-appropriate drying agents, and stored over 3 or 4 Å molecular sieves. Triethylsilane, *n*BuLi, and  $\text{BBr}_3$  were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. The *o*-carborane reagents and  $\text{PhBBr}_2$  were synthesized using the literature procedures.<sup>21</sup>

Multinuclear NMR spectra ( $^1\text{H}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ ,  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ ,  $^{11}\text{B}\{^1\text{H}\}$ ) were recorded on a Bruker Avance III HD 400 MHz or 600 MHz instrument. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained in the Baylor University Mass Spectrometry Center on a Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap Discovery spectrometer using ESI. Melting points were measured with a Thomas Hoover Unimelt capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. UV-vis and fluorescence data were collected on Varian UV-vis spectrometer and a Fluoromax-4 spectrometer, respectively. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker Apex III-CCD detector using Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). Crystals were selected under paratone oil, mounted on MiTeGen micromounts, and immediately placed in a cold stream of  $\text{N}_2$ . Structures were solved and refined using SHELXTL, and figures produced using OLEX2.

### $\text{BrB}^{\text{Ph}}\text{oCb}_2$

To a stirred toluene (10 mL) solution of 1-phenyl-*o*-carborane (1.11 g, 5.00 mmol) in a Schlenk flask at  $-78$  °C, *n*BuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 2.00 mL, 5.00 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at  $-78$  °C, then allowed to warm to  $23$  °C and stirred for 4 h. A toluene solution (5 mL) of  $\text{BBr}_3$  (237  $\mu\text{L}$ , 2.5 mmol) was slowly added to the reaction mixture *via* a syringe at  $-78$  °C over a 10 min period. The bath

was removed, and the mixture stirred for 72 h at  $23$  °C. After completion of the reaction, 10 mL  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  was added in the reaction mixture and filtered through a small pad of Celite, which was then rinsed with additional  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  ( $2 \times 5$  mL). All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The remaining solid was washed with *n*-pentane ( $2 \times 5$  mL) to yield a white solid. Single crystals for X-ray diffraction studies were grown from a dichloromethane solution of **1a** by vapor diffusion into toluene at  $23$  °C. Yield: 37%, 0.492 g; m.p.  $158$ – $160$  °C;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 7.44$ – $7.38$  (m, 6H),  $7.28$ – $7.24$  (m, 4H),  $4.06$ – $1.74$  (m, 20H) ppm;  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 131.6$ ,  $131.1$ ,  $131.0$ ,  $128.9$ ,  $88.6$ ,  $80.0$  ppm;  $^{11}\text{B}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (128 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 64.1$  (broad s),  $4.8$  (s),  $-2.8$  (s),  $-7.2$  (s),  $-9.6$  (s) ppm; HRMS(–ESI): calcd 531.3703 for  $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{30}\text{B}_{21}\text{Br} [\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$  found 531.3743.

### $\text{BrB}^{2\text{Np}}\text{oCb}_2$

To a stirred toluene (10 mL) solution of 1-(2-naphthyl)-*o*-carborane (0.540 g, 2.00 mmol) in a Schlenk flask at  $-78$  °C, *n*BuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 0.90 mL, 2.00 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at  $-78$  °C, then allowed to warm to  $23$  °C and stirred for 4 h. A toluene solution (5 mL) of  $\text{BBr}_3$  (94  $\mu\text{L}$ , 1.0 mmol) was slowly added to the reaction mixture *via* a syringe at  $-78$  °C over a 10 min period. The bath was removed, and the mixture stirred for 24 h at  $23$  °C. After completion of the reaction, 10 mL  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  was added in the reaction mixture and filtered through a small pad of Celite, which was then rinsed with additional  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  ( $2 \times 5$  mL). All volatiles are removed under reduced pressure. The remaining solid was washed with *n*-pentane ( $2 \times 5$  mL) to yield a yellow solid. Single crystals for X-ray diffraction studies were grown from a dichloromethane solution of **1b** by vapor diffusion into toluene at  $23$  °C. Yield: 70%, 0.440 g; d.p.  $105$  °C;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$ ):  $\delta = 7.78$  (s, 2H),  $7.35$ – $7.33$  (m, 2H),  $7.27$ – $7.25$  (m, 2H),  $7.15$ – $7.09$  (m, 4H),  $7.05$ – $7.02$  (m, 2H),  $6.96$ – $6.94$  (m, 2H),  $3.84$ – $2.23$  (m, 20H).  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 133.8$ ,  $132.4$ ,  $131.7$ ,  $129.0$ ,  $128.8$ ,  $128.5$ ,  $128.4$ ,  $127.7$ ,  $127.6$ ,  $127.2$ ,  $89.0$ ,  $80.5$  ppm;  $^{11}\text{B}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (128 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 64.5$  (broad s),  $4.9$  (s),  $-2.7$  (s),  $-7.1$  (s),  $-9.4$  (s) ppm; HRMS(–ESI): calcd 628.3938 for  $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{34}\text{B}_{21}\text{Br} [\text{M}]^+$  found 628.3919.

### 1

To a stirred benzene (5 mL) solution of  $\text{BrB}^{\text{Ph}}\text{oCb}_2$  (0.266 g, 0.50 mmol) in a vial,  $\text{HSiEt}_3$  (87.8  $\mu\text{L}$ , 0.55 mmol) was added by a micropipette at  $23$  °C and stirred for 1 h. After completion of the reaction (monitored by pumping down an aliquot and acquiring  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{11}\text{B}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectra), the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The remaining solid was washed with cold *n*-pentane (5 mL) and dried under reduced pressure to get a white solid. Single crystals for X-ray diffraction studies were grown for **1** from a concentrated benzene solution at  $23$  °C. Yield: 81%, 0.183 g; m.p.  $177$ – $179$  °C;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 8.24$  (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H),  $7.56$  (td,  $J = 8.0$ ,  $1.4$  Hz, 1H),  $7.51$ – $7.49$  (m, 2H),  $7.45$  (td,  $J = 8.0$ ,  $1.2$  Hz, 1H),  $7.42$ – $7.39$  (m, 1H),  $7.27$ – $7.23$  (m, 3H),  $3.42$ – $1.41$  (m, 20H) ppm;  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 153.7$ ,  $137.6$ ,  $137.3$ ,  $132.4$ ,  $131.1$ ,



129.5, 129.4, 129.2, 122.8, 84.2, 80.3 ppm;  $^{11}\text{B}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (128 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 62.7 (broad s), 3.2 (s),  $-1.5$  (s),  $-3.4$  (s),  $-4.7$  (s),  $-6.7$  (s),  $-8.4$  (s),  $-9.9$  (s),  $-13.5$  (s) ppm; HRMS(–ESI): calcd 449.4441 for  $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{29}\text{B}_{21} [\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$  found 449.4450.

2

To a stirred benzene (5 mL) solution of  $\text{BrB}^{2\text{Np}}\text{oCb}_2$  (0.300 g, 0.48 mmol) in a vial,  $\text{HSiEt}_3$  (84.0  $\mu\text{L}$ , 0.52 mmol) was added by a micropipette at 23 °C and stirred for 1 h. After completion of the reaction (monitored by pumping down an aliquot and acquiring  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{11}\text{B}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectra), the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The remaining solid was washed with cold *n*-pentane (5 mL) and dried under reduced pressure to get a yellow solid. Single crystals for X-ray diffraction studies were grown from a dichloromethane solution of **2** by vapor diffusion into toluene at 23 °C. Yield: 80%, 0.209 g; d.p. 250 °C;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  8.85 (s, 1H), 8.05–8.02 (m, 2H), 7.80–7.72 (m, 3H), 7.70–7.67 (m, 1H), 7.64–7.58 (m, 3H), 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.53–7.44 (m, 2H), 3.36–1.53 (m, 20H).  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  145.0, 142.3, 136.8, 133.8, 132.5, 132.4, 132.3, 132.0, 130.1, 129.5, 129.4, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 127.8, 127.7, 126.0, 121.4, 84.4, 81.0 ppm;  $^{11}\text{B}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (193 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  2.8 (s),  $-3.1$  (s),  $-4.0$  (s),  $-4.7$  (s),  $-6.7$  (s),  $-8.1$  (s),  $-9.5$  (s),  $-10.5$  (s),  $-12.9$  (s). The resonance for the tricoordinate boron atom could not be observed due to low solubility; HRMS(–ESI): calcd 549.4754 for  $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{33}\text{B}_{21} [\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$  found 549.4720.

3

*n*-BuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 0.780 mL, 1.96 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirring toluene solution (15 mL) of 1-(1-naphthyl)-*o*-carborane (0.504 g, 1.86 mmol) under nitrogen atmosphere at  $-78$  °C. The cold bath was removed after 30 min and the temperature was brought up to 23 °C. After stirring for 4 h, the mixture was cooled to  $-78$  °C again. A toluene solution (3 mL) of  $\text{PhBBr}_2$  (0.508 g, 2.05 mmol) was transferred dropwise *via* a canula to the reaction mixture. The resulting mixture was stirred at  $-78$  °C for 30 min and the bath removed. After stirring at 23 °C for 24 h, the mixture was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate washed with toluene. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue washed with *n*-pentane and dried to give a white solid. Single crystals for X-ray diffraction studies were grown from saturated dichloromethane solutions of **3** by vapor diffusion into toluene at 23 °C. Yield: 56%, 0.372 g; d.p. 148 °C;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 8.23 (dd,  $J$  = 8.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (dd,  $J$  = 7.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (s, 1H), 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.63–7.58 (m, 4H), 7.56–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 2H), 3.40–1.60 (m, 10H) ppm;  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 146.2, 137.6, 133.1, 132.6, 131.1, 130.9, 130.8, 130.6, 130.5, 127.6, 126.3, 126.2, 75.5.  $^{11}\text{B}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (128 MHz)  $\delta$  = 64.0 (broad s),  $-0.6$  (s),  $-3.3$  (s),  $-7.8$  (s),  $-10.5$  (s) ppm; HRMS(–ESI): calcd 357.2819 for  $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{21}\text{B}_{21} [\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$  found 357.2812.

4

*n*-BuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 0.75 mL, 1.88 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirring toluene solution (15 mL) of 1-(9-

phenanthryl)-*o*-carborane (0.573 g, 1.79 mmol) under nitrogen atmosphere at  $-78$  °C. The cold bath was removed after 30 min and the temperature was brought up to 23 °C. After stirring for 4 h, the mixture was cooled to  $-78$  °C again. A toluene solution (3 mL) of  $\text{PhBBr}_2$  (0.487 g, 1.96 mmol) was transferred dropwise *via* a canula to the reaction mixture. The resulting mixture was stirred at  $-78$  °C for 30 min and the bath removed. After stirring at 23 °C for 24 h, the mixture was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate washed with toluene. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue washed with *n*-pentane and dried to give a white solid. Single crystals for X-ray diffraction studies were grown from saturated dichloromethane solutions of **4** by vapor diffusion into toluene at 23 °C. Yield: 70%, 0.507 g; m.p. 240–242 °C;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 9.11 (d,  $J$  = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 8.71 (d,  $J$  = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 8.31 (s, 1H), 8.08 (dd,  $J$  = 6.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d,  $J$  = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.79–7.77 (m, 2H), 7.74–7.71 (m, 1H), 7.61–7.60 (m, 2H), 7.57–7.54 (m, 1H), 7.51–7.48 (m, 2H), 3.34–1.86 (m, 10H) ppm;  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 144.5, 133.2, 132.5, 131.4, 131.3, 130.8, 130.6, 130.5, 129.8, 129.6, 128.8, 128.6, 128.0, 127.6, 126.7, 122.7, 75.4.  $^{11}\text{B}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (128 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  = 63.7 (broad s),  $-0.8$  (s),  $-3.3$  (s),  $-7.7$  (s),  $-10.8$  (s) ppm; HRMS(–ESI): calcd 407.2967 for  $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{23}\text{B}_{11} [\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$  found 407.2969.

## Data availability

All experimental and computational data are available in ESI.†

## Author contributions

Y. L., M. T., and M. O. A. carried out the synthetic experiments. M. T. performed the DFT calculations. Y. L. and M. O. A. conducted the single crystal X-ray diffraction analyses. Y. L. performed the photophysical and electrochemical experiments. C. D. M. conceived and supervised the project. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

Harie Zacharias and Dr John R. Tidwell are acknowledged for assistance with X-ray diffraction studies. The authors are grateful to the Welch Foundation (Grant No. AA-1846) and the National Science Foundation (Award No. 1753025) for their generous support of this work.

## Notes and references

- (a) M. D. Watson, A. Fechtenkötter and K. Müllen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 1267–1300; (b) J. E. Anthony, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **106**, 5028–5048; (c) C. Li, M. Liu, N. G. Pschirer, M. Baumgarten and K. Müllen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**,



- 6817–6855; (d) Z. Sun, Q. Ye, C. Chi and J. Wu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 7857–7889.
- 2 (a) R. B. King, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 1119–1152; (b) C. D. Entwistle and T. B. Marder, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 2927–2931; (c) C. D. Entwistle and T. B. Marder, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, **16**, 4574–4585; (d) F. Jäkle, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **250**, 1107–1121; (e) F. Jäkle, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 3985–4022; (f) C. R. Wade, A. E. J. Broomsgrrove, S. Aldridge and F. P. Gabbaï, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 3958–3984; (g) M. Yusuf, K. Liu, F. Guo, R. A. Lalancette and F. Jäkle, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 4580–4587; (h) L. Ji, S. Griesbeck and T. B. Marder, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 846–863; (i) K. Liu, R. A. Lalancette and F. Jäkle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 18170–18173; (j) S. Yruegas, J. J. Martinez and C. D. Martin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 6808–6811; (k) W. Yang, K. E. Krantz, L. A. Freeman, D. A. Dickie, A. Molino, A. Kaur, D. J. D. Wilson and R. J. Gilliard Jr, *Chem. - Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 12512–12516; (l) W. Yang, K. E. Krantz, D. A. Dickie, A. Molino, D. J. D. Wilson and R. J. Gilliard Jr, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 3971–3975; (m) W. Yang, K. E. Krantz, L. A. Freeman, D. A. Dickie, A. Molino, G. Frenking, S. Pan, D. J. D. Wilson and R. J. Gilliard Jr, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 3850–3854; (n) X. Su, T. A. Bartholome, J. R. Tidwell, A. Pujol, S. Yruegas, J. J. Martinez and C. D. Martin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 4147–4192; (o) M. O. Akram, J. R. Tidwell, J. L. Dutton, D. J. D. Wilson, A. Molino and C. D. Martin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2022, **61**, 9595–9604; (p) K. Liu, Z. Jiang, R. A. Lalancette, X. Tang and F. Jäkle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 18908–18917; (q) M. Vanga, A. Sahoo, R. A. Lalancette and F. Jäkle, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202113075; (r) T. Jin, L. Kunze, S. Breimaier, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner, F. Jäkle, R. F. Winter, M. Braun, J.-M. Mewes and M. Wagner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 13704–13716; (s) Y. Li, Y.-H. Shen, A. M. Esper, J. R. Tidwell, A. S. Veige and C. D. Martin, *Dalton Trans.*, 2023, **52**, 668–674.
- 3 (a) J. O. Huh, H. Kim, K. M. Lee, Y. S. Lee, Y. Do and M. H. Lee, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 1138–1140; (b) A. M. Spokoiny, C. W. Machan, D. J. Clingerman, M. S. Rosen, M. J. Wiester, R. D. Kennedy, C. L. Stern, A. A. Sarjeant and C. A. Mirkin, *Nat. Chem.*, 2011, **3**, 590–596; (c) K. M. Lee, J. O. Huh, T. Kim, Y. Do and M. H. Lee, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 11758–11764; (d) M. Tominaga, H. Naito, Y. Morisaki and Y. Chujo, *New J. Chem.*, 2014, **38**, 5686–5690; (e) J. Kahlert, L. Böhling, A. Brockhinke, H.-G. Stammer, B. Neumann, L. M. Rendina, P. J. Low, L. Weber and M. A. Fox, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 9766–9781; (f) R. N. Grimes, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 5939–5956; (g) R. Núñez, M. Tarrés, A. Ferrer-Ugalde, F. F. de Biani and F. Teixidor, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 14307–14378; (h) N. V. Nghia, S. Jana, S. Sujith, J. Y. Ryu, J. Lee, S. U. Lee and M. H. Lee, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 12483–12488; (i) T. L. Chan and Z. Xie, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 2284–2289; (j) J. Ochi, K. Tanaka and Y. Chujo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 9841–9855; (k) M. O. Akram, J. R. Tidwell, J. L. Dutton and C. D. Martin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202212073; (l) M. O. Akram, C. D. Martin and J. L. Dutton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2023, **62**, 13495–13504; (m) M. O. Akram, J. R. Tidwell, J. L. Dutton and C. D. Martin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202307040; (n) K. Vashisth, S. Dutta, M. O. Akram and C. D. Martin, *Dalton Trans.*, 2023, **52**, 9639–9645.
- 4 (a) N. K. Hota and D. S. Matteson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 3570–3572; (b) D. S. Matteson and R. A. Davis, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1974, **13**, 859–862; (c) Y. Morisaki, M. Tominaga and Y. Chujo, *Chem. - Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 11251–11257; (d) Y. Morisaki, M. Tominaga, T. Ochiai and Y. Chujo, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2014, **9**, 1247–1251; (e) K. Nishino, K. Hashimoto, K. Tanaka, Y. Morisaki and Y. Chujo, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2016, **57**, 2025–2028; (f) J. Ochi, K. Tanaka and Y. Chujo, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 1025–1033; (g) F. Sun, S. Tan, H.-J. Cao, C.-s. Lu, D. Tu, J. Poater, M. Solà and H. Yan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 3577–3587; (h) S. Mukherjee and P. Thilagar, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 1070–1093; (i) K. Kokado, M. Tominaga and Y. Chujo, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2010, **31**, 1389–1394; (j) J. Marshall, B. C. Schroeder, H. Bronstein, I. Meager, S. Rossbauer, N. Yaacobi-Gross, E. Buchaca-Domingo, T. D. Anthopoulos, N. Stingelin, P. Beavis and M. Heeney, *Macromolecules*, 2014, **47**, 89–96; (k) J. Marshall, Z. Fei, C. P. Yau, N. Yaacobi-Gross, S. Rossbauer, T. D. Anthopoulos, S. E. Watkins, P. Beavis and M. Heeney, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 232–239; (l) K. Tanaka, K. Nishino, S. Ito, H. Yamane, K. Suenaga, K. Hashimoto and Y. Chujo, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2017, **196**, 31–42.
- 5 Y. Nie, J. Miao, H. Wadepohl, H. Pritzkow, T. Oeser and W. Siebert, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2013, **639**, 1188–1193.
- 6 J. Krebs, A. Häfner, S. Fuchs, X. Guo, F. Rauch, A. Eichhorn, I. Krummenacher, A. Friedrich, L. Ji, M. Finze, Z. Lin, H. Braunschweig and T. B. Marder, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 14165–14178.
- 7 (a) N. Ando, T. Yamada, H. Narita, N. N. Oehlmann, M. Wagner and S. Yamaguchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 9944–9951; (b) H. Narita, H. Choi, M. Ito, N. Ando, S. Ogi and S. Yamaguchi, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 1484–1491.
- 8 (a) H. Hirai, K. Nakajima, S. Nakatsuka, K. Shiren, J. Ni, S. Nomura, T. Ikuta and T. Hatakeyama, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 13581–13585; (b) F. Miyamoto, S. Nakatsuka, K. Yamada, K.-i. Nakayama and T. Hatakeyama, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 6158–6161; (c) T. Hatakeyama, K. Shiren, K. Nakajima, S. Nomura, S. Nakatsuka, K. Kinoshita, J. Ni, Y. Ono and T. Ikuta, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 2777–2781; (d) S. Nakatsuka, H. Gotoh, K. Kinoshita, N. Yasuda and T. Hatakeyama, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 5087–5090.
- 9 (a) A. Escande, D. L. Crossley, J. Cid, I. A. Cade, I. Vitorica-Yrezabal and M. J. Ingleson, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 17160–17167; (b) D. L. Crossley, R. J. Kahan, S. Endres, A. J. Warner, R. A. Smith, J. Cid, J. J. Dunsford, J. E. Jones, I. Vitorica-Yrezabal and M. J. Ingleson, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 7969–7977; (c) R. J. Kahan, D. L. Crossley, J. Cid, J. E. Radcliffe and M. J. Ingleson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 8084–8088; (d) K. Yuan, R. J. Kahan, C. Si, A. Williams, S. Kirschner, M. Uzelac, E. Zysman-Colman and M. J. Ingleson, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 3258–3267; (e)



- K. Yuan, A. K. Gupta, C. Si, M. Uzelac, E. Zysman-Colman and M. J. Ingleson, *Org. Lett.*, 2023, **25**, 5880–5884.
- 10 (a) J.-J. Zhang, L. Yang, F. Liu, Y. Fu, J. Liu, A. A. Popov, J. Ma and X. Feng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 25695–25700; (b) J.-J. Zhang, M.-C. Tang, Y. Fu, K.-H. Low, J. Ma, L. Yang, J. J. Weigand, J. Liu, V. W.-W. Yam and X. Feng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 2833–2838; (c) J.-J. Zhang, L. Yang, F. Liu, G. Serra, Y. Fu, A. Lucotti, A. A. Popov, M. Tommasini, J. Ma and X. Feng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202312055.
- 11 (a) A. John, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner and M. Wagner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 5588–5592; (b) J. M. Farrell, D. Schmidt, V. Grande and F. Würthner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 11846–11850; (c) X. Liang, Z.-P. Yan, H.-B. Han, Z.-G. Wu, Y.-X. Zheng, H. Meng, J.-L. Zuo and W. Huang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 11316–11320; (d) J. M. Farrell, C. Mützel, D. Bialas, M. Rudolf, K. Menekse, A.-M. Krause, M. Stolte and F. Würthner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 9096–9104; (e) C. Mützel, J. M. Farrell, K. Shoyama and F. Würthner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202115746; (f) Z.-P. Yan, L. Yuan, Y. Zhang, M.-X. Mao, X.-J. Liao, H.-X. Ni, Z.-H. Wang, Z. An, Y.-X. Zheng and J.-L. Zuo, *Adv. Mater.*, 2022, **34**, 2204253.
- 12 Y. Xia, M. Zhang, S. Ren, J. Song, J. Ye, M. G. Humphrey, C. Zheng, K. Wang and X. Zhang, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 7942–7946.
- 13 R. Köster and G. Benedikt, *Angew. Chem.*, 1963, **75**, 419.
- 14 T. Bischof, X. Guo, I. Krummenacher, L. Beßler, Z. Lin, M. Finze and H. Braunschweig, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 7492–7497.
- 15 (a) S. Yruegas, J. C. Axtell, K. O. Kirlikovali, A. M. Spokoyny and C. D. Martin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 2892–2895; (b) A. Benton, J. D. Watson, S. M. Mansell, G. M. Rosair and A. J. Welch, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2020, **907**, 121057; (c) C. Zhang, J. Wang, Z. Lin and Q. Ye, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2022, **61**, 18275–18284; (d) M. Diab, K. Jaiswal, D. Bawari and R. Dobrovetsky, *Isr. J. Chem.*, 2023, **63**, e202300010; (e) L. Xiang, J. Wang, I. Krummenacher, K. Radacki, H. Braunschweig, Z. Lin and Q. Ye, *Chem. - Eur. J.*, 2023, **29**, e202301270.
- 16 J. M. Farrell and D. W. Stephan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 5214–5217.
- 17 M. Khandelwal and R. J. Wehmschulte, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 7323–7326.
- 18 (a) L. Weber, J. Kahlert, L. Böhling, A. Brockhinke, H.-G. Stammer, B. Neumann, R. A. Harder, P. J. Low and M. A. Fox, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 2266–2281; (b) A. Ferrer-Ugalde, E. J. Juárez-Pérez, F. Teixidor, C. Viñas, R. Sillanpää, E. Pérez-Inestrosa and R. Núñez, *Chem. - Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 544–553.
- 19 (a) U. Mayer, V. Gutmann and W. Gerger, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 1975, **106**, 1235–1257; (b) M. A. Beckett, G. C. Strickland, J. R. Holland and K. Sukumar Varma, *Polymer*, 1996, **37**, 4629–4631; (c) I. B. Sivaev and V. I. Bregadze, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **270–271**, 75–88.
- 20 C.-L. Deng, K. K. Hollister, A. Molino, B. Y. E. Tra, D. A. Dickie, D. J. D. Wilson and R. J. Gilliard Jr, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 6145–6156.
- 21 (a) K. Ohta, T. Goto and Y. Endo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 8569–8573; (b) R. D. Kennedy, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 4782–4784; (c) K. Kokado, A. Nagai and Y. Chujo, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52**, 293–296.

