



CrossMark  
click for updates

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 517

# Synthesis, structure, and reactivity of crystalline molecular complexes of the $\{[C_5H_3(SiMe_3)_2]_3Th\}^{1-}$ anion containing thorium in the formal +2 oxidation state†

Ryan R. Langeslay, Megan E. Fieser, Joseph W. Ziller, Filipp Furche\* and William J. Evans\*

Reduction of the  $Th^{3+}$  complex  $Cp_3^*Th$ , **1** [ $Cp^* = C_5H_3(SiMe_3)_2$ ], with potassium graphite in THF in the presence of 2.2.2-cryptand generates  $[K(2.2.2-cryptand)][Cp_3^*Th]$ , **2**, a complex containing thorium in the formal +2 oxidation state. Reaction of **1** with  $KC_8$  in the presence of 18-crown-6 generates the analogous  $Th^{2+}$  compound,  $[K(18-crown-6)(THF)_2][Cp_3^*Th]$ , **3**. Complexes **2** and **3** form dark green solutions in THF with  $\epsilon = 23\,000\ M^{-1}\ cm^{-1}$ , but crystallize as dichroic dark blue/red crystals. X-ray crystallography revealed that the anions in **2** and **3** have trigonal planar coordination geometries, with 2.521 and 2.525 Å Th–(Cp<sup>\*</sup> ring centroid) distances, respectively, equivalent to the 2.520 Å distance measured in **1**. Density functional theory analysis of  $(Cp_3^*Th)^{1-}$  is consistent with a  $6d^2$  ground state, the first example of this transition metal electron configuration. Complex **3** reacts as a two-electron reductant with cyclooctatetraene to make  $Cp_2^*Th(C_8H_8)$ , **4**, and  $[K(18-crown-6)]Cp^*$ .


Received 2nd October 2014  
Accepted 15th October 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4sc03033h

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

One of the fundamental characteristics of any metal is the extent to which it loses electrons to form charged species in different formal oxidation states. This ionization can occur in the gas phase to form short-lived species in a wide range of oxidation states, but the number of oxidation states available in solution in molecular metal complexes for productive chemistry is smaller. Chemists have tested the limits of oxidation states of all the elements for over 100 years and the boundaries of oxidation states accessible in solution are well established.

Nevertheless, it was recently discovered that the +2 oxidation state is accessible in soluble molecular complexes for all the elements in the lanthanide series except promethium, eqn (1).<sup>1</sup>




$Ln = La, Ce$  ( $R = R' = SiMe_3$ )

$Ln = Pr, Gd, Tb, Y, Ho, Er, Lu$  ( $R = H, R' = SiMe_3$ )

Previously, it was thought that only the traditional six  $Ln^{2+}$  ions of Eu, Yb, Sm, Tm, Dy, and Nd were obtainable in solution on the basis of calculated reduction potentials<sup>2</sup> and solid state chemistry.<sup>3</sup>

Extension of this reductive chemistry to uranium was not initially tried since it is well known that the redox chemistry of uranium, which includes multiple oxidation states, +3, +4, +5, and +6, is quite different from that of the rare earths. Although it was likely that uranium would be different, an analogous synthesizable  $U^{2+}$  complex,  $[K(2.2.2-cryptand)][Cp_3^*U]$  ( $Cp^* = C_5H_4SiMe_3$ ), was isolated according to eqn (2).<sup>4</sup>



Synthesis of a  $Th^{2+}$  complex *via* eqn (1) or (2) seemed even more unlikely for several reasons. Complexes of  $Th^{3+}$  are already difficult to obtain. The  $Th^{4+}/Th^{3+}$  redox potential is estimated to be  $-3.0$  and  $-3.8$  V vs. NHE<sup>5</sup> and a  $Th^{3+}/Th^{2+}$  redox potential of  $-4.9$  V vs. NHE is in the literature.<sup>6</sup> Reduction to metallic thorium would be predicted to be favored before formation of a  $Th^{2+}$  species.<sup>6</sup> Many studies have been reported to find oxidation states lower than +4 for thorium,<sup>7</sup> but only five  $Th^{3+}$  complexes have ever been structurally characterized.<sup>7k-o</sup> An


Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, California, 92697-2025, USA.  
E-mail: wevans@uci.edu; filipp.furche@uci.edu; Fax: +1-949-824-2210; Tel: +1-949-824-5174

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental and computational details; crystallographic data collection, structure solution, and refinement; and crystallographic data and complete bond distances and angles for compounds **1–4**. CCDC 1018011–1018014. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c4sc03033h



analog of eqn (2) was not possible since  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$  has not yet been synthesized. Despite these issues, thorium reduction chemistry was examined using  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$  [ $\text{Cp}'' = \text{C}_5\text{H}_3(\text{SiMe}_3)_2-1,3$ ],<sup>7k</sup> prepared by Lappert *et al.* in 1986, and the results are described here.

Addition of potassium graphite to a dark blue solution of  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$ , **1**, and 2.2.2-cryptand in THF immediately forms a green solution from which dichroic dark blue/red crystals of  $[\text{K}(2.2.2\text{-cryptand})][\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}]$ , **2**, can be isolated and crystallographically characterized, Fig. 1, eqn (3). The analogous reaction with 18-crown-6 instead of 2.2.2-cryptand as the potassium chelator provides  $[\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})(\text{THF})_2][\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}]$ , **3**, which was also crystallographically characterized [see (ESI†)], eqn (3). Elemental analysis was consistent with the structures determined crystallographically. The  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra of **2** and **3** gave resonances in the diamagnetic region with a  $\text{Me}_3\text{Si}$   $^1\text{H}$  NMR resonance shifted about 0.4 ppm from that of  $\text{KCp}''$ . A resonance was observed in the  $^{29}\text{Si}$  NMR spectrum of **3** at  $-6$  ppm in the region close to the  $-8$  and  $-15.5$  ppm signals of diamagnetic  $\text{Cp}_3\text{ThBr}$  and  $\text{KCp}''$ , respectively. Evans method measurements<sup>8</sup> on both **2** and **3** and SQUID analysis<sup>9</sup> at low temperature suggest the  $[\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}]^{1-}$  anion is diamagnetic. No EPR spectra were observed for **2** and **3**. Decomposed samples showed the EPR spectrum of  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$ .<sup>7m</sup>



The structures of the anions in **2** and **3** are very similar to the structure of  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$ . All three structures have a trigonal planar arrangement of the three  $\text{Cp}''$  rings around thorium with a sum of (ring centroid)–Th–(ring centroid) angles of  $360^\circ$ . The




Fig. 1 Molecular structure of  $[\text{K}(2.2.2\text{-cryptand})][\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}]$ , **2**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

structure of **2**, however, is not isomorphous with the lanthanum complex of the same formula,  $[\text{K}(2.2.2\text{-cryptand})][\text{Cp}_3\text{La}]$ .<sup>1a</sup> The average Th–( $\text{Cp}''$  ring centroid) distances of 2.521 Å in **2** and 2.525 Å in **3** are equivalent to the 2.520 Å distance in  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$ . The negligible differences in the Th–(ring centroid) distances between the  $\text{Th}^{3+}$  precursor and the formally  $\text{Th}^{2+}$  complexes **2** and **3** are similar to the small differences between the  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Ln}$  and  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Ln Ln}^{3+}$  complexes and the  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Ln})^{1-}$  and  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Ln})^{1-}$  complexes, respectively, of all the new  $\text{Ln}^{2+}$  ions that have  $4f^{n+1}5d^1$  ground states<sup>1</sup> instead of the  $4f^{n+1}$  configurations expected by reduction of a  $4f^n \text{Ln}^{3+}$  ion. Similarly, the 2.521 Å U–(ring centroid) distance in the  $\text{U}^{2+}$  complex,  $[\text{K}(2.2.2\text{-cryptand})][\text{Cp}_3\text{U}]$ , which appears to have a  $5f^2 6d^1$  ground state, is only slightly larger than the 2.508 Å value in the  $\text{U}^{3+}$  analog,  $\text{Cp}_3\text{U}$ .<sup>4</sup> These small changes in M–(ring centroid) distances match the small changes in radial size commonly seen in transition metal complexes,<sup>10</sup> but contrast with the 0.10–0.20 Å differences generally seen for complexes of  $4f^{n+1} \text{Ln}^{2+}$  complexes compared to their  $4f^n \text{Ln}^{3+}$  counterparts.<sup>11</sup>

The UV-Vis spectra of **2** and **3** in THF, Fig. 2, contain absorptions at 650 nm with extinction coefficients of 23 000  $\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ , that are significantly larger than those of  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$ , 5000  $\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ . This is similar to the larger intensities observed for the +2 complexes,  $[\text{K}(2.2.2\text{-cryptand})][\text{Cp}_3\text{Ln}]^{1c,d}$  and  $[\text{K}(2.2.2\text{-cryptand})][\text{Cp}_3\text{U}]$ ,<sup>4</sup> compared to their +3 analogs,  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Ln}$  and  $\text{Cp}_3\text{U}$ , respectively. However, the absorptions of the  $\text{Th}^{2+}$  complexes are even more intense and the solutions look like ink.

Density functional theory (DFT) using the TPSSh functional<sup>12</sup> was used to examine the  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Th})^{1-}$  anion in **2** and **3**. Calculations using scalar-relativistic effective core potentials<sup>13</sup> and triple-zeta valence basis sets, def-TZVP, for thorium<sup>14</sup> predicted trigonal planar structures for  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$  and  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Th})^{1-}$  that match the crystallographic data. The calculated Th– $\text{Cp}''$ (centroid) lengths of 2.538 Å for  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$  and 2.526 Å for  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Th})^{1-}$  are similar to the experimentally determined distances of 2.52 Å. It




Fig. 2 Experimental (solid lines) UV-Vis spectra in THF at 298 K for  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$ , **1** (green),  $[\text{K}(2.2.2\text{-cryptand})][\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}]$ , **2** (red), and  $[\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})(\text{THF})_2][\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}]$ , **3** (blue) and calculated (dotted) UV-Vis spectra of  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Th})^{1-}$  (blue) with theoretical extinction coefficients scaled down by a factor of 1.4.



is interesting to note that the calculations for the  $\text{Th}^{3+}$  complex show a slightly longer metal ligand distance than for the  $\text{Th}^{2+}$  complex. The calculations indicate a spin-paired ground state of  $6d^2$  for  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Th})^{1-}$  and a  $6d^1$  ground state for  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$ ; the latter is consistent with previous analyses of  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$ ,<sup>7g,m</sup>  $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2[\text{PrNC}(\text{Me})\text{N}^+\text{Pr}]\text{Th}^{7n}$  and  $[\text{K}(\text{DME})_2][[\text{C}_8\text{H}_6(\text{Si}^t\text{BuMe}_2)_2\text{Th}]$ .<sup>7l</sup> Gas-phase studies of  $\text{Th}^{2+}$  indicate a ground state of  $5f^16d^1$ , but the  $6d^2$  configuration is just  $63\text{ cm}^{-1}$  higher and the  $5f^17s^1$  is  $2527\text{ cm}^{-1}$  higher than the ground state.<sup>15</sup> For  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Th})^{1-}$  the triplet  $5f^16d^1$  state is computed to be  $9\text{--}14\text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  higher in energy than the singlet  $6d^2$  ground state.

The  $6d^2$  singlet ground state can arise in this case due to stabilization of a  $d_{z^2}$  orbital by the trigonal ligand environment as found in DFT calculations on  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Ln})^{1-}$  and  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{U})^{1-}$  complexes<sup>1c,d,4</sup> and noted earlier in the literature for tris(cyclopentadienyl) metal complexes.<sup>7g,m,16</sup> Indeed, both the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$  and the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Th})^{1-}$  have  $d_{z^2}$  character, Fig. 3. Complexes 2 and 3 provide the first examples of the  $6d^2$  configuration since stable transition metal ions are only known with the  $5d^n$  configurations of the third row transition metals. The  $6d^2$  configuration is that predicted for ions like  $\text{Rf}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Db}^{3+}$ .<sup>17</sup>


Time-dependent density functional theory was used to simulate the UV-Vis spectra for the  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Th})^{1-}$  anion as shown in Fig. 2 (see ESI† for a description of the predicted excitations). The maxima in the calculated spectra are lower in energy than those observed experimentally, but this is often the case with such calculations.<sup>18</sup> Analysis of the calculated low energy peak shows that it arises from metal-to-metal transitions that have  $d \rightarrow f$  and  $d \rightarrow p$  character. The high energy peaks arise from metal-to-ligand charge transfer transitions similar to those found in the spectral analysis of  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Ln})^{1-}$ <sup>1b-d</sup> and  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{U})^{1-}$ .<sup>4</sup> However, the  $d \rightarrow f$  transitions found for  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Th})^{1-}$  were not apparent in the analysis of the spectra of  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Ln})^{1b-d}$  and  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{U})^{1-}$ .<sup>4</sup>

The rate of decomposition of  $[\text{K}(\text{18-crown-6})(\text{THF})_2][\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}]$ , 3, at room temperature was studied by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy since monitoring by UV-Vis spectroscopy is complicated by the formation of highly colored  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$ , as identified by X-ray crystallography.<sup>7k</sup> The rate of decomposition of 3 is much slower

than that of the  $\text{U}^{2+}$  complex,  $[\text{K}(\text{2.2.2-cryptand})][\text{Cp}_3\text{U}]$ , which has a half-life of 1.5 h in THF at room temperature.<sup>4</sup> Complex 3 decomposed only 8% after 8 days at 298 K and a sample kept in the dark showed even less decomposition. This suggests that the formally  $\text{Th}^{2+}$  species are significantly more stable than the other newly discovered  $+2$  ions.<sup>1d,4</sup>

Complexes 2 and 3 were treated with  $\text{H}_2$  to determine if a  $\text{Th}^{3+}$  hydride complex such as  $[\text{K}(\text{2.2.2-cryptand})][\text{Cp}_3\text{ThH}]$  would form in analogy to the complex formed by reaction of  $[\text{K}(\text{2.2.2-cryptand})][\text{Cp}_3\text{U}]$  with  $\text{H}_2$ .<sup>4</sup> Analogous chemistry is not observed with either  $\text{H}_2$  or  $\text{KH}$ . Complexes 2 and 3 react in solution within minutes with 1 atm of  $\text{H}_2$  and also over several hours at 60 psi in the solid state<sup>19</sup> to make EPR active new crystalline complexes that appear to be bimetallic, but suitable models for the crystallographic data on the products have not been obtainable. The reactivity of 2 and 3 with  $\text{H}_2$  contrasts with that of the  $\text{Th}^{3+}$  complex,  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$ , which does not react under analogous conditions.

The  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Th})^{1-}$  anion displays net two-electron reduction chemistry in its reaction with 1,3,5,7-cyclooctatetraene ( $\text{C}_8\text{H}_8$ ). The  $\text{Th}^{4+}$  complex  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Th}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_8)$ , 4, is formed as shown in eqn (4) and was characterized by X-ray crystallography, Fig. 4. The  $(\text{C}_8\text{H}_8)^{2-}$  ring in 4, like that of  $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_4\text{H})_2\text{U}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_8)$ ,<sup>20</sup> displays considerable distortion from the normal planar geometry with several atoms  $0.095\text{ \AA}$  out of the best plane of the eight carbon atoms. This is reflected by a large range of  $\text{Th}\text{--C}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_8)$  distances:  $2.736(4)$  to  $2.841(4)\text{ \AA}$ . This  $0.105\text{ \AA}$  range is similar to the  $0.123\text{ \AA}$  range in  $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_4\text{H})_2\text{U}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_8)$ .<sup>20</sup>



The isolation of the formally  $\text{Th}^{2+}$  ion in  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Th})^{1-}$  is likely aided by the stabilization of the potassium counter-cation by the 18-crown-6 and 2.2.2-cryptand ligands. This was also observed with  $\text{U}^{2+}$  in the  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{U})^{1-}$  anion<sup>4</sup> and in the  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Ln})^{1-}$  complexes of the new  $\text{Ln}^{2+}$  ions.<sup>1</sup> In the absence of these




Fig. 3 Contour plots of (a) the LUMO of  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Th}$  and (b) the HOMO of the  $(\text{Cp}_3\text{Th})^{1-}$  anion in 3. Contour value is 0.05.




Fig. 4 Molecular structure of  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Th}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_8)$ , 4. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.  $\text{Th}\text{--C}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_8)$  distances ( $\text{\AA}$ ):  $\text{Th}\text{--C12}$ ,  $2.815(4)$ ;  $\text{Th}\text{--C13}$ ,  $2.841(4)$ ;  $\text{Th}\text{--C14}$ ,  $2.769(3)$ ;  $\text{Th}\text{--C15}$ ,  $2.736(4)$ .



potassium-stabilizing chelates, isolation of  $\text{Th}^{2+}$  appears to be more difficult as described in a 2001 paper by Lappert and co-workers on the formation of  $\text{Cp}_3^*\text{Th}$  by Na–K reduction of  $\text{Cp}_3^*\text{ThCl}^{\cdot m}$ . In that paper, Lappert reports that treatment of  $\text{Cp}_3^*\text{ThCl}$  with excess Na–K alloy caused the initially blue solution (presumably  $\text{Cp}_3^*\text{Th}$ ) to change to dark green. They isolated a diamagnetic green compound they postulated to be “[ $\text{K}(\text{THF})_x$ ][ $\text{ThCp}_3^*$ ]” and/or  $\text{ThCp}_2^*(\text{THF})_x$ ” but they could not characterize it or obtain reproducible analytical results. Hence, the  $(\text{Cp}_3^*\text{Th})^{1-}$  anion was probably generated over 10 years ago, but could not be isolated in pure form as a simple  $[\text{K}(\text{THF})_x]^{1+}$  salt.

In summary, although it is difficult to obtain  $\text{Th}^{3+}$  complexes, further reduction is still possible with thorium: the +2 formal oxidation state of this metal is accessible in soluble molecular complexes. The  $\text{Th}^{2+}$  complexes provide the first examples of an isolable ion with a  $6d^2$  electron configuration, the configuration possible for fourth row transition metal congeners of  $\text{Hf}^{2+}$  or  $\text{Ta}^{3+}$ . The synthesis of these complexes demonstrates the power of specific ligand fields to generate new ground states with actinides. The identification of  $\text{Th}^{2+}$  is more evidence that the oxidation state diversity for the f elements is still increasing. Stabilization of higher-lying d orbitals by the ligand field appears to be a key factor in isolating these new ions and provides a new option in expanding the oxidation state chemistry of these elements. This approach should be pursued further as attempts are made to synthesize soluble molecular complexes of +1 ions of these metals.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Division of the Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the Department of Energy (DE-SC0004739, W.J.E.) for support of the experimental studies and the U.S. National Science Foundation (CHE-1213382, F.F.) for support of the theoretical studies. We also thank Jordan F. Corbey for assistance with X-ray crystallography and K. R. Meihaus and J. R. Long for the SQUID measurements under NSF grant CHE-111190.

## Notes and references

- (a) P. B. Hitchcock, M. F. Lappert, L. Maron and A. V. Protchenko, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 1488; (b) M. R. MacDonald, J. W. Ziller and W. J. Evans, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 15914; (c) M. R. MacDonald, J. E. Bates, M. E. Fieser, J. W. Ziller, F. Furche and W. J. Evans, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 8420; (d) M. R. MacDonald, J. E. Bates, J. W. Ziller, F. Furche and W. J. Evans, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 9857.
- L. R. Morss, *Chem. Rev.*, 1976, **76**, 827.
- (a) G. Meyer, *Chem. Rev.*, 1988, **88**, 93; (b) G. Meyer and H. Meyer, *J. Chem. Mater.*, 1992, **4**, 1157; (c) G. Meyer and M. S. Wickleder, in *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*, Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam, 2000, vol. 28; (d) G. Meyer, in *The Rare Earth Elements*, ed. D. A. Atwood, Wiley, 2012.
- M. R. MacDonald, M. E. Fieser, J. E. Bates, J. W. Ziller, F. Furche and W. J. Evans, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 13310.
- (a) S. G. Bratsch and J. J. Lagowski, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1986, **90**, 307; (b) G. Ionova, C. Madic and R. Guillaumont, *Polyhedron*, 1998, **17**, 1991; (c) L. J. Nugent, R. D. Baybarz, J. L. Burnett and J. L. Ryan, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1973, **77**, 1528.
- R. J. M. Konings, L. R. Morss and J. Fuger, in *The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements*, ed. L. R. Morss, N. M. Edelstein and J. Fuger, Springer, The Netherlands, 4th edn, 2010.
- (a) R. J. Clark and J. D. Corbett, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1963, **2**, 460; (b) D. E. Scaife and A. W. Wylie, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1964, 5450; (c) L. J. Guggenberger and R. A. Jacobson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1968, **7**, 2257; (d) B. Kanellakopoulos, E. Dornberger and F. Baumgaertner, *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.*, 1974, **10**, 155; (e) E. Dornberger, R. Klenze and B. Kanellakopoulos, *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.*, 1978, **14**, 319; (f) J. W. Bruno, D. G. Kalina, E. A. Mintz and T. J. Marks, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1982, **104**, 1860; (g) W. K. Kot, G. V. Shalimoff, N. M. Edelstein, M. A. Edelman and M. F. Lappert, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 986; (h) I. Korobkov, S. Gambarotta and G. P. A. Yap, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 814; (i) I. Korobkov, S. Gambarotta and G. P. A. Yap, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 4958; (j) A. Arunachalampillai, P. Crewdson, I. Korobkov and S. Gambarotta, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 3856; (k) P. C. Blake, M. F. Lappert, J. L. Atwood and H. Zhang, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1986, 1148; (l) J. S. Parry, F. G. N. Cloke, S. J. Coles and M. B. Hursthouse, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 6867; (m) P. C. Blake, N. M. Edelstein, P. B. Hitchcock, W. K. Kot, M. F. Lappert, G. V. Shalimoff and S. Tian, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2001, **636**, 124; (n) J. R. Walensky, R. L. Martin, J. W. Ziller and W. J. Evans, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 10007; (o) N. A. Siladke, C. L. Webster, J. R. Walensky, M. K. Takase, J. W. Ziller, D. J. Grant, L. Gagliardi and W. J. Evans, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 6522.
- (a) D. F. Evans, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1959, 2003; (b) J. K. Beconsall, *Mol. Phys.*, 1968, **15**, 129.
- K. R. Meihaus and J. R. Long, Unpublished results, Univ. of California, Berkeley.
- For example,  $\text{Cp}_2\text{TiCl}_2$ : (a) A. Clearfield, D. K. Warner, C. H. Saldarriaga-Molina, R. Ropal and I. Bernal, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1975, **53**, 1622 and  $[\text{Cp}_2\text{Ti}(\mu\text{-Cl})_2]$ : (b) R. Jungst, D. Sekutowski, J. Davis, M. Luly and G. Stucky, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1977, **16**, 1645 have average  $\text{C}(\eta^5\text{-Cp})$  bond lengths of 2.370 and 2.350 Å, respectively. Similarly,  $\text{Cp}_4\text{Zr}$ : (c) R. D. Rogers, R. V. Bynum and J. L. Atwood, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, **100**, 5238 and  $\text{Cp}_3\text{Zr}$ : (d) W. L. Lukens Jr and R. A. Andersen, *Organometallics*, 1995, **14**, 3435 have the same average  $\text{Zr}-\text{C}(\eta^5\text{-Cp})$  bond length of 2.58 Å.
- (a) M. N. Bochkarev, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **248**, 835; (b) W. J. Evans, N. T. Allen and J. W. Ziller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 11749; (c) M. N. Bochkarev, I. L. Fedushkin, S. Dechert, A. A. Fagin and H. Schumann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 3176; (d) W. J. Evans and S. E. Foster, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1992, **433**, 79; (e) Y. K. Gun'ko,



- P. B. Hitchcock and M. F. Lappert, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 1843; (f) L. Huebner, A. Kornienko, T. J. Emge and J. G. Brennan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 5659; (g) R. D. Shannon, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr.*, 1976, **32**, 751.
- 12 V. N. Staroverov, G. E. Scuseria, J. Tao and J. P. Perdew, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2003, **119**, 12129.
- 13 W. Küchle, M. Dolg, H. Stoll and H. Preuss, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1994, **100**, 7535.
- 14 X. Cao and M. Dolg, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2004, **673**, 203.
- 15 W. S. Wickleder, B. Fourest and P. K. Dorhout, in *The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements*, ed. L. R. Morss, N. M. Edelstein and J. Fuger, Springer, The Netherlands, 4th edn, 2010.
- 16 (a) R. J. Strittmatter and B. E. Bursten, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 552; (b) B. E. Bursten and R. J. Strittmatter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 6606; (c) B. E. Bursten, L. F. Rhodes and R. J. Strittmatter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 2758; (d) J. W. Lauher and R. Hoffmann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1976, **98**, 1729; (e) W. W. Lukens Jr and R. A. Andersen, *Organometallics*, 1995, **14**, 3435; (f) R. G. Denning, J. Harmer, J. C. Green and M. Irwin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 20644.
- 17 P. Pyykkö, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 161.
- 18 R. Send, M. Kühn and F. Furche, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2011, **7**, 2376.
- 19 C. L. Webster, J. W. Ziller and W. J. Evans, *Organometallics*, 2013, **33**, 433.
- 20 M. K. Takase, J. W. Ziller and W. J. Evans, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 4871.

