Polymer Chemistry





## Creep Resistance in Doubly Crosslinked Dynamic Covalent Networks

Journal:	Polymer Chemistry
Manuscript ID	PY-COM-03-2024-000276.R1
Article Type:	Communication
Date Submitted by the Author:	09-Apr-2024
Complete List of Authors:	Mondal, Swagata; University of Florida Wong, Alexander; University of Florida, Department of Chemistry Wagh, Mahendra; National Chemical Laboratory CSIR Alperstein, Lily; University of Chicago Department of Chemistry Sanjayan, G.; National Chemical Laboratory, Division of Organic Synthesis Sumerlin, Brent; University of Florida, Department of Chemistry;



## ARTICLE

# **Creep Resistance in Doubly Crosslinked Dynamic Covalent Networks**

Received 00th month 20xx, Accepted 00th month 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Swagata Mondal,<sup>a‡</sup> Alexander J. Wong,<sup>a</sup> Mahendra A. Wagh,<sup>b</sup> Lily Alperstein,<sup>a</sup> Gangadhar J. Sanjayan,<sup>b\*</sup> Brent S. Sumerlin<sup>a\*</sup>

### ABSTRACT

Vitrimers are a unique class of thermosets that demonstrate reprocessability and recyclability due to dynamic bond exchange at crosslinking sites. However, the same dynamic bond exchange predisposes vitrimers to macroscopic deformation and creep under constant stress, which limits many practical applications. Herein, we demonstrated that the incorporation of Janus-faced guanine-cytosine diamine (GCBDam) functionality within vinylogous urethane vitrimers leads to significant creep resistance due to network reinforcement via hydrogen bonding. The supramolecular associations of the GCBDam groups retarded stress relaxation at temperatures as high as 160 °C. Further, rheological data suggested that the cooperative nature of the bifunctional Janus-faced hydrogen bonding moieties allowed the GCBDam to act as "stickers" within the dynamic covalent networks. These results indicate that incorporating the bifunctional supramolecular moiety improved dimensional stability while conserving the hallmark vitrimer property of reprocessability.

▲ Previous work:

## Introduction

Thermosets are a class of robust covalently crosslinked polymers with exceptional thermal, solvent, chemical, and dimensional stability compared to their non-crosslinked thermoplastic counterparts. However, these desirable material properties typically come at the expense of reprocessability, recyclability, and healability. Including dynamic and reversible covalent crosslinking in thermosets can address these limitations by allowing the mobility needed for network rearrangement on heating.<sup>1-3</sup> Depending on the nature of the reversible chemistry of the crosslinks, these covalent adaptable networks can be broadly classified into dissociative covalent adaptable networks (CANs)<sup>4-6</sup> and associative CANs (i.e., vitrimers).7-9 The crosslink exchange reaction in dissociative CANs comprises two discrete steps wherein crosslinks dissociate, and then the resulting liberated functional groups form new crosslinks by reacting with other functional moieties within the network. On the other hand, crosslink exchange in vitrimers involves bond dissociation and association in a single reaction, which leads to a unique viscosity-temperature relationship reminiscent of vitreous silica with network integrity remaining intact at elevated temperatures.<sup>10</sup> Various reversible

crosslinking chemistries have been developed, including transesterification,<sup>11, 12</sup> transamination of vinylogous urethanes,<sup>13-17</sup> diketoenamine exchange,<sup>18</sup> and olefin metathesis.<sup>19-26</sup> However, a common disadvantage of materials with dynamic crosslinks is the permanent deformation (i.e., creep) that occurs under mechanical stress at elevated temperatures. Creep is generally undesirable for many practical applications.<sup>27</sup>

Considerable effort has been exerted to reduce creep by



Scheme 1. A) Previous strategy of Janus guanine-cytosine base (GCB) motifs being employed to prepare dissociative dynamic networks. B) Current strategy of GCB motifs being employed to supplement associative vinylogous urethane.

controlling the exchange chemistry of the crosslinking reaction. Du Prez *et al.* demonstrated that amine exchange in vinylogous urethane chemistry was accelerated by acid catalysis and retarded by basic additives.<sup>28</sup> Recently, the same group showed that the combination of dicarboxamide dissociation chemistry with the associative transamination of vinylogous urethanes suppressed creep significantly.<sup>29, 30</sup> We reported catalyst-free

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> George and Josephine Butler Polymer Research Laboratory, Center of Macromolecular Science, Department of Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, United States

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b.</sup> Organic Chemistry Division, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research National Chemical Laboratory (CSIR-NCL), Pune 411008, India; Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Sector 19, Kamla Nehru Nagar, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh-201002, India.

<sup>+</sup> Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

#### ARTICLE

vinylogous urethane vitrimers<sup>31, 32</sup> and later demonstrated that the microphase separation in block copolymer vitrimers led to excellent creep resistance and exceptional thermal reprocessability.<sup>33, 34</sup>

Torkelson *et al.* adapted the Flory Stockmeyer theory to calculate the limiting fraction of permanent crosslinks in vitrimers. Their calculation aimed to balance reducing creep in a vitrimer while maintaining reprocessability,<sup>35</sup> a strategy we have employed in dissociative crosslinked networks.<sup>36</sup> Later, Zhang *et al.* demonstrated significant creep suppression upon reaching optimum crosslinking density in vitrimers.<sup>37</sup>

Supramolecular reinforcement by metal-ligand coordination has been utilized to suppress creeps in vitrimers. For example, Xu *et al.* reported that disulfide-cured hydroxyl and pyridyl functionalized polyisoprene block copolymers exhibited excellent creep resistance in the presence of Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions through cationic coordination within the network.<sup>38</sup> Zhu and coworkers demonstrated that incorporating transition metal catalysts in polyimine vitrimers led to creep suppression due to metal-ligand coordination.<sup>39</sup>

Physical crosslinking by hydrogen bonds has also been utilized to enhance the properties of networks. Guan et al. demonstrated that the incorporation of sacrificial hydrogen bonding amides in a covalently crosslinked polyolefin network improved the toughness.<sup>40</sup> Gels have also been enhanced by the incorporation of a hydrogen bond secondary network, increasing their toughness and healability.<sup>41,42</sup> The utilization of hydrogen bonds to enhance the properties of CANs has been less explored. Yun et al. synthesized "S-vitrimers" involving aromatic disulfide exchange where hydrogen bonds were utilized to increase the healability.<sup>43</sup> Sacrificial hydrogen bonds were incorporated into an acrylate vitrimer by Zhang et al. and showed a 4.4 times increase in the tensile strength with only a 20% addition of acrylamide.44 Finally, Du et al.45-47 have explored the integration of hydrogen-bonding moieties into boronate ester vitrimers. Rather than using the hydrogen bonds for sacrificial energy damping, the authors designed the vitrimer backbone around the incorporation of the hydrogen bondforming pendent group. The resulting dual networks demonstrated enhanced creep resistance up to 130 °C, and increased strength and toughness while remaining processable. While the utility of supplementing vitrimers via secondary reinforcement has been demonstrated, in most cases a high density of relatively weak supramolecular interactions have been employed.

In a previous report, we introduced a novel Janus-faced guanine-cytosine base (GCB) moiety<sup>48</sup> capable of hydrogen bond formation from both sides of the functional group and proposed that their associating cooperativity was responsible for the remarkable thermal stability of networks containing these groups (Scheme 1A).<sup>49</sup> These results suggested that the dynamic bond exchange of GCB controlled the mobility within the dissociative polymer networks to result in predictable viscoelastic flow. When initiating the current work, we hypothesized that the low-density introduction of GCB molecules in a vinylogous urethane vitrimer would result in physical crosslinking that complemented the associative

chemistry responsible for network rearrangement. While hydrogen bonding has been shown to decrease creep in vitrimer networks, we believe that the effects could be further enhanced by the cooperative association of hydrogen bond moieties that deter network mobility required for crosslink exchange. Nevertheless, the dissociative nature of the GCB bond exchange would result in a rapid decline of viscosity at elevated temperatures to enable fast processing of the networks on heating. Indeed, the enhanced stability of GCB hydrogen bonding, even at temperatures well above the range at which conventional hydrogen bonding moieties remain associated, improved the dimensional stability of vitrimers while maintaining the hallmark vitrimer characteristic of reprocessability.

### **Results and Discussion**

To incorporate the GCB moiety into a vinylogous urethane vitrimer, functionalization of the GCB core was performed by the addition of two Boc protected hexamethylene amine groups (Scheme S1, Figure S1-S4). The protected diamine moiety was then converted to a trifluoroacetate salt following Boc deprotection, resulting in GCBDam (Figure S5-S8).<sup>50</sup> A control diamine was synthesized via the acidification of hexamethylene diamine with trifluoroacetic acid (HexDam-2TFA) (Scheme S2, Figure S9)) to elucidate the role of GCB in the network exchange reactions. Vitrimers were subsequently formed by adding either GCBDam (Scheme 1B) or HexDam-2TFA (Scheme S4) to trimethylolpropane triacetoacetate (TMPTAc) (Scheme S3, Figure S10-S11) and hexamethylene diamine, ensuring that the total amine content was maintained at a 33% molar excess. Experimental and control networks were investigated at varying incorporations, either 5 or 10 mol%, of GCBDam or HexDam-2TFA. Networks formed with GCBDam were expected to feature both covalent adaptable crosslinking and hydrogen bondmediated supramolecular crosslinking. As such, we refer to these materials as 'doubly crosslinked networks' (DN<sub>x%</sub>) (Scheme 1B), where x represents the mol% of GCBDam. As a control, materials named 'single networks' (SN<sub>x%</sub>) (Scheme S4), consist solely of covalent adaptable crosslinks and use x to



 $\text{DN}_{x\%}\text{=}$  Doubly crosslinked networks;  $\text{SN}_{x\%}\text{=}$  Single crosslinked networks

Figure 1. A) FT-IR spectra of DN<sub>5%</sub> and SN<sub>5%</sub> B) DSC thermograms of DN<sub>5%</sub> and SN<sub>5%</sub>, C) FT-IR spectra of DN<sub>10%</sub> and SN<sub>10%</sub>; D) DSC thermograms of DN<sub>10%</sub> and SN<sub>10%</sub>. (DN<sub>x%</sub> = doubly crosslinked networks with x% ditrifluoroacetate salt of hexamethylene diamine).

denote the mol% incorporation of HexDam-2TFA. FT-IR spectroscopy was used to characterize the vitrimers, revealing N-H bending and C=C stretching modes at 1655 and 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Figure 1A, 1C; S12). Furthermore, gel swelling experiments were performed to confirm the same extent of network formation in the vitrimers containing 5% and 10% TFA salt (Table S1). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) assessed the thermal stability, revealing that the 5% decomposition temperatures of all four networks were greater than 200 °C (Figure S13-14). Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) showed that higher GCBDam content led to decreased glass transition temperature  $(T_g)$ , an observation attributed to the higher trifluoroacetate salt concentration, which serves as a plasticizer. Conversely, the  $T_g$  values for DNs were higher than those for SNs, which we ascribe to the introduced hydrogen bonding moieties;  $^{45,46}$  for example,  $T_g$  values for  $DN_{5\%}$  and  $DN_{10\%}$ were 71 and 70 °C, while those for  $SN_{5\%}$  and  $SN_{10\%}$  were 60 and 52 °C, respectively (Figure 1B, 2D).

We first conducted frequency sweeps during oscillatory rheology to investigate the effect of shear on the materials at various temperatures. We observed that both the storage (G') and loss (G'') modulus were sensitive to angular frequency changes. Notably, the crossover point, indicative of the characteristic relaxation time, shifted to lower angular frequencies when GCBDam was incorporated into the networks (S15-S18). This shift is likely due to the additional physical

crosslinks formed in the GCBDam-containing networks. To complement these findings, we conducted creep recovery experiments to assess viscoelastic properties. A constant force (stress) was applied to measure material deformation (strain) over time. Upon releasing the stress, we monitored the rate of strain recovery to gauge permanent deformation or 'creep.' We found that under a stress of 0.01 MPa at 120 °C, DN<sub>5%</sub> displayed roughly 41% less creep than SN<sub>5%</sub>. This enhanced performance is attributed to the resilient, orthogonal supramolecular network in the  $DN_{5\%}$  sample. The GCB units in  $DN_{5\%}$  resulted in a decrease in creep by up to 40% relative to the creep observed in SN<sub>5%</sub> (Figure 2A), though the reduction in creep was diminished as temperature increased due to dissociation of the hydrogen-bonded network.  $^{49}$  Similarly,  $\mathsf{DN}_{10\%}$  , with higher GCBDam content, exhibited a notable 46% reduction in creep at 120 °C in comparison to SN10%, declining to 33% at 150 °C (Figure 2B). We reasoned that coordinated hydrogen bonding among the GCBDam moieties significantly enhances creep resistance. So far, creep resistance has been observed in lower temperature regions with hydrogen-bonding reinforcement in vitrimers, and recently Du et al. demonstrated creep resistance of boronate ester networks up to 130 °C with a large excess of hydrogen-bonding moieties. These materials set a new standard in creep resistance for vitrimers fortified with only 5 and 10% supramolecular crosslinks at temperature as high as 150 °C (Figure S19-S20, Table S2-S3).

Finally, we characterized the viscoelastic properties of the networks by conducting stress relaxation experiments over time at a constant deformation of 0.3%. Usually, the

ARTICLE

stronger for  $DN_{5\%}$  (Figure 2C) than for  $DN_{10\%}$  (Figure 2D). We propose that the early rapid relaxation arises from deterioration of the hydrogen bond network, while the slower long-term



Figure 2. Comparison of creep-recovery experiments at various temperatures for A) DN<sub>5%</sub> and SN<sub>5%</sub>; B) DN<sub>10%</sub> and SN<sub>10%</sub>; Comparison of normalized stress-relaxation curves at selected temperatures for C) DN<sub>5%</sub> and SN<sub>5%</sub>; D) DN<sub>10%</sub> and SN<sub>10%</sub>; E) Schematic representation of the two mechanisms of dynamic exchange of vinylogous vitrimers and hydrogen bonding moiety during stress relaxation under constant deformation. (DN<sub>5%</sub> = singly crosslinked networks with x% of the GCBDam motif included. SN<sub>5%</sub> = singly crosslinked networks with x% ditrifluoroacetate salt of hexamethylene diamine).

characteristic relaxation time ( $\tau$ ) is evaluated assuming relaxation occurs through a single Maxwell mode, where  $\tau$ corresponds to the time at which stress relaxation reaches (1/e), or 37%, of normalized stress.<sup>10, 12, 25</sup> However, the data discussed herein deviated from a single Maxwell relaxation mode, likely due to multiple complicated relaxation modes. To address this, we employed a three-phase exponential decay model to fit the stress relaxation curves (Figure S21-S24). The Arrhenius plot, obtained by varying the temperature within the aforementioned range, displayed a linear relationship between  $\tau$  and temperature. The activation energy ( $E_a$ ) for viscous flow was extracted from the slope of this Arrhenius plot (Figure S25). Intriguingly, the DNs initially exhibited rapid stress relaxation followed by slower long-term relaxation when compared to their corresponding SN controls.<sup>51</sup> This effect was notably relaxation is due to the reorganization of the supramolecular network via hydrogen-bond exchange (Figure 2E). The variation in relaxation rate of  $DN_{5\%}$  with respect to  $SN_{5\%}$  resulted in a crossover point between  $DN_{5\%}$  and  $SN_{5\%}$  in stress relaxation plots. The crossover point shifted to shorter times as the temperature increased due to accelerated hydrogen bond exchange at elevated temperatures. For  $DN_{10\%}$ , the crossover with  $SN_{10\%}$  was observed only at  $120^{\circ}$ C, suggesting instantaneous hydrogen bond network rearrangement due to a higher concentration of the GCBDam bonds (Figure 2D). Analysis of the Arrhenius plots revealed  $E_a$  values around 102 kJ/mol for both  $DN_{5\%}$  and  $SN_{5\%}$  (Figure 3A, Figure S25A). As hypothesized,<sup>14, 15</sup>  $SN_{10\%}$  had a lower  $E_a$ , 88 kJ/mol, due to a higher concentration of protonated species, which led to faster enaminone exchange reactions (Figure 3B, Figure S25B).

However, upon 10% incorporation of GCBDam, the  $E_a$  of DN<sub>10%</sub> was determined to be 96 kJ/mol, slightly higher than that of SN10% at 88 kJ/mol (Figure 3B) (Figure S25B). The activation energy calculated from the creep-recovery experiments also shows a similar trend (Figure S26) as those determined by stress relaxation. This result indicates that in DN<sub>5%</sub>, the incorporation of GCBDam was insufficient to significantly affect the  $E_a$  of the vitrimers. However, further increasing the incorporation of hydrogen bonding moiety to 10% led to a more pronounced change in viscous flow with temperature, resulting in faster reprocessing of  $DN_{10\%}$ . Interestingly, the increased  $E_a$  of  $DN_{10\%}$  is potentially beneficial for practical application as it increases the energy barrier for network flow while providing a sharp viscosity reduction at elevated temperatures to allow fast reprocessing.

To investigate the influence of the GCBDam moieties on the network integrity, a temperature ramp was conducted during dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA). Compared to the SNs, both DNs showed an increase in  $T_g$ . This was expected and confirms motion by the GCBDam moieties forming a secondary hydrogen-bond network. Interestingly, the storage moduli (E') at the elastic rubbery plateau regime of the DNs and SNs were found to be very similar (Figure 3C-D). These findings suggest that at elevated temperatures, the exchange of the GCBDam moieties occurs more rapidly than the transamination of the vinylogous urethane groups. Consequently, the vinylogous urethane network functions as the permanent network, while the GCBDam moieties act as "stickers" within this temperature range.

To evaluate the impact of GCBDam incorporation on the recyclability and reprocessability of the vitrimers, we subjected both DN<sub>5%</sub> and SN<sub>5%</sub> to a series of five reprocessing cycles, following consistent protocols as outlined in Figure S27.32 Postreprocessing, the materials were analyzed using FT-IR spectroscopy, DSC, and DMA to monitor potential changes in their properties and structure. DMA results showed marginal fluctuations in both the  $T_g$  and storage modulus (E') for  $\mathsf{DN}_{5\%}$ 



Figure 3. Comparison of Arrhenius plot for A) DN<sub>5%</sub> and SN<sub>5%</sub>; B) DN<sub>10%</sub> and SN<sub>10%</sub> to calculate the activation energy (*E*<sub>a</sub>) of the flow of networks; Comparison of the DMA plots for C) DN<sub>5%</sub> and SN<sub>5%</sub>; D) DN<sub>10%</sub> and SN<sub>10%</sub> to exhibit the network integrity at elevated temperatures. (DN<sub>5%</sub> and SN<sub>5%</sub>; D) DN<sub>10%</sub> and SN<sub>10%</sub> to exhibit the network integrity at elevated temperatures. (DN<sub>5%</sub> and SN<sub>5%</sub>; D) DN<sub>10%</sub> and SN<sub>10%</sub> to exhibit the network integrity at elevated temperatures. (DN<sub>5%</sub> and SN<sub>5%</sub>; D) end SN<sub>5%</sub> and SN<sub>5%</sub>; D) and SN<sub>10%</sub> to exhibit the network site at the second temperatures. (DN<sub>5%</sub> and SN<sub>5%</sub>; D) and SN<sub>10%</sub> to exhibit the network second second temperatures. (DN<sub>5%</sub> and SN<sub>5%</sub>; D) and SN<sub>10%</sub> to exhibit the network second second

that the chains are further retarded from long-range segmental across the reprocessing cycles (Table S4). These slight variations

#### ARTICLE

suggest only minor alterations in crosslinking throughout the recycling process (Figure S28) due to potential oxidation of the excess amines at high temperatures apparent from the darkening of the reprocessed samples (Figure S27C). On the other hand, DSC analysis revealed that the  $T_{\rm g}$  of the recycled material remained remarkably stable, deviating by no more than ±5 °C from the original values (Figure S30). Furthermore, FTIR spectroscopic studies confirmed the persistent presence of the characteristic vinylogous urethane peaks, indicating negligible changes in the chemical structure of the networks through multiple cycles of reprocessing (Figure S32). Similarly,  $SN_{5\%}$  demonstrated comparable trends in its  $T_g$ , E', and chemical structure during reprocessing, as substantiated by DMA, DSC, and FTIR results (Figures S29, S31, S33). Further, the chemical degradation study revealed that all the DNs and SNs can be broken down upon mild heating in the presence of excess amines (Figure S34). These findings establish that the GCBcontaining DNs exhibit superior creep resistance up to 160 °C while retaining reprocessability and degradability-an important material characteristic for potential long-term applications and sustainability.

## Conclusions

This study highlights the significant improvement in creep resistance of vitrimers at elevated temperatures achieved by incorporating Janus-faced GCBDam moieties. The hindered mobility of reactive moieties in networks containing GCBDam reduces diffusion and enhances creep resistance with preserved reprocessability. A 10% incorporation of GCBDam resulted in high levels of creep resistance at 150 °C, surpassing previously reported vitrimers reinforced by supramolecular networks. At high temperatures, the bond exchange behavior of GCBDam exhibited faster kinetics compared to the covalent vinylogous urethane exchange chemistry. While DN5% showed insignificant changes in  $E_a$ , increasing the GCBDam content to 10% in DN<sub>10%</sub> resulted in an  $E_a$  of 96 kJ/mol, as opposed to 88 kJ/mol in SN<sub>10%</sub>. This increase in the E<sub>a</sub> may offer advantages in practical applications by raising the energy barrier for network flow yet allowing for a rapid reduction in viscosity at elevated temperatures. We believe the incorporation of Janus-faced hydrogen bonding moieties in vitrimers holds great potential for enhancing dimensional stability while maintaining rapid reprocessability at elevated temperatures.

## **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF EEC-1941529) and the Army Research Office through a MURI Grant (W911NF2310260). A grant to G.J.S. (CSIRSSB-000726) is also gratefully acknowledged. The

authors would like to thank Anton Paar for the use of their rheometer through the Anton Paar VIP research program.

### References

5.

6.

7.

- 1. C. J. Kloxin, T. F. Scott, B. J. Adzima and C. N. Bowman, *Macromolecules*, 2010, **43**, 2643-2653.
- M. Podgorski, B. D. Fairbanks, B. E. Kirkpatrick, M. McBride,
  A. Martinez, A. Dobson, N. J. Bongiardina and C. N.
  Bowman, Adv. Mater., 2020, 32, 1-26.
- C. J. Kloxin and C. N. Bowman, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 7161-7173.
- A. Jourdain, R. Asbai, O. Anaya, M. M. Chehimi, E. Drockenmuller and D. Montarna, *Macromolecules*, 2020, 53, 1884-1900.
  - Q. Zhou, Z. Sang, K. K. Rajagopalan, Y. Sliozberg, F. Gardea and S. A. Sukhishvili, *Macromolecules*, 2021, **54**, 10510-10519.
  - F. Cantamessa, G. Damonte, O. Monticelli, R. Arrigo and A. Fina, ACS Appl. Polym. Mater., 2022, **4**, 4796-4807.
  - L. Porath, B. Soman, B. B. Jing and C. M. Evans, ACS Macro Lett., 2022, **11**, 475-483.
- 8. W. Denissen, J. M. Winne and F. E. Du Prez, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 30-38.
- M. Guerre, C. Taplan, J. M. Winne and F. E. Du Prez, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 4855-4870.
- 10. G. M. Scheutz, J. J. Lessard, M. B. Sims and B. S. Sumerlin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 16181-16196.
- 11. M. Capelot, D. Montarnal, F. Tournilhac and L. Leibler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 7664-7667.
- 12. D. Montarnal, M. Capelot, F. Tournilhac and L. Leibler, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 965-968.
- W. Denissen, G. Rivero, R. Nicolaÿ, L. Leibler, J. M. Winne and F. E. Du Prez, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 2451-2457.
- 14. M. Guerre, C. Taplan, R. Nicolay, J. M. Winne and F. E. Du Prez, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, **140**, 13272-13284.
- 15. C. Taplan, M. Guerre, J. M. Winne and F. E. Du Prez, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2020, **7**, 104-110.
- K. A. Stewart, D. P. DeLellis, J. J. Lessard, J. F. Rynk and B. S. Sumerlin, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces., 2023, 15, 25212-25223.
- 17. J. J. Lessard, K. A. Stewart and B. S. Sumerlin, *Macromolecules*, 2022, **55**, 10052-10061.
- P. R. Christensen, A. M. Scheuermann, K. E. Loeffler and B. A. Helms, *Nat. Chem.*, 2019, **11**, 442-448.
- 19. Y. X. G. Lu, Z., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, **134**, 14226-14231.
- 20. Y. Chen, A. M. Kushner, G. A. Williams and Z. Guan, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 467-472.
- 21. A. J. Melchor Banales and M. B. Larsen, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2020, **9**, 937-943.
- 22. Y. Nishimura, J. Chung, H. Muradyan and Z. Guan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, **139**, 14881-14884.
- 23. C. A. Tretbar, J. A. Neal and Z. Guan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 16595-16599.
- 24. S. Yu, S. Wu, C. Zhang, Z. Tang, Y. Luo, B. Guo and L. Zhang, ACS Macro Lett., 2020, **9**, 1143-1148.
- 25. M. Röttger, T. Domenech, R. v. d. Weegen, A. Breuillac, R. Nicolaÿ and L. Leibler, *Science*, 2017, **356**, 62-65.
- 26. J. S. A. Ishibashi and J. A. Kalow, ACS Macro Lett., 2018, 7, 482-486.

- Y. Liu, Z. Tang, D. Wang, S. Wu and B. Guo, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 26867-26876.
- W. Denissen, M. Droesbeke, R. Nicolay, L. Leibler, J. M. Winne and F. E. Du Prez, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, 8, 14857 (14851-14857).
- 29. F. Van Lijsebetten, K. De Bruycker, J. M. Winne and F. E. Du Prez, ACS Macro Lett. , 2022, **11**, 919-924.
- F. Van Lijsebetten, K. De Bruycker, Y. Spiesschaert, J. M. Winne and F. E. Du Prez, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2022, 61, 1-8.
- 31. J. J. Lessard, G. M. Scheutz, R. W. Hughes and B. S. Sumerlin, *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.*, 2020, **2**, 3044-3048.
- J. J. Lessard, L. F. Garcia, C. P. Easterling, M. B. Sims, K. C. Bentz, S. Arencibia, D. A. Savin and B. S. Sumerlin, *Macromolecules*, 2019, **52**, 2105-2111.
- J. J. Lessard, G. M. Scheutz, S. H. Sung, K. A. Lantz, T. H. Epps III and B. S. Sumerlin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 283-289.
- J. S. A. Ishibashi, I. C. Pierce, A. B. Chang, A. Zografos, B. M. El-Zaatari, Y. Fang, S. J. Weigand, F. S. Bates and J. A. Kalow, *Macromolecules*, 2021, 54, 3972-3986.
- L. Li, X. Chen, K. Jin and J. M. Torkelson, *Macromolecules*, 2018, **51**, 5537-5546.
- 36. J. J. Cash, T. Kubo, D. J. Dobbins and B. S. Sumerlin, *Polym. Chem.*, 2018, **9**, 2011-2020.
- 37. L. Zhong, Y. Hao, J. Zhang, F. Wei, T. Li, M. Miao and D. Zhang, *Macromolecules*, 2022, **55**, 595-607.
- 38. J. Cao, S. Li, C.-C. Wang, R. Xu, M. Tang, X. Ren and Y.-X. Xu, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2022, **61**, 13136-13144.
- 39. S. Wang, S. Ma, Q. Li, X. Xu, B. Wang, K. Huang, Y. liu and J. Zhu, *Macromolecules*, 2020, **53**, 2919-2931.
- 40. J. A. Neal, D. Mozhdehi and Z. Guan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4846-4850.
- J. Y. Sun, X. Zhao, W. R. Illeperuma, O. Chaudhuri, K. H. Oh, D. J. Mooney, J. J. Vlassak and Z. Suo, *Nature*, 2012, **489**, 133-136.
- 42. A. Phadke, C. Zhang, B. Arman, C. C. Hsu, R. A. Mashelkar, A. K. Lele, M. J. Tauber, G. Arya and S. Varghese, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2012, **109**, 4383-4388.
- 43. G. Kim, C. Caglayan and G. J. Yun, *ACS Omega*, 2022, **7**, 44750-44761.
- H. Gao, Y. Sun, M. Wang, Z. Wang, G. Han, L. Jin, P. Lin, Y. Xia and K. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2021, 13, 1581-1591.
- L. Wanga, Y. Liu, Y. Qiaoa, Y. Wanga, Z. Cuia, S. Zhua, F. Donga, S. Fanga and A. Du, *Polym. Chem.*, 2022, **13**, 4144-4153.
- 46. L. Wang, Y. Liu, Y. Wei, W. Zeng, Z. Cui and A. Du, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2023, **193**.
- 47. S. K. Schoustra, V. Asadi, H. Zuilhof and M. M. J. Smulders, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2023, **195**.
- C. L. Meena, D. Singh, B. Kizhakeetil, M. Prasad, M. George, S. Tothadi and G. J. Sanjayan, J. Org. Chem. , 2021, 86, 3186-3195.
- 49. S. Mondal, J. J. Lessard, C. L. Meena, G. J. Sanjayan and B. S. Sumerlin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 845-853.
- 50. M. A. Wagh, D. R. Shinde, G. R. Gamidi and G. J. Sanjayan, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2023, **21**, 6914-6918.
- 51. A. Schiavi and A. Prato, *Polymer Testing*, 2017, **59**, 220-229.