# Journal of Materials Chemistry A

# REVIEW



Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 14487

# Synthetic strategies to nanostructured photocatalysts for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction to solar fuels and chemicals

Donna Chen,<sup>a</sup> Xingguang Zhang<sup>b</sup> and Adam F. Lee<sup>\*b</sup>

Artificial photosynthesis represents one of the great scientific challenges of the  $21^{st}$  century, offering the possibility of clean energy through water photolysis and renewable chemicals through CO<sub>2</sub> utilisation as a sustainable feedstock. Catalysis will undoubtedly play a key role in delivering technologies able to meet these goals, mediating solar energy via excited generate charge carriers to selectively activate molecular bonds under ambient conditions. This review describes recent synthetic approaches adopted to engineer nanostructured photocatalytic materials for efficient light harnessing, charge separation and the photoreduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to higher hydrocarbons such as methane, methanol and even olefins. **EXAMPLE IN Synthetic strategies to nanostructured**<br> **Synthetic strategies to nanostructured**<br> **Change Channel Channe** 

Received 2nd March 2015 Accepted 20th May 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5ta01592h

www.rsc.org/MaterialsA

# 1. Introduction

The use of sunlight to split water as a source of electrons that can be stored in chemical bonds for the production of primary energy carriers and molecular building blocks underpins the natural world. Humanity remains highly dependent upon such elegant photosynthetic processes, developed through natural evolutionary selection, for the sustainable growth of biomass, which literally feeds our planet. However, recent technological

a School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK b European Bioenergy Research Institute, Aston University, Birmingham, UK. E-mail: A. F.Lee@aston.ac.uk; Tel: +44 (0)121 2044036

Donna Hui Lin Chen relocated to Sydney, Australia, in 2003 for her BSc degree, majoring in Nanotechnology, at The University of New South Wales. She subsequently moved to the University of Sydney where she commenced a PhD at the School of Chemistry focusing on the synthesis, characterisation, testing and study of potential visible light active catalysts for  $H<sub>2</sub>$  generation. In 2012, she took

up a Research Assistant position at Cardiff University, where she initiated a  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction project. She is interested in technologies that minimise the environmental impact of industrial processes.

economy and ecosystem have been recently expounded,<sup>6,7</sup> and new mechanisms for enhancing the policy and governance profile in the context of energy sustainability sought. Solar fuels include  $H_2$  (from the reduction of H<sup>+</sup> derived from water photolysis) or carbon-based fuels (derived from the photoreduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ) such as methane, methanol or  $CO$ , and

breakthroughs offer a glimpse of a mid-term future in which anthropogenic systems will enable artificial photosynthesis with efficiencies surpassing that of plants, to deliver solar fuels and chemicals.<sup>1,2</sup> Arguments for the development and global deployment of artificial photosynthesis technology to reduce anthropogenic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions from their historic high,<sup>3,4</sup> increase fuel security,<sup>5</sup> and support a sustainable global



Xingguang Zhang received his Bachelor of Engineering in Chemical Engineering and Technology from Guizhou University (Guiyang, China) in 2007, Master of Engineering in Industrial Catalysis under the supervison of Professor Jun Wang in Nanjing Tech University (Nanjing, China) in 2010, and PhD under the supervision of Dr Xuebin Ke and Professor Huaiyong Zhu at Queensland

University of Technology (Brisbane, Australia) in 2014. He is currently a research associate working with Professor Adam Lee and Professor Karen Wilson to develop supported metal catalysts and solid acid catalysts for clean chemicals synthesis within Aston University and UK Catalysis Hub.



associated higher hydrocarbons via subsequent well-established industrial thermal chemistry. Artificial photosynthesis may be considered to span the genetic engineering of plant or algal crops to tailor their lignocellulosic or lipid constituents for biofuels production, synthetic biology to combine incorporate cyanobacterial proteins into chloroplasts and hence improve the rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fixation,<sup>8</sup> and the use of nanotechnology via inorganic or molecular systems to produce photo-electrochemical devices.<sup>9-12</sup> In contrast to a number of competing renewable energy technologies, such as wind, tidal and photovoltaics, wherein electricity is the end product, and hence a dependency exists upon concomitant advances in storage and smart grid capabilities, the trapping of solar energy within chemical bonds provides immediate access to (potentially high) energy density carriers for transportation fuels. Water photooxidation for  $H_2$  production has been reviewed extensively elsewhere;<sup>13–15</sup> hence this review focuses on photocatalytic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ reduction. Journal of Meterials Chemistry A<br>
Severation the stationary Article is article into the stationary Article into the stationary Article into the stationary Article is likely are the stationary and the stationary article is

The potential of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  as a chemical feedstock via chemical and biochemical,<sup>16</sup> electrocatalytic<sup>17,18</sup> and photocatalytic transformations has been widely recognised, with methane<sup>19</sup> and methanol<sup>20-23</sup> obvious targets to replace fossil fuels in stationary power generation and transportation. Another key area in which  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration may have a profound impact is as a carbon source for renewable polymers and plastics and solvent.<sup>24-26</sup> In the context of polymers, the thermochemical incorporation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into polycarbonates/carbamates is wellestablished; however, its direct photoreduction to light  $(C_1-C_3)$ olefins represents a greater challenge. Olefins and their polymers are the single largest chemical commodity in the world, with annual global ethene and propene production capacity in 2012 around 156 and 80 Mt respectively.<sup>25,27</sup> These olefins are currently obtained from non-renewable fossil fuels:<sup>28</sup> commercial ethene and propene manufacture involves steam or catalytic cracking of naphtha, gasoil and condensates to hydrocarbon mixtures followed by distillation. Cracking crude



Adam Lee is Professor of Sustainable Chemistry and an EPSRC Leadership Fellow in the European Bioenergy Research Institute, Aston University. He holds a BA and PhD from the University of Cambridge, and following Lecturer/Senior Lecturer roles at the Universities of Hull and York respectively, has held Chair appointments at Cardiff, Warwick and Monash universities. His research

addresses the rational design of nanoengineered materials for clean catalytic technologies, notably sustainable chemical synthesis and energy production, and the development of in situ methods providing molecular insight into surface reactions, for which he was awarded the 2012 Beilby Medal and Prize by the Royal Society of Chemistry.

oil to produce ethene or propene is thermodynamically unfavourable  $(\Delta G \approx +100 \text{ kg mol}^{-1})$ , requiring high temperatures<br>(S600 °C) to overcome the burg activation bettiers to C C ( $>600$  °C) to overcome the huge activation barriers to C–C cleavage (280 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for kerosene conversion<sup>29</sup>). Hence steam cracking is the most energy-consuming process in chemistry, accounting for 8% of the sector's primary energy use and annual  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions of 180-200 Mt.<sup>28</sup>

### 1.1. Brief history and fundamental principles of photocatalytic materials for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction

Renewable solar energy, harnessed via innovative catalysts and reactors, has the potential to photoreduce linear  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules by breaking the  $C=O$  bonds to form  $C-H$  bonds and then to yield products, such as methane, methanol or light olefins  $(e.g.$  $CO_2 + 2H_2O \leftrightarrow CH_3OH + 3/2O_2$ ;  $CO_2 + 2H_2O \leftrightarrow CH_4 + 2O_2$ ; and  $2CO_2 + 2H_2O \leftrightarrow C_2H_4 + 3O_2$ , thereby creating new chemical supply chains free of current dependencies on oil, coal and natural gas and offering an economical and potentially environmentally-benign  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  utilisation process (Fig. 1).<sup>30</sup>

Great challenges lie in the robust chemical state of carbon atoms in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , which requires not only the participation of incident protons but also effective excited electrons, and thus additional reductive agents can offer better opportunities to facilitate the activation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . In this regard,  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  is preferred as a reducing agent because it simultaneously takes advantage of water oxidation and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fixation compared with other sacrificing reductive agents such as amines,  $H_2$ , and  $S^{2-}$ . Hence, the harvesting of input energy by means of photocatalytic materials from incident light plays a pivotal role in achieving these processes. The first study on the photocatalytic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ reduction was reported by Halmann in 1978, employing a Hg lamp and single crystal, p-type GaP cathode within an electrochemical cell through which  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was bubbled to yield formic acid, formaldehyde and methanol.<sup>31</sup> A year later, Inoue and coworkers reported the photoelectrocatalytic reduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to formic acid, formaldehyde, methanol and methane, over a variery of semiconductors including  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , ZnO, CdS, GaP, SiC, and  $WO_3.^{32}$ 

These pioneering studies were followed by the development of visible-light driven photocatalysts and production of more



Fig. 1 Schematic of a carbon zero route to solar fuels and renewable olefins through the photocatalytic reduction of CO<sub>2</sub>.

functionalised organic moieties,  $e.g.$  CO<sub>2</sub> photoreduction via colloidal CdS aqueous solutions to glyoxylic and acetic acids.<sup>33</sup>

Moreover, large-scale photocatalytic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction to solar fuels or chemicals requires intensified processing and carefully engineered robust (and hence likely heterogeneous) catalysts with high accessibility, able to activate small molecules at ambient conditions.<sup>34</sup> This challenge can only be met through novel nanotechnologies, which permit the construction of tailored materials whose structure (and function) can be precisely tuned.<sup>35</sup>–<sup>37</sup> A practical photocatalytic chemical reactor requires appropriately selected coupled redox reactions, and the ability to efficiently harness light capable of driving the requisite electron-transfer chemistry.<sup>38</sup> The former favours water as a green chemical reductant (mimicking natural photosynthesis), while the latter requires active catalysts either able to absorb solar energy directly or coupled to sensitisers which help gather and focus energy onto the active sites. Review Martinsinesian,  $\epsilon_E$  CO<sub>2</sub> photometrics on 2<sup>2</sup> to mythicle<sup>2</sup> and phymeric victories of the control of the control of the common access Article is the control of the common and the properties are the common contr

The optical properties of potential solid photocatalysts are dictated by the rate-limiting oxidation and reduction steps that must occur at the surface of their nanostructures:<sup>39</sup> 2H<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  O<sub>2</sub> + 4H<sup>+</sup> +4e<sup>-</sup>; CO<sub>2</sub> + e<sup>-</sup>  $\rightarrow$  CO<sub>2</sub><sup>--</sup> ( $E_{\text{redox}}^{\text{o}}$  = +1.64 V and -1.90 V, respectively, on the basis of NHE (normal hydrogen electrode) at  $pH = 7$ ). Such values impose a minimum for the photoexcitation energy of electrons needed to reduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , whereas few pure materials are photoresponsive to these wavelengths and catalytically active towards  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and water conversion, even though visible light can create sufficiently excited electrons. These insurmountable limitations necessitate the development of new photocatalytic materials particularly with specially-nanostructured antennas to harvest both UV and visible light efficiently and to initiate the chemical reactions effectively.

### 1.2. Strengths and challenges of nanostructured photocatalysts

Nanoscale materials often exhibit unique physicochemical which diverge widely from their bulk counterparts. Such nanomaterials, commonly synthesised in the form of nanoparticles (NPs), nanofibres (NFs) and nanotubes (NTs), in addition to more exotic topologies such as nanobelts and nanoflowers, afford high surface areas, tunable architectures and distinct electronic surface states. In particular, photocatalytic nanostructures can offer high densities of photoactive surface sites and thin-walled structures/ultrathin films able to facilitate rapid interfacial charge carrier transport to adsorbates.<sup>35,40</sup> For many years,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction has centred around titania-based photocatalysts, which are only able to utilise a fraction of the solar spectrum ( $\sim$ 2%, mainly as UVA),<sup>41-45</sup> and require exploitation of quantum effects or doping to reduce their intrinsic band gaps,<sup>46</sup> sometimes in combination with inorganic or organic supramolecular (biomimetic) sensitisers.<sup>47</sup> Significant advances in artificial photosynthesis have been achieved through such photocatalytic nanoarchitectures, 37,48 e.g. the discovery of 'self-repairing'  $Co_xPO_4$  catalysts producing  $O_2$  akin to the natural Mn catalyst in photosystem II,<sup>49</sup> corrosion resistant TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposites able to split water<sup>50</sup> or reduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  with H<sub>2</sub>O to yield CH<sub>4</sub> and olefins,<sup>40,51</sup> and the use of metal

oxynitrides<sup>52</sup> and polymeric nitrides<sup>53</sup> for efficient water splitting under visible light. Solar CH<sub>4</sub> production from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  has seen dramatic improvements in recent years, with  $TiO<sub>2</sub> NTs$ delivering 17.5 nanomoles  $h^{-1}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> mW<sup>-1</sup> of hydrocarbons from 1 bar  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  under sunlight, with a quantum efficiency of  $0.74\%$ ,<sup>40</sup> defined throughout this review as:

$$
\eta = \frac{\Sigma_i M_i n_i}{P_{\rm m}}
$$

where  $M_i$  is the mols of product i,  $n_i$  is the number of electrons attending the production of product  $i$ , and  $P<sub>m</sub>$  is mols of incident photons.54,55 Cu-doped titania coatings deposited on a monolithic substrate have even been reported able to deliver 117 nanomoles  $h^{-1}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> m W<sup>-1</sup> of methane.<sup>56</sup> In addition to maximising the quantum efficiency, productivity and selectivity towards hydrocarbons, the stability of semiconductor photocatalysts under reaction conditions (notably resistance to photocorrosion<sup>57,58</sup>) and routes to their incorporation into reactor designs which facilitate efficient light absorption and mass transport,<sup>59-61</sup> are also key considerations.

Significant developments in molecular nanocatalysts for photochemical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction have been achieved and reviewed;<sup>38,62,63</sup> nevertheless, improvements in the mechanical strength, physicochemical stability and photocatalytic efficiency of such materials remain necessary to meet the engineering requirements for large-scale applications. Molecular band structures control incident light absorption, electron–hole pair generation and charge carrier migration. Similarly, energy band engineering of semiconductor photocatalysts to impede the recombination of photo-excited electron–hole pairs and enhance light absorption is of paramount importance.<sup>64-66</sup> A further challenge is the optimisation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  adsorption over photocatalyst surfaces, which is poorly understood to date,<sup>67,68</sup> and requires a thorough knowledge of the atomic structure of the photocatalyst interface. Advanced inorganic synthetic methods afford precise manipulation of exposed crystal facets, morphologies, structural periodicity, and hierarchical pore networks, $69-71$  in addition to the co-assembly of sensitisers or co-catalysts and promoters. Meeting these challenges will also necessitate continued advances in our fundamental understanding of photocatalytic reaction and deactivation mechanisms. This review discusses progress in the design of nanostructured solid materials  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to solar fuels and chemicals, with a particular focus on new synthetic routes to inorganic photocatalysts possessing well-defined physichochemical properties and the elucidation of associated structure-function relations. Materials chemistry approaches to porous and layered semiconductor architectures, and hybrid photoactive nanomaterials (e.g. plasmonic nanostructures) are also highlighted, and the most active and selective systems benchmarked.

### 1.3. Mechanistic complications in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction

Before considering the vast array of nanomaterials employed in for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction, we consider briefly some of the particular analytical challenges in evaluating photoactivity and product formation. Carbon-containing precursors and/or solvents are employed in the synthesis of most heterogeneous photocatalysts, and few studies quantify the carbon content of the resulting as-prepared materials. Such carbonaceous residues can either decompose directly upon subsequent irradiation,<sup>68</sup> or react with photoexcited molecular species generated from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  or water,<sup>72</sup> to liberate carbon-containing liquid/gas phase products. Discriminating whether such products originate solely from desired  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conversion and/or carbon residues is not possible by conventional chromatographic methods, and rarely accorded the attention deserved.

Yui and co-workers reported that organic adsorbates such as acetic acid present over commercial, untreated P25 TiO<sub>2</sub> were responsible for  $CH_4$  evolution as a major product during  $CO_2$ photoreduction.<sup>68</sup> A photo-Kolbe decaboxylation mechanism was proposed that accounted for the observed methane solely in terms of acetic acid reduction:  $CH_3COOH + H^+ \rightarrow CH_3^+ + CO_2 +$  $H^{\dagger}$ ; CH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> + CH<sub>3</sub>COOH  $\rightarrow$  CH<sub>2</sub>COOH<sup>+</sup> + CH<sub>4</sub>. Pre-calcination and washing of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  suppressed methane production, with CO the dominant products; convincing evidence for the Kolbe mechanism hypothesised. Isotopic-labelling using  ${}^{13}CO_2$  over an organic-free 2 wt%  $Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  catalyst revealed the co-production of  $^{13}CH_4$  (unequivocally through direct photoreduction) and some  $^{12}$ CH<sub>4</sub> likely originating from the CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> species on the parent titania. In a complementary investigation, Yang et al. explored the mechanism of  ${}^{13}CO_2$  photoreduction in H<sub>2</sub>O over Cu(i)/TiO<sub>2</sub> by means of in situ DRIFT spectroscopy.<sup>72</sup> <sup>12</sup>CO was identified from its 2115 cm<sup>-1</sup> Cu( $i$ )–CO signature as the primary product of reaction between photocatalytically activated adsorbed water and carbonaceous residues on the as-prepared photocatalyst surface. The residual surface carbon reflects the use of a titanium(IV) butoxide precursor and polyethylene glycol structure-directing agent, with (an unquantified amount of) carbon persisting even after the resulting sol-gel was calcined at 500  $^{\circ}$ C for 5 h. Prolonged UV irradiation of the fresh catalyst under moist air to remove carbon contaminants significantly lowered the yield of reactively-formed CO, although some <sup>13</sup>CO was observed, evidencing true  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction. These studies highlight the importance of eliminating organic (and carbonate) surface residues from photocatalysts prior to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ photoreduction tests, via e.g. high temperature calcination, solvent washing and/or pre-exposure to UV light in the absence of CO2. Yang and co-workers also recommended that an additional control experiment be undertaken to ensure no carboncontaining products are formed in the absence of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  but presence of  $H_2O$ . To date very few studies have acted upon this sound recommendation. Journal of Meterials Chemistry Articles. Control interactions and the production of the product

The overwhelming majority of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction employs water as the electron (net hydrogen) source, and should therefore be accompanied by oxygen co-generation. Selective reduction to CO should proceed according to:  $CO_2 + 3H_2O \rightarrow CO +$  $3H_2 + 2O_2$  (combining  $2H_2O \rightarrow 2H_2 + O_2$  and  $CO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow CO$  $+ H<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>2</sub>$ , for which the molar ratio of  $(H<sub>2</sub> + CO) : O<sub>2</sub>$  should be 2 : 1. However, very few studies report such oxygen evolution, let alone endeavour to quantify it and thereby demonstrate that water is the sole electron donor. In the case of  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>$  in aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>,<sup>73</sup> KTaO<sub>3</sub> (ref. 74) and Ag doped ALa<sub>4</sub>Ti<sub>4</sub>O<sub>15</sub> (A = Ca, Sr,

and Ba) perovskites,<sup>75</sup> the co-evolution of CO,  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  is reported with the predicted 2 : 1 stoichiometry; albeit isotopic labelling experiments with  ${}^{13}CO_2$  also revealed the formation of trace <sup>12</sup>CO over for KTaO<sub>3</sub> due to either <sup>12</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> from reactor purging or organic residues within the photoreactor. Isotope labelling, a full complement of control experiments, and careful analysis of evolved oxygen are thus critical to accurately quantify CO2 photoreduction and understand underlying reaction pathways.

## 2. Developments in nanostructured materials

### 2.1. Crystallinity and the role of engineered surface defects

The catalytic behaviour of photocatalysts is intrinsically linked to their surface electronic/optical properties and adsorption behaviour, all of which can (in principle) be systematically tuned through crystal structure engineering; the nature, dimensions, uniformity and termination of crystalline phases strongly influence their resultant photocatalytic efficiency.<sup>76</sup> For instance, monoclinic sheet-like  $BiVO<sub>4</sub>$  significantly outperformed tetragonal rod-like BiVO<sub>4</sub> counterparts in the rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction to  $C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH$  (accompanied by  $O<sub>2</sub>$  evolution), under both UV and visible light illumination in the presence of water.<sup>77</sup> These monoclinic and tetragonal phases were obtained by introducing CTAB (cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide) or PEG (polyethylene glycol), respectively, during  $BivO<sub>4</sub>$  synthesis. The superior photoactivity of the monoclinic BiVO<sub>4</sub> was attributed to the more asymmetric local environment of the  $Bi^{3+}$  ions relative to those within the tetragonal phase. Accordingly, the  $Bi<sup>3+</sup>$  ions exhibit stronger lone pair character in the monoclinic phase and are hence drive to form Bi-O bonds with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  bound as the carbonate, and consequential transfer of photogenerated electrons from the V 3d bands into the chemisorbed  $CO_3^2$ . It is worth noting that these two phases exhibited different UV-Vis absorbances, with the band gaps of monoclinic and tetragonal BiVO4 around 2.24 eV and 2.56 eV respectively. More recently, Li et al. demonstrated that cubic NaNbO<sub>3</sub> was more effective than orthorhombic NaNbO<sub>3</sub> for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction.<sup>78</sup> The cubic NaNbO<sub>3</sub> (c-NaNbO<sub>3</sub>), which is normally only stable at  $T > 813$  K, was successfully prepared through a furfural alcohol derived polymerisation-oxidation (FAPO) method in the presence of P123 as a surfactant stabiliser. The more common orthorhombic NaNbO<sub>3</sub> (o-NaNbO<sub>3</sub>) phase was prepared through a polymerised complex (PC) method. While c-NaNbO<sub>3</sub> comprised predominantly cuboid morphologies, a mixture of irregular and cuboid particles was obtained for o-NaNbO<sub>3</sub>. Both crystal phases exhibited a comparable crystallite size (c-NaNbO<sub>3</sub> = 18.5 nm) versus o-NaNb $O_3 = 23.1$  nm) and surface area (c-NaNb $O_3 = 28.6$  $m^2 g^{-1}$  versus o-NaNbO<sub>3</sub> = 26.4  $m^2 g^{-1}$ ), however, the band gap of c-NaNbO<sub>3</sub> (3.29 eV) was smaller than that of o-NaNbO<sub>3</sub> (3.45 eV). Under UV irradiation (300 W Xe arc lamp) in the presence of a Pt co-catalyst and water vapour, c-NaNbO<sub>3</sub> generated almost double the amount of CH<sub>4</sub> (0.486 µmol  $h^{-1}$ ) than that obtained<br>from a NaNhO<sub>1</sub> (0.345 µmol  $h^{-1}$ ) in a grassure alonged sixure from o-NaNbO<sub>3</sub>  $(0.245 \mu \text{mol h}^{-1})$  in a gaseous, closed circula-<br>tion gyptom processing with 80 kPe CO. Dongity functional tion system pressurised with 80 kPa  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Density functional

theory (DFT) calculations ascribed this variation in photocatalytic performance to differences in conduction band (CB) energies, reflecting different octahedral ligand fields. Accordingly, electron excitation and transfer was more efficient over the high symmetry c-NaNbO<sub>3</sub> phase than o-NaNbO<sub>3</sub>. In addition to CO (0.082  $\mu$ mol h<sup>-1</sup>) and H<sub>2</sub> (0.71  $\mu$ mol h<sup>-1</sup>), trace amounts<br>of CH c H and CH were detected during CO photoof  $C_2H_4$ ,  $C_2H_6$  and  $C_3H_8$  were detected during  $CO_2$  photocatalysis over the  $c$ -NaNbO<sub>3</sub>.

Numerous preparative routes have been developed to nanomaterials with controllable crystal facets such as pH regulation of the synthetic media, or post-synthesis treatments. Truong et al. selectively prepared anatase (pH 2, only 3% rutile), rutile (pH 6, only 6% of anatase) and a bi-crystalline anatase(73%) brookite(27%) composite at pH 10.<sup>79</sup> Under UV (500 W Xe lamp) or visible light irradiation (NaNO<sub>2</sub> solution as a UV cut-off filter), and in the presence of a NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution, these materials were able to photoreduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH$ , whereby the photoactivity followed the order anatase-brookite composite > rutile > anatase > commercial P25. Liu et al. also successfully prepared three different nanostructures of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  polymorphs including anatase (TiA) NPs, rutile (TiR) nanoellipses and brookite (TiB) nanorods for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction,<sup>80</sup> by subjecting the as-synthesised titania to heat treatment under a He environment at 220  $^{\circ}$ C for 1.5 h to induce surface defects, such as oxygen vacancies  $(V<sub>O</sub>)$ and  $Ti^{3+}$  ions on these TiO<sub>2</sub> polymorphs. Illumination by a 150 W solar simulator in the presence of  $CO<sub>2</sub>/water$  vapour revealed the untreated  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  polymorphs as active for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction to CO and a small amount of  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  under continuous-flow  $(2.0 \text{ mL min}^{-1})$ . Product yields decreased in the order TiA > TiB > TiR. As depicted in Fig. 2, He-treated materials TiA(He) and TiB(He) significantly outperformed their untreated counterparts, with TiB(He) exhibiting the highest photoactivity, followed by TiA(He); such annealing treatments had less impact upon the photoactivity of TiR wherein the activation barrier to surface oxygen vacancy creation was presumably higher. Review<br>
Using (DPT) calculations acceled on considerable and considerable article. Comparison and the creations are compared to the common comparison and the creative Commons are the common common comparison and the commo

In situ DRIFTS and DRUVS suggested that the relative  $V_{O}$ -:  $Ti^{3+}$  concentration in TiA(He) was comparable to that of TiB(He), while the surface defect concentration on TiR(He) was negligible. The higher photoactivity of TiB(He) and TiA(He) was thus attributed to surface defects, with the energy of vacancy formation for TiB (He) of 5.52 eV lower than that for anatase (5.58 eV) and rutile (5.82 eV).  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction over titania is clearly a strong function of the crystal structure and surface defect density; the latter postulated the active catalytic sites.

An increasing volume of research has established the influence of crystal facets upon photocatalysis; physical and chemical properties of single crystals are highly sensitive to crystallographic termination.76,81 Calculations have established that the average surface energies of low-index anatase facets decrease in the order 0.90 J m<sup>-2</sup> (001) > 0.53 J m<sup>-2</sup> (100) > 0.44 J  $m^{-2}$  for (101).<sup>82,83</sup> The highest energy (001) surface is expected to be the most reactive, and indeed experimental studies confirm that dissociative molecular adsorption of water and methanol occur readily on the (001) surface.<sup>84,85</sup> Consequently, efforts to control the morphology of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  have focused on the synthesis of nanostructures preferentially exposing (001) facets or coexposing  $(001)$  and  $(101)$  facets<sup>86</sup> to enhance water splitting and dye



Fig. 2  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  and CO productivity from untreated and He-treated TiO<sub>2</sub> polymorphs during 6 h illumination under 150 W solar simulator in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O vapour, in a continuous-flow mode photoreactor operating at a flow rate of 2 mL min $^{-1}$ . Reprinted with permission from ref. 80. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society.

degradation.<sup>87-90</sup> However, other studies suggest that photoreduction and photooxidation activities follow the order (001)  $<$  (101)  $<$  (010),<sup>91</sup> with the superior performance of the (010) facet attributed to a synergy between the surface atomic structure (dictating adsorption/reactivity) and electronic band structure (dictating the CB potential).

 $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanorods preferentially exposing (010) facets were therefore screened in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction.<sup>91</sup> Such nanorods were synthesised by hydrothermal treatment of a  $H_{0.68}Ti_{1.83}O_3$ precursor in the presence of  $Cs_2CO_3$  as a pH mediator, and subsequent promotion by 1 wt% Pt. The resulting Pt-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanorods outperformed P25 in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction by water vapour to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  under 300 W Xe lamp UV irradiation in a Teflonlined steel chamber under 0.06 MPa  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Enhanced activity was attributed to the unique properties of (010) facets which promote  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  adsorption,<sup>92</sup> specifically their more negative conduction band potential. The same group prepared hollow anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  single crystals and mesocrystals, wherein both nanostructures were dominated by  $(101)$  facets.<sup>93</sup> These materials were successfully synthesised by hydrothermal treatment of a Ti $(SO_4)_2$ , Na<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and HF solution. Fig. 3A-D shows the resulting 400 nm diameter octahedral single crystals obtained using 500 mM HF; lowering the HF concentration to 400 mM yielded hollow crystals with less prominent octahedral shapes of  $\sim$ 160 nm (Fig. 3B and E). Fig. 3H reveals that these hollow crystals were single crystalline and principally (101) oriented, with a small proportion of higher-index (103) facets. Even lower HF concentrations favoured sphere-like hollow cages 150 nm diameter (Fig. 3C and F), each formed from  $\sim$ 35 nm octahedral crystals, which spontaneously self-organised during crystal growth to adopt a common crystallographic orientation, resulting in 'single crystal-like' mesocrystals with well-defined selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns. Under UV irradiation (300 W Xe lamp) and in the presence of a  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ co-catalyst and water vapour, the hollow single crystal and mesocrystal materials titanias outperformed a non-porous single crystal by a factor of 4-5 times in photoreducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to CH<sub>4</sub>, attributed to their (i) higher surface areas (35 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> and 42  $\mathrm{m^2\ g^{-1}}$  respectively) relative to the solid crystal  $(17\ \mathrm{m^2\ g^{-1}})$ and (ii) shorter diffusion length of charge carriers and concomitant suppressed bulk recombination. Fig. 3J illustrates the different electronic band structures of the single crystal, hollow single crystal and hollow mesocrystal titanias, and more negative CB potential of the hollow mesocrystal.

Adsorbate-induced restructuring of highly reactive facets remains an intrinsic problem due to their high surface energies. In this regard, reagents such HF and isopropanol have been employed as structure-directing agents to favour exposure of (001) facets over single-crystal anatase nanosheets.<sup>60</sup> Use of such capping agents is itself problematic since they must be removed by thermochemical treatment prior to photocatalytic application (e.g. solvent extraction or calcination) which in turn may induce surface restructuring/phase changes. Fabrication of stable and uniform nanostructures terminating in specific atomic rearrangements requires continued development.

2.2. Nanostructured photocatalysts

2.2.1. Low dimension nanomaterials. One-dimensional nanomaterials are generally more attractive than their bulk and nanoparticle counterparts in photocatalysis, because they facilitate more efficient electron transport and exhibit higher surface area to bulk volume ratios.<sup>65</sup> Recent work has shown that  $\text{Zn}_2\text{GeO}_4$  nanoribbons and nanorods photoreduce  $\text{CO}_2$ more efficiently than  $Zn_2GeO_4$  prepared through conventional reaction<sup>96,97</sup> As depicted in Fig. 4a, single crystalline  $\text{Zn}_2\text{GeO}_4$ nanoribbons of around 7 nm in thickness, 20–50 nm width and hundreds of microns long, were successfully prepared by Liu et al. via a binary ethylenediamine/water solvent system during solvothermal treatment at 180  $^{\circ}$ C.<sup>94</sup> The solvent system was proposed to regulate the velocity and direction of crystal growth through a solvent-coordination molecular-template mechanism. Nanoribbons were obtained due to preferential crystal growth along the [001] direction. Catalytic screening highlighted the improved photoactivity of the resulting nanoribbons over  $Zn_2GeO_4$  produced via solid state reaction (SSR) in producing CH4 under UV illumination (300 W Xe arc lamp) in a CO2/H2O saturated glass reactor system. The better photocatalytic performance was attributed to (i) the higher surface area of the nanoribbons (28 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> versus 1 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> for the SSR material) (ii) the high quality single crystalline nature of the nanoribbons, which reduced electron–hole pair recombination, and (iii) the improved electron transport properties of the nanoribbons owing to their ultra-longitudinal dimension, and (iv) the geometry of the nanoribbons that permits rapid charge carrier diffusion to the oxide surface for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction. Photoactivity of these  $Zn_2GeO_4$  nanoribbons could be further promoted by co-catalysts such as Pt,  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  or a combination thereof, and even coupling to metal–organic frameworks such as ZIF-8 to enhance their  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  adsorption capacity.<sup>95</sup> Open Access Articles Chemistry Articles Ar

A similar synthesis was employed to prepare highly crystalline In<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>(En) hybrid sub-nanowires, as shown in Fig. 4b, with diameters around  $2-3$  nm.<sup>96</sup> Although the nanowires outperformed a SSR prepared sample in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction by water vapour under UV illumination (300 W Xe arc lamp) within a gas-tight Pyrex glass reactor, CO was the only photoreduction product. A low temperature solution phase route, which does not require any surfactant, was reported for the preparation of single crystalline, hexagonal  $Zn_2GeO_4$  nanorods for  $CO_2$ photoreduction.<sup>97</sup> A mixed solution of  $\text{Na}_2\text{GeO}_3$  and  $\text{Zn}(\text{CH}_3\text{-}$ COO)<sub>2</sub> was heated at 40-100 °C to yield  $\text{Zn}_2\text{GeO}_4$  nanorods with a regular, hexagonal prism geometry. As shown in Fig. 4c and d, nanorod dimensions were strongly dependent on synthesis temperature: nanorods around 400 nm long and 50 nm wide were obtained at 40 $\degree$ C, while nanorods 250 nm long and 150 nm wide formed at 100 $^{\circ}$ C. Surprisingly, the prepared nanorods contained some blind holes due to the different crystal growth rate along the c-axis, as well as nanosteps in the surface of nanorods prepared at 100 $^{\circ}$ C. The absorption band edge of nanorods was blue-shifted (4.68 eV and 4.65 eV for 40  $^{\circ}$ C and 100 $\degree$ C syntheses respectively) relative to that of SSR-prepared material (4.5 eV) due to quantum size effects, restricting their use to hard UV applications. Under illumination,  $\text{Zn}_2\text{GeO}_4$ 

Fig. 3 SEM images (A)–(C), TEM images (D)–(F), HRTEM images (G)–(I) and (J) electronic band structures based on UV-Vis and valence band XPS spectra of single crystal solid anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , single crystal hollow anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , and hollow anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  mesocystals. Insets in (B) and (C) highlight hollow cores in the single and mesocrystal particles, insets in (G)–(I) show SAED patterns for the solid single crystal, hollow single crystal and mesocrystal anatase TiO<sub>2</sub>. Reprinted with permission from ref. 93. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society.





Fig. 4 TEM images of (a)  $Zn_2GeO_4$  nanoribbons<sup>94</sup> and (b)  $In_2Ge_2O_7$ sub-nanowires (inset: corresponding SAED pattern)<sup>96</sup> prepared by solvothermal treatment using a binary ethylenediamine/water solvent system, and  $Zn_2GeO_4$  sub-nanowires prepared through solution phase route (c) at 40 °C and (d) 100 °C. Reproduced from ref. 97 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.

nanorods outperformed those prepared by SSR towards photocatalytic  $H_2$  generation and  $CO_2$  reduction, with nanorods grown at 100 °C proving the most active for  $H_2$  evolution owing to their greater crystallinity and the presence of surface nanosteps promoting charge separation. Conversely, the 40  $\degree$ C synthesised nanorods exhibited better  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction activity than their higher temperature analogues due to their higher surface area and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  adsorption capacity.

Highly ordered one-dimensional TiO<sub>2</sub> NTs arrays have also been developed for photocatalytic applications.<sup>98-101</sup> These nanotube arrays have increasingly gained popularity as they: (i) exhibit a relatively high interfacial surface area (because of their internal and external surfaces); (ii) promote efficient transport of electrons owing to the thin walls of NTs; (iii) efficiently retard electron–hole recombination (which can occur up to ten times slower than equivalent nanoparticle films); and (iv) demonstrate improved photon absorption efficiency attributed to strong light scattering within the nanotube arrays.<sup>98-103</sup> The development of  $TiO<sub>2</sub> NTs$  arrays, including their synthesis and application, has been thoroughly reviewed by Rani et  $al.^{103}$ spanning first generation (0.5  $\mu$ m in length) to current 4<sup>th</sup> generation  $(\sim 1000 \mu m)$  technologies. Electrochemical anodisation is the preferred method for nanotube arrays synthesis since it enables the nanotube dimensions to be precisely tuned through varying synthetic parameters such as electrolyte composition and anodising time. Varghese et al. prepared N-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> NTs arrays with pore size and wall thickness of 95  $\pm$  13 nm and 20  $\pm$  5 nm, respectively by anodising Ti foil in an  $NH_4F/water/ethylene glycol electrolyte at 55 V.<sup>40</sup> The resulting$ TiO2 NTs arrays were then calcined and sputter coated with large, irregular patches of Pt, Cu or both metals, as co-catalysts, albeit little information was provided on the morphology and dispersion of these promoters, with the final material

containing between 0.4–0.75 at% N. Irradiation under natural sunlight and water vapour within a batch reactor, afforded  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ photoreduction to mainly  $H_2$ , CH<sub>4</sub> and other alkanes (*i.e.* C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>,  $C_3H_8$ ,  $C_4H_{10}$ ,  $C_5H_{12}$  and  $C_6H_{14}$ , with olefins and branched paraffins also formed as minor products. Higher NTs calcination temperatures improved the photocatalytic activity, attributed to their higher crystallinity. Platinum promoted arrays generated more  $\rm{H}_{2}$  (almost 200 ppm  $\rm{cm}^{-2}$   $\rm{h}^{-1})$  than hydrocarbons, giving a total productivity of 273 ppm  $cm^{-2} h^{-1}$ , whereas the copper promoted array exhibited superior hydrocarbon production ( $\sim$ 104 ppm cm<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>). Furthermore, the Cu-TiO<sub>2</sub> NTs arrays evolved five times more CO than Pt–TiO<sub>2</sub> arrays. Doubly promoted NTs arrays (Fig. 5) afforded a total hydrocarbon productivity of 111 ppm  $cm^{-2}$  h<sup>-1</sup> without any detectable CO. It was concluded that Pt was more active for water reduction, while Cu was more efficient at reducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , their combination resulting in a strong synergy and maximal  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ conversion to hydrocarbons, with one of the highest visible light quantum efficiencies of 0.74%. Section **CD**<br>
CD CD CONTEXT TRIVIAL COMPORATION COMMON COMPORATION COMMON COM

The synthesis of two-dimensional  $WO_3$  nanosheets using a solid-liquid, phase arc discharge method for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction was recently reported by Chen et al.<sup>104</sup> Crystal growth was proposed to occur via the formation of a large number of 4–5 nm  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  seeds. These nanocrystals fused together into twodimensional nanosheets during aging, while maintained a thickness <5 nm, with preferential growth in the [100] and [010] directions. The resulting band gap of these nanosheets (2.79 eV) was larger than that of commercial  $WO_3$  powder (2.63 eV) due to quantum size effects, with their CB potential estimated to be more negative than the reduction potential for  $CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>$ conversion. Conversely, the CB position of commercial  $WO<sub>3</sub>$ appeared more positive than the  $CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>$  redox potential, which should hence disfavour  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction. Indeed, visible light illumination (300 W Xe arc lamp equipped with a 420 nm cut-off filter) of the as-prepared nanosheets showed that they outperformed the commercial  $WO<sub>3</sub>$  powder in photoreducing



Fig. 5 Product formation of N-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> NTs arrays, calcined and sputtered coated with Pt and Cu. CO<sub>2</sub> photoreduction was conducted in a batch reactor under natural sunlight illumination in the presence of water vapour at 44 °C. Reprinted with permission from ref. 40. Copyright 2009 American Chemical Society.

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  in a gas-tight system. Superior nanosheet photoactivity was therefore attributed to both their ultrathin geometry, expected to promote efficient charge carrier diffusion, and their more negative conduction potential.  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  adsorption can be enhanced through the use of alkali or alkaline earth basic oxides. Strontium promoted niobates prepared by conventional SSR have shown promise in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction by water vapour, but possess extremely low surface areas and are hence unsuitable for achieving high photoactivity. An alternative hydrothermal approach affords two-dimensional  $SrNb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$ nanoplates around 12 nm thick and  $5-300$  nm across, $105$ conferring a 20-fold increase in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  adsorption capacity and quantum efficiency of 0.065% over 10 h, an order of magnitude higher than P25. In contrast to many studies, high selectivity to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction to CO and CH<sub>4</sub> versus competing H<sub>2</sub>O reduction to  $H<sub>2</sub>$  was observed, alongside significant oxygen evolution. Transient photocurrent response measurements suggest that separation of photogenerated electron–hole pairs was ratedetermining, with the nanoplate morphology facilitating charge-carrier diffusion to the surface and subsequent reaction with adsorbed  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and water.

2.2.2. Porous structured photocatalysts. Mesoporous and hierarchical heterogeneous catalysts have proved superior to their non-porous analogues in a number of important chemical transformations, including catalytic cracking, selective oxidation, biomass valorisation and pollution abatement systems. Hence, it may be anticipated that porous semiconductor photocatalysts will likewise outperform their bulk counterparts. Such enhanced reactivity may reflect a combination of factors including the generally higher surface area of porous nanostructures, which in turn increases the number of active sites and thus photoconversion efficiency, $79,106-109$  and high aspect ratios which assists rapid transport of photoexcited carriers to the catalyst surface, thereby suppressing competing bulk recombination processes.107,109–<sup>111</sup> Macropore incorporation into mesoporous architectures can confer additional benefits via increased light scattering and faster in-pore mass transport of sterically hindered reagents, finding particular application in the photodegradation of organic dyes.

Crystalline, porous structured  $Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  has been successfully synthesised by Park et al. employing a tetradecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide template.<sup>107</sup> Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) and transmision electron microscopy (TEM) images (Fig. 6), revealed the co-existence of macropores and mesopores througout the resulting monodispersed material. Subsequent photocatalytic screening showed that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conversion over this hierarchically porous  $Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  was much higher than that of reference  $Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  nanoparticles. Further investigations into  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  adsorption established that the porous  $Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  possessed a vastly superior  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ adsorption capacity, 300% higher than that of the bulk reference, accounting for its improved photoreduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to CH4. Surfactant templating was also utilised to prepare mesoporous, micrometric ZnO spheres with the Pluronic P123 templating agent through hydrothermal treatment.<sup>112</sup> Individual ZnO spheres were composed of stacks of thin ZnO flakes, which created interparticle mesoporous voids. This mesoporous

architecture gave higher  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conversion than the equivalent non-porous ZnO, and following Cu impregnation the mesoporous ZnO favored the selective production of  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  and CH<sub>3</sub>OH. The utility of porous structures for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  has also been reported for titania,<sup>79</sup> albeit the surface area of the mesoporous  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  decreased significantly upon subsequent nitridation to generate visible light active, N-doped TiO<sub>2</sub>.

In recent years, a new synthetic protocol has been developed to create multicomponent, mesoporous photocatalysts for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ reduction. Here an inorganic precursor is used to construct more thermally robust mesostructures.<sup>113</sup> The synthetic route of Yan *et al.* to prepare mesoporous  $ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  is illustrated in Fig. 7A. A powdered  $NaGaO<sub>2</sub>$  starting material was synthesised through a solid-state route, which formed colloidal particles upon dispersion in water. Weak surface repulsion between the resulting nanoparticles enabled their subsequent flocculation to form a mesoporous framework, followed by  $Na^+$  and  $Zn^{2+}$  ionexchange to yield a mesoporous  $ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  (visualised in Fig. 7B). The final material remained crystalline after the ion-exchange, obviating the need for hydrothermal treatment or high temperature calcination. The final  $ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  exhibited a mean pore diameter of 3.5 nm and surface area around 110  $m^2$   $g^{-1}$ . Catalytic screening demonstrated that the mesoporous  $ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  was superior to  $ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  prepared through solid-state synthesis, with photogenerated  $CH_4$  prevalent when a RuO<sub>2</sub> cocatalyst was employed. In common with many such studies there was no justification fo the choice of co-catalyst, nor its mode of operation, which was simply presumed to improve separation of photogenerated electron–hole pairs. This synthetic procedure was further adapted to prepare a  $\text{ZnAl}_2\text{O}_4$ modified, mesoporous ZnGaNO visible light photocatalyst<sup>111</sup> from a NaGa<sub>1-x</sub>Al<sub>x</sub>O<sub>2</sub> precursor to form an amorphous mesoporous colloid template by flocculation. Following ion-exchange with  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ , the resultant  $\text{Zn}(\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{Al}_x)_{2}\text{O}_4$  was hydrothermally treated to improve its crystallinity prior to nitridation; Al was claimed to enhance the thermal stability of the mesostructure.  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction was negligible over the parent ZnGaNO (with or without  $ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  modification) without Pt doping to promote electron–hole separation. The superior performance of the  $ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  modified material was attributed to improved mass transport, increased basicity and hence  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  chemisorption, and narrowing of the band gap. Micro/mesoporous  $\rm Zn_2GeO_4$ and mesoporous zinc germanium oxynitride synthesised via the Sources Meteriate Chemistry Article Chemistry Articles. Published on 22 maj 2015. The comparison of the common access Article is article in the common access Article is a statistical on 2015. Downloaded on 2015. The minimu



Fig. 6 FE-SEM images of (a) porous  $Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ , (b) vertical cross-section of porous  $Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  fractured by ion beam and (c) TEM image of the porous Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Reproduced from ref. 107 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.

same method also evidenced superior  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoconversion compared to their solid state synthesised counterparts.<sup>106,114</sup>

Regular mesopore voids can also be created through the selfassembly of nanoparticles wherein the mesopores are formed at the junction between nanoparticles. This approach was successfully employed by Wang et al. to prepare sub-micron  $TiO<sub>2</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>$  mesoporous composites.<sup>108</sup> These materials were obtained by atomising  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanocolloidal solutions of 20–45 nm particles into micron-sized droplets at elevated temperature. Solvent evaporation drove colloidal self-assembly to yield the mesoporous  $TiO<sub>2</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>$  composite imaged by FE-SEM image in Fig. 8a. Cu doped variants were also produced by introducing  $Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  into the colloidal precursor solution. Higher synthesis temperatures promoted  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanocrystal segregation at the composite surface (Fig. 8b). The porous  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ matrix ensured that  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  dispersed across the composite surfaces as  $\sim$ 20 nm crystals, rather than larger agglomerates.  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ – $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  composites exhibited superior photoactivity to pure  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction to CO. Activity increased with processing temperature up to 1000 $\degree$ C due to an increasing density of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanocrystals decorating the mesoporous framework, with a composite comprising 2 mol%  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and 0.01 mol% Cu giving the highest rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction. Mesoporous  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> has also been prepared through a templatefree hydrothermal treatment<sup>110</sup> resulting in the self-assembly of crystalline  $In(OH)_{3}$  nanocubes. The introduction of mesoporosity enhanced  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  by 20-fold relative to conventional  $In(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> crystallites attributed to the higher surface area and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  adsorption affinity of the mesoporous variant. Review Samma method also evidents of complete compression of methods on a minister comparison of the redistricted on the methods of the common access Common Creative Common Creative Common Creative Common Creative Common



Fig. 7 (A) Schematic diagram showing the synthesis steps of preparing mesoporous ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> through mesoporous colloidal template and ion-exchange reaction and (B) TEM image of the resulting mesoporous ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (inset: HR-TEM image of the crystal fringes). Reproduced with permission from ref. 113. Copyright 2010 Wiley.

Graphitic carbon nitride  $(C_3N_4)$ , a metal-free polymeric semiconductor, has gained increasing popularity in photocatalysis for visible light application.113,115–<sup>118</sup> Switching the precursor from melamine to melamine chloride followed by rapid heating afforded porous  $C_3N_4$ :<sup>119</sup> Fig. 9 compares the platelet-like structure of melamine-derived  $C_3N_4$  (g- $C_3N_4$ ) with the porous platelets obtained from the melamine chloride analogue,  $(p-g-C_3N_4)$  whose surface area was significantly higher (69 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> versus 1.7 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> for g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>). Despite these textural differences the non-porous  $g-C_3N_4$  outperformed the porous p $g - C_3N_4$  during  $CO_2$  the photoreduction to CO under visible light. This surprising result was attributed to the slightly broadened band gap and poorer crystallinity of  $p-g-C_3N_4$ , which may arise from a higher density of defects acting as electron–hole recombination centres. Porous  $C_3N_4$  was also prepared by Mao *et al.* (denoted u-g- $C_3N_4$ ) from a urea precursor, which displayed superior  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction activity relative to melaminederived  $C_3N_4$  (m-g- $C_3N_4$ ).<sup>120</sup> Akin to the findings of Dong and coworkers, porous u-g- $C_3N_4$  was less crystalline than the melamine analogue, with an order of magnitude higher surface area and slightly wider band gap. However, in this instance  $u-g-C_3N_4$ exhibited superior photoactivity, presumably due to enhanced reactant adsorption and more efficient charge carrier separation. Under visible light, and in the presence of 1 M NaOH, u-g- $C_3N_4$  photoreduced  $CO_2$  to a mixture of CH<sub>3</sub>OH and  $C_2H_5OH$ , while m-g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> favoured C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH with only trace methanol. Selective photocatalytic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction is hence achievable through tuning of material crystallinity and microstructure.

Table 1 shows that most mesoporous semiconductors possess modest surface area, however there is scope for enhancing these through their immobilisation onto higher area supports such as mesoporous silicas.<sup>121,122</sup> Such high area templates, albeit inert, facilitate dispersion of photoactive phases, thereby increasing the surface active site density and hence photoconversion efficiency. Yang et al. reported that TiO<sub>2</sub> supported on SBA-15 exhibited superior photoactivity to P25 during  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction to  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH<sup>122</sup>$  The crystallite size of the dispersed  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  increased with loading but remained smaller than that of commercial P25, however it is unclear how much titania was incorporated with the SBA-15 pore network versus external surface, and hence performance may have been suboptimal. Further studies revealed that higher  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH$  yields were achievable by Cu doping these  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/SBA-15$  catalysts. Li



Fig. 8 (a) FE-SEM and (b) TEM and HR-TEM images of mesoporous  $TiO<sub>2</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>$  composites prepared at 800 °C. Reproduced from ref. 108 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.

50 nm



Fig. 9 TEM images of (a) non-porous  $g-C_3N_4$ , and (b) porous p-g- $C_3N_4$ . Reproduced from ref. 119 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.

et al. prepared  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/mesoporous silica composites through a$ one-pot, sol–gel method.<sup>113</sup> The resulting material was more active than pure  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  in photororeducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to CO and  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ , with Cu addition favouring  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  production.

2.2.3. Layered structured photocatalysts. Improvements in charge carrier separation and charge transfer for various photocatalytic applications have been sought for many years through the development of layered semiconductors, such as lamellar titanates and niobates.<sup>124-127</sup> Li et al. recently synthesised such layered materials for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction through the hydrothermal processing of  $KNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  and  $\text{HNb}_3\text{O}_8$ .<sup>128</sup> In a typical synthesis,  $\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5$  powder in KOH solution was hydrothermally treated in the presence of a P123 Pluronic surfactant and the resulting precipitate was calcined to yield KNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>. Solid niobic acid,  $HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$ , was obtained through subsequent proton exchange of the  $KNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  in nitric acid  $(KNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  is isostructural with  $HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$ ). As shown in Fig. 10a,  $\rm HNb_3O_8$  comprised two-dimensional  $\rm Nb_3O_8^-$  anion layers, constructed from edge-sharing  $NbO_6$  octahedral units, with protons located in the interlayer spacing. Nanobelts of around 50 nm thickness were obtained during hydrothermal processing of  $KNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$ , in contrast to the irregular micron size particles usually obtained from conventional SSR. Surface areas of the hydrothermal KNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> and HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> materials of 29 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> and 39  $m^2$  g<sup>-1</sup> respectively were higher than those prepared by SSR  $(2.7 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  and 6.5  $\text{m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$  as a consequence of their layered Sources of Metasia Chemistry Articles. (a) the metasian composition of the common access Article is the common access Article is the common access Article is likely the common access Article is likely the common access Ar

morphologies.  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction by water vapour under UV illumination (350 Xe lamp) demonstrated enhanced performance of the layered niobates for  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  production within a sealed quartz tubular reactor, possibly due to more efficient charge carrier migration to the nanobelt surface compared with that of irregular particles. Methane productivity over  $HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$ was twice that reported for  $KNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$ , accredited to more effective water diffusion within the hydrophilic  $HNbO<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  interlayers as illustrated in Fig. 10b, and hence a ready supply of protons to drive  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction over the solid acid. Hydrothermal synthesis of  $HNbO<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  thus afforded improved photoactivity due to both its thin nanobelt morphology and better water adsorption properties.

Expanded  $HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  interlayers were explored by Li et al. as a means to further boost photocatalysis through pillaring silica as a guest compound within the interlayer space.<sup>129</sup> A  $HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$ precursor was first prepared by conventional SSR and subsequent proton exchange. HNb<sub>3</sub>O interlayers were then expanded via n-dodecylamine, enabling access to tetraethyl orthosilicate. Calcination of the resulting material created a  $SiO_2$ -pillared  $HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  with XRD indicating that silica pillaring increased the interlayer spacing from 0.37 nm to 2.10 nm, facilitating their visualisation by TEM (Fig. 11). Pillaring also dramatically increased the niobic acid surface area from  $\sim$ 7 to 197 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, while simultaneously loweing the band gap from 3.5 eV to 3.3 eV. Under UV irradiation (350 W Xe lamp) under water vapour,



Fig. 10 Schematic depicting (a) the layered structure of  $HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$ , and (b) proposed mechanism of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction over  $HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$ . Reprinted from ref. 128, Copyright 2012, with permission from Elsevier.

Photocatalyst	Surface area/m <sup>2</sup> $g^{-1}$	Pore diameter/nm	Product
$Ga_2O_3$ (ref. 107)	43	$3.8, 5 - 30$	CH <sub>4</sub>
1.5 wt% $Cu/ZnO112$	22	31.2	$CH3OH$ , $CH4$ , CO, $H2$
0.12 wt% Pt/TiO <sub>2</sub> (ref. 123)	147	7.8	CH <sub>4</sub>
0.84 at% N-TiO <sub>2</sub> (ref. 123)	70	12.6	$CH_4$ (Pt)
$ZnGa2O4$ (ref. 113)	110	3.5	CH <sub>4</sub>
$ZnAl2O4/ZnGaNO111$	53	15.2	CH <sub>4</sub>
$Zn_2GeO_4$ (ref. 114)	91	$1, 2-2, 2-12$	CH <sub>4</sub>
$Zn$ GeON $^{106}$	36		CH <sub>4</sub>
$TiO2-SiO2$ (ref. 108)	72	28.3	CO
$In(OH)_{3}$ (ref. 110)	65	9.5	CH <sub>4</sub>
$u-g-C_3N_4$ (ref. 120)	40		$CH3OH$ , $C2H5OH$
45 wt%TiO <sub>2</sub> -SiO <sub>2</sub> (ref. 122)	436	$2 - 12$	CH <sub>3</sub> OH
$0.5\%$ Cu/TiO <sub>2</sub> -SiO <sub>2</sub> (ref. 113)	386		CO, CH <sub>4</sub>

Table 1 Textural properties of porous, structured photocatalysts and associated products of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction

and doping with 0.4 wt% Pt promoter, this  $SiO_2$ -pillared  $HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  proved superior to both the  $Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$  precursor and nonpillared  $HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  layered structure for photoreducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  in a sealed quartz tubular reactor. Active sites of lamellar solid acids are considered to reside at the internal surfaces of interlayers.<sup>130</sup> Accordingly, Li and co-workers proposed that this enhanced photoactivity arose from increased active site accessibility within the  $HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  interlayers by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ . However, in common with many other studies, the simultaneous variation of physical and optical properties makes it hard to elucidate the principal origin of this enhancement.  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ pillared  $HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  also outperformed the aforementioned  $HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>$  nanobelts. Additional investigations found that  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ production was lower over  $SiO_2$ -pillared KNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (prepared by cation exchange with  $K_2CO_3$ ) than  $SiO_2$ -pillared HNb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, suggesting that water adsorption by the solid acid variant also played an important role in driving  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  formation.

Solid bases are well-established as  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture agents.67,131–<sup>133</sup> Accordingly, layered double hydroxides (LDHs) composed of  $\left[\text{M}_{1-x}^{\text{II}} \text{M}_{x}^{\text{III}} (\text{OH})_{2}\right]^{\text{x+}}$  cationic sheets with intercalated anions have been exploited for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction.<sup>134,135</sup> These materials are attractive because of their strong and tunable basicity, and hence good  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  adsorption capacity. The semiconducting nature, and hence photoabsorption properties, of LDHs can be tuned to some extent through careful selection of the intralayer  $M^{\text{II}}$  and  $M^{\text{III}}$  metal cations. Recent work from Sastre et al. showed that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  was enhanced by  $Zn/Ti$  or  $Zn/Ce$  LDHs,<sup>135</sup> presumably through increased CO2 adsorption at the LDH surfaces facilitating subsequent photochemistry. Ahmed et al. prepared photoactive  $Zn^{2+}/Ga^{3+}$  LDH catalysts.<sup>134</sup> Under UV irradiation (500 W Xe arc lamp), the resulting  $\left[\text{Zn}_3\text{Ga(OH)}_8\right]_2^+( \text{CO}_3)^2$   $\cdot$   $m\text{H}_2\text{O}$  solid base was active for reducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into CO and  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH$  as primary and secondary products respectively, employing a closed, circulating system pressurised with a mixture of 2.3 kPa  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and 21.7 kPa  $H<sub>2</sub>$ , *i.e.* highly reducing conditions wherein commercial application would require an independent photocatalytic or electrochemical hydrolysis process to supply hydrogen, or close proximity to a fossil fuel derived syn gas feed. Copper incorporation into the LDH to form a  $[Zn_{1.5}Cu_{1.5}Ga(OH)_{8}]_{2}^{+}$  $({\rm CO_3})^{2-}\cdot m{\rm H_2O}$  material improved selectivity towards CH<sub>3</sub>OH threefold, rendering it the major product. Control experiments

(a)

Fig. 11 (a) TEM and (b) SEM images of  $SiO_2$ -pillared  $HNb_3O_8$ . Reprinted with permission from ref. 129. Copyright 2009 American Chemical Society.

in the absence of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  suggested that at least some of the observed reactivity was associated with the conversion of  $\mathrm{CO_3}^{2-}$ ions originating within the parent LDH, although this could not account for the total product yield. Replacing  $Ga^{3+}$  with  $Al^{3+}$  to create a  $\left[\text{Zn}_3\text{Al}(\text{OH})_8\right]_2^{\text{+}}(\text{CO}_3)^2$ <sup>-</sup>· $m\text{H}_2\text{O}$  LDH enhanced overall photoconversion efficiency, but favoured CO formation (even after  $Cu^{2+}$  incorporation). These layered catalysts were reported active for 20 h continuous  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction, although methanol productivity declined over time. In an effort to boost selectivity towards methanol, the interlayer  $CO_3^2$  anions were exchanged for  $[Cu(OH)_4]^{2-}$  anions, thereby creating a  $[Zn_{1.5}$  $Cu_{1.5}Ga(OH)_{8}]_{2}^{1}(CO_{3})^{2-} \cdot mH_{2}O$  material<sup>136</sup> with a methanol yield almost three times that achievable over the carbonate counterparts. Copper was thus hypothesised as the active photocatalytic site, with the localisation of Cu sites within the interlayers facilitating their accessibility to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , while the replacement of  $CO_3^2$  with  $[Cu(OH)_4]^2$  counterions significantly decreased the band gap of the resulting LDH while expanding the interlayer spacing, both factors likely contributing towards the enhanced photoactivity of the copper anion doped variant. Review Marting with  $\Delta t$  with  $\Delta t$  with S0-pulland in the absentute of  $O_2$ , and  $\Delta t$  are absoling points and the Nobel preceding to the control on the solicity of the commons of the S1-pulland in the solicity of the

2.2.4. Hierarchical ordered photocatalysts. Three-dimensional, hierarchical photocatalysts with novel properties arising from their unique morphology have also been exploited to further optimise photocatalytic performance. Many studies have shown that such complex architectures, typically possessing high surface areas and diverse pore-interconnectivities, offer enhanced catalytic activity relative to their bulk, nanoparticulate or monomodal nanoporous counterparts.<sup>137</sup> The unique surface and textural characteristics of these superstructures can also increase photon absorption, leading to enhanced quantum efficiency.<sup>138-143</sup> To date, semiconductors with diverse three-dimensional, hierarchical structures have been developed for harvesting solar energy, including ZnO nanowire forests,<sup>143</sup> Si/InGaN core–shell nanowire arrays,<sup>142</sup> TiO<sub>2</sub>/ZnO/CuO forest-like architectures,<sup>140</sup> Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> microclews,<sup>144</sup> N-doped  $Bi_3NbO_7$  peony-like architectures,<sup>145</sup> flowerlike Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>,<sup>146</sup> Bi<sub>12</sub>TiO<sub>20</sub>,<sup>147</sup> Bi<sub>12</sub>TiO<sub>20</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>148</sup> Nb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>F,<sup>149</sup> Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>,<sup>150</sup> and NiO–CdS architectures,<sup>151</sup> CdS@ZnO and CdS@Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> urchin-like heteroarrays,<sup>91</sup> N-doped  $(BiO)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  microspheres,<sup>141</sup>  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub>$  core–shell structures,<sup>152</sup> WO<sub>3</sub> hollow dendrites, spheres and dumbbells, $153$  porous TiO<sub>2</sub> hollow microspheres,<sup>138,154</sup> and  $\gamma$ -TaON urchin-like hollow spheres.<sup>139</sup> Such complex structures are generally created through the selfassembly of nanoscale building blocks, such as nanosheets and nanorods, via hydrothermal routes.<sup>91,141,144-152</sup> In some instances, pore networks created within hierarchical networks not only increase the total surface area, but also serve to accelerate reactant/product diffusion to/from active centres.141,154 While relatively few studies have targeted the development of hierarchical structures for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction, a number of promising three-dimensional nanostructures have been created for solar fuels production.

Highly ordered, sheaf-like, hyperbranched  $\text{Zn}_2\text{GeO}_4$  superstructures were prepared in the presence of ethylenediamine through a solvothermal method by Liu et  $al.^{155}$  The FE-SEM image in Fig. 12a highlights 3-4 µm sheaf-like features which



assembled from closely packed, well-aligned nanowires with fantails oriented toward the center and projecting radially outwards. This unique morphology was proposed to arise through a crystal-splitting growth mechanism, with the degree of splitting a function of reaction time, precursor concentration and solvent. In order to improve the visible light performance of the normally large band gap  $Zn_2GeO_4$ , these as-prepared fusiform bundles were subject to nitridation at 700 $\degree$ C, resulting in a yellowish zinc germanium oxynitride  $(Zn_{1.7}Gen_{1.8}O)$  photocatalyst. While the bundle morphology of the parent  $Zn_{1.7}$ -GeN<sub>1.8</sub>O precursor was retained after this high temperature treatment, an added benefit was a concomitant significant increase in surface roughness (Fig. 12b), which doubled the  $Zn_{1.7}$ GeN<sub>1.8</sub>O surface area relative to the  $Zn_2$ GeO<sub>4</sub> parent. Under visible light illumination (300 W Xe arc lamp equipped with a 420 nm cut-off filter), and only upon promotion with Pt or  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ co-catalysts, this hierarchically structured  $\text{Zn}_{1.7}\text{GeV}_{1.8}\text{O}$  material showed good ambient pressure  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ , albeit with an apparent quantum efficiency still only approaching 0.024%. It is noteworthy that the  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  yield reached saturated around 6 h, possibly due to reversible adsorption of reactive intermediates.

Hexagonal nanoplate-textured micro-octahedral  $\text{Zn}_2\text{SnO}_4$ were synthesised through a hydrothermal treatment in the presence of L-tryptophan.<sup>156</sup> The resulting material comprised ordered and uniform micro-octahedra with edges of approximately 3  $\mu$ m (Fig. 13a). At higher magnification, it is apparent that each micro-octahedron is densely packed with  $\sim$ 50 nm thin, hexagonal nanoplates Fig. 13b. These nanoplates are vertically arranged within octahedra such that they lie parallel to neighbouring edges. The octahedral geometry was proposed a consequence of L-tryptophan impeding reaction along the [111] direction, favouring faster nucleation and growth in the [100] direction. The resulting hierarchical nanoplate/microoctahedron morphology blue-shifted the  $\text{Zn}_2\text{SnO}_4$  band gap from the bulk value of 3.67 eV to 3.87 eV, yet delivered exclusively CH<sub>4</sub> production at 20 ppm  $g^{-1}$  from CO<sub>2</sub> under UV irradiation within a gas-tight Pyrex glass cell, albeit for only 1 h in the absence of promoters. This far exceeded the photoactivity of bulk Zn $_2$ SnO $_4$   $(1.5$  ppm  $\mathrm{g}^{-1})$ , smooth Zn $_2$ SnO $_4$  micro-octahedra  $(4.7$  ppm  $g^{-1}$ ) or Zn<sub>2</sub>SnO<sub>4</sub> atactic particles  $(2.4$  ppm  $g^{-1})$ prepared without L-tryptophan. This rate enhancement was ascribed to such superstructures acting as light-scattering centres promoting photon harvesting, in combination with the nanoplate morphology promoting electron transport along

individual plates, and the open nanoplate-/micro-octahedron architecture which facilitated rapid molecular diffusion.

Hierarchical, hollow  $Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub>$  microspheres, which were constructed from crossed nanosheets prepared through an anion exchange method by Cheng et al., successfully photoreduced  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to CH<sub>3</sub>OH under visible light irradiation.<sup>157</sup> As depicted in Fig. 14, the synthesis involved anion exchange of BiOBr solid microspheres, prepared from  $Bi(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  and a [C<sub>12</sub>Mim]Br ionic liquid, with Na<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> under hydrothermal conditions at 160 °C. BiOBr and  $Bi_2WO_6$  comprise crystalline layered structures consisting of alternating  $(\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_2)^{2^+}$  slabs separated by intercalating anion layers. Since the solubility of  $Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub>$  was lower than that of BiOBr, thin layers of  $Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub>$ formed at the BiOBr<sup>-</sup> surfaces during the exchange process. Owing to the slower diffusion of  $WO_4^2$  versus Br<sup>-</sup>, a fast, continuous outflow of  $Br^-$  through the  $Bi_2WO_6$  shell resulted in the formation of hollow cores over a prolonged period. These 2– 5 µm diameter, hollow microspheres were themselves mesoporous, with a surface area far higher than that of  $Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub>$ prepared by a solid state route  $(Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> SSR)$ . Hollow  $Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub>$ microspheres generated around 25 times more  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH$  from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction by water than  $Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> SSR$  at under visible light (300 W Xe arc lamp equipped with a 420 nm cut-off filter). This performance was notably achieved without the aid of noble metal co-catalysts. As in the previous examples, this enhanced photocatalysis was attributed to the higher surface area and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ adsorption affinity (eight times that of  $Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> SSR$ ) of the hierarchical structure. Journal of Materials Chemistry A<br>
second on 2020 contract on 2020 co

An exciting biomimetic approach was recently adopted by Zhou et al. to prepare hierarchical, three-dimensional perovskite titanates, ATiO<sub>3</sub> (A = Sr, Ca, Pb), for CO<sub>2</sub> photoreduction.<sup>158</sup> In an effort to move one-step closer to artificial photosynthesis, fresh Cherry Blossom green leaves were employed as a structure-directing agent to prepare inorganic photocatalysts via a modified sol-gel protocol; natural leaves possess high porosity, connectivity and surface areas, tailored to facilitate efficient gas diffusion, water transport and the harnessing of solar energy. The success of this synthesis was evidenced by the FE-SEM images in Fig. 15a and b, wherein the resulting  $SrTiO<sub>3</sub>$  exhibited a macroporous venation network characteristic of the parent leaves. Higher magnification TEM imaging revealed the network walls consisted of a



Fig. 12 FE-SEM images of (a and b) sheaf-like  $Zn_2GeO_4$  superstructure solvothermally treated for 12 h, and (c)  $Zn_{1.7}GeN_{1.8}O$  prepared through high temperature nitridation of  $Zn<sub>2</sub>GeV<sub>4</sub>$  fusiform bundles. Reproduced from ref. 155 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.



Fig. 13 FE-SEM images of the nanoplate/micro-octahedron  $Zn_2SnO_4$ at (a) lower magnification and (b) at higher magnification. The inset of (b) shows an isolated hexagonal nanoplate. Reprinted with permission from ref. 156. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society.



Fig. 14 Schematic of hollow  $Bi_2WO_6$  microspheres formation through anion exchange of solid BiOBr microspheres. Reproduced from ref. 157 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.

mesoporous array of crystallites (Fig. 15c and d). Such biomimetic SrTiO<sub>3</sub> and CaTiO<sub>3</sub> architectures outperformed corresponding reference titanates in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ (major product) and  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  (secondary product) during UV illumination (300 W Xe arc lamp) under water vapour in a gas closed circulation system and 80 kPa of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Incorporation of Au, Ag and Cu into these hierarchical titanates enhanced their photoactivity, and also led to the evolution of trace  $C_2H_4$  and  $C_2H_6$ , although the latter were proposed to arise via photocatalytic non-oxidative coupling of  $CH_4$  rather than direct  $CO_2$ photoreduction.<sup>159</sup>

The excellent performance of these leaf-architectured titanates presumably reflects their highly interconnected macroporousmesoporous structure, which promotes gas diffusion in addition to increasing surface active sites. This sophisticated architecture may also enhance light absorption in a manner similar to that of natural leaves, by which incident light is scattered and transmitted into deeper layers of the network, thereby increasing the quantum efficiency and hence photocatalytic activity.

2.2.5. Hybrid structured photocatalysts. As touched upon in the preceding sections, semiconductor photocatalysts can be coupled with other materials which may comprise efficient charge carrier components (electron donor or acceptor), such as semiconductors, metal oxides or zeolites. Such combinations offer hybrid photocatalyst with superior physicochemical or optical properties to the parent active phase, e.g. a higher dispersion of active centres, enhanced accessibility of catalytic centres (cf. hierarchical architectures) or novel band structures. For instance, two semiconductors (SC) with different band gaps and valence/conduction band energy levels can be combined to create a staggered type II band structure as depicted in Fig. 16.<sup>160</sup> When light is absorbed by a small (visible) band gap semiconductor  $SC<sub>1</sub>$ , interparticle electron transfer can occur, in which photogenerated electrons are injected into the CB of a large band gap  $(SC<sub>2</sub>)$  semiconductor, while photogenerated holes remain in the valence band (VB) of  $SC<sub>1</sub>$ , thereby effecting charge separation through spatial localisation, and hence maximising the lifetime of photoinduced charge carriers and photocatalytic efficiency. Direct particle-to-particle contact is generally held to be crucial for efficient operation of such hybrid systems.<sup>161</sup>



Fig. 15 FE-SEM images showing (a) the cross section of the porous venation architecture of SrTiO<sub>3</sub> (scale bar, 1  $\mu$ m) (b) cross section of the porous network axially (scale bar, 10 µm), (c) magnified image of the wall of the network (scale bar,  $1 \mu m$ ) and (d) TEM image showing the mesoporous structure of SrTiO<sub>3</sub> (scale bar, 2 nm). Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd ref. 158, copyright 2013.

2.2.5.1. Inorganic hybrid photocatalysts. A number of such  $SC<sub>1</sub>/SC<sub>2</sub>$  hybrid systems have been developed for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction. Wang et al. prepared  $CdSe/Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  heterostructures using commercially available CdSe quantum dots (QDs) of two different sizes (2.5 nm and 6 nm) and commercial P25 titania.<sup>162</sup> The resulting heterostructures, containing 0.5 at% Pt and 1 at%



Fig. 16 Electron transfer pathway in a SC/SC hybrid system. Adapted from ref. 160, Copyright 1995, with permission from Elsevier.

Cd, were active for the photoreduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  and CH3OH (respective major and minor products) under visible light irradiation, with trace  $H_2$  and CO also reported; neither Pt/  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  or CdSe components were individually active under identical reaction conditions. Consequently, the observed visible light photoactivity of the semiconductor heterostructures must arise from a synergy between CdSe and TiO<sub>2</sub>. It was proposed that photoexcited electrons promoted into the CB of CdSe under visible light irradiation, were subsequently injected into the CB of TiO<sub>2</sub>, increasing their liftime and probability of transfer to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . However, these composites deactivated after 4–6 h irradiation, most likely due to oxidation of the QDs by the accumulated photoinduced holes in the VB of CdSe.

In other work, coupling of AgBr with P25 was also undertaken to form nanocomposites active for reducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  under visible light,<sup>163</sup> wherein the indirect band gap of AgBr, which spans wavelengths between 450–700 nm, was held responsible for the visible light activity. This hybrid was synthesised utilising a cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) surfactant stabiliser to create well-dispersed AgBr NPs (of around 5 nm) decorating the surface of P25. The nanocomposites exhibited excellent visible light photoactivity (150 W Xe lamp with a 420 nm cut-off filter) and photostability in the presence of aqueous  $KHCO<sub>3</sub>$ , relative to pure AgBr, with  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  as the major product together with CH<sub>3</sub>OH, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH and CO under high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ pressure (7.5 MPa). A composite containing 23.2 wt% of AgBr exhibited optimum performance, with the photocatalytic mechanism again proposed to involve visible light excitation of electrons into the AgBr conduction band and subsequent transfer into the TiO<sub>2</sub> CB with attendant charge separation. In addition to increasing charge carrier lifetime, these hybrid structures also impeded the formation of metallic Ag through photolysis, as was observed for pure AgBr.

Qin et al. followed a similar route employing CTAB to produce 14 nm  $CuO/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  composite nanostructures,<sup>164</sup> although here UV irradiation in the presence of methanol (rather than water) resulted in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction to methyl formate within a slurry reactor. A 1 wt%  $CuO/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  composite calcined at 450  $\degree$ C exhibited the highest photoactivity, outperforming both pure  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and composites synthesised in the absence of CTAB, suggesting a role for both charge separation and particle size effects. Liu et al. also explored the synergy between copper and titania heterojunctions, employing a sol– gel route to prepare  $Cu<sub>2</sub>O/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  composites which demonstrated superior photoactivity over pure  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  in photoreducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to CH4 in a stirred batch, annular quartz reactor under 1 bar of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and low power (32 W) UVA illumination.<sup>165</sup> XPS and pulse chemisorption measurements identified the presence of a twodimensional  $Cu<sub>2</sub>O$  species decorating the surface of titania at extremely low doping levels (0.03 wt% Cu). Higher copper loadings resulted in a structural transformation to threedimensional  $Cu<sub>2</sub>O$  crystallites, which reduced the efficiency of photoinduced electron transfer from  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $Cu<sub>2</sub>O$ , and hence lowered their activity. TiO<sub>2</sub> has also been coupled with iron to form FeTiO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> hybrids able to photoreduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH$ under high power UV (500 W Xe lamp) and visible light irradiation under basic conditions.<sup>166</sup> Materials synthesised with 20

mol% Fe gave the highest rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction. Under UV illumination, photoinduced electrons were proposed to transfer from the (higher energy) CB of TiO<sub>2</sub> to that of FeTiO<sub>3</sub>, with photoinduced holes returned from the VB of  $F$ eTiO<sub>3</sub> to that of TiO2, charge separation again inferred as improving the overall photoactivity. In contrast, under visible light irradiation, electrons were photoexcited into the  $FeTiO<sub>3</sub>$  CB, resulting in a partially vacant  $Ferio<sub>3</sub>$  VB, which was charge compensated for by the consequential movement of electrons from the almost isoenergetic TiO<sub>2</sub> VB, leaving residual holes in the TiO<sub>2</sub> VB, and net electron–hole charge separation across the two semiconductors. Efforts have been made to couple  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  with CdS and  $Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>$  in order to photoreduce CO<sub>2</sub> to CH<sub>3</sub>OH.<sup>165</sup> Li *et al.* precipitated CdS or  $Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>$  onto TiO<sub>2</sub> NTs to yield visible light active CdS/TiO<sub>2</sub> and  $Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  heterostructures, thereby delivering improved photoactivity relative to P25 or bare  $TiO<sub>2</sub> NTs$ (although activities were not normalised to take into account the two-fold higher surface areas of the nanorod morphologies). Photoinduced electrons from the CB of CdS or  $Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>$  could be injected into that of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  to achieve efficient charge separation. Nevertheless, these complex heterojunction nanorods failed to generate more CH<sub>3</sub>OH than their respective pure CdS and  $Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>$ bulk analogues. Journal of Meterials Chemitery A<br>
Cd., view active Cluster, the cluster and minister and minister which the minister and the significant enter the common access Common Chemitery and minister and minister and minister and

CuO–Cu<sub>2</sub>O thin film nanorod arrays prepared by Chadimkhani et al. were recently shown to photoreduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH$  under simulated solar irradiation (AM 1.5) without a cocatalyst via photoelectrocatalysis.<sup>167</sup> Coupling of such small band gap semiconductors is advantageous in exploiting a larger fraction of the visible light region of the solar spectrum than achievable by either alone. CuO nanorods were first thermochemically grown over a Cu substrate, following which  $Cu<sub>2</sub>O$ crystallites were electrodeposited on the nanorod surfaces to generate a CuO core/Cu<sub>2</sub>O shell hybrid thin film which outperformed a compact  $Cu<sub>2</sub>O$  electrodeposited film. This enhancement may reflect more efficient transfer of photoinduced electrons from the CB of the Cu<sub>2</sub>O shell to that of the CuO core and consequent increased probability of photoinduced electrons reacting with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Such a model necessitates that the tips of CuO nanorods are exposed to a  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  saturated aqueous environment. The CuO–Cu<sub>2</sub>O thin film was reported to generate CH<sub>3</sub>OH at a potential of only  $-0.2$  V vs. SHE, lower than the standard redox potential for  $CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH$  (-0.38 V vs. SHE), a feature characteristic of p-type semiconductors (such as CuO and  $Cu<sub>2</sub>O$ ).

Copper oxide has also been employed by In et al. to form hollow CuO–TiO<sub>2-x</sub>N<sub>x</sub> hybrid nanocubes that are effective for photoreducing  $CO_2$  to  $CH_4$ .<sup>168</sup> As shown in Fig. 17a,  $Cu_3N$ nanocubes, synthesised through the thermal decomposition of  $Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  in octadecylamine at 240 °C, were employed as the templating agent for subsequent  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  deposition on their external surfaces through slow hydrolysis of titanium  $n$ -butoxide. The initial Cu<sub>3</sub>N nanocubes were uniform in shape and around 27 nm across (Fig. 17b), and underwent significant roughening following TiO<sub>2</sub> coating (TiO<sub>2</sub>@Cu<sub>3</sub>N) as apparent in Fig. 17c. Subsequent heat treatment of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>(QCl<sub>3</sub>N)$  powder at 450  $\degree$ C under air transformed these phase-separated structures into hollow CuO–TiO<sub>2-x</sub>N<sub>x</sub> hybrid nanocubes (Fig. 17d–f).

The final material was composed of hollow, truncated cuboidal CuO hollow cubes of  $\sim$ 57 nm diameter, decorated by small  $TiO_{2-x}N_x$  particles. FE-SEM imaging confirmed the cubic morphology and hollow core of this hybrid material (Fig. 17g–f). The authors proposed that  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  crystallised onto the surfaces of  $Cu<sub>3</sub>N$  during thermal processing, but did not fully encaspulate the underlying nanocubes, permitting  $O<sub>2</sub>$  to diffuse into, and oxidise, the  $Cu<sub>3</sub>N$  surface. Assuming that the inward rate of atomic oxygen diffusion is slower than the outward diffusion of  $N<sub>2</sub>$ , high temperature treatment would drive the formation of hollow cores through the Kirkendall effect.<sup>169</sup> As nitrogen atoms diffuse outward, they are able to react with  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and hence form an oxynitride TiO<sub>2-x</sub>N<sub>x</sub> phase. Under simulated solar illumination and water vapour, this particular hybrid composite photoreduced CO<sub>2</sub> to CH<sub>4</sub>, and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub> and C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> as minor products. The hollow CuO–TiO<sub>2-x</sub>N<sub>x</sub> hybrid nanocubes outperformed P25, CuO (annealed Cu<sub>3</sub>N), CuO@TiO<sub>2</sub>, Cu<sub>3</sub>N and  $TiO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$  $Cu<sub>3</sub>N$  in the photocatalytic generation of  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH$ , likely due to their improved visible light absorption characteristics and the synergy between CuO and  $TiO_{2-x}N_x$ .

2.2.5.2. Organic hybrid photocatalysts. A hybrid catalyst composed of a Nafion (perfluorinated polymer with sulfonate groups, Nf) overlayer on  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  with Pd co-catalyst was prepared by Kim et al. for  $CO_2$  photoreduction<sup>170</sup> as illustrated in Fig. 18a. Pd was first impregnated onto P25, and subsequently coated with Nafion. The rationale for integrating Nafion with a photoactive semiconductor was that the superacidic polymer, which exhibits excellent proton conducting behaviour, would facilitate proton-coupled multielectron transfer (PCET) pathways during product (hydrocarbon) formation, thereby improving net  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction. It was also believed that Nafion might inhibit the reoxidation of photoreduction products, and as a fluorinated polymer, be stable towards photocorrosion and redox reactions and thus a good host support. After catalyst precleaning and UV irradiation the hybrid  $Nf/pd-TiO<sub>2</sub>$  facilitated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction to CH<sub>4</sub>,  $C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>$  and H<sub>2</sub> (and trace  $C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub>$ ) in a sodium carbonate aqueous solution. As shown in Fig. 18b,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ reduction was highly sensitive to reaction pH, with photoactivity increasing at lower pH, the higher  $H^+$  concentration aiding product generation. In the presence of Nafion, considerably more  $CH_4$  was generated at pH 3 and 11 but the effect was less prominent at pH 1. However, Nafion significantly enhanced ethane production at pH 3 and 1, with propane only observed over the Nf/Pd-TiO<sub>2</sub> hybrid photocatalyst. The observation that Nafion favours longer chain hydrocarbons suggest that it may stabilise  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction intermediates in a manner that promotes sequential multi-electron transfer. Additional experiments with  $Nf/Pd-TiO<sub>2</sub>$  under natural sunlight only resulted in  $CH_4$ ,  $C_2H_6$  and  $C_3H_8$  formation.

Sensitising large band gap semiconductors with transition metal complexes is another approach to designing visible light active materials.<sup>171-173</sup> Yuan et al. recently demonstrated the utility of a  $\mathrm{Cu[(bpy)_2]}^+$  dye-sensitised  $\mathrm{TiO}_2$  hybrid system for  $\mathrm{CO}_2$ photoreduction.<sup>174</sup> To obtain an air stable Cu complex, the 6 and 6'-position of the 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy) ligand were modified with a methyl group to protect the surroundings of the labile  $Cu(i)$  centre. This ligand was also designed to terminate in



Fig. 17 (a) Schematic for the synthesis of hollow CuO–TiO<sub>2-x</sub>N<sub>x</sub> hybrid nanocubes, and associated TEM images of (b)  $Cu<sub>3</sub>N$  nanocubes, (c)  $TiO<sub>2</sub>@Cu<sub>3</sub>N$  nanocubes, (d)–(f) hollow  $CuO-TiO<sub>2-x</sub>N<sub>x</sub>$  hybrid nanocubes at different magnifications, and (g)–(h) FESEM images of the hollow hybrid nanocubes. Reproduced with permission from ref. 168. Copyright 2012 Wiley.

cyanoacetic acid moieties, thus enabling efficient anchoring of the metal complex onto the semiconductor surface. The  $Cu(1)$ complex modified P25 hybrid successfully photoreduced  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ with water vapour into  $CH_4$  in a gas-solid reaction system under visible light illumination. It is likely that the  $Cu(1)$  complex was responsible for harnessing photons from visible light, with the resulting photoexcited electrons rapidly injected into the conduction band of the visible-inactive  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , thereby prolonging the lifetime of photoinduced electrons to drive  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ reduction.

A visible light hybrid photocatalyst system, which utilised a naturally occurring enzyme CO dehydrogenase (CODH 1) to reduce  $CO_2$ , was developed by Woolerton et al.<sup>175,176</sup> This enzyme was homodimeric, such that each sub-unit contained a buried



Fig. 18 (a) Schematic of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction on Nf/Pd–TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles and (b) CH<sub>4</sub> (at 5 h) and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (at 1 h) production at different pH ranges on Pd–TiO<sub>2</sub> and Nf/Pd–TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs under UV illumination ( $\lambda$  > 300 nm) in the presence of 0.2 M  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  aqueous solution. Catalyst concentration was fixed at 1.5 g  $L^{-1}$  (with 1.0 wt% Pd and 0.83 wt% Nafion). Reproduced from ref. 170 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.

[Ni4Fe–4S] active site, wired to the protein surface through a series of [4Fe–4S] clusters that were also responsible for relaying electrons in and out of CODH 1. Fig. 19 illustrates the complete photosystem comprising CODH 1 modified, and Ru dye (RuP) sensitised, P25 NPs. During visible light irradiation, photoexcited electrons generated through metal-to-ligand chargetransfer on the RuP dye could be injected into the CB of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ and transferred through the [4Fe–4S] clusters to the CODH 1 active sites, with the resulting photo-oxidised RuP regenerated  $via$  2-(N-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid (MES) as a sacrificial electron donor. It was proposed that the reduction process was initiated through  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  binding as a bridging ligand between the Ni and dangling Fe atom of the enzyme. Under visible light illumination,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  thus functioned as a medium for relaying electrons between the dye and enzyme. It is noteworthy that CODH 1 usually oxidises CO to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , but that the enzyme can be driven to catalyse the reverse reaction by applying a small overpotential.<sup>177</sup> Further investigations revealed P25 as the preferred semiconductor with respect to ZnO, SrTiO<sub>3</sub>, rutile and anatase TiO $_2$ .175 Photoactivity was further enhanced through the addition of another sacrificial electron donor, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). To improve CODH 1 attachment to titania, P25 was modified with various molecules, including polymyxin B sulphate and glutamic acid, albeit to no effect. On the other hand, functionalisation with  $o$ -phosphorylethanol led to a significant decrease in activity. Although this

particular system was capable of photoreducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $CO$ , the photoconversion efficiency was lower than expected, and it was sensitive to  $O_2$  passivation.<sup>175,176</sup> Photoactivity decreased after 4 h on-stream, potentially due to the difficulty in regenerating oxidised RuP, or the ineffectiveness of CODH 1 in harnessing electrons for activating  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

2.2.5.3. Carbonaceous media hybrid photocatalysts. Carbon nanomaterials, such as activated carbons, carbon monoliths, carbon NTs, graphene and graphites $178,179$  are promising candidates for catalyst supports, offering low cost, robust mechanical strength, high surface area, excellent porosity, chemical inertness and high electron conductivity. Moreover, carbons are amenable to the efficient recovery of precious metal promoters via combustion, and their surfaces may be readily functionalised by physical or chemical treatments, thereby modifying the resulting performance of hybrid catalysts. Significant work has been undertaken to integrate photoactive materials with carbonaceous media such as carbon NPs and graphene to deliver improve photocatalysts.

Covalently-functionalised carbon quantum dots (QDs) absorb and emit strongly in the visible light region.<sup>180-183</sup> Because of these unique electronic properties, surface-passivated carbon QDs are a promising visible light harvesting medium. Surface-functionalised carbon NPs prepared by Cao et al. were active in photoreducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  under visible light illumination.<sup>184</sup> Sub-10 nm carbon NPs were functionalised with oligomeric poly(ethylene glycol) diamine (PEG<sub>1500N</sub>), rendering the resulting nanoparticles photoactive and water soluble. PEGfunctionalised carbon QDs readily generated surface confined electrons and holes under irradiation that recombined radiatively (Fig. 20). This recombination process can be quenched by either electron donors or acceptors. Efficient charge separation and supressed radiative recombination was achieved through coated these surface-functionalised carbon NPs with Au or Pt through solution-phase photolysis; subsequent exposure to a light source would channel photogenerated electrons into the resulting metal nanoparticles, thereby prolonging the lifetime of charge carriers required for subsequent  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction. Under visible light irradiation (425–720 nm), and in the presence of water, metal decorated and surface-functionalised carbon NPs proved active for photoreducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to formic acid, although product analysis relied upon solution phase <sup>1</sup>H and  $13C$  NMR spectroscopy, and hence was unable to quantify the



Fig. 19 Schematic illustrating the CODH 1 modified and Ru dyesensitised TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs photoactive system. Reprinted with permission from ref. 176. Copyright 2010 American Chemical Society.

presence of potential gas phase reduction products such as light alkanes and alkenes.

As a conductive electron reservoir, carbon is also effective in channelling photoinduced electrons away from a photoactive host, thereby increasing the probability that photoexcited electrons reduce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  rather than recombining with holes. Ong et al. reported a hybrid catalyst, composed of CNTs grown on a Ni impregnated TiO<sub>2</sub> composite, for  $CO_2$  photoreduction to  $CH_4$ under visible light.<sup>185</sup> The authors first deposited 10 wt% Ni onto commercial anatase via precipitation in the presence of glycerol. Carbon NTs were subsequently grown over the calcined Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> through chemical vapour deposition. The band gap of the resulting hybrid material was 2.22 eV, signicantly smaller than that of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> (3.32 eV). Hence CNT incorporation served to narrow the band gap and improve visible light absorption in the hybrid catalyst. Visible light irradiation under water vapour revealed the CNT/Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> hybrids were superior to either Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> or pure anatase TiO<sub>2</sub>, although progressive deactivation occurred after 4.5 h irradiation at which point  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ photoreduction activity was maximal. Photoactivity of the CNT/ Ni/TiO<sub>2</sub> hybrid catalyst originated from improved visible light absorption and the excellent electron storage and transfer properties of the CNTs which helped to suppress electron–hole recombination.

Graphene, a two-dimensional planar structure of sp2 hybridised carbon, has received much attention in regard to photocatalytic applications for  $H_2$  evolution,<sup>58,163,186-194</sup> photocurrent generation,<sup>190,194-198</sup> and dye degradation,<sup>90,144,145,163,189,199-201</sup> due to its outstanding electronic properties.144,197 Graphene also offers extremely high specific surface areas $202$  in excess of 2600  $\rm m^2~g^{-1},$  a good CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity, $^{203,204}$  and has been reported to sensitise large band gap semiconductors for visible light photoabsorption.<sup>205-207</sup> However, less work has been conducted into the integration of graphene with photoactive materials for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction. Nevertheless, it is known that graphene oxide (GO), an unreduced form of graphene, despite being a poor electrical conductor,<sup>195</sup> is capable of photoreducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH<sup>208</sup>$  Liang *et al.* demonstrated that the photocatalytic activity of commercial P25 is greatly enhanced for  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  formation under both UV and visible light irradiation when used in conjunction with a low defect density, solventexfoliated graphene (SEG), compared to that achievable over defective, solvent-reduced graphene oxide (SGRO).<sup>206</sup> The



Fig. 20 PEG-functionalised carbon nanoparticles undergoing radiative recombination (left) and noble metal-coated & PEG-functionalised carbon nanoparticles photoreducing CO<sub>2</sub> (right). Reprinted with permission from ref. 184. Copyright 2011 American Chemical Society.

photoactivities of these SEG–P25 and SRGO–P25 composite films, which were synthesised by blade-coating a physical mixture of different amounts of graphene and P25 ink and subsequent annealing, are shown in Fig. 21a. Note that under UV illumination the presence of SGRO exerted little impact upon the photoactivity of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , whereas graphene possessing a low defect density exhibited better electrical mobility and concomitant superior photocatalytic efficiency under either UV or visible light.

Despite the popularity of graphene-derived materials, a direct comparison between graphene and its carbon nanotube (CNT) allotrope has not been well-explored. Further work by Liang et al. extended their studies on  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction catalysed by blade-coated films to examine the integration of titania nanosheets (TiNS) with SEG or single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNT) to form either a two-dimensional graphene–titania nanosheet composite, or a one/two-dimensional carbon nanotube–titania nanosheet composite, respectively.<sup>209</sup> Fig. 21b shows that the SEG–TiNS composites outperformed SWCNT-TiNS in photoreducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to CH<sub>4</sub> under UV irradiation. Conversely, composites of SWCNT–TiNS demonstrated better photoactivity under visible light illumination. It was proposed that the two-dimensional interface between SEG and TiNS facilitated intimate electronic and physical coupling relative to the one-/two-dimensional interface within the SWCNT– TiNS composite. Consequently, interfacial electron transfer from TiNS to SEG was greatly improved, inhibiting the recombination of photogenerated electron–hole pairs. In contrast, the better visible light photoactivity from SWCNT–TiNS was proposed to originate from the increased optical absorption of the SWCNT component at longer wavelengths relative to that of SEG. Accordingly, it was proposed that under visible light irradiation, photoinduced electrons generated at the SWCNT Review Summari (pointing as phase reduction points: such as light information of these STG-P23 and SRGO-P23 composites<br>
than a constrained on 2000 and the effective in this article. This are subsective in this article is l



Fig. 21 Methane formation rate of (a) SEG–P25 and SRGO–P25 films under UV and visible light illumination, and (b) SEG–TiNS and SWCNT– TiNS films under UV (100 W Hg vapour lamp, 365 nm) and visible light irradiation (natural daylight bulb,  $\sim$  400 to 850 nm). Reprinted with permission from ref. 206 and 209. Copyright 2011 and 2012 American Chemical Society.

surface were transferred to the TiNS substrate for subsequent CO<sub>2</sub> photoreduction.

A series of graphene– $Ta_2O_5$  composites, with different loadings of the carbon, were prepared by Lv et al. through a hydrothermal route.<sup>207</sup> Impregnation with a Ni/NiO co-catalyst activated these composites for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction to  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH$ and  $H_2$  under UV-Vis illumination under aqueous conditions. Composites with 1 wt% graphene exhibited the highest photoactivity; in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -aerated water the composite produced 3.4 times and 2.3 times the amount of  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH$  and  $H<sub>2</sub>$  respectively than achieved over pure  $Ta_2O_5$ . This enhanced photocatalysis was attributed to the benefits of the graphene support in promoting effective interfacial charge transfer, as illustrated in Fig. 22. Photoinduced electrons generated at the  $Ta_2O_5$  surface could be effectively transported to the surfaces of either graphene or the Ni/NiO dopant for subsequent transfer and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction.

Unlike the preceding materials, wherein as-synthesised graphene nanosheets were integrated with semiconductor particles through a simple impregnation/adsorption process, Tu et al. followed an alternative approach to prepare hollow spheres of alternating titania and graphene nanosheets for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction.<sup>210</sup> Fig. 23 shows how the surface of a poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) template was first modified with a cationic polyelectrolyte, e.g. polyethylenimine (PEI) which electrostatically attracted negatively charged  $Ti_{0.91}O_2$  nanosheets onto their surface. Subsequent surface modification of these titania-coated PMMA beads with PEI facilitated coating by negatively charged graphene oxide (GO) nanosheets. This process was repeated multiple times to thereby prepare a PMMA core composite with five alternating layers of  $(PEI/Ti_{0.91}O_2/PEI/$ GO)<sub>5</sub>. Subsequent microwave treatment under an Ar environment decomposed the PMMA template, removed the PEI moiety, and reduced the GO to graphene (G). TEM (Fig. 23b and c) revealed that these  $Ti_{0.91}O_2$  and graphene nanosheets alternated in a parallel, ordered lamellar arrangement, with a repeat distance estimated to be around 1.15 nm. Catalytic screening Journal of Materials Chemistry A<br>
Sole Revise Component Component and TVS substrate for saling-quare space are space and the component on 2013. Component Commonstrate and the component of the Commonstrate and the componen



Fig. 22 Schematic of potential pathways for the transport of photoinduced electrons from a  $Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$  semiconductor nanoparticle to active CO2 photoreduction sites mediated by graphene. Reproduced from ref. 207 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.

under UV-Vis illumination showed that the  $(G/T_{0.91}O_2)_5$  hollow spheres were superior for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoconversion versus  $(Ti_{0.91}O_2)$ <sub>5</sub> hollow spheres (synthesised without GO) and P25 titania in a glass reactor filled with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  at ambient pressure, albeit the principal photolysis product was CO not CH<sub>4</sub>. A number of explanations for the excellent behaviour of these (G/  $Ti_{0.91}O_2$ <sub>5</sub> hollow composites were advanced. The ultrathin nature of the  $Ti_{0.91}O_2$  nanosheets likely drove rapid transfer of charge carriers to active sites, increasing the probability of the photogenerated electrons participating in subsequent photoreduction chemistry; the compact stacking of  $Ti_{0.91}O_2$  and graphene nanosheets would allow efficient interfacial electron transfer from the  $Ti_{0.91}O_2$  to graphene layers, this spatial separation increasing the lifetime of photoexcited electrons. Hollow composite cores may also trap and multiply scatter incident photons, thereby improving light absorption and quantum efficiency.

Reduced graphene oxide (RGO) has also been employed within unique heterostructured optoelectronic materials, in which "tree"-like three-dimensional hierarchical titania nanorods,<sup>211</sup> or one dimensional ZnO nanorods<sup>212</sup> (as wide-band-gap UV absorbing semiconductors) are contacted with CdS visible light sensitising nanoparticles via a suspended, RGO film. The resulting architectures ensure precise spatial localisation of each component and tunable charge-transport properties, facilitating a 100–150 % increase in the associated photocurrent density relative to the metal oxide/chalcogenide system alone, and 25% increase in methylene blue decomposition (ZnO/RGO/ CdS), and 240% increase in the applied bias to photoelectrochemical hydrogen generation efficiency for hydrogen production via water-splitting  $(TiO<sub>2</sub>/RGO/CdS)$ .

### 2.3. Co-catalysts and plasmonic photocatalysts

Co-catalysts, typically Platinum Group and noble metals, are frequently deposited on semiconductor surfaces in order to (i) maximise the lifetime of photogenerated charge carriers, (ii) to activate reactants, and (iii) to improve the absorption of incident light.<sup>213</sup>–<sup>216</sup> Noble metals such as Au and Ag have lower Fermi levels than their partnered semiconductors, and hence can act as electron sinks that accept photoexcited electrons,<sup>217,218</sup> thereby retarding electron-hole recombination and



Fig. 23 (A) Stepwise preparation of alternating  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and graphene nanosheet hollow sphere assemblies, (B) TEM and (C) and HR-TEM images of  $(G-Ti_0O_2)_5$  hollow spheres. Scale bar of the inset in (c): 100 nm. Inset in (C) is the fast Fourier Transform pattern of the ordered lamellar structures exhibited by the  $(G-Ti_{0.91}O_2)_5$  hollow spheres. Reproduced with permission from ref. 210. Copyright 2012 Wiley.

increasing the availability of electrons for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction. It is generally held that, when co-catalysts are employed, photoconversion efficiency varies with the co-catalyst particle size, in turn usually governed by the method of their incorporation,75,157,219 albeit the nature of this relationship (proportional or inversely proportional), magnitude and origin remains poorly studied and understood.

Recent work by Iizuka et al. has shown that the photoactivity of plate-like  $A La_4Ti_4O_{15}$  (A = Ca, Sr, and Ba) layered perovskites impregnated with a Ag co-catalyst varies with the method of cocatalyst loading.<sup>75</sup> Silver modification of  $A La<sub>4</sub>Ti<sub>4</sub>O<sub>15</sub>$  with a AgNO<sub>3</sub> precursor was achieved via: (i) wet impregnation followed by  $H_2$  reduction; (ii) in situ photodeposition; or (iii) liquid phase reduction using  $NaPH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$ . Under UV illumination and water, all these Ag-loaded  $A La<sub>4</sub>Ti<sub>4</sub>O<sub>15</sub>$  materials were active in photoreducing CO<sub>2</sub> into CO predominantly, and a small amount of HCOOH, accompanied by  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  evolution, under a constant CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 15 mL min $^{-1}$ . Ag loaded by liquid phase reduction demonstrated the highest photoactivity, followed by that through impregnation/ $H_2$  reduction, ahead of those photodeposited. When photodeposition was employed, Ag NPs of around 30–40 nm populated edges of the as-prepared  $BaLa<sub>4</sub>Ti<sub>4</sub>O<sub>15</sub>$ , while liquid phase reduction resulted in a homogeneous dispersion of <10 nm nanoparticles over the layered perovskite. Materials prepared via impregnation/ $H_2$  reduction produced large aggregates of  $\sim$ 50 nm Ag particles, although some 10–20 nm Ag particles were observed at the edges of impregnated/reduced samples after photolysis. The basal plane and edges of plate-like  $BaLa_4Ti_4O_{15}$  were suggested as the respective active sites for photooxidation and photoreduction. Accordingly, Ag deposited at the basal plane may undergo photodissolution and redeposition at the semiconductor edges during photocatalysis, with the particle size of such redeposited Ag dependent upon the concentration of dissolved silver ions. Consequently, in situ photolysis modified the Ag particle size to increase in the order: liquid phase reduction  $\langle$  impregnation/ $H_2$ reduction < photodeposition. It was concluded that the photoactivity of  $Ag/BaLa_4Ti_4O_{15}$  varied inversely with Ag particle size, a function of the co-catalyst incorporation route.

 $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  NTs arrays promoted by ultrafine Pt NPs, uniformly deposited via a rapid, microwave-assisted solvothermal approach, were investigated by Feng and co-workers.<sup>220</sup> In this method, the crystalline nanotube arrays were first loaded in a Teflon reaction chamber containing a 0.15 M  $H_2PtCl_6/CH_3OH$ solution. After an overnight immersion process, the reaction chamber was rapidly heated to 120  $^{\circ}$ C and held for 20 min before cooling. The resulting  $Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub> NTs$  arrays were isolated, washed and dried prior to catalytic testing. Dark field (S)TEM shown in Fig. 24a highlights the uniform distribution of Pt NPs (with an average diameter of 3.4 nm) across the TiO<sub>2</sub> NTs arrays. While such wet impregnation is simple to conduct and yields small Pt NPs, they exhibited a broad size distribution spanning 1.8–4.9 nm. Nevertheless, under irradiation by a solar simulator and water vapour, these  $Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  NTs arrays were superior to unpromoted TiO<sub>2</sub> NTs arrays in photoreducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  and trace  $C_2H_6$  at 45 °C. The excellent photoactivity of Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub> NTs arrays was attributed to the high Pt nanoparticle dispersion

(and hence density of surface active sites), coupled with efficient electron diffusion properties through the nanotube arrays.

In contrast, Wang et al. utilised a unique gas phase technique, tilted-target sputtering (TTS), to deposit size-controlled ultrafine Pt NPs onto a single crystalline titania thin film comprised of 1-D TiO<sub>2</sub> columns.<sup>51</sup> TTS enables precise control over both the flux of sputtered metal atoms and their radial distribution. Locating the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  film in the outer region of the deposition flux zone, where low energy atoms participate in nanoparticle formation, permitted the selective growth of ultrafine Pt NPs on the semiconductor surface as shown by TEM in Fig. 24b; Pt NPs with an average particle size of  $1.04 \pm 0.08$ nm were uniformly coated on anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . It was claimed that such Pt NPs were preferentially deposited at surface defects on the titania substrate. While this gas-phase method necessitates high vacuum instrumentation, and hence is not readily scalable, it does offer Pt NPs possessing a narrow size distribution and tunable diameter (through varying the deposition time). The smallest and largest nanoparticles reported via this approach exhibited mean particle diameters of 0.63  $\pm$  0.06 nm and 1.87  $\pm$  0.31 nm, respectively (albeit a narrow range spanned). Subsequent exposure of  $Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  catalyst films to water vapour and UV irradiation evidenced improved photoactivity compared with P25 and an analogous bare TiO<sub>2</sub> film for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ photoreduction, forming predominantly  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  with trace CO under a slow  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  stream in a continuous flow reactor. Optimal photoactivity was achieved for a 1.04  $\pm$  0.8 nm Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst film, which delivered a remarkable combined quantum efficiency to CO and CH4 of 2.41%. The observed photoactivity enhancement reflected a combination of the high surface area and single crystalline nature of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  thin film, and excellent charge carrier separation characteristics conferred by the ultrafine Pt NPs. However, photocatalysis deteriorated after 5 h of irradiation, attributed to saturation of reactive sites by strongly-bound intermediate products, and consequent siteblocking. Review Success Article on 22 maj 2015. The proposal of the distribution of the set of the

> Noble metals are often employed as co-catalysts to effect charge separation, but have been recently established to play an additional role as plasmonic photocatalysts in their own right, owing to the ability of gold and silver to absorb visible light through their localised surface plasmon resonance (LSPR).96,145,221–<sup>225</sup> This latter hot topic requires an



Fig. 24 (a) Dark field (S)TEM image of ultrafine Pt NPs deposited  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ nanotube arrays,<sup>220</sup> and (b) TEM image of size resolved ultrafine Pt NPs on  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  film. Inset: HRTEM image showing the lattice fringes corresponding to anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  (112) and Pt (111) NPs. Reproduced from ref. 157 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.

understanding of the basic principles underlying the plasmonic phenomenon. The LSPR is a collective oscillation of free electrons within metal structures, established when the natural oscillation frequency of surface electrons (induced by charge density redistribution), resonates with the frequency of incident photons. The free electrons of plasmonic Au, Ag, and Cu nanostructures can be excited by the electromagnetic field of incident visible light; as the light wavefront impinges, the electron density is polarised across these metal nanostructures, such that free conduction electrons coherently oscillate in phase with the light, creating a dynamic dipole oscillator at the metal surface (Fig. 25).<sup>225</sup>–<sup>227</sup> Such intraband LSPR absorption (e.g. by Au 6sp electrons) induced by visible light is fundamentally different from the interband absorption caused by ultraviolet light (Au electrons excited from the 5d to 6sp bands).<sup>228</sup>

The strength and frequency of plasmon resonances depends on the size, shape, composition (for alloys) and local dielectric environment of the associated nanoparticles (see Fig. 26). These variations in LSPR peak positions and strengths strongly influence the photocatalytic capacities of such plasmonic nanomaterials.<sup>215,230</sup>

On the basis of the literature, at least three mechanisms have been proposed to account for the enhanced visible light photoactivity of noble metal/semiconductor plasmonic photocatalyts. First, it is hypothesised that visible light photoexcited electrons at the surface of the plasmonic metal can migrate across the metal–semiconductor interface into the conduction band of the associated semiconductor, or transferred directly to adsorbates, providing a source of localised, energetic reductants.<sup>231</sup> Second, the polarised electric field induced by the LSPR of metal NPs could enhance reactant activation over neighbouring supports by the interplay between the resonating electron cloud of the metal NPs and nearby adsorbates (polarisable or possessing polar functional groups). Finally, photoexcited electrons may not actually transfer from plasmonic metal NPs to adjacent semiconductors/reactants nor interact with them indirectly via field effects, but may rather liberate heat during relaxation of photoelectrons to their groundstate, thereby promoting thermal catalysis, although it has proven extremely difficult to qualitatively or quantitatively determine any such heating effect.<sup>232</sup> All these models account for an increase in the photoexcited electrons available for chemical reduction.<sup>227</sup>

Plasmon-enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> photoconversion has been recently reported,<sup>233,234</sup> exemplified by AgX : Ag (X = Cl or Br) plasmonic



Fig. 25 Electromagnetic field of visible light interacting with plasmonic metal NPs: a dipole oscillator forms and resonates with the electromagnetic field of the incident visible light. Reproduced with permission from ref. 229.



Fig. 26 The LSPR bands of plasmonic metal nanostructures with different shapes, sizes or compositions (for alloys): (a) nanoparticles of Au, Ag, and Cu; (b) Ag nanostructures with various shapes; (c) nanocubes of Ag with different cubic sizes; and (d) alloy of Au–Ag with different Au : Ag ratios. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd. Ref. 227, copyright 2011. Reproduced with permission from ref. 230. Copyright 2011 Wiley.

NPs synthesised through a glycerol-mediated precipitation route in the presence of polyvinylpyrolidone.<sup>235</sup> Despite their similar synthetic protocols, AgCl : Ag and AgBr : Ag exhibited cube-tetrapod-like and hexagonal nanoplates respectively. XPS and XRD confirmed the presence of metallic Ag on the asprepared AgX : Ag NPs, with UV-Vis revealing significantly different band gaps of 3.95 (AgCl) and 3.45 eV (AgBr). When dispersed in NaHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous medium under visible light, the AgX : Ag plasmonic photocatalysts were active for photoreducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into CH<sub>3</sub>OH, attributed to the metallic Ag LSPR influencing the contacted AgX : Ag NPs. Recycling experiments indicated that these plasmonic catalysts were stable under the screening conditions, although in situ  $Ag<sup>+</sup>$  reduction to additional silver metal is a plausible deactivation pathway following prolonged irradiation.

Hou et al. deposited islands of plasmonic Au NPs onto a solgel prepared TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film via electron beam evaporation.<sup>148</sup> Under visible light illumination and water vapour the resulting Au-promoted TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film exhibited a 24-fold activity enhancement relative to a bare  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  thin film for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction to CH<sub>4</sub> in a stainless steel reactor at 75 °C. The low, but finite, photoactivity of the parent  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  film was attributed to defect-induced, sub-band gap photoexcitation. Complementary calculations suggested that direct electron transfer from Au NPs to  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  was not feasible under plasmonic excitation, and hence the superior photoactivity was ascribed to an increased subband gap charge carrier formation rate, indirectly induced by the LSPR of gold. Methane was the only reductant formed over the bare  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  thin film under UV irradiation, however a range of products were formed over the  $Au/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  thin film wherein the production rate followed  $CH_4 > C_2H_6 > HCHO > CH_3OH$ .

Surprisingly, the pure Au NPs were also reported to generate these aforementioned organic products, although the overall productivity was lower than that of the  $Au/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  composite. The photocatalytic activity of Au NPs was proposed due to interband electronic transitions (and not the LSPR) wherein electrons were photoexcited to a potential more negative than the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ conduction band and redox potential required to form desired reduction products. The synergy between Au NPs and the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ film under UV irradiation was attributed to improved charge carrier separation.

Small Cu and Ag NPs which exhibit plasmonic effects have also been successfully loaded onto  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanorod films by an electrochemical method.219,236 Tan et al. loaded Cu NPs onto a TiO<sub>2</sub> film via a 0.01 mM CuSO<sub>4</sub> and 0.1 M K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> mixed electrolyte at a pulse potential of  $-0.8$  V for between 0–300 s.<sup>236</sup> Under UV illumination and water, the resulting composite film (prepared at the optimum 100 s deposition time) demonstrated superior activity to a bare TiO<sub>2</sub> or P25 film in photoreducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into CH<sub>4</sub>. The copper co-catalyst benefits were again ascribed to efficient charge separation due to plasmonic effects. However, it was reported that surface oxidation of Cu NPs to copper oxide occurred during photocatalyic screening. An electrochemical deposition method known as the doublepotentiostatic method was also used to deposit Ag NPs onto a  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanorod film.<sup>219</sup> This method favoured the formation of uniform and dense metallic nanoparticles due to quick nucleation and slow nanoparticle growth at high potential and low precursor concentration. Accordingly, Kong et al. loaded silver onto a TiO<sub>2</sub> film employing a 0.1 M KNO<sub>3</sub>/0.2 mM sodium citrate/0.05 mM  $AgNO<sub>3</sub>$  electrolyte at nucleation potentials from  $-1.4$  V to  $-0.8$  V for 100 s, followed by a growth potential of  $-0.2$  V for 2400 s. TiO<sub>2</sub>/Ag films prepared at the optimum nucleation potential of  $-1.0$  V exhibited improved photoactivity relative to a bare TiO<sub>2</sub> film in photoreducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into CH4 under UV illumination and water vapour. Photocatalytic performance was again postulated to arise from efficient charge separation due to silver plasmonic effects. Moreover, alloy or bimetallic plasmonic nanostructures, such as Au–Pt (as shown in Fig.  $27a)^{237}$  and Ag-Pt (as shown in Fig.  $27b)$ ,<sup>233</sup> have also been discovered to enhance  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  the photoreduction by titania. In both cases, the introduction of plasmonic Au or Ag particles increased photocatalytic efficiency in terms of product selectivity and/or yield, ascribed to the LSPR effect of Au (Ag) NPs signicantly increasing light absorption and charge separation of the photoexcited titania. Co-deposition of Au/Pt on TiO2 NFs considerably enhanced photocatalytic activities for both  $H_2$  generation and  $CO_2$  reduction compared to singly decorated (Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub> or Au/TiO<sub>2</sub>) fibres. Bijith and co-workers' study demonstrates that Ag, Pt and bimetallic Ag–Pt NPs enable  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  and CO product selectivity to be tuned:<sup>233</sup> product yields were enhanced more than seven-fold compared with native TiO<sub>2</sub>, with a high CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity. This was attributed to the enhanced availability of photoexcited electrons via improved electron–hole pair generation, and surface trapping of photoexcited electrons within the titania lattice, enabling the necessary accumulation of charge to drive the eight-electron  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  forming process from adsorbed  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Review Survey are signing to the comparison of the common article is article. The common are the common and the common are the common and the creative is are the common and the common and the common and the common and the

### 2.4. Miscellaneous photocatalysts

Cationic and/or anionic doping is commonly employed to decrease semiconductor band gaps.<sup>238-242</sup> Richardson et al. codoped TiO<sub>2</sub> with Cu and Ga via a sol-gel route to form composites that were active in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction at longer wavelengths than possible utilising P25.<sup>139</sup> The absorption band edge wavelength of doped photocatalysts increased in the order  $P25 < Ga/TiO<sub>2</sub> < Cu/TiO<sub>2</sub> < Cu$ , Ga/doped TiO<sub>2</sub>, with a corresponding decrease in the band of the doped-materials relative to P25. It should be noted that the absorption band edges of doped materials still resides in the UV region despite their modified optical properties. Under 200 W near-UV (350-450 nm), 350 W mid-UV (280–350 nm) or 500 W deep-UV (240–260 nm) irradiation, and immersion in a NaOH and  $KHCO<sub>3</sub>$ 



Fig. 27 (a) Illustration of the photocatalytic process to produce  $H_2$  and to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> over Au/Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub> NFs. Reprinted with permission from ref. 237. Copyright 2010 American Chemical Society. (b) Illustration of different photoexcited charge pathways following electron–hole generation assisted by plasmonic nanoparticles over core–shell Ag $\alpha$ SiO<sub>2</sub>: transfer to the [a] semiconductor surface, [b] Pt NP surface, [c] Ag NP surface, and [d] bimetallic Ag–Pt surface. Reproduced with permission from ref. 233. Copyright 2013 IOP Publishing Ltd.

Table 2 Photocatalytic reaction conditions and CO2 reduction performance of exemplar nanostructured materials



14508 | J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 14487-14516 This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2015



Table 2 (Contd.) Table 2 (Contd.)



aqueous medium, Cu and Ga co-doped  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalysts were superior to P25 and the monometal-doped titanias in photoreducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to HCOOH and other carbonic products (determined by TOC) in a continuous flow reactor at room temperature. Highest photoactivity was observed for the  $Cu<sub>0.78</sub>$ - $Ga_{0.22}/TiO_2$  catalyst, with Cu the proposed  $CO_2$  adsorption and reduction site for HCOOH and other organic products which include trace CH<sub>3</sub>OH and HCHO.

Anion doping by Tsai et al. improved the visible light absorption properties of InTaO4. Doping was performed by nitridation of SSR prepared InTaO<sub>4</sub> under flowing NH<sub>3</sub> at 800  $\mathrm{C}C$ <sup>243</sup> resulting in the absorption band edge shifting to longer wavelengths in comparison to pure  $InTaO<sub>4</sub>$ . Results were attributed to hybridisation between the N 2p and O 2p orbitals, which shifts the valence band upwards, thereby narrowing the InTaO4 band gap. Under visible light illumination (390 to 770 nm) and in the presence of water, the prepared N-doped InTaO<sub>4</sub> outperformed pure InTaO<sub>4</sub> in photoreducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH$  in a continuous flow reactor. XANES analysis indicated that N doping narrowed the band gap, and induced oxygen vacancies, the latter possibly contributing to photocatalytic enhancement. The photoactivity of the N-doped InTaO<sub>4</sub> was further improved by the addition of a Ni@NiO core–shell cocatalyst, incorporated through a sequence of wet impregnation, chemical reduction and final calcination. Promotion by the Ni@NiO core–shell co-catalyst was ascribed to enhanced charge separation and light harvesting.

Solid solutions formed between wide and narrow band gap semiconductors have been reported to possess valence and conduction bands that provide smaller gaps than their parent materials.<sup>244-247</sup> In general, the component semiconductors must possess the same crystal structure and similar lattice parameters. Liu *et al.* recently reported the application of  $Cu<sub>x</sub>$ - $Ag_yIn_zZn_kS_m$  solid solutions as visible light photocatalysts for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction.<sup>248</sup> The band gap of this composite was a strong function of composition, and spanned 1.33–1.44 eV. Visible light irradiation (1000 W Xenon lamp at  $\lambda$  > 400 nm) in a  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  aqueous medium of these solid solution photocatalysts facilitated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoreduction to  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH$  in a closed glass gas circulation system. Systematic relations could not be derived between the catalyst composition and photoactivity, although photoactivity was composition dependent. A range of co-catalysts were investigated, including  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Rh_{1,32}Cr_{0.66}O_3$ , although these did not promote  $CO_2$  conversion. Methanol productivity was enhanced under a  $H_2$  environment, wherein a higher density of protons was formed.

## 3. Conclusions and future directions

A wide variety of approaches have been developed for the synthesis of photocatalytically active materials for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction to solar fuels and chemicals. To date, there is no standard protocol for evaluating photocatalytic performance, or single parameter that enables quantitative benchmarking of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ conversion efficiencies to specific carbon-containing solar fuels or chemicals. In a first step towards addressing these deficiencies, Table 2 compares a range of the nanomaterials

discussed in this review, with a view to informing on which show the most promise with respect to productivity per unit catalyst mass, and thus provide a strong rationale for future development. Of course, many additional factors such as earth abundance, cost, toxicity, and scalability will also influence which materials are ultimately best suited to commercialisation. However, a step-change in photoactivity, and comprehensive mechanistic insight into the catalytic cycle and nature of the surface sites participating in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  adsorption and reduction, is required before such considerations should influence ongoing fundamental research efforts.

The optoelectronic properties of crystalline materials, and hence their photoactivity, are a strong function of the crystal structure, with different semiconductor polymorphs exhibiting disparate photocatalytic behaviour reflecting their surface atom arrangement and attendant electronic band structure. Although surface defects may serve as electron–hole recombination centres detrimental to the achievement of high quantum efficiencies, such sites can also promote visible light absorption and hence photocatalysis. Band gap engineering of earth abundant, large band gap semiconductor to facilitate visible light photocatalysis remains an important barrier to visible light absorption properties, although transition metal and pblock dopants provide a simple route to band-gap narrowing. Integrated dyes or small band gap semiconductors may also be employed as visible light sensitisors of conventional semiconductor photocatalysts, with the resulting hybrid, composite materials facilitating both UV and visible light capture and efficient charge carrier separation via preferential transfer of photoexcited electrons into one material and of holes into the other. In the latter regard, one- and two-dimensional semiconductor nanostructures, exhibiting high surface to volume ratios, can promote efficient transport of charge carriers to adsorbates during photocatalysis. Journal of Metariatic Densitry A<br>
we set a metaric engendent on 22 maj 2015. On 2015. On 2015. Download on 2021 major and the method on 2022 major and the method on 2022 major and the method on 2022 major and the method o

Integration of photoactive semiconductors with electrically conductive materials such as graphene offers new routes to maximising charge carrier lifetimes and consequent electron transfer to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . High surface area and porous materials increase the density of surface active sites available to chemically bind and activate  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , its reactive intermediates, and water. Such nanostrutures can be created through the synthesis of semiconductors with intrinsic porosity on the micro-, mesoor macroscale, or via immobilisation (embedding) of photocatalysts onto (within) an inert, high area architecture. Threedimensional hierarchical materials epitomise catalyst engineering, affording rapid molecular transport to/from active sites, enhanced light harvesting, and superior charge carrier transport and recombination characteristics. It seems extremely unlikely that any single semiconductor composition or structure can deliver the requisite optical, material and catalytic properties necessary to harness sunlight under ambient conditions for the rapid and selective photoreduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ into the key chemical targets of methane, methanol, formaldehyde or ethene, hence the majority of promising systems employ co-catalysts whose contributions depend strongly on their method of incorporation.

Noble metal co-catalysts in particular offer exciting possibilities for visible light absorption through plasmonic effects, and indeed have even proven efficient photocatalysts in their own right for the selective oxidation of CO, ethene and ammonia<sup>251</sup> and reduction of nitroaromatics.<sup>252</sup> Photoreactor design will play an increasingly important role in ensuring optimum light (and catalyst) utilisation for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction, and enable quantitative benchmarking of different photocatalysts in terms of their absolute productivity, selectivity and quantum efficiency. Innovation is required to facilitate  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  separation/ recycle, on-stream gas/liquid product separation, and optical materials to avoid losses in transmission to the internal reactor volume.

Ultimately, the final selection and commercialisation of the most promising  $(i.e.$  highly active, selective and stable) photocatalysts will be dictated by process economics, since even the highest value oxygenate or olefin products directly derivable from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  will continue to face stiff competition with low cost fossil fuel sources for the foreseeable future. Indicative 2015 prices per metric ton are: methanol \$420; formaldehyde \$420; formic acid \$500, and ethylene/propylene \$800– 1100. Overall process costs will be a strong function of the choice of chemical precursors, type and recyclability of solvents, (re)use of textural templates, mechanothermal processing, photocatalyst forming, and reactor operating conditions (temperature, pressure, separation and recycle). Many of the most promising photocatalysts described in this review utilise noble metal co-catalysts,<sup>40,51,94,123,161,213,220,234,249</sup> and with common  $H_2PtCl_6$  and  $HAuCl_4$  prices in excess of \$10 000 per kg, high turnover numbers per metal atom (>3000) would be essential to even recoup the precursor costs. An obvious target for synthetic improvement is thus maximising noble metal efficiency through e.g. lowering the size/dimensionality of associated nanostructures, or atomically dispersing noble metal atoms exclusively within the terminating layer of catalysts.<sup>253-256</sup> Soft and hard templating methods are widely adopted in heterogeneous catalysis to introduce porosity, and hence enhance the surface density and accessibility of active sites.<sup>137</sup> A number of photocatalysts for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction employ such templates to similar effect, typically surfactants (e.g. TTAB,<sup>107</sup> CTAB77,163,164 and P123 (ref. 78 and 112)) or polymers.<sup>210</sup> While their costs are comparatively low (\$150–350 per kg), in the majority of cases such surfactants are removed through combustion and hence are not recoverable, and even solvent-extraction protocols rarely recover sufficiently pure and chemically unaltered templates amenable to re-use, though ultrasonic template removal shows promise as an energy and atom efficient solution.<sup>257</sup> The majority of synthetic strategies for nanostructure photocatalysts employ solvothermal processing, and hence comparatively low (<200 C) temperatures (in the absence of a template calcination step) offering little scope for cost savings via energy input reduction. As with all syntheses, Green Chemistry principles provide the best general guidelines to reduce the number of reaction steps and minimise associated waste generation and the use of auxiliaries,<sup>258</sup> in concert with lower production costs. Review Mathematic Common interaction of the results are the state of the state of the common of the state are the state of the creative is likely and the state of the creative Common Common interaction and the common inte

# Acknowledgements

We thank the EPSRC (EP/G007594/4, EP/K014714/1 and EP/  $K014706/1$  for financial support and award of a Leadership Fellowship (AFL).

## Reference

- 1 J. Barber and P. D. Tran, J. R. Soc., Interface, 2013, 10, 20120984.
- 2 Solar Fuels And Artificial Photosynthesis: Science And Innovation To Change Our Future Energy Options, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2012, http://www.rsc.org/ campaigning-outreach/global-challenges/energy/ (accessed June 1st, 2015).
- 3 D. Luthi, M. Le Floch, B. Bereiter, T. Blunier, J.-M. Barnola, U. Siegenthaler, D. Raynaud, J. Jouzel, H. Fischer, K. Kawamura and T. F. Stocker, Nature, 2008, 453, 379–382.
- 4 C. John, N. Dana, A. G. Sarah, R. Mark, W. Bärbel, P. Rob, W. Robert, J. Peter and S. Andrew, Environ. Res. Lett., 2013, 8, 024024.
- 5 M. Z. Jacobson, Energy Environ. Sci., 2009, 2, 148–173.
- 6 T. Faunce, S. Styring, M. R. Wasielewski, G. W. Brudvig, A. W. Rutherford, J. Messinger, A. F. Lee, C. L. Hill, H. deGroot, M. Fontecave, D. R. MacFarlane, B. Hankamer, D. G. Nocera, D. M. Tiede, H. Dau, W. Hillier, L. Wang and R. Amal, Energy Environ. Sci., 2013, 6, 1074–1076.
- 7 United Nations, The Future We Want: Outcome, 2012, https:// sustainabledevelopment.un.org/futurewewant.html (accessed June 1st, 2015).
- 8 M. T. Lin, A. Occhialini, P. J. Andralojc, J. Devonshire, K. M. Hines, M. A. J. Parry and M. R. Hanson, Plant J., 2014, 79, 1–12.
- 9 D. G. Nocera, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 767–776.
- 10 H. Dau, C. Limberg, T. Reier, M. Risch, S. Roggan and P. Strasser, ChemCatChem, 2010, 2, 724–761.
- 11 G. F. Moore and G. W. Brudvig, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys., 2011, 2, 303–327.
- 12 R. Lomoth, A. Magnuson, M. Sjödin, P. Huang, S. Styring and L. Hammarström, Photosynth. Res., 2006, 87, 25-40.
- 13 K. Maeda and K. Domen, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2010, 1, 2655-2661.
- 14 Y. Tachibana, L. Vayssieres and J. R. Durrant, Nat. Photonics, 2012, 6, 511–518.
- 15 T. Hisatomi, J. Kubota and K. Domen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 7520–7535.
- 16 M. Aresta and A. Dibenedetto, Dalton Trans., 2007, 2975– 2992.
- 17 J. Qiao, Y. Liu, F. Hong and J. Zhang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 631–675.
- 18 C. Costentin, M. Robert and J.-M. Saveant, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 2423–2436.
- 19 N. Z. Muradov and T. N. Veziroglu, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2005, 30, 225–237.
- 20 G. A. Olah, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 2636–2639.
- 21 G. A. Olah, G. K. S. Prakash and A. Goeppert, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 12881–12898.
- 22 I. Ganesh, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., 2014, 31, 221– 257.
- 23 A. Goeppert, M. Czaun, J.-P. Jones, G. K. Surya Prakash and G. A. Olah, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 7995–8048.
- 24 C. S. Song, Catal. Today, 2006, 115, 2–32.
- 25 M. Taherimehr and P. P. Pescarmona, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2014, 131, 41141.
- 26 A. I. Cooper, J. Mater. Chem., 2000, 10, 207–234.
- 27 http://www.petrochemistry.eu/about-petrochemistry/factsand-figures.html (accessed June 2nd, 2015).
- 28 T. Ren, M. Patel and K. Blok, Energy, 2006, 31, 425–451.
- 29 Y. Xing, W. Xie, W. Fang, Y. Guo and R. Lin, Energy Fuels, 2009, 23, 4021–4024.
- 30 G. Palmisano, V. Augugliaro, M. Pagliaro and L. Palmisano, Chem. Commun., 2007, 3425–3437.
- 31 M. Halmann, Nature, 1978, 275, 115–116.
- 32 T. Inoue, A. Fujishima, S. Konishi and K. Honda, Nature, 1979, 277, 637–638.
- 33 B. R. Eggins, J. T. S. Irvine, E. P. Murphy and J. Grimshaw, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 1123–1124.
- 34 S. C. Roy, O. K. Varghese, M. Paulose and C. A. Grimes, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 1259–1278.
- 35 V. L. Kuznetsov and P. P. Edwards, ChemSusChem, 2010, 3, 44–58.
- 36 A. Kubacka, M. Fernandez-Garcia and G. Colon, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 1555–1614.
- 37 H. Tong, S. Ouyang, Y. Bi, N. Umezawa, M. Oshikiri and J. Ye, Adv. Mater., 2012, 24, 229–251.
- 38 A. J. Morris, G. J. Meyer and E. Fujita, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 1983–1994.
- 39 V. P. Indrakanti, J. D. Kubicki and H. H. Schobert, Energy Environ. Sci., 2009, 2, 745–758.
- 40 O. K. Varghese, M. Paulose, T. J. LaTempa and C. A. Grimes, Nano Lett., 2009, 9, 731–737.
- 41 M. Tahir and N. S. Amin, Energy Convers. Manage., 2013, 76, 194–214.
- 42 G. Liu, N. Hoivik, K. Wang and H. Jakobsen, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2012, 105, 53–68.
- 43 A. Dhakshinamoorthy, S. Navalon, A. Corma and H. Garcia, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 9217–9233.
- 44 S. N. Habisreutinger, L. Schmidt-Mende and J. K. Stolarczyk, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 7372–7408.
- 45 Y. Ma, X. Wang, Y. Jia, X. Chen, H. Han and C. Li, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 9987–10043.
- 46 A. Paracchino, V. Laporte, K. Sivula, M. Grätzel and E. Thimsen, Nat. Mater., 2011, 10, 456–461.
- 47 S. Ardo and G. J. Meyer, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 115–164.
- 48 A. D. Handoko, K. Li and J. Tang, Curr. Opin. Chem. Eng., 2013, 2, 200–206.
- 49 S. Y. Reece, J. A. Hamel, K. Sung, T. D. Jarvi, A. J. Esswein, J. J. H. Pijpers and D. G. Nocera, Science, 2011, 334, 645–648.
- 50 S. Hu, M. R. Shaner, J. A. Beardslee, M. Lichterman, B. S. Brunschwig and N. S. Lewis, Science, 2014, 344, 1005–1009.
- 51 W.-N. Wang, W.-J. An, B. Ramalingam, S. Mukherjee, D. M. Niedzwiedzki, S. Gangopadhyay and P. Biswas, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 11276–11281. Sources of Meterials Chemistry A<br>
21 G. A. Olah, G. K. S. Published on 22 maj 2018. No 2021 1201. Downloaded on 22 maj 2015. Downloaded on 22 Maj 2015. Downloaded on 22 Maj 2015. Downloaded on 2022 Maj 2015. A Creative Co
	- 52 K. Maeda, K. Teramura, D. Lu, T. Takata, N. Saito, Y. Inoue and K. Domen, Nature, 2006, 440, 295.
	- 53 X. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanabe, G. Xin, J. M. Carlsson, K. Domen and M. Antonietti, Nat. Mater., 2009, 8, 76–80.
	- 54 S. Kuwabata, K. Nishida, R. Tsuda, H. Inoue and H. Yoneyama, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1994, 141, 1498–1503.
	- 55 E. E. Barton, D. M. Rampulla and A. B. Bocarsly, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 6342–6344.
	- 56 O. Ola and M. Mercedes Maroto-Valer, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2014, 4, 1631–1637.
	- 57 L. Zhang, H. Cheng, R. Zong and Y. Zhu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 2368–2374.
	- 58 P. D. Tran, S. K. Batabyal, S. S. Pramana, J. Barber, L. H. Wong and S. C. J. Loo, Nanoscale, 2012, 4, 3875–3878.
	- 59 R. J. Braham and A. T. Harris, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2009, 48, 8890–8905.
	- 60 Z. Xing, X. Zong, J. Pan and L. Wang, Chem. Eng. Sci., 2013, 104, 125–146.
	- 61 I. Rossetti, A. Villa, C. Pirola, L. Prati and G. Ramis, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 28883–28885.
	- 62 M. D. Doherty, D. C. Grills, J. T. Muckerman, D. E. Polyansky and E. Fujita, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2010, 254, 2472–2482.
	- 63 W. Tu, Y. Zhou and Z. Zou, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 4607–4626.
	- 64 H. Huang, S. Wang, Y. Zhang and P. K. Chu, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 41219–41227.
	- 65 G. Xi, S. Ouyang, P. Li, J. Ye, Q. Ma, N. Su, H. Bai and C. Wang, Angew. Chem., 2012, 124, 2445–2449.
	- 66 A. M. Smith and S. Nie, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 43, 190–200.
	- 67 Y. Liao, S.-W. Cao, Y. Yuan, Q. Gu, Z. Zhang and C. Xue, Chem.–Eur. J., 2014, 20, 10220–10222.
	- 68 T. Yui, A. Kan, C. Saitoh, K. Koike, T. Ibusuki and O. Ishitani, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2011, 3, 2594–2600.
	- 69 G. Liu, J. C. Yu, G. Q. Lu and H.-M. Cheng, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 6763–6783.
	- 70 W. Fan, Q. Zhang and Y. Wang, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2013, 15, 2632–2649.
	- 71 Y. Izumi, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2013, 257, 171–186.
	- 72 C.-C. Yang, Y.-H. Yu, B. van der Linden, J. C. S. Wu and G. Mul, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 8398–8406.
	- 73 K. Sayama and H. Arakawa, J. Phys. Chem., 1993, 97, 531– 533.
	- 74 K. Li, A. D. Handoko, M. Khraisheh and J. Tang, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 9767–9773.
	- 75 K. Iizuka, T. Wato, Y. Miseki, K. Saito and A. Kudo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 20863–20868.
	- 76 A. Selloni, Nat. Mater., 2008, 7, 613–615.
	- 77 Y. Liu, B. Huang, Y. Dai, X. Zhang, X. Qin, M. Jiang and M.-H. Whangbo, Catal. Commun., 2009, 11, 210–213.
	- 78 P. Li, S. Ouyang, Y. Zhang, T. Kako and J. Ye, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 1185–1191.
	- 79 Q. D. Truong, T. H. Le, J. Y. Liu, C. C. Chung and Y. C. Ling, Appl. Catal., A, 2012, 437, 28–35.
- 80 L. Liu, H. Zhao, J. M. Andino and Y. Li, ACS Catal., 2012, 2, 1817–1828.
- 81 H. G. Yang, C. H. Sun, S. Z. Qiao, J. Zou, G. Liu, S. C. Smith, H. M. Cheng and G. Q. Lu, Nature, 2008, 453, 638–641.
- 82 M. Lazzeri, A. Vittadini and A. Selloni, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2001, 63, 155409.
- 83 M. Lazzeri, A. Vittadini and A. Selloni, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2002, 65, 119901.
- 84 X.-Q. Gong and A. Selloni, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 19560–19562.
- 85 A. Vittadini, A. Selloni, F. P. Rotzinger and M. Grätzel, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1998, 81, 2954–2957.
- 86 J. Yu, J. Low, W. Xiao, P. Zhou and M. Jaroniec, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 8839–8842.
- 87 G. Liu, H. G. Yang, X. Wang, L. Cheng, J. Pan, G. Q. Lu and H.-M. Cheng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 12868–12869.
- 88 J. Yu, L. Qi and M. Jaroniec, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 13118–13125.
- 89 B. Wu, C. Guo, N. Zheng, Z. Xie and G. D. Stucky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 17563–17567.
- 90 W.-S. Wang, D.-H. Wang, W.-G. Qu, L.-Q. Lu and A.-W. Xu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 19893–19901.
- 91 D. Barpuzary, Z. Khan, N. Vinothkumar, M. De and M. Qureshi, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 116, 150–156.
- 92 V. P. Indrakanti, J. D. Kubicki and H. H. Schobert, Energy Fuels, 2008, 22, 2611–2618.
- 93 W. Jiao, L. Wang, G. Liu, G. Q. Lu and H.-M. Cheng, ACS Catal., 2012, 2, 1854–1859.
- 94 Q. Liu, Y. Zhou, J. Kou, X. Chen, Z. Tian, J. Gao, S. Yan and Z. Zou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 14385–14387.
- 95 Q. Liu, Z.-X. Low, L. Li, A. Razmjou, K. Wang, J. Yao and H. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 11563–11569.
- 96 Q. Liu, Y. Zhou, Y. Ma and Z. Zou, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 3247– 3250.
- 97 S. Yan, L. Wan, Z. Li and Z. Zou, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 5632–5634.
- 98 Z. Liu, X. Zhang, S. Nishimoto, M. Jin, D. A. Tryk, T. Murakami and A. Fujishima, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 112, 253–259.
- 99 M. Ye, J. Gong, Y. Lai, C. Lin and Z. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 15720–15723.
- 100 G. K. Mor, K. Shankar, M. Paulose, O. K. Varghese and C. A. Grimes, Nano Lett., 2005, 5, 191–195.
- 101 S. Liang, J. He, Z. Sun, Q. Liu, Y. Jiang, H. Cheng, B. He, Z. Xie and S. Wei, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 9049–9053.
- 102 K. Zhu, N. R. Neale, A. Miedaner and A. J. Frank, Nano Lett., 2006, 7, 69–74.
- 103 S. Rani, S. C. Roy, M. Paulose, O. K. Varghese, G. K. Mor, S. Kim, S. Yoriya, T. J. LaTempa and C. A. Grimes, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2010, 12, 2780–2800.
- 104 X. Chen, Y. Zhou, Q. Liu, Z. Li, J. Liu and Z. Zou, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2012, 4, 3372–3377.
- 105 S. Xie, Y. Wang, Q. Zhang, W. Deng and Y. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 3430–3433.
- 106 N. Zhang, S. Ouyang, T. Kako and J. Ye, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 1269–1271.
- 107 H.-A. Park, J. H. Choi, K. M. Choi, D. K. Lee and J. K. Kang, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 5304–5307.
- 108 W.-N. Wang, J. Park and P. Biswas, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2011, 1, 593–600.
- 109 N. Bao, L. Shen, T. Takata and K. Domen, Chem. Mater., 2007, 20, 110–117.
- 110 J. Guo, S. Ouyang, T. Kako and J. Ye, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2013, 280, 418–423.
- 111 S. Yan, H. Yu, N. Wang, Z. Li and Z. Zou, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 1048–1050.
- 112 J. Núñez, V. A. de la Peña O'Shea, P. Jana, J. M. Coronado and D. P. Serrano, Catal. Today, 2013, 209, 21–27.
- 113 S. C. Yan, S. X. Ouyang, J. Gao, M. Yang, J. Y. Feng, X. X. Fan, L. J. Wan, Z. S. Li, J. H. Ye, Y. Zhou and Z. G. Zou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 6400–6404.
- 114 N. Zhang, S. Ouyang, P. Li, Y. Zhang, G. Xi, T. Kako and J. Ye, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 2041–2043.
- 115 X. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanabe, G. Xin, J. M. Carlsson, K. Domen and M. Antonietti, Nat. Mater., 2009, 8, 76–80. Review Journal of Lini, 11, 21sos.]. M. Andinus and V. I, AGS Could, 2013. Let  $\chi$  Commons Article is licensed on 22 and 2015. The media on 2025-01-23 are commons are commons are commons are commons are commons are commo
	- 116 G. Liu, P. Niu, C. Sun, S. C. Smith, Z. Chen, G. Q. Lu and H.-M. Cheng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 11642–11648.
	- 117 C. Pan, J. Xu, Y. Wang, D. Li and Y. Zhu, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2012, 22, 1518–1524.
	- 118 L. Ge, F. Zuo, J. Liu, Q. Ma, C. Wang, D. Sun, L. Bartels and P. Feng, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 13708–13714.
	- 119 G. Dong and L. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 1160–1166.
	- 120 J. Mao, T. Peng, X. Zhang, K. Li, L. Ye and L. Zan, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2013, 3, 1253–1260.
	- 121 Y. Li, W.-N. Wang, Z. Zhan, M.-H. Woo, C.-Y. Wu and P. Biswas, Appl. Catal., B, 2010, 100, 386–392.
	- 122 H.-C. Yang, H.-Y. Lin, Y.-S. Chien, J. Wu and H.-H. Wu, Catal. Lett., 2009, 131, 381–387.
	- 123 X. Li, Z. Zhuang, W. Li and H. Pan, Appl. Catal., A, 2012, 429–430, 31–38.
	- 124 T. W. Kim, S. J. Hwang, S. H. Jhung, J. S. Chang, H. Park, W. Choi and J. H. Choy, Adv. Mater., 2008, 20, 539–542.
	- 125 T. W. Kim, S. G. Hur, S. J. Hwang, H. Park, W. Choi and J. H. Choy, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2007, 17, 307–314.
	- 126 J. Wu, Y. Cheng, J. Lin, Y. Huang, M. Huang and S. Hao, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 3624–3628.
	- 127 X. Li, N. Kikugawa and J. Ye, Adv. Mater., 2008, 20, 3816– 3819.
	- 128 X. Li, H. Pan, W. Li and Z. Zhuang, Appl. Catal., A, 2012, 413–414, 103–108.
	- 129 X. Li, W. Li, Z. Zhuang, Y. Zhong, Q. Li and L. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 16047–16053.
	- 130 J. Yoshimura, Y. Ebina, J. Kondo, K. Domen and A. Tanaka, J. Phys. Chem., 1993, 97, 1970–1973.
	- 131 J. Gascon, U. Aktay, M. D. Hernandez-Alonso, G. P. M. van Klink and F. Kapteijn, J. Catal., 2009, 261, 75–87.
	- 132 J. C. Lavalley, Catal. Today, 1996, 27, 377–401.
	- 133 M. G. Plaza, C. Pevida, A. Arenillas, F. Rubiera and J. J. Pis, Fuel, 2007, 86, 2204–2212.
	- 134 N. Ahmed, Y. Shibata, T. Taniguchi and Y. Izumi, J. Catal., 2011, 279, 123–135.
- 135 F. Sastre, A. Corma and H. Garcia, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 14137–14141.
- 136 N. Ahmed, M. Morikawa and Y. Izumi, Catal. Today, 2012, 185, 263–269.
- 137 C. M. A. Parlett, K. Wilson and A. F. Lee, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 3876–3893.
- 138 J. Yu, J. Fan and L. Zhao, Electrochim. Acta, 2010, 55, 597– 602.
- 139 P. L. Richardson, M. L. N. Perdigoto, W. Wang and R. J. G. Lopes, Appl. Catal., B, 2013, 132–133, 408–415.
- 140 H. Bai, Z. Liu and D. D. Sun, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2012, 37, 13998–14008.
- 141 F. Dong, Y. Sun, M. Fu, W.-K. Ho, S. C. Lee and Z. Wu, Langmuir, 2011, 28, 766–773.
- 142 Y. J. Hwang, C. H. Wu, C. Hahn, H. E. Jeong and P. Yang, Nano Lett., 2012, 12, 1678–1682.
- 143 S. H. Ko, D. Lee, H. W. Kang, K. H. Nam, J. Y. Yeo, S. J. Hong, C. P. Grigoropoulos and H. J. Sung, Nano Lett., 2011, 11, 666–671.
- 144 M.-S. Gui and W.-D. Zhang, Nanotechnology, 2011, 22, 265601.
- 145 J. Hou, R. Cao, Z. Wang, S. Jiao and H. Zhu, J. Hazard. Mater., 2012, 217–218, 177–186.
- 146 J. Duan, W. Shi, L. Xu, G. Mou, Q. Xin and J. Guan, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 7301–7303.
- 147 J. Hou, Y. Qu, D. Krsmanovic, C. Ducati, D. Eder and R. V. Kumar, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 2418–2423.
- 148 J. Hou, Z. Wang, S. Jiao and H. Zhu, J. Hazard. Mater., 2011, 192, 1772–1779.
- 149 Z. Wang, J. Hou, C. Yang, S. Jiao, K. Huang and H. Zhu, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2013, 15, 3249–3255.
- 150 L. Zhou, W. Wang, H. Xu, S. Sun and M. Shang, Chem.–Eur. J., 2009, 15, 1776–1782.
- 151 Z. Khan, M. Khannam, N. Vinothkumar, M. De and M. Qureshi, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 12090–12095.
- 152 G. Xi, B. Yue, J. Cao and J. Ye, Chem.–Eur. J., 2011, 17, 5145– 5154.
- 153 D. Chen and J. Ye, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2008, 18, 1922–1928.
- 154 J. Yu, W. Liu and H. Yu, Cryst. Growth Des., 2008, 8, 930– 934.
- 155 Q. Liu, Y. Zhou, Z. Tian, X. Chen, J. Gao and Z. Zou, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 2033–2038.
- 156 Z. Li, Y. Zhou, J. Zhang, W. Tu, Q. Liu, T. Yu and Z. Zou, Cryst. Growth Des., 2012, 12, 1476–1481.
- 157 H. Cheng, B. Huang, Y. Liu, Z. Wang, X. Qin, X. Zhang and Y. Dai, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 9729–9731.
- 158 H. Zhou, J. Guo, P. Li, T. Fan, Z. Di and J. Ye, Sci. Rep., 2013, 3, 1667.
- 159 L. Yuliati, T. Hattori, H. Itoh and H. Yoshida, J. Catal., 2008, 257, 396–402.
- 160 N. Serpone, P. Maruthamuthu, P. Pichat, E. Pelizzetti and H. Hidaka, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 1995, 85, 247–255.
- 161 H. Park, W. Choi and M. R. Hoffmann, J. Mater. Chem., 2008, 18, 2379–2385.
- 162 C. Wang, R. L. Thompson, J. Baltrus and C. Matranga, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2009, 1, 48–53.
- 163 M. Abou Asi, C. He, M. Su, D. Xia, L. Lin, H. Deng, Y. Xiong, R. Qiu and X.-z. Li, Catal. Today, 2011, 175, 256–263.
- 164 S. Qin, F. Xin, Y. Liu, X. Yin and W. Ma, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2011, 356, 257–261.
- 165 X. Li, H. Liu, D. Luo, J. Li, Y. Huang, H. Li, Y. Fang, Y. Xu and L. Zhu, Chem. Eng. J., 2012, 180, 151–158.
- 166 Q. D. Truong, J.-Y. Liu, C.-C. Chung and Y.-C. Ling, Catal. Commun., 2012, 19, 85–89.
- 167 G. Ghadimkhani, N. R. de Tacconi, W. Chanmanee, C. Janaky and K. Rajeshwar, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 1297–1299.
- 168 S.-I. In, D. D. Vaughn and R. E. Schaak, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 3915–3918.
- 169 W. Wang, M. Dahl and Y. Yin, Chem. Mater., 2012, 25, 1179– 1189.
- 170 W. Kim, T. Seok and W. Choi, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 6066–6070.
- 171 W. J. Youngblood, S.-H. A. Lee, Y. Kobayashi, E. A. Hernandez-Pagan, P. G. Hoertz, T. A. Moore, A. L. Moore, D. Gust and T. E. Mallouk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 926–927. Sources of Meteriate Chemistry Articles. 15. 2013. 16. 2013. 16. 2013. 16. 2013. 16. 2013. 16. 2013. 16. 2013. 16. 2013. 17. 2013. 17. 2013. 17. 2013. 17. 2013. 17. 2013. 17. 2013. 17. 2013. 17. 2013. 17. 2013. 17. 2013.
	- 172 R. Abe, K. Shinmei, K. Hara and B. Ohtani, Chem. Commun., 2009, 3577–3579.
	- 173 W. Kim, T. Tachikawa, T. Majima and W. Choi, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 10603–10609.
	- 174 Y.-J. Yuan, Z.-T. Yu, J.-Y. Zhang and Z.-G. Zou, Dalton Trans., 2012, 41, 9594–9597.
	- 175 T. W. Woolerton, S. Sheard, E. Pierce, S. W. Ragsdale and F. A. Armstrong, Energy Environ. Sci., 2011, 4, 2393–2399.
	- 176 T. W. Woolerton, S. Sheard, E. Reisner, E. Pierce, S. W. Ragsdale and F. A. Armstrong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 2132–2133.
	- 177 A. Parkin, J. Seravalli, K. A. Vincent, S. W. Ragsdale and F. A. Armstrong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 10328–10329.
	- 178 P. Trogadas, T. F. Fuller and P. Strasser, Carbon, 2014, 75, 5–42.
	- 179 E. Yli-Rantala, A. Pasanen, P. Kauranen, V. Ruiz, M. Borghei, E. Kauppinen, A. Oyarce, G. Lindbergh, C. Lagergren, M. Darab, S. Sunde, M. Thomassen, S. Ma-Andersen and E. Skou, Fuel Cells, 2011, 11, 715–725.
	- 180 Y.-P. Sun, B. Zhou, Y. Lin, W. Wang, K. A. S. Fernando, P. Pathak, M. J. Meziani, B. A. Harruff, X. Wang, H. Wang, P. G. Luo, H. Yang, M. E. Kose, B. Chen, L. M. Veca and S.-Y. Xie, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 7756–7757.
	- 181 A. B. Bourlinos, A. Stassinopoulos, D. Anglos, R. Zboril, M. Karakassides and E. P. Giannelis, Small, 2008, 4, 455– 458.
	- 182 H. Peng and J. Travas-Sejdic, Chem. Mater., 2009, 21, 5563– 5565.
	- 183 H. Jiang, F. Chen, M. G. Lagally and F. S. Denes, Langmuir, 2009, 26, 1991–1995.
	- 184 L. Cao, S. Sahu, P. Anilkumar, C. E. Bunker, J. Xu, K. A. S. Fernando, P. Wang, E. A. Guliants, K. N. Tackett and Y.-P. Sun, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 4754–4757.
	- 185 W.-J. Ong, M. M. Gui, S.-P. Chai and A. R. Mohamed, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 4505–4509.
- 
- 186 L. Jia, D.-H. Wang, Y.-X. Huang, A.-W. Xu and H.-Q. Yu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 11466–11473.
- 187 A. K. Agegnehu, C.-J. Pan, J. Rick, J.-F. Lee, W.-N. Su and B.-J. Hwang, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 13849–13854.
- 188 P. Gao, J. Liu, S. Lee, T. Zhang and D. D. Sun, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 2292–2298.
- 189 Z. Khan, T. R. Chetia, A. K. Vardhaman, D. Barpuzary, C. V. Sastri and M. Qureshi, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 12122–12128.
- 190 J. Hou, Z. Wang, W. Kan, S. Jiao, H. Zhu and R. V. Kumar, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 7291–7299.
- 191 F. Pei, Y. Liu, S. Xu, J. Lü, C. Wang and S. Cao, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2013, 38, 2670–2677.
- 192 T. Peng, K. Li, P. Zeng, Q. Zhang and X. Zhang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 22720–22726.
- 193 Q. Xiang, J. Yu and M. Jaroniec, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 6575–6578.
- 194 H.-i. Kim, G.-h. Moon, D. Monllor-Satoca, Y. Park and W. Choi, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 116, 1535–1543.
- 195 N. Yang, J. Zhai, D. Wang, Y. Chen and L. Jiang, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 887–894.
- 196 I. V. Lightcap and P. V. Kamat, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 7109–7116.
- 197 P. Wang, Y. Zhai, D. Wang and S. Dong, Nanoscale, 2011, 3, 1640–1645.
- 198 Y. Zhang, Z.-R. Tang, X. Fu and Y.-J. Xu, ACS Nano, 2011, 5, 7426–7435.
- 199 X. Pan, Y. Zhao, S. Liu, C. L. Korzeniewski, S. Wang and Z. Fan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2012, 4, 3944–3950.
- 200 J. Du, X. Lai, N. Yang, J. Zhai, D. Kisailus, F. Su, D. Wang and L. Jiang, ACS Nano, 2010, 5, 590–596.
- 201 J. Zhang, Z. Zhu, Y. Tang and X. Feng, J. Mater. Chem., 2013, 1, 3752–3756.
- 202 A. Peigney, C. Laurent, E. Flahaut, R. R. Bacsa and A. Rousset, Carbon, 2001, 39, 507–514.
- 203 A. Ghosh, K. S. Subrahmanyam, K. S. Krishna, S. Datta, A. Govindaraj, S. K. Pati and C. N. R. Rao, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 15704–15707.
- 204 B. Huang, Z. Li, Z. Liu, G. Zhou, S. Hao, J. Wu, B.-L. Gu and W. Duan, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 13442–13446.
- 205 Y. Zhang, N. Zhang, Z.-R. Tang and Y.-J. Xu, ACS Nano, 2012, 6, 9777–9789.
- 206 Y. T. Liang, B. K. Vijayan, K. A. Gray and M. C. Hersam, Nano Lett., 2011, 11, 2865–2870.
- 207 X.-J. Lv, W.-F. Fu, C.-Y. Hu, Y. Chen and W.-B. Zhou, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 1753–1757.
- 208 H.-C. Hsu, I. Shown, H.-Y. Wei, Y.-C. Chang, H.-Y. Du, Y.-G. Lin, C.-A. Tseng, C.-H. Wang, L.-C. Chen, Y.-C. Lin and K.-H. Chen, Nanoscale, 2013, 5, 262–268.
- 209 Y. T. Liang, B. K. Vijayan, O. Lyandres, K. A. Gray and M. C. Hersam, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2012, 3, 1760–1765.
- 210 W. Tu, Y. Zhou, Q. Liu, Z. Tian, J. Gao, X. Chen, H. Zhang, J. Liu and Z. Zou, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2012, 22, 1215–1221.
- 211 P. Pathak, S. Gupta, K. Grosulak, H. Imahori and V. Subramanian, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 7543–7553.
- 212 B. Mukherjee, S. Gupta, A. Peterson, H. Imahori, A. Manivannan and V. Subramanian, Chem.–Eur. J., 2014, 20, 10456–10465.
- 213 A. Iwase, H. Kato and A. Kudo, Catal. Lett., 2006, 108, 7–10.
- 214 M. Liu, W. You, Z. Lei, G. Zhou, J. Yang, G. Wu, G. Ma, G. Luan, T. Takata, M. Hara, K. Domen and C. Li, Chem. Commun., 2004, 2192–2193. Beview Meridian Both Maj 2015. Article 2013. Download States Article Common Access Article is the Access Article is the Access Article is likened on 2014. Download East Article is likened on 2014. Downloaded the Access Art
	- 215 Y. Mizukoshi, K. Sato, T. J. Konno and N. Masahashi, Appl. Catal., B, 2010, 94, 248–253.
	- 216 M. Hara, J. Nunoshige, T. Takata, J. N. Kondo and K. Domen, Chem. Commun., 2003, 3000–3001.
	- 217 V. Subramanian, E. Wolf and P. V. Kamat, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2001, 105, 11439–11446.
	- 218 V. Subramanian, E. E. Wolf and P. V. Kamat, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 4943–4950.
	- 219 D. Kong, J. Z. Y. Tan, F. Yang, J. Zeng and X. Zhang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2013, 277, 105–110.
	- 220 X. Feng, J. D. Sloppy, T. J. LaTempa, M. Paulose, S. Komarneni, N. Bao and C. A. Grimes, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 13429–13433.
	- 221 Z. W. Seh, S. Liu, M. Low, S.-Y. Zhang, Z. Liu, A. Mlayah and M.-Y. Han, Adv. Mater., 2012, 24, 2310–2314.
	- 222 Q. Zhang, D. Q. Lima, I. Lee, F. Zaera, M. Chi and Y. Yin, Angew. Chem., 2011, 123, 7226–7230.
	- 223 T. Takahashi, A. Kudo, S. Kuwabata, A. Ishikawa, H. Ishihara, Y. Tsuboi and T. Torimoto, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 117, 2511–2520.
	- 224 K. Awazu, M. Fujimaki, C. Rockstuhl, J. Tominaga, H. Murakami, Y. Ohki, N. Yoshida and T. Watanabe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 1676–1680.
	- 225 X. Zhou, G. Liu, J. Yu and W. Fan, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 21337–21354.
	- 226 W. A. Murray and W. L. Barnes, Adv. Mater., 2007, 19, 3771– 3782.
	- 227 S. Linic, P. Christopher and D. B. Ingram, Nat. Mater., 2011, 10, 911–921.
	- 228 H. Zhu, X. Chen, Z. Zheng, X. Ke, E. Jaatinen, J. Zhao, C. Guo, T. Xie and D. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2009, 7524– 7526.
	- 229 X. Zhang, PhD thesis, Queensland University of Technology, 2014, http://eprints.qut.edu.au/67714/ (accessed June 2nd, 2015).
	- 230 M. S. Shore, J. Wang, A. C. Johnston-Peck, A. L. Oldenburg and J. B. Tracy, Small, 2011, 7, 230–234.
	- 231 P. Wang, B. Huang, Y. Dai and M.-H. Whangbo, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2012, 14, 9813–9825.
	- 232 M. Xiao, R. Jiang, F. Wang, C. Fang, J. Wang and J. C. Yu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 5790–5805.
	- 233 D. M. Bijith, J. Babu and K. G. Vinay, Nanotechnology, 2013, 24, 405402.
	- 234 Z. Zhang, Z. Wang, S.-W. Cao and C. Xue, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 25939–25947.
	- 235 C. An, J. Wang, W. Jiang, M. Zhang, X. Ming, S. Wang and Q. Zhang, Nanoscale, 2012, 4, 5646–5650.
	- 236 J. Z. Y. Tan, Y. Fernandez, D. Liu, M. Maroto-Valer, J. Bian and X. Zhang, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2012, 531, 149–154.
	- 237 J.-J. Chen, J. C. S. Wu, P. C. Wu and D. P. Tsai, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 115, 210–216.
	- 238 Q. Xiang, J. Yu and M. Jaroniec, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2011, 13, 4853–4861.
- 239 K. Iwashina and A. Kudo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 13272–13275.
- 240 F. Dong, S. Guo, H. Wang, X. Li and Z. Wu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 13285–13292.
- 241 S. Bingham and W. A. Daoud, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 2041–2050.
- 242 J. Zhang, Y. Wu, M. Xing, S. A. K. Leghari and S. Sajjad, Energy Environ. Sci., 2010, 3, 715–726.
- 243 C.-W. Tsai, H. M. Chen, R.-S. Liu, K. Asakura and T.-S. Chan, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 10180–10186.
- 244 I. Tsuji, H. Kato and A. Kudo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 3565–3568.
- 245 I. Tsuji, H. Kato, H. Kobayashi and A. Kudo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 13406–13413.
- 246 K. Maeda and K. Domen, Chem. Mater., 2009, 22, 612–623.
- 247 K. Maeda, T. Takata, M. Hara, N. Saito, Y. Inoue, H. Kobayashi and K. Domen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 8286–8287.
- 248 J.-Y. Liu, B. Garg and Y.-C. Ling, Green Chem., 2011, 13, 2029–2031.
- 249 Q. Zhai, S. Xie, W. Fan, Q. Zhang, Y. Wang, W. Deng and Y. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 5776–5779.
- 250 P. Li, H. Xu, L. Liu, T. Kako, N. Umezawa, H. Abe and J. Ye, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 5606–5609.
- 251 P. Christopher, H. Xin and S. Linic, Nat. Chem., 2011, 3, 467–472.
- 252 H. Zhu, X. Ke, X. Yang, S. Sarina and H. Liu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 9657–9661.
- 253 S. F. J. Hackett, R. M. Brydson, M. H. Gass, I. Harvey, A. D. Newman, K. Wilson and A. F. Lee, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 8593–8596.
- 254 J. Xing, J. F. Chen, Y. H. Li, W. T. Yuan, Y. Zhou, L. R. Zheng, H. F. Wang, P. Hu, Y. Wang, H. J. Zhao, Y. Wang and H. G. Yang, Chem.–Eur. J., 2014, 20, 2085.
- 255 A. Bruix, Y. Lykhach, I. Matolínová, A. Neitzel, T. Skála, N. Tsud, M. Vorokhta, V. Stetsovych, K. Ševčíková, J. Mysliveček, R. Fiala, M. Václavů, K. C. Prince, S. Bruyère, V. Potin, F. Illas, V. Matolín, J. Libuda and K. M. Neyman, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 10525– 10530. Sources of Materials Chemistry A<br>
239 K. Isoshima and A. Kudu, *J. Ant. Chem.* Soc., 2011, 134, 251 P. Christopher, H. Xvn and S. Linix, Nac. Cheme, 2015.<br>
2015. Downloaded Schem. 2014. A Newton Chem., 2011, 21, 254 Sche
	- 256 G. Pei, X. Liu, A. Wang, A. F. Lee, M. A. Isaacs, L. Li, X. Pan, X. Yang, X. Wang, Z. Tai, K. Wilson and T. Zhang, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 3717–3725.
	- 257 C. Pirez, K. Wilson and A. F. Lee, Green Chem., 2014, 16, 197–202.
	- 258 P. Anastas and N. Eghbali, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 301– 312.