## Materials Horizons

### COMMUNICATION



View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

Check for updates

Cite this: *Mater. Horiz.*, 2024, 11, 3928

Received 14th April 2024, Accepted 28th May 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4mh00441h

rsc.li/materials-horizons

Optimizing the energy level alignment for achieving record-breaking efficiency in hot exciton deep red OLEDs<sup>+</sup>

Yujie Wu,‡<sup>abc</sup> Jiasen Zhang,‡<sup>ab</sup> Deli Li,‡<sup>d</sup> Songyu Du,<sup>ab</sup> Xilin Mu,<sup>ab</sup> Chunyu Liu,<sup>ab</sup> Kaibo Fang,<sup>abc</sup> Tingting Feng,<sup>ab</sup> Tao Wang,<sup>c</sup> Wei Li<sup>b</sup>\*<sup>ab</sup> and Ziyi Ge<sup>\*</sup>\*<sup>ab</sup>

To effectively compete with the quenching process in longwavelength regions like deep red (DR) and near-infrared (NIR), rapid radiative decay is urgently needed to address the challenges posed by the "energy gap law". Herein, we confirmed that it is crucial for hot exciton emitters to attain a narrow energy gap ( $\Delta E_{S_1-T_2}$ ) between the lowest singlet excited (S1) state and second triplet excited (T2) state, while ensuring that  $T_2$  slightly exceeds  $S_1$  in the energy level. Two proofs-of-concept of hot exciton DR emitters, namely  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD, were successfully designed and synthesized by coupling electronacceptors N,N-diphenylnaphthalen-2-amine (aTPA) and N,Ndiphenylnaphthalen-1-amine (BTPA) with an electron-withdrawing unit 5-(4-(tert-butyl) phenyl)-5H-pyrazino[2,3-b]indole-2,3-dicarbonitrile (IPD). Both emitters exhibited a narrow  $\Delta E_{S_1-T_2}$ , with T<sub>2</sub> being slightly higher than S<sub>1</sub>. Additionally, both emitters showed significantly large  $\Delta E_{T_2-T_1}$ . Moreover, due to their aggregation-induced emission characteristics, J-aggregated packing modes, moderate strength intermolecular CN···H–C and C–H··· $\pi$  interactions, and unique, comparatively large center-to-center distances among trimers in the crystalline state, both  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD emitters exhibited remarkable photoluminescence quantum yields of 68.5% and 73.5%, respectively, in non-doped films. Remarkably, the corresponding non-doped DR-OLED based on βT-IPD achieved a maximum external quantum efficiency of 15.5% at an emission peak wavelength of 667 nm, representing the highest reported value for hot exciton DR-OLEDs.

#### New concepts

The efficient development of deep-red (DR) and near-infrared (NIR) emitters encounters considerable obstacles due to their emission efficiency being relatively lower compared to those of visible light emitters, despite their immense potential for various applications. One major quenching mechanism is known as the "energy gap law", and this nonradiative process experiences significant enhancement in the DR/NIR emitters since they possess smaller emission gaps compared to visible emitters. Fortunately, the "hot exciton" process provides a promising solution to address the challenges. Herein, we confirmed that it is crucial for hot exciton emitters to attain a narrow energy gap  $(\Delta E_{S_1-T_2})$  between the lowest singlet excited  $(S_1)$  state and second triplet excited  $(T_2)$  state, while ensuring that T2 slightly exceeds S1 in the energy level. Two proofsof-concept of hot exciton DR emitters exhibited a narrow  $\Delta E_{S_1-T_2}$ , with  $T_2$ being slightly higher than S1. Additionally, both emitters showed significantly large  $\Delta E_{T_2-T_1}$ . Moreover, due to the aggregation-induced emission characteristics, J-aggregated packing modes, moderate strength intermolecular CN···H-C and C-H··· $\pi$  interactions, and unique comparatively large center-to-center distances among trimers in the crystalline state, both target emitters exhibited remarkable photoluminescence quantum yields in non-doped films. Remarkably, the corresponding non-doped DR-OLED achieved a maximum external quantum efficiency of 15.5% at an emission peak wavelength of 667 nm, representing the highest reported value for hot exciton DR-OLEDs.

#### Introduction

Organic deep-red (DR) and near-infrared (NIR) light-emitting materials are essential for applications of photodynamic therapy, night vision technologies, optical signal processing, bioimaging, *etc.*<sup>1–5</sup> However, the efficient development of DR/NIR emitters encounters considerable obstacles due to their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Zhejiang Provincial Engineering Research Center of Energy Optoelectronic Materials and Devices, Ningbo Institute of Materials Technology and Engineering, Chinese

Academy of Sciences, Ningbo, 315201, P. R. China. E-mail: liwei1987@nimte.ac.cn, geziyi@nimte.ac.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Center of Materials Science and Optoelectronics Engineering, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, P. R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> School of Materials Science and Engineering Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou 310018, P. R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Institute for Smart Materials & Engineering, University of Jinan, No. 336 Nanxin Zhuang West Road, Jinan 250022, P. R. China

 $<sup>\</sup>dagger$  Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2309641 ( $\alpha$ T-IPD) and 2309564 ( $\beta$ T-IPD). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d4mh00441h

<sup>‡</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

emission efficiency being relatively lower compared to those of visible light emitters, despite their immense potential for various applications. One major quenching mechanism is known as the "energy gap law",<sup>6–8</sup> and this nonradiative process experiences significant enhancement in the DR/NIR emitters since they possess smaller emission gaps compared to visible emitters.

Two feasible approaches for suppressing the quenching process and increasing the efficiency of DR/NIR emitters can be formed and described as follows: one approach is to minimize vibrational overlap as much as possible.9 To achieve this, deuterated or fluorinated methods are used to reduce highfrequency vibrations from C-H, O-H, and N-H stretching. Nevertheless, despite these techniques preserving a multitude of additional modes of vibration and their combination, the resulting improvements are only partial or even insignificant. The second approach involves the creation of a shallow or repulsive potential energy surface (PES) in the ground  $(S_0)$  state, which significantly reduces quenching caused by high-frequency vibrations.<sup>10</sup> This type of PES can be formed in excimers/excited oligomers, where interactions between mono-molecules are minimal or absent in the S<sub>0</sub> state, and excimers/excited oligomers can form in excited states. Due to the repulsive-like or shallow nature of the PES in the S<sub>0</sub> state, the emission of excimers is less affected by vibrational quenching. However, such excimers have only been reported and utilized in Ptcontaining complexes with square-planar structures and are challenging to create in typical luminous materials.<sup>6-8</sup> Therefore, effectively harnessing triplet excitons in pure DR/NIR emitters while reducing nonradiative decay remains a formidable challenge that requires further investigation.

Fortunately, the "hot exciton" process provides a promising solution by enhancing the rate of radiative decay, effectively competing with the quenching process. Previous studies have demonstrated the presence of high-energy reverse intersystem crossing (hRISC) processes in commonly utilized fluorophores, including isoquinoline, quinoline, naphthalene, anthracene, and their derivatives, as well as tetraphenyl-porphyrin.<sup>11–13</sup> In 2012, Ma *et al.* ingeniously employed this photophysical phenomenon to design organic light-emitting materials and subsequently synthesized a series of novel organic electroluminescent (EL) materials with various colors.<sup>14–16</sup> they named this innovative luminescent mechanism the "hot exciton" mechanism. Novel emitters based on this principle are known as hot exciton materials. By implementing efficient hRISC mechanisms, OLEDs based on hot exciton materials achieved a significant enhancement in the utilization efficiency of excitons (EUE).

Firstly, the breakthrough of hot excitons emitters-based OLEDs in overcoming the spin-statistical limit of fluorescent materials relies on the hRISC from high-lying triplet states ( $T_n, n \ge 2$ ) to singlet states ( $S_m, m \ge 1$ ). Secondly, to attain a high EUE, it is crucial to ensure that the high-energy hRISC rate ( $k_{hRISC}$ ) from  $T_n$  to  $S_m$  significantly exceeds the rate of internal conversion ( $k_{IC}$ ) from  $T_n$  to  $T_1$ . According to Fermi's golden rule,<sup>17,18</sup>

$$k_{\mathrm{hRISC}} \propto \left| \frac{\left\langle \Psi_{\mathrm{S}_m} | \hat{H}_{\mathrm{SO}} | \Psi_{\mathrm{T}_n} \right\rangle}{\Delta E_{\mathrm{S}_m - \mathrm{T}_n}} \right|^2$$

where, the  $\Psi_{S_m}$  and  $\Psi_{T_n}$  represent the wavefunctions of  $S_m$  and  $T_n$ , respectively, while  $\hat{H}_{SO}$  represents the spin–orbit coupling (SOC) factor. The RISC rate is inversely proportional to  $\Delta E_{S_m-T_n}$  and directly proportional to the SOC matrix element  $(\langle \Psi_{S_m} | \hat{H}_{SO} | \Psi_{T_n} \rangle)$ . Therefore, a high  $k_{hRISC}$  requires a strong SOC and a small  $\Delta E_{S_m-T_n}$ . Similarly, the rate of internal conversion ( $k_{IC}$ ) can be expressed as follows,<sup>19</sup>

$$k_{\mathrm{IC}} \propto \left| \frac{\left\langle \Psi_{\mathrm{T}_n} | \hat{T}_{\mathrm{N}} | \Psi_{\mathrm{T}_1} \right\rangle}{\Delta E_{\mathrm{T}_n - \mathrm{T}_1}} \right|^2$$

where  $\hat{T}_{N}$  represents the electronic coupling factor between the wavefunctions of  $T_1$  state  $(\Psi_{T_1})$  and  $T_n$  state  $(\Psi_{T_n})$ ,  $\langle \Psi_{T_n} | \hat{T}_N | \Psi_{T_1} \rangle$  represents the matrix element of internal conversion. Therefore, a small electronic coupling factor (when  $\Psi_{T_1}$  and  $\Psi_{T_n}$  are perpendicular to each other) coupled with a large  $\Delta E_{T_n-T_1}$  can



Fig. 1 (A) The chemical structures of  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD. (B) HOMO and LUMO, and T<sub>1</sub> states spin-density distributions (TSDDs) of  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD, respectively. (C) Calculated energy arrangement of singlet states and triplet states of  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD.

effectively mitigate the  $k_{\rm IC}$  enabling effective competition with ISC process. Therefore, the excited states of highly efficient hot exciton materials should possess the following distinctive characteristics: a significantly large  $\Delta E_{T_n-T_1}$ , a small  $\Delta E_{S_m-T_n}$ , and a substantial SOC between  $S_m$  and  $T_n$  states. However, despite these requirements, the EL performance still falls considerably behind that of OLEDs utilizing thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF) and phosphorescent emitters. Thus, it is crucial to propose a more comprehensive hot exciton mechanism for guiding the design of highly efficient materials and bridging this performance gap.

To address the challenges, we have developed two efficient DR emitters, namely aT-IPD and BT-IPD, based on the hot exciton mechanism. These emitters are synthesized by combining electron-acceptors  $\alpha$ TPA and  $\beta$ TPA with an electronwithdrawing unit IPD (Fig. 1A).<sup>20</sup> The intelligent molecular structures of these emitters allow for precise modulation of their energy levels in both singlet and triplet excited states. Both emitters exhibit significant energy gaps, with  $\Delta E_{T_{a}-T_{c}}$ approximately 0.75 eV and  $\Delta E_{S_1-T_1}$  around 0.70 eV, effectively reducing the dissipation of triplet excitons via IC mechanism. Furthermore, when compared to DT-IPD, both  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD possess larger  $\Delta E_{T_2-T_1}$  and  $\Delta E_{S_1-T_1}$  values. As a result,  $\alpha T_2$ -IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD demonstrate reduced  $k_{IC}$  from the T<sub>2</sub> to the T<sub>1</sub> state as well as  $k_{\rm ISC}$  from the S<sub>1</sub> to the T<sub>1</sub> state. Consequently,  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD achieve high photoluminescence quantum yields ( $\Phi_{PLOY}$ s) of 68.5% and 73.5%, respectively. Remarkably, a groundbreaking maximum external quantum efficiency (EQE<sub>max</sub>) of 15.5% was achieved for non-doped DR-OLEDs based on BT-IPD, which is the highest value among nondoped DR-OLEDs employing the hot exciton mechanism.

#### Results and discussion

# Identification of chemical structure and thermal stability measurement

The detailed synthetic procedures of  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD are summarized in the ESI.† The synthesis of the key intermediate 8-bromo-5-(4-(*tert*-butyl)phenyl)-5*H*-pyrazino[2,3-*b*]indole-2,3dicarbonitrile (IPD-Br) can refer to the related literature.<sup>20</sup> Target materials  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD were identified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, along with mass spectra (Fig. S1–S6, ESI†). Remarkably, both  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD demonstrated excellent thermostability and morphological stability, with decomposition temperatures of 452 and 459 °C, along with glass transition temperatures of 144 and 152 °C, respectively (Fig. S7, ESI†). Thus, their outstanding thermal stabilities render them highly suitable for OLED formation *via* a vacuum evaporation technique.

# Density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) simulations

Initially, the electronic structures of  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD were comprehensively investigated through DFT and TD-DFT simulations to obtain a comprehensive understanding (Fig. 1B). The

highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMOs) of  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD were predominantly localized on IPD (except for the *tert*butylbenzene subunit), as well as on the benzene ring connected with nitrogen-atoms exhibiting electron-donating characteristics. Conversely, the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMOs) primarily reside on  $\alpha$ TPA and  $\beta$ TPA moieties, along with the benzene ring linked to the IPD acceptor. Notably, the TSDDs of  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD significantly encompass the entire IPD acceptor and the  $\alpha$ TPA and  $\beta$ TPA donors, suggesting that the T<sub>1</sub> state for both emitters are locally excited (LE).

To gain a more comprehensive understanding of the electronic configurations in the excited states, the natural transition orbits (NTOs) for both emitters were implemented. In the  $S_1$  state, the dihedral angle between the IPD and  $\alpha TPA/\beta TPA$  in both emitters was relatively small ( $\sim 17^{\circ}$ ), resulting in a significant overlap of holes and particles, as well as large oscillator strength (f) values of 1.291 and 1.252 for  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD, respectively, suggesting that their LE state character dominate in the S1 state with minimal involvement of the charge-transfer (CT) state. Moreover, such large f values exhibited by both emitters are particularly advantageous for long-wavelength luminescent materials, as they enable intense light emission while significantly bolstering their competitive edge against non-radiative decay processes. In the T1 state, both emitters exhibit an even stronger LE character compared to the S<sub>1</sub> state due to a smaller dihedral angle.

# Investigation of the photophysical properties and exciton dynamics processes of $\alpha$ T-IPD and $\beta$ T-IPD

In the ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectra, both emitters display two discernible absorption bands (Fig. S10, ESI<sup>+</sup>). The  $\pi$ - $\pi$ \* transitions of the IPD unit and adjacent benzene ring are accountable for the main absorption peaks around 320 nm. At the same time, the broad absorption peaks with moderate intensities of around 480 nm are attributed to the intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) process from the aTPA and βTPA donors to the IPD acceptor (Fig. S10 and Table S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>). In the photoluminescence (PL) spectra,  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD show a pronounced DR emission centered at 639 and 660 nm, respectively. Low-temperature PL and phosphorescent spectra were obtained to determine the energy levels of S1 and  $T_1$  in  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD (Fig. S11, ESI<sup>†</sup>). These experiments resulted in significant  $\Delta E_{ST}$  values of 0.61 eV, aligning well with the calculated data (Table S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Furthermore, these findings effectively exclude their TADF properties.

To further investigate the luminescence mechanism and exciton dynamics process of  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD, transient PL decay photoluminescence quantum yields ( $\Phi_{PLQY}$ s) were evaluated in their neat films (Fig. S12, ESI†). As expected, both emitters exclusively exhibit nanosecond lifetimes (Table S1, ESI†), while no delayed lifetimes in the microsecond range could be detected, ruling out their TADF mechanism. Interestingly,  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD achieved remarkably high  $\Phi_{PLQY}$  values of 68.5% and 73.5%, respectively, in non-doped films. Such high  $\Phi_{PLQY}$  values are exceptionally rare in the field of DR

light, indicating the effective suppression of various exciton quenching pathways.

To elucidate the underlying factors contributing to the exceptional  $\Phi_{PLOY}$ s observed in non-doped states, as well as the dynamics of energy transfer for both  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD emitters, the singlet/triplet energy levels were evaluated using TD-DFT calculations (Fig. 1C). It is worth noting that a remarkable  $\Delta E_{T_2-T_1}$  of up to 0.75 eV was achieved for both emitters, leading to a highly effective suppression of the IC process from the T<sub>2</sub> to the T<sub>1</sub> state. Additionally, both emitters feature a significant  $\Delta E_{S_1-T_1}$  value of nearly 0.70 eV, which serves to weaken the rate of ISC ( $k_{\rm ISC}$ ). Furthermore, all the energy levels of the higher-lying  $T_n$  states  $(n \ge 2)$  were found to be elevated compared to that of the S1 state, ensuring rapid hRISC processes from  $T_n$  to  $S_1$ . Given the small energy gap between  $T_n$  (n =2, 3, 4) and S<sub>1</sub>  $\Delta E_{S_1-T_n}$ , multi-channel hRISC is anticipated, facilitating effective utilization of excitons (Fig. 2A). In comparison with DT-IPD, reported by our group the considerably smaller  $\Delta E_{T_2-T_1}$  and  $\Delta E_{S_1-T_1}$  values of DT-IPD pose a heightened vulnerability of undergoing IC from the T2 to the T1 state, as well as ISC from the  $S_1$  to the  $T_1$  state. This configuration is unfavorable for the efficient utilization of excitons (Fig. 2B). Ma and coworkers also reported intriguing findings regarding certain blue emitters characterized by the hot exciton mechanism (Fig. 2C).<sup>13,21–25</sup> They emphasize that maintaining an nvalue lower than 4 is crucial at high-lying triplet energy levels to prevent rapid intersystem crossing between triplet states, which can weaken the efficiency of the  $T_n$ -S<sub>1</sub> conversion process.<sup>23</sup> However, according to our current research, in an emitter predominantly governed by the hot exciton mechanism, it is preferable for a minimal energy gap to exist between the highlying triplet state and S<sub>1</sub> state ( $\Delta E_{T_n-S_1}$ ,  $n \ge 2$ ) to occur, while ensuring that the energy level of the T<sub>2</sub> state slightly surpasses that of the  $S_1$  state. This configuration enables the efficient transfer of triplet excitons to the S1 state even during the IC process between high energy states ( $T_n$ - $T_2$ , n > 2). Therefore,  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD exhibit a more favorable energy level arrangement for achieving efficient exciton utilization and contributing to exceptional EL characteristics.

Luminophores exhibiting aggregation-induced emission (AIE) have attracted considerable attention as promising

candidates for non-doped OLED construction due to their exceptional ability to emit bright light and significantly enhance the PLQYs in the aggregate state.<sup>26,27</sup> Hence, to delve deeper into the intricate mechanisms governing the  $\Phi_{PLQY}$ , a comprehensive investigation was conducted on the AIE properties in a mixture of tetrahydrofuran (THF) and water (Fig. 3A– D). Remarkably, both  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD exhibit minimal emission when the fraction of water ( $f_W$ ) is below 50%. However, the photoluminescence intensities experienced a rapid increase as the  $f_W$  reached 60%, indicating a pronounced AIE characteristic in the aggregate state.

The investigation of single crystals plays a pivotal role in acquiring profound insights into the chemical characteristics of materials, as well as understanding the intricate relationship between structure and properties. Therefore, both aT-IPD and βT-IPD crystals were successfully cultivated (Fig. 3E, F, and Table S2, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Single-crystal analysis revealed that the interlayer slip angles of the two emitter is smaller than  $54.7^{\circ}$  (Fig. S13, ESI<sup>†</sup>), thereby adopting J-aggregate stacking frameworks, which can significantly improve the emissive properties. Notably, although the dimers in both crystal structures exhibit a close intermolecular distance, the trimer composed of three monomers is comparatively further apart (Fig. S14, ESI<sup>+</sup>). This feature holds crucial importance in mitigating exciton annihilation, as a considerable number of long-lived triplet excitons are generated under electrical excitation, and exciton annihilation is primarily governed by short-range multi-molecular processes facilitated by the Dexter energy transfer (DET) mechanism. Therefore, minimizing the distance between multiple molecules effectively reduces the diffusion length of long-lived triplet excitons, thereby mitigating the annihilation process and significantly improving the EL performance of these molecules. Additionally, it is worth mentioning that the PLQY of aT-IPD is marginally lower compared to that of BT-IPD, and this observation appears to be partly attributed to the arrangement of single crystals. The formation of dimers in  $\alpha$ T-IPD crystals induces  $\pi$ - $\pi$ interactions, leading to an aggregation-caused quenching (ACQ) effect to a certain extent, consequently resulting in a reduction in PLQY for  $\alpha$ T-IPD (Fig. S14 and Table S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>).

The optimization of the horizontal alignment ( $\Theta$ ) of the emissive transition dipole moment (TDM) in OLEDs holds



Fig. 2 Schematic diagram of exciton dynamics processes for materials based on the hot exciton mechanism. (A) With an optimizing energy level alignment. (B) With relatively small  $\Delta E_{S_1-T_n}$ . (C) With small  $\Delta E_{S_1-T_n}$ ,  $n \le 4$ . Abbreviations: F is fluorescence; IC denotes internal conversion. DF represents delayed fluorescence.



**Fig. 3** PL intensity of (A)  $\alpha$ T-IPD and (B)  $\beta$ T-IPD. The plot of maximum emission intensity of (C)  $\alpha$ T-IPD and (D)  $\beta$ T-IPD *versus* f<sub>W</sub>. (E) Packing arrangement of  $\alpha$ T-IPD with  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking and intermolecular CN··· $\pi$ -C interactions. (F) Packing arrangement of  $\beta$ T-IPD with intermolecular CN··· $\pi$  interactions. The angle-dependent PL intensity of (G)  $\alpha$ T-IPD and (H)  $\beta$ T-IPD in neat films was measured with respect to the emission angle.

significant potential for enhancing light extraction efficiency ( $\eta_{out}$ ), resulting in a possible 50% improvement compared to random TDM orientation. Therefore, actively promoting a horizontal dipole orientation is crucial for bolstering OLEDs' efficiency. To determine the  $\Theta$  of both emitters, we measured the intensity of angle-dependent PL spectra in neat thin films (Fig. 3G and H). Remarkably, both  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD manifested high  $\Theta$  of 80% and 82%, respectively. These noteworthy

values can be attributed to the presence of rigid polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon acceptors and a planar molecular framework.

#### OLED characteristics of αT-IPD and βT-IPD

We employ a non-doped OLED architecture to assess the EL performance of  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD, with the device structure depicted below: ITO/HAT-CN (10 nm)/TAPC (30 nm)/TCTA



Fig. 4 (A) OLED structure, HOMO and LUMO energy diagram. (B) The material structure used in the functional layer, (C) current density (J)–voltage curves (V)–luminance (L), (D) EQE–L curves, and (E) EL spectra of non-doped OLEDs based on  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD. (F) Reported representative hot exciton DR-OLEDs.

Table 1 EL performance of the DR-OLEDs based on  $\alpha T$ -IPD and  $\beta T$ -IPD

Emitter	$V_{\mathrm{on}}{}^{a}\left[\mathrm{V}\right]$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm CE_{max}}^{b} \\ [{\rm cd} \ {\rm A}^{-1}] \end{array}$	$EQE_{max}^{c}$ [%]	${{L_{\max}}^d} {\left[ {{ m{cd}}\ { m{m}}^{ - 2}}  ight]}$	CIE	Peak [nm]
αT-IPD βT-IPD		2.77 2.93	13.5 15.5	2657 2280	(0.67, 0.30) (0.68, 0.31)	660 667
<sup><i>a</i></sup> Turn-on voltage of 1 cd m <sup>-2</sup> . <sup><i>b</i></sup> Maximum value current efficiency. <sup><i>c</i></sup> The maximum external quantum efficiency. <sup><i>d</i></sup> The maximum luminance.						

(5 nm)/EMLs (30 nm)/B3PyPb (70 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al (100 nm) were fabricated (Fig. 4A–E, S15, and Table 1). The corresponding material structure of the functional layer is shown in Fig. 4B. The EMLs in these devices only consist of neat films of  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD. The non-doped OLEDs based on  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD demonstrate DR emission, with their EL spectral peaks at wavelengths of 660 and 667 nm, respectively (Table 1). Benefitting from optimizing energy level alignment, rapid hRISC processes, favorable packing modes in single-crystal structures, and high  $\Phi_{PLQY}$ s and  $\Theta$  values in non-doped films, both  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD exhibit record-breaking EQEs of 13.4% and 15.5%, respectively, in non-doped OLEDs (Fig. 4F and Table 1).<sup>11,20,28-49</sup>

### Conclusions

In summary, two new DR luminogens with hot exciton mechanisms have been developed facilely. Intriguingly,  $\alpha$ T-IPD and  $\beta$ T-IPD demonstrated much higher  $\Phi_{PLOY}$ s compared to other emitters with hot exciton mechanisms in non-doped films. These remarkable advantages can be ascribed to the optimized energy level alignment of both singlet and triplet excited states, the AIE effect, the ingenious packing mode of their single crystals with the J-aggregate nature, moderate strength intermolecular CN···H-C and C-H··· $\pi$  interactions, and unique comparatively large distances between trimers. It is noteworthy that achieving a minimal energy difference between the S<sub>1</sub> and  $T_2$  states, while ensuring a slightly higher energy level for  $T_2$ compared to the S1 state, is crucial for hot exciton emitters. Such considerations are essential for facilitating a more efficient process of hRISC for triplet excitons. Equipped with high  $\Phi_{PLOY}$ s and horizontal dipolar ratios, The DR-OLED based on  $\beta$ T-IPD achieved a high EQE of 15.5% with an emission peak at 667 nm, making it the highest performing of hot exciton DR-OLED. This research paves the way for developing highly efficient emitters with the hot exciton mechanism.

### Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### Acknowledgements

This work is financially supported by the Distinguished Young Scholars (21925506), the National Science Fund for National Natural Science Foundation of China (52003088, U21A20331, 22375212, 51773212, 22305086, and 81903743), the Hundred Talents Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences and Ningbo Key Scientific and Technological Project (2022Z124 and 2022Z119).

#### References

- 1 G. Qian and Z. Y. Wang, Chem. Asian J., 2010, 5, 1006-1029.
- 2 W. Qin, D. Ding, J. Liu, W. Z. Yuan, Y. Hu, B. Liu and B. Z. Tang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, 22, 771–779.
- 3 B. Stender, S. F. Völker, C. Lambert and J. Pflaum, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 2943–2947.
- 4 Z. Guo, S. Park, J. Yoon and I. Shin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 16–29.
- 5 F. Anzengruber, P. Avci, L. F. de Freitas and M. R. Hamblin, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2015, **14**, 1492–1509.
- 6 K. Tuong Ly, R.-W. Chen-Cheng, H.-W. Lin, Y.-J. Shiau, S.-H. Liu, P.-T. Chou, C.-S. Tsao, Y.-C. Huang and Y. Chi, *Nat. Photonics*, 2016, **11**, 63–68.
- 7 Y.-C. Wei, S. F. Wang, Y. Hu, L.-S. Liao, D.-G. Chen, K.-H. Chang, C.-W. Wang, S.-H. Liu, W.-H. Chan, J.-L. Liao, W.-Y. Hung, T.-H. Wang, P.-T. Chen, H.-F. Hsu, Y. Chi and P.-T. Chou, *Nat. Photonics*, 2020, **14**, 570–577.
- 8 S.-F. Wang, B.-K. Su, X.-Q. Wang, Y.-C. Wei, K.-H. Kuo, C.-H. Wang, S.-H. Liu, L.-S. Liao, W.-Y. Hung, L.-W. Fu, W.-T. Chuang, M. Qin, X. Lu, C. You, Y. Chi and P.-T. Chou, *Nat. Photonics*, 2022, **16**, 843–850.
- 9 C. C. Tong and K. C. Hwang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 3490-3494.
- 10 L. Duan, Z. Liu, Y. Lu, C. Zhang, G. Li, T. Huang, D. Zhang and Y. Zhang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2021, 60, 20498–20503.
- 11 S. Reindl and A. Penzkofer, Chem. Phys., 1996, 211, 431-439.
- 12 Y. Xu, P. Xu, D. Hu and Y. Ma, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, 50, 1030–1069.
- 13 Y. Xu, X. Liang, X. Zhou, P. Yuan, J. Zhou, C. Wang, B. Li, D. Hu, X. Qiao, X. Jiang, L. Liu, S. J. Su, D. Ma and Y. Ma, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1807388.
- 14 W. Li, D. Liu, F. Shen, D. Ma, Z. Wang, T. Feng, Y. Xu,
   B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, 22, 2797–2803.
- 15 W. Li, Y. Pan, R. Xiao, Q. Peng, S. Zhang, D. Ma, F. Li, F. Shen, Y. Wang, B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, 24, 1609–1614.
- 16 W. Li, Y. Pan, L. Yao, H. Liu, S. Zhang, C. Wang, F. Shen, P. Lu, B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2014, 2, 892–901.
- 17 V. Lawetz, G. Orlandi and W. Siebrand, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1972, 56, 4058–4072.
- 18 D. Beljonne, Z. Shuai, G. Pourtois and J. L. Bredas, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2001, 105, 3899–3907.
- 19 T. J. Penfold, E. Gindensperger, C. Daniel and C. M. Marian, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 6975–7025.

- 20 S. Du, M. Luo, D. Li, L. Lyu, W. Li, M. Zhao, Z. Wang, J. Zhang, D. Liu, Y. Li, S. J. Su and Z. Ge, *Adv. Mater.*, 2023, 35, 2303304.
- 21 X. Guo, P. Yuan, X. Qiao, D. Yang, Y. Dai, Q. Sun, A. Qin, B. Z. Tang and D. Ma, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**, 1908704.
- 22 C. Lin, P. Han, S. Xiao, F. Qu, J. Yao, X. Qiao, D. Yang, Y. Dai,
  Q. Sun, D. Hu, A. Qin, Y. Ma, B. Z. Tang and D. Ma, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, 31, 2106912.
- 23 X. Guo, P. Yuan, J. Fan, X. Qiao, D. Yang, Y. Dai, Q. Sun, A. Qin, B. Z. Tang and D. Ma, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, 33, 2006953.
- 24 P. Han, C. Lin, E. Xia, J. Cheng, Q. Xia, D. Yang, A. Qin, D. Ma and B. Z. Tang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202310388.
- 25 C. Lin, P. Han, F. Qu, S. Xiao, Y. Li, D. Xie, X. Qiao, D. Yang,
  Y. Dai, Q. Sun, A. Qin, B. Z. Tang and D. Ma, *Mater. Horiz.*,
  2022, 9, 2376–2383.
- 26 J. Luo, Z. Xie, J. W. Y. Lam, L. Cheng, B. Z. Tang, H. Chen, C. Qiu, H. S. Kwok, X. Zhan, Y. Liu and D. Zhu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 1740–1741.
- 27 Y. Hong, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, 40, 5361–5388.
- 28 L. Yao, S. Zhang, R. Wang, W. Li, F. Shen, B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 2119–2123.
- 29 T. Liu, L. Zhu, S. Gong, C. Zhong, G. Xie, E. Mao, J. Fang,
   D. Ma and C. Yang, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2017, 5, 1700145.
- 30 T. Liu, L. Zhu, C. Zhong, G. Xie, S. Gong, J. Fang, D. Ma and C. Yang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, 27, 1606384.
- 31 X. Tang, X.-L. Li, H. Liu, Y. Gao, Y. Shen, S. Zhang, P. Lu, B. Yang, S.-J. Su and Y. Ma, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2018, **149**, 430–436.
- 32 Q. Wan, J. Tong, B. Zhang, Y. Li, Z. Wang and B. Z. Tang, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2020, **8**, 1901520.
- W. Xie, B. Li, X. Cai, M. Li, Z. Qiao, X. Tang, K. Liu, C. Gu,
   Y. Ma and S. J. Su, *Front. Chem.*, 2019, 7, 276.
- 34 Y. Yu, M. Cang, W. Cui, L. Xu, R. Wang, M. Sun, H. Zhou, W. Yang and S. Xue, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2021, **184**, 108770.

- 35 D. Zhang, T. Yang, H. Xu, Y. Miao, R. Chen, R. Shinar, J. Shinar, H. Wang, B. Xu and J. Yu, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2021, 9, 4921–4926.
- 36 S. Kongsabay, P. Funchien, P. Chasing, T. Sudyodsuk and V. Promarak, J. Lumin., 2022, 248, 118921.
- 37 Y. Yu, Z. Yu, Z. Ma, J. Jiang and D. Hu, Dyes Pigm., 2022, 208, 110868.
- 38 Y. Xu, P. Xu, D. Hu and Y. Ma, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 1030–1069.
- 39 Y. Gao, M. Yao, C. Zhou, H. Liu, S.-T. Zhang and B. Yang, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2022, 10, 4579–4583.
- 40 Q. Wan, B. Zhang, C. Mao, T. Zhang, Z. Wang and B. Z. Tang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2022, **10**, 1062–1068.
- 41 L. Yao, S. Zhang, R. Wang, W. Li, F. Shen, B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Angew. Chem.*, 2014, **126**, 2151–2155.
- 42 L. Yao, S. Zhang, R. Wang, W. Li, F. Shen, B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 2119–2212.
- 43 T. Liu, L. Zhu, S. Gong, C. Zhong, G. Xie, E. Mao, J. Fang, D. Ma and C. Yang, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2017, 5, 1700145.
- 44 T. Liu, L. Zhu, C. Zhong, G. Xie, S. Gong, J. Fang, D. Ma and C. Yang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, 5, 1700145.
- 45 W. Xie, B. Li, X. Cai, M. Li, Z. Qiao, X. Tang, K. Liu, C. Gu, Y. Ma and S.-J. Su, *Front. Chem.*, 2019, 7, 276.
- 46 X. He, L. Gao, H. Liu, F. Liu, D. Jiang, C. Du, C. Sun and P. Lu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **404**, 127055.
- 47 Y. Yu, H. Xing, D. Liu, M. Zhao, H. H. Y. Sung,
  I. D. Williams, J. W. Y. Lam, G. Xie, Z. Zhao and
  B. Z. Tang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2022, 61, e202204279.
- 48 M. Zhao, M. Li, W. Li, S. Du, Z. Chen, M. Luo, Y. Qiu, X. Lu, S. Yang, Z. Wang, J. Zhang, S. J. Su and Z. Ge, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202210687.
- 49 Y. Yu, Z. Yu, Z. Ma, J. Jiang and D. Hu, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2023, 208, 110868.