Polymer Chemistry

COMMUNICATION

Check for updates

Cite this: Polym. Chem., 2024, 15,

Received 3rd May 2024,

Accepted 15th July 2024

rsc.li/polymers

DOI: 10.1039/d4py00493k



View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 24 2024. Downloaded on 2025/7/13 5:33:12.

3223

Targeted prodrug activation within the acidic tumour microenvironment is needed to limit off-target effects in chemotherapy. This in combination with photodynamic generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) can be used for efficient remediation of cancerous tissue. To achieve this, pH-responsive polymers with photocatalytic units that become activated in the acidic pH of the tumour microenvironment have been created. Four model prodrug linkages in small molecule substrates have been investigated along with a model polymer-based prodrug. We have demonstrated the pH-dependent activation of model prodrug molecules, due to conformational changes of the pH-responsive photocatalytic polymers. Additionally, a prodrug of the common skin cancer chemotherapy drug 5-fluorouracil (5FU) could be photocatalytically activated and could induce cell death in cancer cells.

The emergence of photodynamic therapy (PDT) has facilitated the minimally invasive treatment of various diseases (e.g. cancers) with well-understood fundamental mechanisms of operation. Upon light irradiation, a photocatalyst absorbs a photon generating a short-lived excited singlet state (S_1) that can undergo intersystem crossing and populate the more stable excited triplet state T₁. The energy of this excited triplet state can be further transferred to molecular oxygen (O2), generating reactive singlet oxygen (¹O₂).^{1,2} Additionally, other reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as the superoxide radical O_2 . can also be produced via an electron transfer process, which can further interact with water as solvent generating hydroxyl radicals OH'. These ROS can induce oxidative damage and ultimately kill cancer cells.³⁻⁶ However, the performance of many currently developed photocatalyst molecules has significant limitations such as intrinsic hydrophobicity⁷ and the lack of

Therapeutic applications of responsive organic photocatalytic polymers, enabling *in situ* drug activation[†]

Rong Li,^a Xueqing Zhang,^a Seunghyeon Kim, ^b^a Volker Mailänder,^a Katharina Landfester ^b*^a and Calum T. J. Ferguson ^b*^b

targeting towards tumour cells.^{8–11} Therefore, the development of a novel tumour-specific PDT system is highly desired.

To develop a general strategy to selectively target the tumour tissue, ubiquitous features of the tumour microenvironment (for instance a low pH value, high interstitial pressure, or hypoxia conditions) have been frequently selected as alternatives to endogenous biomarkers.¹² Typical systems have been designed by incorporating stimuli-responsive moieties to modulate their functionalities in response to either external (e.g. UV light^{13,14}) or endogenous stimuli (e.g. enzymes,^{15,16} changes in the pH value,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ redox,²⁰ and hypoxia conditions 21,22). Solid tumours are ubiquitously characterized by the dysregulated pH value, where the pH of the extracellular microenvironment (pH_e 6.5–6.9) is slightly lower than that of normal tissues (pH 7.2-7.4). With this consideration, developing a pH-sensitive polymer system may achieve the targeted activation of the photocatalyst in the tumour microenvironment. Diblock polymer chains can cluster to form particles through self-assembly, where the photocatalytic segments are immobilised in the core and remain inactive in the bloodstream. If a pH-responsive group is incorporated into the polymer structure and upon exposing the particle to the acidic extracellular microenvironment, photocatalytic moieties can be revealed and activated due to disassembly, during which the aqueous compatibility of the photocatalyst is also enhanced. Therefore, we propose that a pH-responsive polymer system containing photocatalytic moieties may modulate the tumour-specific production of ROS for cancer therapy.

ROS can act not only as active therapeutic agents to kill cancer cells directly but also as a trigger to control the activation of other treatment processes (*e.g.*, prodrug activation or drug release from nanocarriers), inducing additive or even synergistic efficacies. For example, conjugating ROS-sensitive linkers^{6,23} such as an aminoacrylate bond,^{24,25} a thioketal bond,^{26,27} and phenylboronic ester^{28–31} with the chemical structures of nanocarriers and/or drugs (generally with –OH, –NH–, or –NH₂ functional groups) has been explored for

^aMax Planck Institute for Polymer Research, Mainz, Rheinland-Pfalz, Germany. E-mail: Landfester@mpip-mainz.mpg.de

^bSchool of Chemistry, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK. E-mail: c.ferguson.1@bham.ac.uk

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1039/d4py00493k

cascade reaction-driven anti-cancer drug release. Therefore, the combination of pH-responsive polymeric photocatalysts, which are capable of ROS generation, and ROS-responsive prodrug molecules could lead to triggered drug release *in situ*, which may provide a promising strategy to enhance antitumour efficacy through PDT/chemo combination therapy.

Here, we have developed a pH-responsive photocatalytic system that can selectively generate ROS in the tumour tissue and further activate prodrugs through a cascade reaction. To demonstrate the versatility of this photocatalytic system, the activation of four ROS-sensitive linkages, including an aminoacrylate bond, thioketal bond, phenylboronic ester and oxalate, has been examined. As illustrated in Scheme 1, the pH-responsive amphiphilic polymer poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly(2-azepane ethylmethacrylate)-*b*-2-(methacryloyloxy)ethyl 2-(2,4,5,7-tetrabromo-3,6-dihydroxy-9H-xanthen-9-yl)benzoate (PEG₁₁₃-b-PAEMA₅₀-EYHEMA₁) was synthesized. The amphiphilic polymer chains self-assemble at pH 7.4 to form polymer particles. Once accumulated in the acidic tumour environment (pH 6.5), the hydrophobic PAEMA block of the polymer becomes protonated, leading to the disassembly of the particles and exposure of the photocatalyst eosin Y. Upon light irradiation, ROS are generated by the active eosin Y and subsequently allow the activation of prodrugs through ROSinduced cleavage of the protecting group.

Dual-responsive copolymers PEG₁₁₃-*b*-PAEMA₅₀-EYHEMA₁ were synthesized by reversible addition-fragmentation chain

transfer (RAFT) polymerization using poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether (4-cyano-4-pentanoate dodecyl trithiocarbonate) (mPEG₁₁₃-CPDTC) as the macro-chain transfer agent (macro-CTA) and 2-azepane ethylmethacrylate (AEMA) and 2-(2-(2,4,5,7-tetrabromo-6-hydroxy-3-oxo-3*H*-xanthen-9-yl) benzamido) ethyl methacrylate (EYHEMA) as the pH-responsive and light-responsive monomers, respectively (Fig. 1a). The resulting polymer was confirmed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and gel permeation chromatography (GPC) suggesting a number averaged molar mass of 13.9 kDa (ESI, Fig. S1 and S2[†]). A bimodal distribution was observed, which is believed to be due to unfunctionalized PEG in macroCTA. FTIR (Fig. S3[†]) was used to examine the chemical compositions of PEG₁₁₃-b-PAEMA₅₀ and PEG₁₁₃-b-PAEMA₅₀-EYHEMA₁ polymer chains. The fingerprint peaks of these polymer chains, including -CH2-, C=O, C-O, and C-N functional groups, are clearly visible at 2750-3100 cm⁻¹, 1725 cm⁻¹, 1470 cm⁻¹, and 1150 cm⁻¹, respectively. However, the effect of the photocatalytic moiety was not observed in the FTIR spectrum due to the low loading of the photocatalytic monomer (0.49 mol%). The optical properties of the dual-responsive polymers were visualized from the UV/Vis spectrum, displaying absorption in the green light region (Fig. 1b) in agreement with the literature.³²

PAEMA has been specifically developed as an ultra-pH-sensitive polymer that responds to a pH increment of ~ 0.3 ,^{17,19,33} aligning perfectly with the pH difference of the extracellular



Scheme 1 Illustration of the pH-responsive polymer photocatalyst that can respond to a subtle pH difference between normal tissue and the slightly acidic tumour microenvironment, which allows the controlled activation of prodrug molecules.



Fig. 1 Characterization of pH-responsive polymers. (a) Molecular structure of the designed pH-responsive photocatalytic copolymer PEG_{113} -*b*-PAEMA₅₀-EYHEMA₁. (b) UV/Vis absorbance and emission spectra of the PEG_{113} -*b*-PAEMAH⁺₅₀-EYHEMA₁ polymer chains in phosphate buffer at pH 6.5 (1 mg mL⁻¹). (c) The hydrodynamic diameter (PEG_{113} -*b*-PAEMA₅₀) changes as a function of pH measured by DLS (pH 6.0, 6.5, 6.8, 7.2, 7.4, and 7.8, 0.1 mM) (laser: 632.8 nm). (d) TEM image of the NP-AEMA-EY nano-assembly in PBS at pH 7.4.

microenvironment (pHe 6.5-6.9) compared to normal tissues (pH 7.2-7.4).³⁴ Taking advantage of this ultrasensitive pHresponsiveness of PAEMA, PEG₁₁₃-b-PAEMA₅₀ can be protonated at pH 6.5, leading to the solvation of the polymer chains, while being deprotonated at pH 7.4, resulting in an amphiphilic diblock copolymer and self-assembly. Therefore, pH-sensitive nano-assemblies were prepared by self-assembly of photocatalytically active PEG₁₁₃-b-PAEMA₅₀-EYHEMA₁ (NP-AEMA-EY) or photocatalytically inactive PEG₁₁₃-b-PAEMA₅₀ (NP-PAEMA) copolymers, respectively. The photocatalytic unit was selectively polymerised at the end of the hydrophobic chain to minimise its activity until activated by the change in pH.^{35,36} The RAFT end group of the polymer in this test was not removed as it has previously been shown to be non-toxic.37 The hydrodynamic diameter of the inactive nano-assemblies was determined by dynamic light scatting (DLS). As shown in Fig. 1c, the diameter of the nano-assemblies peaked at approximately 164 nm at pH 7.4, which decreased to 13 nm at pH 6.5. This sharp size change indicates the disassembly of the nano-assemblies under slightly acidic conditions, which agrees well with the pK_a value (~7.2) of the block copolymer (Fig. S4[†]). Additionally, UV-Vis transmittance (Fig. S5[†]) measurement and the digital image of PEG₁₁₃-b-PAEMA₅₀ nano-assembly dispersions showed that turbidity increased when increasing the pH value of the buffer solution. These findings further demonstrated the pH responsiveness of these nano-assemblies. Furthermore, the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image has confirmed that at pH 7.4 the polymer chains are assembled into spherical gel-like nanoassemblies (Fig. 1d). Additionally, TEM images also revealed the size change of pH-responsive photocatalytic nano-assemblies PEG_{113} -*b*-PAEMA₅₀-EYHEMA₁ from pH 7.4 to pH 6.5 (Fig. S6†).

The photocatalyst eosin Y is an inexpensive and biocompatible material that has been used extensively in biological applications.^{38,39} Eosin Y is capable of efficiently generating ${}^{1}O_{2}$ (Fig. 2), which has been widely used for PDT.⁴⁰ In our preliminary study, with blue light irradiation, molecular eosin Y (eosin Y disodium salt) can efficiently activate prodrug model compounds containing various ROS-sensitive linkers/caps, including thiol ketal, aminoacrylate, boronic acid pinacol ester, and oxalate (Table S1, Fig. S8†). After 2 to 4 h of light irradiation, the prodrug model compounds were activated with over 70% yield, suggesting that the eosin Y photocatalyst is effective in activating a broad range of ROS-sensitive linkages.

The promising performance of the molecular eosin Y photocatalyst in activating the prodrug model molecules has encouraged us to further examine eosin Y-based pH-responsive photocatalytic nanoparticles (NP-AEMA-EY) for the activation of prodrug model compounds. Initially, the photocatalytic activation of a prodrug model compound containing boronic acid pinacol ester was carried out using the PEG_{113} -*b*-PAEMA₅₀-EYHEMA₁ polymer as a polymeric photocatalyst. In a typical experimental set-up, PEG_{113} -*b*-PAEMAH⁺₅₀-EYHEMA₁ was dissolved in phosphate buffer at pH 6.5 and combined with the prodrug model compound in a glass vial prior to light irradiation. The prodrug activation kinetic profiles were monitored by GCMS in triplicate. As illustrated in Fig. 3, over 90% yield of activation was obtained using the PEG_{113} -*b*-PAEMA



Fig. 2 Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spin trapping spectra of TEMP ${}^{1}O_{2}$ generated under different conditions. (a) Eosin Y disodium salt (1 mg mL $^{-1}$), tetramethylpiperidine (TEMP, 0.1 M), O₂. (b) Eosin Y disodium salt (1 mg ml $^{-1}$), TEMP (0.1 M), air. (c) TEMP (0.1 M), O₂. (d) Eosin Y disodium salt (1 mg mL $^{-1}$), TEMP (0.1 M), O₂, darkness. All the samples were irradiated with a blue LED for 30 min before measurement.





 $PAEMAH^{+}_{50}$ -EYHEMA₁ polymer as a polymeric photocatalyst (2.5 mol% eosin Y moiety) after 1 h of light irradiation. When the oxygen atmosphere was replaced with air, the hydroxylation reaction progressed with a slower reaction rate than the reaction in the presence of oxygen. Only 16% yield was delivered after 1 h under ambient conditions, suggesting that the presence of oxygen is crucial for photocatalytic hydroxylation to occur efficiently. No conversion was detected for controlled reactions without a photocatalyst under light and with a photocatalyst in the dark, respectively.

Furthermore, we have also investigated the controlled activation of a prodrug model compound that contains a ¹O₂-sensitive aminoacrylate linker by applying pH-responsive photocatalytic nanoparticle NP-AEMA-EY dispersions at pH 7.4 and PEG₁₁₃-*b*-PAEMAH⁺₅₀-EYHEMA₁ dissolved in phosphate buffer at pH 6.5, respectively. In a typical experimental set-up, the NP-AEMA-EY dispersion or PEG₁₁₃-b-PAEMAH⁺₅₀-EYHEMA₁ solution and prodrug were combined in a glass vial prior to irradiation with blue LED light, respectively. The prodrug activation kinetic profiles were monitored by GCMS in triplicate. As we can see from Fig. 4, 68% of the prodrug model compound was activated by the solvated PEG₁₁₃-b-PAEMAH⁺₅₀-EYHEMA₁ polymeric photocatalyst at pH 6.5 after 1.5 hours of light irradiation, whereas only 2.7% yield of activation was obtained by using NP-AEMA-EY at pH 7.4. This result strongly suggests that the photocatalyst eosin Y moieties were more accessible when the polymer chains were fully solvated under mildly acidic conditions, thereby leading to targeted activation



Fig. 4 The controlled activation kinetic profile of the prodrug model compound ethyl (*E*)-3-(piperidin-1-yl)acrylate obtained using PEG_{113} -*b*-PAEMA₅₀-EYHEMA₁ polymeric photocatalyst (PC, 2.5 mol%) solution in phosphate buffer solution at pH 6.5 (black) and NP-AEMA-EY in PBS buffer at pH 7.4 (red). Control reactions: without a photocatalyst in light (green) and with a photocatalyst in the dark (blue).

of the prodrug model compound in the mildly acidic tumour microenvironment.

The excellent performance of the polymeric photocatalyst in activating ROS-sensitive capping groups in a controlled manner has boosted our interest in investigating the activation of an anticancer drug molecule. 5-Fluorouracil (5FU) is an FDA-approved chemotherapeutic drug that has been widely prescribed alone or in combination with other chemotherapeutics for the treatment of various solid tumours (e.g. breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, colorectal cancer, stomach cancer, cervical cancer, and skin cancer). Over the past decades, mechanisms of action of 5FU in the human body have been intensively studied and clearly demonstrated.⁴¹⁻⁴³ Briefly, 5FU molecules can inhibit the activity of the nucleotide synthesis enzyme thymidylate synthase (TS), which is crucial for catalyzing the reductive methylation of deoxyuridine monophosphate to deoxythymidine monophosphate. By blocking the function of TS, DNA replication and repair are interrupted.^{41,43} Despite the excellent anticancer activity, major side effects of 5FU, including central neurotoxicity, gastrointestinal toxicity, and myelosuppression, as well as being metabolically unstable, still significantly limit its clinical use.44 Therefore, prodrug strategies have been actively investigated to overcome these limitations, where several 5FU-prodrugs among many analogues have been successfully applied in clinical use.⁴⁵

Here, 5FU was selected as an example anticancer drug and we are interested in the creation of a ROS-sensitive 5FUprodrug that can be selectively activated by the pH-responsive photocatalyst at the tumour site. As we have demonstrated the remarkable performance of the pH-responsive photocatalyst in

activating the boronic acid pinacol ester group, an arylboronate-based prodrug of 5FU (5-fluoro-1-(4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzyl)pyrimidine-2,4(1H,3H)-dione) has been synthesized by introducing a ROS-sensitive p-boronate-benzyl group into the N1 position of 5FU according to the literature.46 Similar to the aforementioned prodrug model compound activation procedures, the 5FU prodrug was mixed with PEG₁₁₃-b-PAEMAH⁺₅₀-EYHEMA₁ solution in phosphate buffer at pH 6.5 before being subjected to light irradiation. The conversion of the 5FU prodrug was monitored by ¹⁹F-NMR, where >99% conversion of the 5FU prodrug into an intermediate was obtained after half an hour of light irradiation (Fig. S8[†]). As reported in the literature,⁴⁶ this intermediate is subsequently activated spontaneously under cell culture conditions, leading to the release of active 5FU and the death of cancerous tissue.

A cell viability study was undertaken to verify that the photocatalytically activated 5FU prodrug intermediate could subsequently be converted to 5FU and induce cell death. Here, the prodrug and photocatalyst were either irradiated or kept in the dark prior to incubation with cancer cells. Additionally, control experiments of the photocatalyst and the prodrug were performed separately (Fig. 5). The cell viability results showed that only the combination of the prodrug, photocatalyst, and



Fig. 5 The viability of HCT116 cancer cells treated with varying concentrations of the prodrug, PEG_{113} -*b*-PAEMAH⁺₅₀-EYHEMA₁ (shortened as EY), the combination of the prodrug and PEG_{113} -*b*-PAEMA₅₀-EYHEMA₁ before and after blue light LED irradiation, and a control of just 5-FU. The cells were treated for 72 h with the indicated concentrations of the compounds. Data are presented as mean \pm S.D, n = 5.

light-induced cell death. This suggests that the photoactivated prodrug intermediate undergoes further activation and forms 5FU as expected, leading to cell death. As the concentration of the activated prodrug loading increased, cell viability of the group treated with the combination of the prodrug and the photocatalytic polymer PEG₁₁₃-b-PAEMAH⁺₅₀-EYHEMA₁ after blue light LED irradiation significantly decreased, indicating prominent antitumour efficacy through 5FU chemotherapy. However, the effect of photocleaved 5FU was not as strong as free 5FU, which suggests that not all the prodrug is activated. Meanwhile, the individual components of the prodrug, the photocatalytic polymer PEG₁₁₃-b-PAEMAH⁺₅₀-EYHEMA₁, as well as the prodrug and photocatalytic polymer PEG₁₁₃-b-PAEMAH⁺₅₀-EYHEMA₁ mixture in the absence of light irradiation (dark) showed negligible toxicity until high concentrations (100 μ M) were applied.

In conclusion, we have designed and synthesized a novel pH-responsive polymeric photocatalyst consisting of azepane moieties as pH-responsive functional groups and a small loading of eosin Y as a photocatalyst. This polymeric photocatalytic material exhibited excellent reactivity in the activation of prodrug model compounds with different ROS-sensitive protecting groups under mildly acidic conditions (pH 6.5). Moreover, the controlled activation of the prodrug model compound has been achieved, taking advantage of the ultra pHsensitive nature (0.3 pH increment) of the polymeric photocatalyst, where a 25 times high yield of release has been obtained at pH 6.5 compared to the reaction at pH 7.4. Furthermore, this polymeric photocatalyst has efficiently activated the 5FU prodrug into an intermediate, which can be spontaneously activated into the active parent 5FU in cell cultivation. These findings demonstrate that the pH-responsive polymeric photocatalyst provides an effective approach to selectively activate prodrugs with various ROS-sensitive linkers/ caps, which can potentially enhance the antitumour efficacy through PDT/chemo combination therapy.

The proof-of-principle work demonstrated here has shown the potential of using pH-responsive polymer photocatalysts to activate prodrug molecules. However, several critical factors still need to be overcome for the medical application of these systems, namely the reaction time in low-oxygen environments, the wavelength of light used, and the codelivery of the photocatalytic system and the prodrug. The polymer system demonstrated here is modular, and each component can be replaced. Therefore, future work will investigate different photocatalytic species in order to increase their applicability. This is an exciting emerging area with the potential to create new therapeutic strategies.

Data availability

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its ESI.†

Polymer Chemistry

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank Christoph Sieber and Katrin Kirchhoff for taking TEM images. We are grateful for the funding granted by the Max Planck Graduate Centre (MPGC).

References

- 1 C. T. J. Ferguson and K. A. I. Zhang, ACS Catal., 2021, 11, 9547–9560.
- 2 Y. Nosaka and A. Y. Nosaka, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 11302–11336.
- 3 Z. Zhou, J. Song, L. Nie and X. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 6597–6626.
- 4 J. H. Correia, J. A. Rodrigues, S. Pimenta, T. Dong and Z. Yang, *Pharmaceutics*, 2021, **13**, 1332.
- 5 S. Wang, G. Yu, Z. Wang, O. Jacobson, L.-S. Lin, W. Yang,
 H. Deng, Z. He, Y. Liu, Z.-Y. Chen and X. Chen, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2019, 58, 14758–14763.
- 6 X. Zhang, S. Wang, G. Cheng, P. Yu, J. Chang and X. Chen, *Matter*, 2021, 4, 26–53.
- 7 J. F. Lovell, T. W. B. Liu, J. Chen and G. Zheng, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 2839–2857.
- 8 L. Cheng, C. Wang, L. Feng, K. Yang and Z. Liu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 10869–10939.
- 9 H. O. Alsaab, M. S. Alghamdi, A. S. Alotaibi, R. Alzhrani, F. Alwuthaynani, Y. S. Althobaiti, A. H. Almalki, S. Sau and A. K. Iyer, *Cancers*, 2020, **12**, 2793.
- D. Bechet, P. Couleaud, C. Frochot, M.-L. Viriot, F. Guillemin and M. Barberi-Heyob, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 2008, 26, 612–621.
- 11 H. Sun and Z. Zhong, ACS Macro Lett., 2020, 9, 1292–1302.
- 12 R. Wei, S. Liu, S. Zhang, L. Min and S. Zhu, Anal. Cell. Pathol., 2020, 2020, 6283796.
- 13 R. Tong, H. H. Chiang and D. S. Kohane, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2013, **110**, 19048–19053.
- 14 R. Tong, H. D. Hemmati, R. Langer and D. S. Kohane, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, **134**, 8848–8855.
- 15 S. Ruan, X. Cao, X. Cun, G. Hu, Y. Zhou, Y. Zhang, L. Lu, Q. He and H. Gao, *Biomaterials*, 2015, **60**, 100–110.
- 16 Q. Zhou, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2019, 14, 16.
- 17 Y. Dong, Y. Tu, K. Wang, C. Xu, Y. Yuan and J. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, **59**, 7168–7172.
- 18 J. Li, Y. Li, Y. Wang, W. Ke, W. Chen, W. Wang and Z. Ge, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 6983–6990.
- 19 H.-J. Li, J.-Z. Du, J. Liu, X.-J. Du, S. Shen, Y.-H. Zhu, X. Wang, X. Ye, S. Nie and J. Wang, ACS Nano, 2016, 10, 6753–6761.
- 20 Z. Deng, Y. Qian, Y. Yu, G. Liu, J. Hu, G. Zhang and S. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 10452–10466.

- 21 S. Zhou, X. Hu, R. Xia, S. Liu, Q. Pei, G. Chen, Z. Xie and X. Jing, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 23198–23205.
- 22 P. Kulkarni, M. K. Haldar, P. Katti, C. Dawes, S. You, Y. Choi and S. Mallik, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2016, 27, 1830– 1838.
- 23 P. Wang, Q. Gong, J. Hu, X. Li and X. Zhang, J. Med. Chem., 2021, 64, 298–325.
- 24 M. Bio, G. Nkepang and Y. You, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 6517–6519.
- 25 Y. Yuan, C.-J. Zhang and B. Liu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 11419–11423.
- 26 Z. Cao, Y. Ma, C. Sun, Z. Lu, Z. Yao, J. Wang, D. Li, Y. Yuan and X. Yang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 517–525.
- 27 S. Z. F. Phua, C. Xue, W. Q. Lim, G. Yang, H. Chen, Y. Zhang, C. F. Wijaya, Z. Luo and Y. Zhao, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 3349–3358.
- 28 Y. Kuang, K. Balakrishnan, V. Gandhi and X. Peng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, **133**, 19278–19281.
- 29 W. Chen, Y. Han and X. Peng, Chem. Eur. J., 2014, 20, 7410–7418.
- W. Chen, K. Balakrishnan, Y. Kuang, Y. Han, M. Fu,
 V. Gandhi and X. Peng, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, 57, 4498–4510.
- 31 W. Zhang, X. Hu, Q. Shen and D. Xing, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1704.
- 32 S. Jradi, L. Balan, X. H. Zeng, J. Plain, D. J. Lougnot, P. Royer, R. Bachelot, S. Akil, O. Soppera and L. Vidal, *Nanotechnology*, 2010, 21, 095605.
- 33 K. Zhou, Y. Wang, X. Huang, K. Luby-Phelps, B. D. Sumer and J. Gao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 6109–6114.
- 34 B. A. Webb, M. Chimenti, M. P. Jacobson and D. L. Barber, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2011, **11**, 671–677.
- 35 R. Li, J. Heuer, T. Kuckhoff, K. Landfester and C. T. J. Ferguson, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2023, 62, e202217652.
- 36 J. Heuer, T. Kuckhoff, R. Li, K. Landfester and C. T. J. Ferguson, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2023, 15, 2891–2900.
- 37 D. Pissuwan, C. Boyer, K. Gunasekaran, T. P. Davis and V. Bulmus, *Biomacromolecules*, 2010, 11, 412–420.
- 38 D. P. Hari and B. König, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 6688–6699.
- 39 C. Wu, N. Corrigan, C.-H. Lim, K. Jung, J. Zhu, G. Miyake, J. Xu and C. Boyer, *Macromolecules*, 2019, 52, 236–248.
- 40 B. Fan, W. Peng, Y. Zhang, P. Liu and J. Shen, *Biomater. Sci.*, 2023, **11**, 4930–4937.
- 41 D. B. Longley, D. P. Harkin and P. G. Johnston, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2003, **3**, 330–338.
- 42 G. D. Heggie, J. P. Sommadossi, D. S. Cross, W. J. Huster and R. B. Diasio, *Cancer Res.*, 1987, **47**, 2203–2206.
- 43 M. Scartozzi, E. Maccaroni, R. Giampieri, M. Pistelli, A. Bittoni, M. Del Prete, R. Berardi and S. Cascinu, *Pharmacogenomics*, 2011, **12**, 251–265.
- 44 J. S. Macdonald, Oncology, 1999, 13, 33-34.
- 45 J. Shelton, X. Lu, J. A. Hollenbaugh, J. H. Cho, F. Amblard and R. F. Schinazi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 14379–14455.
- 46 Y. Ai, O. N. Obianom, M. Kuser, Y. Li, Y. Shu and F. Xue, *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **10**, 127–131.